

**The occurrence of salmonellas and  
lactose-negative Arizonas in reptiles in The Netherlands,  
and a comparison of three enrichment methods used  
in their isolation**

BY J. P. KOOPMAN AND F. G. J. JANSSEN

*Central Animal Laboratory, Catholic University of Nijmegen,  
The Netherlands*

(Received 11 October 1972)

SUMMARY

A survey was conducted in 1971 in healthy reptiles supplied to the Central Animal Laboratory of Nijmegen for experimental animal research. In order to determine which salmonella serotypes occur, and whether there are several serotypes per animal, several strains of each positive sample were typed.

It was found that 160 of 169 samples contained salmonellas or lactose-negative Arizonas or both, and 95 different serotypes were isolated.

Of 127 animals examined individually, 67 were carriers of more than one serotype, 42 animals having two types, 21 three types and 4 animals four types.

Three enrichment methods were compared. These were tetrathionate broth incubated at 37° C. (T37) and at 43° C. (T43), and selenite broth incubated at 37° C. (SB). All were incubated for 48 hr. before subculture on brilliant-green agar plates. The enrichment methods T37, T43 and SB produced 99, 125 and 123 positive samples respectively, when taken separately. The combinations of T37 and T43, T37 and SB, and T43 and SB produced 145, 142 and 150 positive samples respectively.

The yield of serotypes in comparable samples showed no difference between the three enrichment methods. With the use of two methods the yield increased by about 38% compared with one method, and the combination of three methods showed an increase in serotype yield of about 64% compared with one method. A distinct preference by serotypes for definite enrichment methods was not proved.

INTRODUCTION

Amongst reptiles, salmonella carriers are found frequently, but the percentage incidences reported in the literature are rather divergent. Hinshaw & McNeil (1947) found salmonellas in 3 of 12 lizards (25%), Lee & Mackerras (1955) in 35 of 52 lizards (67%), Mackey (1955) in 144 of 301 lizards (48%), Bövre & Sandbu (1959) in 27 of 33 tortoises (82%), de Hamel & McInnes (1971) in 28 of 492 lizards (5.7%), whereas Milanov, Chilev, Pashev & Slavkov (1966) found a salmonella carrier rate of 50–100% in tortoises according to their area of origin. In the Netherlands Zwart (1960) and Zwart, Poelma & Strik (1970) found a large number of

types of salmonellas and Arizonas in reptiles which died at zoological gardens. In an earlier survey (Koopman & Janssen, 1972*b*) we found salmonellas in 5 of 10 reptiles.

In order to trace on a larger scale how many healthy reptiles are carriers, and which serotypes they carry, we examined the reptiles supplied to the Central Animal Laboratory of Nijmegen during one year for salmonellas and lactose-negative Arizonas. We also ascertained whether more than one serotype per animal could be demonstrated.

According to Nitzschke (1951), Leistner, Deibel, Johantges & Niven (1962), Mueller (1963) and Luethgen & Lucas (1971) some serotypes sometimes prefer definite enrichment methods. It seemed to us appropriate to check whether such preference could be established for the methods which we used.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The reptiles examined were supplied to the Laboratory in 1971 on behalf of experimental animal research. One lizard caught in the Netherlands was examined; the rest of the animals were acquired through trade channels and were not of Dutch origin.

A total of 127 animals were examined individually. In addition 42 pooled samples were examined, comprising 12 samples from 2 animals, 3 samples from 3 animals, 14 samples from 4 animals, 12 samples from 5 animals and one sample from 20 animals.

Shortly after arrival of the animals faeces samples were collected. For this, the animals were kept on filter paper. When faeces had been passed the filter paper with faeces was cut out for examination.

The samples were examined for *Salmonella* by the methods described previously (Koopman & Janssen, 1972*a*), but the size of the sample was often much smaller, and varied from 0.1 to 1 g. Each sample was examined by three different enrichment methods; tetrathionate broth at 37° C. (T37) and at 43° C. (T43) and selenite broth at 37° C. (SB). After 48 hr. incubation each tube was streaked on two brilliant-green agar plates (BG plates). Suspicious colonies were subcultured to obtain pure cultures (without biochemical examination being done) and sent to the Laboratory for Zoonoses of the National Institute of Public Health, Utrecht, for typing. Usually several strains from BG plates from positive enrichment media were typed.

#### RESULTS

Of the 169 faecal samples examined, 160 were positive for salmonellas or lactose-negative Arizonas, or both. Of the 127 animals examined individually 119 (93.7%) were positive. Of the 42 pooled samples, only one sample was negative.

Table 1 shows the results for each species of reptile, and in Table 2 is shown a list of serotypes isolated, together with their animal hosts. Among the 95 serotypes there were 21 which were isolated for the first time in the Netherlands, and one of these types had not been previously isolated (Salm. 30:c, z39 N-group). Table 3 shows the distribution of multiple-serotype isolations among the different samples.

Table 1. *The number of cultures typed and the number of different types of salmonellas and lactose-negative Arizonas per species of reptile*

Species	No. of animals	No. of samples	No. of positive samples	No. of typed cultures	No. of serotypes
<i>Agama stellio</i>	2	2	2	12	2
<i>Basiliscus basiliscus</i>	2	2	2	10	3
<i>Caiman sclerops</i>	30	10	10	36	9
<i>Chamaeleo jacksoni</i>	1	1	1	3	3
<i>Iguana iguana</i>	4	4	4	20	5
<i>Lacerta agilis</i>	1	1	1	3	1
<i>L. galloti</i>	107	34	34	158	29
<i>L. viridis</i>	52	25	23	98	21
<i>Scincus scincus</i>	5	4	4	19	5
<i>Testudo graeca</i>	1	1	1	6	1
<i>T. hermanni</i>	37	37	34	132	31
<i>Tupinambis nigropunctatis</i>	1	1	1	8	2
<i>T. teguixin</i>	53	47	43	190	26
	296	169	160	695	

For a comparison of the three enrichment methods and their possible combinations use was made of the results from 104 comparable positive samples. From a part of these samples two strains per positive enrichment method were typed. Furthermore, these samples include those from which more than two strains were typed, provided that they resulted in the same serotypes. The result of a negative enrichment is denoted by 0; 1 means that the two strains isolated were of the same serotype, and 2 means that they were of different serotypes.

The number of samples from which salmonellas or Arizonas were isolated through one, two or three enrichment methods is shown in column 2 of Table 4. Columns 3 and 4 show the number of strains examined and the number of serotypes found by each method or combination of methods.

Columns 5 and 6 in Table 4 show the number of samples from which two strains per enrichment medium were typed, and the number of different serotypes found in these. Column 7 shows how many different serotypes per method were obtained from 104 comparable positive samples.

Table 5 shows the number of times 0, 1 and 2 serotypes per enrichment method were found from these 104 samples.

Table 6 gives a list of the serotypes isolated, showing the frequency of the number of samples from which these serotypes were obtained through each enrichment method separately.

DISCUSSION

It appears from the results that about 95 % of the reptiles examined individually were established carriers of salmonellas or lactose-negative Arizonas. In the literature such high percentages have been reported for tortoises only. Our high incidence for other species of reptiles is probably partly due to the methods used;

