

their patients during treatment. The co-administration of these medications lacks robust clinical evidence, and notably, there is insufficient data regarding its impact on plasma antipsychotic levels, a crucial factor in determining clinical effectiveness.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPV0835

A Comparison of medication management with separate psychotherapy to medication prescribing with psychotherapy

L. Mehl-Madrona^{1*} and B. Mainguy²

¹Native Studies and ²Intermedia, University of Maine, Orono, United States

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.1457

Introduction: Little research has been conducted on outcomes in mental health care by intensity of level of service. Mental health care has evolved in the United States to psychiatrists or psychiatric nurse-practitioners overseeing medications in 15-minute appointments while non-physicians provide the psychotherapy.

Objectives: We wished to compare these two models when one psychiatrist worked in two settings, providing the medication management alone model in one setting and the medication + psychotherapy model in the second setting.

Methods: All patients were seen by the same psychiatrist at (1) a community mental health center (CMHC) and (2) a private practice (PP) providing services to the same type of patients over 2 years. Patients were assessed with the My Medical Outcomes Profile (MYMOP2) and the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS). In the CMHC, patients were seen for a 15 – minute visits every 1 to 3 months. Patients were offered psychotherapy, ranging from 1/2 hour monthly to 1 hour every other week. Some patients received weekly psychotherapy due to an interest by the clinician. In the PP, patients were seen every 1 to 4 weeks by the psychiatrist who also provided psychotherapy when that was desired. Visits ranged from 15 to 75 minutes. Other practitioners could have also provided psychotherapy. Analysis was conducted for patients who completed at least four outcome ratings. Multi-level modeling techniques as implemented in SPSS were used to determine if patients improved over time.

Results: There were no differences in age, socioeconomic status, type of insurance, and type of diagnosis among the two groups. Follow-up occurred for two years. On average, no improvement occurred in outcome measurements in the CMHC setting while statistically significant improvement occurred in the PP setting. The cost of care was statistically significantly greater in the CMHC setting, due to the facility fees billed and collected for each patient (and approved by the government) of \$176 additional per visit.

Conclusions: Further work can be done on establishing minimal levels of service delivery that can produce improvement for large populations in community settings. Since it is unlikely that we can generate control groups of no treatment, perhaps analyses like this one, comparing treatment models, can establish a benchmark from which we can understand the necessary level of treatment. The PP setting may have afforded more attention for patients than the CMHC setting, though at a lower cost to the government. The

psychiatrist believed that he wanted patients to improve equally in both settings, but he could have been more enthusiastic in the setting in which he also did psychotherapy and therefore had better relationships with patients. On the other hand, this may be the point – better relationships with patients may be associated with better outcomes.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPV0836

Psychological vulnerability and problematic psychotropic drug use among medical residents: exploring the relationship

H. Ktari, S. Sellami*, J. Ben Thabet, S. Omri, R. Feki, I. Gassara, N. Smaoui, L. Zouari, M. Maalej, M. Maalej Bouali and N. Charfi Psychiatry C, University Hospital Hedi Chaker, Sfax, Tunisia

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.1458

Introduction: Psychological vulnerability and problematic psychotropic drug use among medical residents are critical and intricate areas of study in the field of healthcare and mental well-being. This topic looks into the potential links between the psychological vulnerabilities experienced by medical residents, which are frequently associated with the demanding nature of their profession, and their use of psychotropic drugs in a way that poses problems or risks. Exploring this relationship is critical for understanding the mental health challenges that medical residents face and developing effective strategies to support their psychological well-being.

Objectives: to identify the psychological factors linked to problematic psychotropic drug use in medical residents.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional descriptive and analytical study among Tunisian medical residents between August and September 2022. We used a self-administered questionnaire with a data collection form, the DAST-10 (Drug Abuse Screening Test) scale, and the DASS-21 (Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale) in an online survey. Data was analyzed using the 20th version of the SPSS software.

Results: The sample consisted of 80 medical residents. Among them, 23.8% (n=19) had reported a previous use of psychotropic drugs, and 15% (n=12) a misuse (without a prescription and/or without following the prescription). The DAST-10 revealed that 6 residents (31.6%) had problematic use of psychotropic drugs.

A high level of stress on the DASS-21 scale was associated with a problematic use (p=0.01) and a misuse (p=0.01) of psychotropic drugs. Furthermore, residents with high stress levels were more likely to demonstrate problematic use of psychotropic drugs (p=0.004). Such problematic use was correlated with personal history of anxiety disorders (p=0.01).

Furthermore, residents with problematic psychotropic drug use had higher anxiety and depression scores on the DASS-21 scale (p>0.05).

Conclusions: Our findings revealed a concerning prevalence of psychotropic drug use among medical residents and an association with high stress levels. This result emphasizes the need for targeted interventions to support young doctors' mental health.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared