



# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

## Twisted gamma filtration of a linear algebraic group

Kirill Zainoulline

Compositio Math. **148** (2012), 1645–1654.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X11007494](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X11007494)



FOUNDATION  
COMPOSITIO  
MATHEMATICA



LONDON  
MATHEMATICAL  
SOCIETY



# Twisted gamma filtration of a linear algebraic group

Kirill Zainoulline

## ABSTRACT

In the present paper we introduce and study the twisted  $\gamma$ -filtration on  $K_0(G_s)$ , where  $G_s$  is a split simple linear algebraic group over a field  $k$  of characteristic prime to the order of the center of  $G_s$ . We apply this filtration to construct nontrivial torsion elements in  $\gamma$ -rings of twisted flag varieties.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over a field  $k$ . Consider the Grothendieck  $\gamma$ -filtration on  $K_0(X)$ . It is given by subgroups (see [SGA6, § 2.3] and [Kar98, § 2])

$$\gamma^i K_0(X) = \langle c_{n_1}(b_1) \cdots c_{n_m}(b_m) \mid n_1 + \cdots + n_m \geq i, b_1, \dots, b_m \in K_0(X) \rangle, \quad i \geq 0$$

generated by products of characteristic classes in  $K_0$ . Let  $\gamma^i(X)$  be the  $i$ th subsequent quotient and let  $\gamma^*(X) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \gamma^i(X)$  be the associated graded ring called the  $\gamma$ -ring of  $X$ .

The ring  $\gamma^*(X)$  was invented by Grothendieck to approximate the topological filtration on  $K_0$  and, hence, the Chow ring  $\text{CH}^*(X)$  of algebraic cycles modulo rational equivalence. Indeed, by the Riemann–Roch theorem (see [SGA6, § 2]) the  $i$ th Chern class  $c_i$  induces an isomorphism with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients, that is,  $c_i : \gamma^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{CH}^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . Moreover, in some cases the ring  $\gamma^*(X)$  can be used to compute  $\text{CH}^*(X)$ , for example  $\gamma^1(X) = \text{CH}^1(X)$ , and there is a surjection  $\gamma^2(X) \rightarrow \text{CH}^2(X)$  (see [Ful98, Example 15.3.6]).

In the present paper, we provide a *uniform lower bound* for the torsion part of  $\gamma^*(X)$ , where  $X = {}_\xi \mathfrak{B}_s$  is a twisted form of the variety of Borel subgroups  $\mathfrak{B}_s$  of a split simple linear algebraic group  $G_s$  by means of a  $G_s$ -torsor  $\xi$ . Note that the groups  $\gamma^2(X)$  and  $\text{CH}^2(X)$  had been studied for  $G_s = \text{PGL}_n$  in [Kar98] and for strongly inner forms in [GZ10]. In particular, it was shown in [GZ10, §§ 3 and 7] that in the strongly inner case the torsion part of  $\gamma^2(X)$  determines the Rost invariant.

Our main tool is the twisted  $\gamma$ -filtration on  $K_0(G_s)$ , where  $G_s$  is a split simple linear algebraic group. Roughly speaking, it is defined to be the image (see Definition 4.3) of the  $\gamma$ -filtration on  $K_0$  of the twisted form  $X$  under the composition  $K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) \rightarrow K_0(G_s)$ , where the first map is given by the restriction and the second map is induced by taking the quotient. The associated graded ring  $\gamma_\xi^*$  of the twisted  $\gamma$ -filtration has the following properties.

(i) It can be explicitly computed (see Theorem 4.5). Observe that  $\gamma_\xi^0 = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gamma_\xi^1 = 0$  and  $\gamma_\xi^i$  is torsion and finitely generated for  $i > 1$ .

---

Received 3 June 2011, accepted in final form 18 October 2011, published online 25 July 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 20G15 (primary), 14C25, 14L30 (secondary).

Keywords: torsor, linear algebraic group, gamma filtration, twisted flag variety.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2012.

(ii) There is a surjective ring homomorphism  $\gamma^*(X) \rightarrow \gamma_\xi^*$ . Hence,  $\gamma_\xi^*$  provides a uniform lower bound for the torsion part of the  $\gamma$ -ring of  $X$ .

(iii) The assignment  $\xi \mapsto \gamma_\xi^*$  respects the base change and, therefore, can be viewed as an invariant of a torsor  $\xi$ .

In the last section, we use these properties to construct nontrivial torsion elements in  $\gamma^2(X)$  for some twisted flag varieties  $X$  (see Examples 5.2 and 5.4). In particular, we establish the connection between the indexes of the Tits algebras of  $\xi$  and the order of the special cycle  $\theta \in \gamma^2(X)$  constructed in [GZ10].

### 2. Preliminaries

In the present section, we recall several basic facts concerning linear algebraic groups, characters and the Grothendieck  $K_0$  (see [KMRT98, § 24] and [GZ10, § 1B and § 6]).

Let  $G_s$  be a split simple linear algebraic group of rank  $n$  over a field  $k$ . We assume that the characteristic of  $k$  is prime to the order of the center of  $G_s$ . We fix a split maximal torus  $T$  and a Borel subgroup  $B$  such that  $T \subset B \subset G_s$ .

Let  $\Lambda_r$  and  $\Lambda$  be the root and the weight lattices of the root system of  $G_s$  with respect to  $T \subset B$ . Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be a set of simple roots (a basis of  $\Lambda_r$ ) and let  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  be the respective set of fundamental weights (a basis of  $\Lambda$ ), that is,  $\alpha_i^\vee(\omega_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . The group of characters  $T^*$  of  $T$  is an intermediate lattice  $\Lambda_r \subset T^* \subset \Lambda$  that determines the isogeny class of  $G_s$ . If  $T^* = \Lambda$ , then the group  $G_s$  is simply connected and if  $T^* = \Lambda_r$  it is adjoint.

Let  $\mathbb{Z}[T^*]$  be the integral group ring of  $T^*$ . Its elements are finite linear combinations  $\sum_i a_i e^{\lambda_i}$ ,  $\lambda_i \in T^*$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}_s$  denote the variety of Borel subgroups  $G_s/B$  of  $G_s$ . Consider the characteristic map for  $K_0$  (see [Dem74, § 2.8])

$$\mathfrak{c} : \mathbb{Z}[T^*] \rightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$$

defined by sending  $e^\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in T^*$ , to the class of the associated line bundle  $[\mathcal{L}(\lambda)]$ . Observe that the ring  $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  does not depend on the isogeny class of  $G_s$  while the group of characters  $T^*$  and, hence, the image of  $\mathfrak{c}$  does.

Since  $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  is generated by the classes  $[\mathcal{L}(\omega_i)]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the characteristic map  $\mathfrak{c}$  is surjective if  $G_s$  is simply connected. If  $G_s$  is adjoint, then the image of  $\mathfrak{c}$  is generated by the classes  $[\mathcal{L}(\alpha_i)]$ , where

$$\alpha_i = \sum_j c_{ij} \omega_j \quad \text{and therefore} \quad \mathcal{L}(\alpha_i) = \otimes_j \mathcal{L}(\omega_j)^{\otimes c_{ij}},$$

and  $c_{ij} = \alpha_i^\vee(\alpha_j)$  are the coefficients of the Cartan matrix of  $G_s$ .