Table 2. *Salmonella* types isolated from reptiles in 1971

<i>S. abony</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. midhurst</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
<i>S. abony</i> var. <i>haifa</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. muenchen</i>	<i>Caiman sclerops</i>
<i>S. abaetetuba</i>	<i>Lacerta viridis</i>		<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. napoli</i>	<i>L. viridis</i>
	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>	<i>S. nashua*</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>
<i>S. alachua</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>	<i>S. neasden*</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
<i>S. alger*</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. new-brunswick</i>	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>
<i>S. anatum</i>	<i>Caiman sclerops</i>	<i>S. newport</i>	<i>Caiman sclerops</i>
	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>		<i>Testudo hermanni</i>
<i>S. anecho*</i>	<i>Basiliscus basiliscus</i>		<i>Tupinambis nigropunctat</i>
	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>		<i>T. teguixin</i>
	<i>Iguana iguana</i>	<i>S. ngozi</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
<i>S. argentina*</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>	<i>S. nima</i>	<i>L. galloti</i>
<i>S. baildon</i>	<i>Agama stellio</i>		<i>L. viridis</i>
<i>S. ball</i>	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>	<i>S. oraniënburg</i>	<i>L. galloti</i>
<i>S. bardo</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>		<i>Testudo hermanni</i>
	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>		<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>
	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. pomona</i>	<i>Basiliscus basiliscus</i>
<i>S. bellville*</i>	<i>Lacerta viridis</i>		<i>Iguana iguana</i>
<i>S. bilthoven</i>	<i>L. galloti</i>		<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>		<i>L. viridis</i>
	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>		<i>Testudo hermanni</i>
<i>S. bleadon</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. poona</i>	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>
<i>S. canastel</i>	<i>T. hermanni</i>	<i>S. potsdam</i>	<i>Testudo graeca</i>
<i>S. carrau</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>		<i>T. hermanni</i>
<i>S. cerro</i>	<i>T. hermanni</i>	<i>S. pumila*</i>	<i>Basiliscus basiliscus</i>
<i>S. cubana</i>	<i>Scincus scincus</i>		<i>Iguana iguana</i>
<i>S. detroit*</i>	<i>Lacerta viridis</i>		<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
<i>S. florida*</i>	<i>L. galloti</i>		<i>L. viridis</i>
<i>S. galiema</i>	<i>Scincus scincus</i>		<i>Testudo hermanni</i>
<i>S. gaminara</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>	<i>S. rhône*</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
	<i>L. viridis</i>	<i>S. rubislav</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>
	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>	<i>S. san diego</i>	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>
<i>S. give</i>	<i>T. teguixin</i>	<i>S. saphra</i>	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>
<i>S. greenside</i>	<i>L. galloti</i>	<i>S. sendai*</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>
<i>S. halle</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. sheffield</i>	<i>T. hermanni</i>
	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>	<i>S. siegburg</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
<i>S. havana</i>	<i>Chamaeleo jacksoni</i>		<i>L. viridis</i>
	<i>Lacerta viridis</i>	<i>S. sladun</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>
	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. softa</i>	<i>T. hermanni</i>
	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>	<i>S. softa</i> var. 27*	<i>Lacerta agilis</i>
<i>S. heidelberg</i>	<i>Lacerta viridis</i>	<i>S. souza*</i>	<i>L. viridis</i>
<i>S. hillbrow*</i>	<i>L. galloti</i>	<i>S. stanleyville</i>	<i>Scincus scincus</i>
<i>S. houten</i>	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>	<i>S. suelldorf*</i>	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>
<i>S. hvittingfoss</i>	<i>Scincus scincus</i>	<i>S. tosamanga*</i>	<i>Scincus scincus</i>
	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. tel-hashomer*</i>	<i>Agama stellio</i>
	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>	<i>S. tennessee</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>
<i>S. infantis</i>	<i>Caiman sclerops</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i> X ORS	<i>Caiman sclerops</i>
<i>S. jodhpur</i>	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i> I ORS	<i>C. sclerops</i>
<i>S. johannesburg</i>	<i>Caiman sclerops</i>		<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
<i>S. kottbus</i>	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i> var.	<i>L. viridis</i>
	<i>L. viridis</i>	Copenhagen XX 652	
	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	<i>S. typhimurium</i> var.	<i>L. galloti</i>
<i>S. langford</i>	<i>T. hermanni</i>	Copenhagen I ORS	
<i>S. lindern</i>	<i>T. hermanni</i>	<i>S. uphill</i>	<i>L. galloti</i>
<i>S. luciana*</i>	<i>Lacerta viridis</i>		<i>L. viridis</i>
<i>S. marina</i>	<i>Iguana iguana</i>		<i>Testudo hermanni</i>

Table 2 (cont.)

l. vaertan	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>	S. F-group	<i>Caiman sclerops</i>
l. vejle	<i>L. viridis</i>	S. H-group	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
l. veneziana*	<i>L. viridis</i>	S. rough	<i>L. viridis</i>
l. virginia*	<i>L. galloti</i>		<i>Testudo hermanni</i>
l. warragul	<i>L. galloti</i>		<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>
l. wassenaar	<i>Caiman sclerops</i>		
l. 30:c, z39 (N-group)*	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>	Ar. 9abc: 24-34	<i>Lacerta galloti</i>
		Ar. 5:13-15	<i>Iguana iguana</i>
	<i>Tupinambis nigropunctatis</i>	Ar. 24:25-26	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>
	<i>T. teguixin</i>	Ar. 1,4:24-38	<i>Chamaeleo jacksoni</i>
l. 58a-sub II	<i>T. teguixin</i>	Ar. 22:1, 2, 5, 6	<i>C. jacksoni</i>
l. 44:z4, z23 sub. II	<i>Lacerta viridis</i>	Ar. 26:32-31	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>
l. B-group	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>	Ar. 11:16, 17, 18	<i>Lacerta viridis</i>
l. C1-group	<i>Testudo hermanni</i>	Ar. 26:32-21	<i>Tupinambis teguixin</i>

\* First isolation in The Netherlands.

Table 3. The division of the positive samples, according to the number of different types of salmonellas and lactose-negative Arizonas per sample\*

No. of samples	No. of positive samples	No. of samples with			
		1 type	2 types	3 types	4 types
127 (1)*	119	52	42	21	4
12 (2)	11	4	5	2	0
3 (3)	3	1	2	0	0
14 (4)	14	4	7	3	0
12 (5)	12	3	4	5	0
1 (20)	1	0	1	0	0
169	160	64	61	31	4

\* The number of cultures examined per positive sample varies from 1 to 8. The figures in parentheses correspond with the number of animals per sample.

Table 4. Results of the investigation of 169 samples

Method of enrichment (1)	No. of positive samples (2)	No. of strains investigated (3)	No. of sero-types isolated (4)	Two strains determined		Two or zero strains determined pro enrichment method of 104 positive samples* (no. of serotypes) (7)
				No. of samples (5)	No. of sero-types (6)	
T37	99 (58, 6%)	187	55	69	44	41
T43	125 (74, 0%)	259	61	99	49	44
SB	123 (72, 8%)	269	61	93	51	43
T37 + T43	145 (85, 8%)	446	72			57
T37 + SB	142 (84, 0%)	456	80			58
T43 + SB	150 (88, 8%)	528	85			61
T37 + T43 + SB	160 (94, 7%)	715	95			70

\* A negative enrichment method yielded 'zero' strains.

Table 5. *The number of times that zero, one and two serotypes were found through three enrichment methods in the investigation of zero or two strains of 104 comparable positive samples*

No. of serotypes	T 37	T 43	SB
0*	46	22	27
1	46	70	65
2	12	12	12
No. of samples	104	104	104

\* Here the enrichment methods were negative, so the yield of serotypes was 'zero'.

none of the investigators mentioned in the introduction used three enrichment methods. There were many different types (95) in our material, and this has been noted by other investigators of reptiles (Boycott, Taylor & Douglas, 1953; Darasse, Le Minor & Lecomte, 1959; Zwart *et al.* 1970). We isolated a number of lactose-negative Arizonas, whereas they are often lactose-positive (Cowan & Steel, 1965). It is therefore possible that Arizonas occur more frequently than appears from our results.

It is remarkable that the animals were often carriers of several serotypes. More than half the animals examined individually harboured more than one serotype. It might be expected that if more cultures per animal were investigated even more serotypes might be found. Previously, the occurrence of several serotypes per animal has only been recorded for tortoises (Boycott *et al.* 1953; Dimow, 1964).