The Weyl group  $W$  of  $G_s$  acts on weights via simple reflections  $s_{\alpha_i}$  as

$$s_{\alpha_i}(\lambda) = \lambda - \alpha_i^\vee(\lambda)\alpha_i, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

For each element  $w \in W$ , we define (cf. [Ste75, § 2.1]) the weight  $\rho_w \in \Lambda$  as

$$\rho_w = \sum_{\{i \in 1, \dots, n \mid w^{-1}(\alpha_i) < 0\}} w^{-1}(\omega_i).$$

In particular, for a simple reflection  $w = s_{\alpha_j}$ , we have

$$\rho_w = \sum_{\{i \in 1, \dots, n \mid s_{\alpha_j}(\alpha_i) < 0\}} s_{\alpha_j}(\omega_i) = s_{\alpha_j}(\omega_j) = \omega_j - \alpha_j.$$

Observe that the quotient  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r$  coincides with the group of characters of the center of the simply connected cover of  $G_s$ . Since  $W$  acts trivially on  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r$ , we have

$$\bar{\rho}_w = \sum_{\{i \in 1, \dots, n \mid w^{-1}(\alpha_i) < 0\}} \bar{\omega}_i \in \Lambda/T^*,$$

where  $\bar{\rho}_w$  denotes the class of  $\rho_w \in \Lambda$  modulo  $T^*$ . In particular,  $\bar{\omega}_i = \bar{\rho}_{s\alpha_i}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W$  denote the subring of  $W$ -invariant elements. Then the integral group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W$ -module with the basis  $\{e^{\rho_w}\}_{w \in W}$  (see [Ste75, Theorem 2.2]). Now let  $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $e^\lambda \mapsto 1$  be the augmentation map. By the Chevalley theorem, the kernel of the surjection  $\mathfrak{c}$  is generated by elements  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W$  such that  $\epsilon(x) = 0$ . Hence, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W} \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] / \ker(\mathfrak{c}) \simeq K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s).$$

So, the elements

$$\{g_w = \mathfrak{c}(e^{\rho_w}) = [\mathcal{L}(\rho_w)]\}_{w \in W}$$

form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  called the Steinberg basis.

Following [Tit71], we associate with each  $\chi \in \Lambda/T^*$  and each cocycle  $\xi \in Z^1(k, G_s)$  the central simple algebra  $A_{\chi, \xi}$  over  $k$  called the Tits algebra. This defines a group homomorphism

$$\beta_\xi : \Lambda/T^* \rightarrow Br(k) \quad \text{with } \beta_\xi(\chi) = [A_{\chi, \xi}].$$

Let  $\mathfrak{B} = {}_\xi \mathfrak{B}_s$  denote the twisted form of the variety of Borel subgroups  $\mathfrak{B}_s$  by means of  $\xi$ . Consider the restriction map on  $K_0$  over the separable closure  $k_{\text{sep}}$ :

$$\text{res} : K_0(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{B} \times_k k_{\text{sep}}) = K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s),$$

where we identify  $K_0(\mathfrak{B} \times_k k_{\text{sep}})$  with  $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ . By [Pan94, Theorem 4.2], the image of the restriction can be identified with the sublattice

$$\langle \iota_w \cdot g_w \rangle_{w \in W},$$

where  $g_w = [\mathcal{L}(\rho_w)]$  is an element of the Steinberg basis and  $\iota_w = \text{ind}(\beta_\xi(\bar{\rho}_w))$  is the index of the respective Tits algebra. Observe that if  $G_s$  is simply connected, then all indexes  $\iota_w$  are trivial and the restriction map becomes an isomorphism.

### 3. The $K_0$ of a split simple (adjoint) group

In the present section, we provide an explicit description of the ring  $K_0(G_s)$  in terms of generators and relations for every simple split linear algebraic group  $G_s$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $\mathfrak{c} : \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \rightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  be the characteristic map for the simply connected cover of  $G_s$ . We define the ring  $\mathfrak{G}_s$  to be the quotient

$$\mathfrak{G}_s := \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda/T^*] / \overline{(\ker \mathfrak{c})}$$

and the surjective ring homomorphism  $q$  to be the composite

$$q : K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] / (\ker \mathfrak{c}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda/T^*] / \overline{(\ker \mathfrak{c})} = \mathfrak{G}_s.$$