The source from which our animals are bought is the same as for private persons, so that the extent of contamination in reptiles bought as domestic pets will be as great as in our material. In order to trace whether in the Netherlands a relation exists between the serotypes of *Salmonella* found in men and in our reptiles we have compared the 15 serotypes occurring most frequently in the two groups (Table 7). It is seen that only *S. newport* is common to both groups. A number of the serotypes found commonly in reptiles were not isolated from men in 1971, and conversely. In Dutch conditions the risk of human infection from reptiles seems to be less than might have been expected. It is remarkable that an isolation for the first time in the Netherlands, *S. sofia* Var. 27, was from a reptile caught in this country, *Lacerta agilis*.

To minimize the risk of infection for our staff and for the other animals at our institute the reptiles are kept isolated. Up to now this way of housing has been successful.

It appears from Table 4 (column 2) that T43 and SB give much better results than T37. In a previous investigation with dogs and cats it was T37 which proved to be the most satisfactory (Koopman & Jansen, 1972*a*). This shows that it is best to use various enrichment methods in parallel when one desires one standard method only for different animal species. In comparing the three enrichment methods by the yield of the different serotypes (Table 4, columns 5 and 6) there is little difference between the various media. This is striking because considerably

Table 6. Serotypes isolated and the frequency with which samples from these serotypes were isolated through the various enrichment methods\*

Serotypes	T37	T43	SB	Serotypes	T37	T43	SB
<i>S. abony</i>	0	0	1	<i>S. poona</i>	0	0	1
<i>S. abony</i> var. <i>haifa</i>	0	0	1	<i>S. potsdam</i>	3	1	3
<i>S. abaetetuba</i>	4	3	0	<i>S. pumila</i>	7	4	8
<i>S. alachua</i>	1	1	0	<i>S. rhône</i>	0	0	2
<i>S. alger</i>	0	1	0	<i>S. rubislaw</i>	0	0	1
<i>S. anatum</i>	1	6	1	<i>S. san diego</i>	1	0	6
<i>S. anecho</i>	3	1	3	<i>S. saphra</i>	7	6	8
<i>S. argentina</i>	3	1	6	<i>S. sendai</i>	1	1	1
<i>S. bairdson</i>	1	1	2	<i>S. sheffield</i>	6	5	3
<i>S. ball</i>	0	0	1	<i>S. siegburg</i>	2	0	0
<i>S. bardo</i>	3	1	4	<i>S. sladun</i>	1	1	1
<i>S. bellville</i>	0	1	0	<i>S. sofia</i>	0	1	1
<i>S. bilthoven</i>	2	4	3	<i>S. sofia</i> var. 27	1	1	1
<i>S. bleedon</i>	0	1	0	<i>S. souza</i>	1	0	0
<i>S. canastel</i>	0	0	1	<i>S. stanleyville</i>	0	1	0
<i>S. carrau</i>	0	2	0	<i>S. suelldorf</i>	1	1	1
<i>S. cerro</i>	0	0	1	<i>S. tosamanga</i>	1	0	0
<i>S. cubana</i>	1	0	1	<i>S. tel-hashomer</i>	1	1	0
<i>S. detroit</i>	0	3	4	<i>S. tennessee</i>	1	1	1
<i>S. florida</i>	0	1	0	<i>S. typhimurium</i> X ORS	0	0	1
<i>S. galiema</i>	1	1	1	<i>S. typhimurium</i> I ORS	1	2	0
<i>S. gaminara</i>	3	4	7	<i>S. typhimurium</i> var. Copenh. XX 652	0	0	1
<i>S. give</i>	0	2	1	<i>S. typhimurium</i> var. Copenh. I ORS	0	1	0
<i>S. greenside</i>	0	0	1	<i>S. uphill</i>	0	0	2
<i>S. halle</i>	1	4	5	<i>S. vaertan</i>	1	0	0
<i>S. havana</i>	6	6	1	<i>S. vejle</i>	0	1	0
<i>S. heidelberg</i>	0	1	0	<i>S. veneziana</i>	0	0	1
<i>S. hillbrow</i>	1	0	1	<i>S. virginia</i>	0	0	1
<i>S. houten</i>	0	0	2	<i>S. warragul</i>	1	0	0
<i>S. huttingfoss</i>	2	2	2	<i>S. wassenaar</i>	1	1	0
<i>S. infantis</i>	0	1	0	<i>S. 30:c, z39</i> (N-group)	2	3	3
<i>S. jodhpur</i>	0	3	0	<i>S. 58a-sub. II</i>	0	1	0
<i>S. johannesburg</i>	0	1	0	<i>S. 44:z4, z23</i> sub. II	0	0	2
<i>S. kottbus</i>	1	6	1	<i>S. B-group</i>	0	0	1
<i>S. langford</i>	1	0	0	<i>S. C1-group</i>	1	1	0
<i>S. lindern</i>	1	0	1	<i>S. F-group</i>	0	1	0
<i>S. luciana</i>	1	0	1	<i>S. H-group</i>	0	1	0
<i>S. marina</i>	1	0	1	<i>S. rough</i>	3	0	0
<i>S. midhurst</i>	1	1	1	<i>Ar. 9abc:24-34</i>	0	0	1
<i>S. muenchen</i>	1	3	1	<i>Ar. 5:13-15</i>	0	1	1
<i>S. napoli</i>	1	0	2	<i>Ar. 24:25-26</i>	0	1	4
<i>S. nashua</i>	1	0	0	<i>Ar. 1, 4:24-38</i>	0	0	1
<i>S. neasden</i>	1	2	1	<i>Ar. 22:1, 2, 5, 6</i>	0	0	1
<i>S. new-brunswick</i>	2	2	1	<i>Ar. 26:32-31</i>	1	1	0
<i>S. newport</i>	5	10	3	<i>Ar. 11:16, 17, 18</i>	2	2	5
<i>S. ngozi</i>	4	3	1	<i>Ar. 26:32-21</i>	0	0	2
<i>S. nima</i>	3	4	0				
<i>S. oranienbrug</i>	7	10	6				
<i>S. pomona</i>	7	16	11				

\* A negative enrichment method yielded 'zero' strains.

Table 7. Comparison of the 15 *Salmonella* serotypes occurring most frequently in men in The Netherlands and the 15 serotypes occurring most frequently in reptiles at the Central Animal Laboratory, Nijmegen, in 1971

	Salmonella serotypes isolated from men	Salmonella serotypes isolated from reptiles
1	<i>typhimurium</i> (+)*	<i>pomona</i> (+)†
2	<i>panama</i> (-)	<i>saphra</i> (-)
3	<i>infantis</i> (+)	<i>oranienburg</i> (+)
4	<i>enteritidis</i> (-)	<i>newport</i> (+)
5	<i>brandenburg</i> (-)	<i>pumila</i> (-)
6	<i>heidelberg</i> (+)	<i>gaminara</i> (+)
7	<i>stanley</i> (-)	<i>havana</i> (+)
8	<i>thompson</i> (-)	<i>halle</i> (-)
9	<i>derby</i> (-)	<i>sheffield</i> (-)
10	<i>agona</i> (-)	30:c, z39 (-)
11	<i>newport</i> (+)	<i>argentina</i> (-)
12	<i>eimsbuettel</i> (-)	<i>bilthoven</i> (-)
13	<i>montevideo</i> (-)	<i>sandiego</i> (+)
14	<i>bredeny</i> (-)	<i>detroit</i> (-)
15	<i>muenchen</i> (+)	<i>bardo</i> (-)

\* +, Isolated from reptiles; - not isolated from reptiles (1971).

† +, Isolated from men; -, not isolated from men (1971).

fewer T37 samples were concerned in the comparison than samples of the other enrichment media.

A total of 104 samples could be used for a direct comparison of the number of serotypes found with the three enrichment methods and their combinations. It appears from this that there is little difference between the three methods. The yield increases as more enrichment methods are used in parallel. It is to be expected that the use of more than three enrichment methods would give a further increase in yield, but this increase would probably not counterbalance the additional work and materials.

When, in the case of the 104 samples, we consider how many times an enrichment method is negative, and how many times one or two serotypes were found from the two isolated strains, it appears that T37 gives the poorest yield, in the sense that this method was the most negative. This was to be expected because this method, in the total results, also showed the lowest yield of positive results.