Observe that if  $G_s$  is simply connected, then  $\mathfrak{G}_s = \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Remark 3.2.* By [Mer05, Corollary 33] applied to  $X = G_s$  and to the simply connected cover  $G = \hat{G}_s$  of  $G_s$ , there is an isomorphism

$$K_0(G_s) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{R(\hat{G}_s)} K_0(\hat{G}_s, G_s),$$

where  $R(\hat{G}_s) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W$  is the representation ring. By [Mer05, Corollary 5] applied to  $G = \hat{G}_s$ ,  $X = \text{Spec } k$  and  $G/H = G_s$ , there is an isomorphism

$$K_0(\hat{G}_s, G_s) \simeq R(H),$$

where  $R(H) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda/T^*]$  is the representation ring. Therefore,

$$K_0(G_s) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda/T^*] \simeq \mathfrak{G}_s.$$

**LEMMA 3.3.** *The ideal  $\overline{(\ker \mathfrak{c})} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda/T^*]$  is generated by the elements*

$$d_i(1 - e^{\bar{\omega}_i}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $d_i$  is the number of elements in the  $W$ -orbit of the fundamental weight  $\omega_i$ .

*Proof.* By the Chevalley theorem, the subring of invariants  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W$  can be identified with the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n]$ , where

$$\rho_i = \sum_{\lambda \in W(\omega_i)} e^\lambda,$$

where  $W(\omega_i)$  denotes the  $W$ -orbit of the fundamental weight  $\omega_i$ . Since  $d_i = \epsilon(\rho_i)$ , we have  $\ker \mathfrak{c} = (d_1 - \rho_1, \dots, d_n - \rho_n)$ . To finish the proof, note that  $\overline{(d_i - \rho_i)} = d_i(1 - e^{\bar{\omega}_i})$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* Observe that by definition and Lemma 3.3, we have  $\mathfrak{G}_s \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ .

In the following examples, we compute the ring  $\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq K_0(G_s)$  for every simple split linear algebraic group  $G_s$ . We refer to [KMRT98, § 24] for the description of  $\Lambda/T^*$ . Note that in most of the examples provided below,  $\omega_i$  corresponds to a minuscule representation; in this case  $d_i$  is the dimension of the respective fundamental representation that can be found in [Bou05, ch. 8, Table 2].

$\Lambda/T^*$	$G_s, m \geq 1$	Example
$\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, m \geq 2$	$SL_{n+1}/\mu_m$	(3.5)
$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$O_{m+4}^+, PSp_{2m+2}, \text{HSpin}_{4m+4}, E_7^{ad}$	(3.6)
$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\text{PGO}_{4m+4}^+$	(3.7)
$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$E_6^{ad}$	(3.8)
$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$\text{PGO}_{4m+2}^+$	(3.9)

*Example 3.5.* Consider the case  $G_s = SL_{n+1}/\mu_m, m \geq 2$ . The group  $G_s$  has type  $A_n$  and  $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$  is cyclic of order  $m$ . The quotient map  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r \rightarrow \Lambda/T^*$  sends  $\bar{\omega}_i \in \Lambda/\Lambda_r, i = 1, \dots, n$ , to  $(i \bmod m)\sigma \in \Lambda/T^*$ . By Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y]/(1 - (1 - y)^m, a_1y, \dots, a_{m-1}y^{m-1}),$$

where  $y = (1 - e^\sigma)$  and  $a_j = \text{gcd}\{\binom{n+1}{i} \mid i \equiv j \pmod m, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . In particular, for  $G_s = SL_p/\mu_p = PGL_p$ , where  $p$  is a prime, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y]/\left(\binom{p}{1}y, \binom{p}{2}y^2, \dots, \binom{p}{p-1}y^{p-1}, y^p\right).$$

*Example 3.6.* Assume that  $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$  has order two. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^2 - 2y, dy),$$

where  $y = (1 - e^\sigma)$  and  $d$  denotes the greatest common divisor (g.c.d.) of the  $d_i$  corresponding to the  $\omega_i$  with  $\bar{\omega}_i = \sigma$ . The integer  $d$  can be determined as follows.

*B<sub>n</sub>.* We have  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \bar{\omega}_n\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , which corresponds to the adjoint group  $G_s = O_{2n+1}^+$ . Since  $\bar{\omega}_i = 0$  for each  $i \neq n$ , we have  $d = d_n = 2^n$ .

*C<sub>n</sub>.* We have  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_3 = \dots\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , that is,  $G_s = PSp_{2n}$ . Since  $\bar{\omega}_i = 0$  for even  $i$ , we have  $d = \text{g.c.d.}(d_1, d_3, \dots)$ .

*D<sub>n</sub>.* If  $n$  is odd, then  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \bar{\omega}_{n-1}, \bar{\omega}_1, \bar{\omega}_n\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\bar{\omega}_1 = 2\bar{\omega}_{n-1} = 2\bar{\omega}_n$ . Therefore,  $\Lambda/T^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  if it is a quotient of  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r$  modulo the subgroup  $\{0, \bar{\omega}_1\}$ . In this case,  $\Lambda/T^* = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_{n-1} = \bar{\omega}_n\}$ , which corresponds to the special orthogonal group  $G_s = O_{2n}^+$ . Since  $\bar{\omega}_s = s\bar{\omega}_1$  for  $2 \leq s \leq n - 2$  and  $\bar{\omega}_1 = 0$  in  $\Lambda/T^*$ , we have  $d = \text{g.c.d.}(d_{n-1}, d_n) = 2^{n-1}$ .

If  $n$  is even, then  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \bar{\omega}_{n-1}\} \oplus \{0, \bar{\omega}_n\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_{n-1} + \bar{\omega}_n$ . In this case, we have two cases for  $\Lambda/T^*$ .

(i) It is the quotient of  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r$  modulo the diagonal subgroup  $\{0, \bar{\omega}_{n-1} + \bar{\omega}_n\}$ . Then  $\Lambda/T^* = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_{n-1} = \bar{\omega}_n\}$ ,  $G_s = O_{2n}^+$  and  $d$  is the same as in the odd case, that is,  $d = 2^{n-1}$ .

(ii) It is the quotient modulo one of the factors, for example  $\Lambda/T^* = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_{n-1}\}$ , where  $\bar{\omega}_n = 0$ . Then  $G_s = \text{HSpin}_{2n}$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_3 = \dots = \bar{\omega}_{n-1}$  and  $\bar{\omega}_i = 0$  if  $i$  is even. Therefore,  $d = \text{g.c.d.}(d_1, d_3, \dots, d_{n-1}) = 2^{v_2(n)+1}$ , where  $v_2(n)$  denotes the 2-adic valuation of  $n$ .

*E<sub>7</sub>.* We have  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_7 = \bar{\omega}_5 = \bar{\omega}_2\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_3 = \bar{\omega}_4 = \bar{\omega}_6 = 0$ . Therefore,  $d = \text{g.c.d.}(d_7, d_5, d_2) = 8$ .

*Example 3.7.* Assume that  $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle \oplus \langle \sigma_2 \rangle$ , where  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are of order two. In this case,  $G_s = \text{PGO}_{2n}^+$  is an adjoint group ( $T^* = \Lambda_r$ ) of type  $D_n$  with  $n$  even. We have  $\sigma_1 = \bar{\omega}_{n-1}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \bar{\omega}_n$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_s = s\bar{\omega}_1$ ,  $2 \leq s \leq n - 2$ ,  $2\bar{\omega}_1 = 0$  and  $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_{n-1} + \bar{\omega}_n$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2]/(y_1^2 - 2y_1, y_2^2 - 2y_2, a_1y_1, a_2y_2, a(y_1 + y_2 - y_1y_2)),$$

where  $y_1 = (1 - e^{\sigma_1})$  and  $y_2 = (1 - e^{\sigma_2})$ ;  $a_1$  (respectively  $a_2$ ) is the greatest common divisor of the  $d_i$  with  $\bar{\omega}_i = \bar{\omega}_{n-1}$  (respectively  $\bar{\omega}_i = \bar{\omega}_n$ ), that is,  $a_1 = a_2 = 2^{n-1}$ ; and  $a = \text{gcd}(d_1, d_3, \dots, d_{n-3})$ . In particular, for  $G_s = \text{PGO}_8^+$ , we obtain