Although it appears that the affinity for a single type to the three enrichment methods was not equal every time, it was not possible to find a preference of certain serotypes for one of the three enrichment methods.

We have to express our thanks to Mr J. M. de Hulster for the data put at our disposal.

## REFERENCES

- BÖVRE, K. & SANDBU, P. (1959). Salmonella-excreting tortoises in Oslo. *Acta pathologica et microbiologica scandinavica* **46**, 339.
- BOYCOTT, J. A., TAYLOR, J. & DOUGLAS, S. H. (1953). Salmonella in tortoises. *Journal of Pathology and Bacteriology* **65**, 401.
- COWAN, S. T. & STEEL, K. J. (1965). *Manual of the Identification of Medical Bacteria*. Cambridge University Press.
- DARASSE, H., LE MINOR, L. & LECOMTE, M. (1959). Isolement de plusieurs Salmonella dans une eau de distribution: originalité de la contamination. *Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique* **52**, 53.
- DIMOW, I. (1964). Verbreitung und Charakter der fäkalen Salmonella- und Arizona-Dauerauscheidung bei den freilebenden Landschildkröten. Dissertation, Sofia.
- HAMEL, F. A. DE & MCINNES, H. M. (1971). Lizards as vectors of human salmonellosis. *Journal of Hygiene* **69**, 247.
- HINSHAW, W. R. & MCNEIL, E. (1947). Lizards as carriers of Salmonella and Paracolon bacteria. *Journal of Bacteriology* **53**, 715.
- KOOPMAN, J. P. & JANSSEN, F. G. J. (1972a). Eine einfache Methode vom Nachweis von Salmonellen in Hunde- und Katzenkot. *Zeitschrift für Versuchstierkunde* **14**, 65.
- KOOPMAN, J. P. & JANSSEN, F. G. J. (1972b). Das Vorkommen und die Behandlung von Salmonellen-Infektionen beim zu Tierversuchen erworbenen Hunden, Katzen und eignigen anderen Tierarten. *Deutsche Tierärztliche Wochenschrift* **79**, 218.
- LEE, P. E. & MACKERRAS, I. M. (1955). Salmonella infections of Australian native animals. *Australian Journal of Experimental Biology and Medical Science* **33**, 117.
- LEISTNER, L., DEIBEL, R. H., JOHANTGES, J. & NIVEN, C. F. JR (1962). Beitrag zur Methodik des Nachweises von Salmonellen. *Die Fleischwirtschaft* **14**, 1160.
- LUETHGEN, W. & LUCAS, H. (1971). Untersuchungen zum Nachweis von Salmonellen in Taubenkotproben bei Anreicherungs-temperaturen von 37° C und 43° C unter Verwendung verschiedener Anreicherungsmedien. *Deutsche Tierärztliche Wochenschrift* **78**, 188.
- MACKAY, J. P. (1955). Salmonellosis in Dar Es Salaam. *The East African Medical Journal* **32**, 1.
- MILANOV, M., CHILEV, D., PASHEV, S. & SLAVKOV, I. (1966). A reservoir of Salmonella genus in nature. First communication. A study of natural Salmonella foci. *Veterinary Science* **3**, 749.
- MUELLER, W. (1963). Über die Möglichkeit der Verbreitung Salmonellen durch Rieselfeldgras. Vet. med. thesis, Freie Universität, Berlin.
- NITZSCHE, E. (1951). Untersuchungen über die Leistungsfähigkeit des Selenit F Mediums zur Anreicherung von Salmonellen im Vergleich mit der Tetrathionatbouillon. Vet. med. thesis, Justus Liebig Hochschule, Giessen.
- ZWART, P. (1960). Salmonella and Arizona infections in reptiles in the Netherlands. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **26**, 250.
- ZWART, P., POELMA, F. G. & STRIK, W. J. (1970). The distribution of various types of Salmonellae and Arizonas in reptiles. *Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie, Parasitenkunde, Infektionskrankheiten und Hygiene*. I. Abt. (Originale) **213**, 201.