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2]/(y_1^2 - 2y_1, y_2^2 - 2y_2, 8y_1, 8y_2).$$

*Example 3.8.* Assume that  $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$  has order three. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^3 - 3y^2 + 3y, a_1y, a_2y^2),$$

where  $y = (1 - e^\sigma)$  and  $a_1$  (respectively  $a_2$ ) is the greatest common divisor of the  $d_i$  with  $\bar{\omega}_i = \sigma$  (respectively  $\bar{\omega}_i = 2\sigma$ ). For the adjoint group of type  $E_6$ , we have  $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_5, 2\sigma = \bar{\omega}_3 = \bar{\omega}_6\}$  with  $\bar{\omega}_2 = \bar{\omega}_4 = 0$ . Therefore,  $a_1 = a_2 = 27$ .

*Example 3.9.* Assume that  $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$  has order four. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^4 - 4y^3 + 6y^2 - 4y, a_1y, a_2y^2, a_3y^3),$$

where  $y = (1 - e^\sigma)$ . For the group  $\text{PGO}_{2n}^+$  where  $n$  is odd, we have  $\sigma = \bar{\omega}_{n-1}$ ,  $2\sigma = \bar{\omega}_1$  and  $3\sigma = \bar{\omega}_n$ . Therefore,  $a_1 = a_3 = 2^{n-1}$  and  $a_2 = \text{g.c.d.}(d_1, d_3, \dots, d_{n-2})$ .

#### 4. The twisted $\gamma$ -filtration

In the present section, we introduce and study the twisted  $\gamma$ -filtration.

Let  $\gamma = \ker \epsilon$  denote the augmentation ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ . It is generated by the differences

$$\langle (1 - e^{-\lambda}), \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle.$$

Consider the  $\gamma$ -adic filtration on  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ :

$$\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] = \gamma^0 \supseteq \gamma \supseteq \gamma^2 \supseteq \dots$$

The  $i$ th power  $\gamma^i$  is generated by products of at least  $i$  differences.

DEFINITION 4.1. We define the filtration on  $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  (respectively on  $\mathfrak{G}_s$ ) to be the image of the  $\gamma$ -adic filtration on  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$  via  $\mathfrak{c}$  (respectively via  $q$ ), that is,

$$\gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) := \mathfrak{c}(\gamma^i) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^i \mathfrak{G}_s := q(\gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)), \quad i \geq 0.$$

So, we have a commutative diagram of surjective group homomorphisms.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma^i & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} & \gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow q \\ & & \gamma^i \mathfrak{G}_s \end{array}$$

LEMMA 4.2. The  $\gamma$ -filtration on  $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  coincides with the filtration introduced in Definition 4.1.

*Proof.* Since  $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  is generated by the classes of line bundles,

$$\gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) = \langle c_1([\mathcal{L}_1]) \cdots c_1([\mathcal{L}_m]) \mid m \geq i, \mathcal{L}_j \in K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) \rangle,$$

where  $c_1$  is the first characteristic class in  $K_0$ . Moreover, each line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is the associated bundle  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$  for some character  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Therefore,  $c_1([\mathcal{L}]) = 1 - [\mathcal{L}^\vee] = \mathfrak{c}(1 - e^{-\lambda})$  (see [Dem74, § 2.8]).  $\square$

DEFINITION 4.3. Given a  $G_s$ -torsor  $\xi \in H^1(k, G_s)$  and the respective twisted form  $\mathfrak{B} = \xi \mathfrak{B}_s$ , we define the twisted filtration on  $\mathfrak{G}_s$  to be the image of the  $\gamma$ -filtration on  $K_0(\mathfrak{B})$  via the composite  $\text{res} \circ q$ , that is,

$$\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s := q(\text{res}(\gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}))), \quad i \geq 0.$$

Let  $\gamma_\xi^{i/i+1} \mathfrak{G}_s = \gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s / \gamma_\xi^{i+1} \mathfrak{G}_s$  denote the  $i$ th subsequent quotient. The associated graded ring  $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \gamma_\xi^{i/i+1} \mathfrak{G}_s$  will be called the  $\gamma$ -invariant of the torsor  $\xi$  and will be denoted simply as  $\gamma_\xi^*$ .

Remark 4.4. Note that the Chern classes commute with restrictions; therefore, the restriction map  $\text{res} : \gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  is well defined. By definition, there is a surjective ring homomorphism

$$\gamma^*(\mathfrak{B}) \twoheadrightarrow \gamma_\xi^*.$$

THEOREM 4.5. *The twisted filtration  $\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s$  can be computed as follows:*

$$\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s = \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{\text{ind}(\beta_\xi(\bar{\rho}_{w_j}))}{n_j} (1 - e^{-\bar{\rho}_{w_j}})^{n_j} \mid n_1 + \dots + n_m \geq i, w_j \in W \right\rangle.$$

*Proof.* Since the characteristic classes commute with restrictions, the image of the restriction  $\text{res} : \gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  is generated by the products

$$\langle c_{n_1}(\iota_{w_1} g_{w_1}) \cdots c_{n_m}(\iota_{w_m} g_{w_m}) \mid n_1 + \dots + n_m \geq i, w_1, \dots, w_m \in W \rangle,$$

where  $\{\iota_{w_j}\}$  are the indexes of the respective Tits algebras. Applying the Whitney formula for the characteristic classes [Ful98, § 3.2], we obtain

$$c_j(\iota_w g_w) = \binom{\iota_w}{j} c_1(g_w)^j.$$

Therefore,  $q(\binom{\iota_w}{j} c_1(g_w)^j) = \binom{\iota_w}{j} (1 - e^{-\bar{\rho}_w})^j$ , where  $\iota_w = \text{ind}(\beta_\xi(\bar{\rho}_w))$ . □

*Example 4.6.* Since  $\gamma^0(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma^1(X) = \text{Pic}(X)$  is torsion free for every smooth projective  $X$ , we obtain that  $\gamma_\xi^0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma_\xi^1 = 0$  for any  $\xi$ .

*Example 4.7* (Strongly inner case). If  $\beta_\xi = 0$ , then  $\binom{\iota_{w_j}}{n_j} = 1$  and  $\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s = \gamma^i \mathfrak{G}_s$ .

*Example 4.8* ( $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -case). As in Example 3.6, assume that  $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$  has order two and  $\beta_\xi \neq 0$ . Then there is only one non-split Tits algebra  $A = A_{\sigma, \xi}$  and it has exponent 2. Let  $i_A = v_2(\text{ind}(A))$  denote the 2-adic valuation of the index of  $A$ . By definition, we have

$$\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s = \left\langle \binom{2^{i_A}}{n_1} \cdots \binom{2^{i_A}}{n_m} 2^{n_1 + \dots + n_m - 1} y \mid n_1 + \dots + n_m \geq i \right\rangle$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^2 - 2y, dy)$ , where  $y = 1 - e^\sigma$  and  $d$  is given in Example 3.6. Observe that modulo the relation  $y^2 = 2y$  these ideals are generated by (for  $j \geq 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\xi^{2j-1} \mathfrak{G}_s &= \gamma_\xi^{2j} \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{2j-1} y \rangle && \text{if } i_A = 1, \\ \gamma_\xi^{4j-3} \mathfrak{G}_s &= \gamma_\xi^{4j-2} \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{4j-2} y \rangle, \gamma_\xi^{4j-1} \mathfrak{G}_s = \gamma_\xi^{4j} \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{4j-1} y \rangle && \text{if } i_A = 2, \\ \gamma_\xi^1 \mathfrak{G}_s &= \gamma_\xi^2 \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{i_A} y \rangle, \gamma_\xi^3 \mathfrak{G}_s = \gamma_\xi^4 \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{i_A+1} y \rangle, \gamma_\xi^5 \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{i_A+4} y \rangle, \dots && \text{if } i_A > 2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking these generators modulo the relation  $dy = 0$ , we obtain the following formulas for the second quotient  $\gamma_\xi^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } i_A = 1, \text{ then } \gamma_\xi^2 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_2(d) \leq 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } v_2(d) = 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } v_2(d) \geq 3, \end{cases} \\ \text{if } i_A > 1, \text{ then } \gamma_\xi^2 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_2(d) \leq i_A, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } v_2(d) > i_A. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Example 4.9* ( $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  case). Following Example 3.7, we assume that  $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle \oplus \langle \sigma_2 \rangle$ , where  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  have order two. This is the case for the adjoint group  $\text{PGO}_{2n}^+$  where  $n$  is even [KMRT98, § 25]. Assume that  $n = 4$ , which corresponds to the group of type  $D_4$ , that is,  $\text{PGO}_8^+$ . Let  $C^+$  and  $C^-$  denote the Tits algebras corresponding to the generators  $\sigma_1 = \bar{\omega}_3$  and  $\sigma_2 = \bar{\omega}_4$ .



Let  $A$  denote the Tits algebra corresponding to the sum  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ . (Note that  $C^+ \times C^-$  is the even part of the Clifford algebra of the algebra with involution  $A$  and  $[A] = [C^+ \otimes C^-]$  in  $Br(k)$ .)

By definition, we have in  $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2]$  that

$$\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s = \left\langle \binom{\text{ind } C_+}{n_1} y_1^{n_1} \cdot \binom{\text{ind } C_-}{n_2} y_2^{n_2} \cdot \binom{\text{ind } A}{n_3} (y_1 + y_2 - y_1 y_2)^{n_3} \mid n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \geq i \right\rangle.$$

Modulo the relations  $(y_1^2 - 2y_1, y_2^2 - 2y_2, 8y_1, 8y_2)$ , we obtain that

$$\gamma_\xi^2 \mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \frac{(\text{ind } C_+) \mathbb{Z}}{8\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{(\text{ind } C_-) \mathbb{Z}}{8\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{(\text{ind } A) \mathbb{Z}}{8\mathbb{Z}}.$$

### 5. Torsion in the $\gamma$ -filtration

In the present section, we show how the twisted  $\gamma$ -filtration can be used to construct nontrivial torsion elements in the  $\gamma$ -ring of the twisted form  $\mathfrak{B}$  of a variety of Borel subgroups. For simplicity, we consider only the case of  $G_s$  (see Examples 3.6 and 4.8) with  $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$  of order two.

Let  $d$  denote the greatest common divisor of dimensions of fundamental representations corresponding to  $\sigma$ . Given a  $G_s$ -torsor  $\xi \in H^1(k, G_s)$ , let  $i_A$  denote the 2-adic valuation of the index of the Tits algebra  $A = A_{\sigma, \xi}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \xi \mathfrak{B}_s$  denote the twisted form of the variety of Borel subgroups of  $G_s$  by means of  $\xi$ . Consider the respective twisted filtration  $\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s$  on  $\mathfrak{G}_s$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *Assume that  $v_2(d) > i_A \geq 3$ . Then, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $\bar{\lambda} = \sigma$ , there exists a nontrivial torsion element of order two in  $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$ . Moreover, its image in  $\gamma_\xi^2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$  (via  $q$ ) is nontrivial and in  $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  (via  $\text{res}$ ) is trivial.*

*Proof.* The proof of this result was inspired by the proof of [Kar98, Proposition 4.13].

Let  $g = [\mathcal{L}(\lambda)]$  denote the class of the associated line bundle. Using the formula for the first Chern class of a tensor product of line bundles for  $K_0$ , we obtain

$$c_1(g)^2 = 2c_1(g) - c_1(g^2).$$

Hence,

$$c_1(g)^4 = (2c_1(g) - c_1(g^2))^2 = 4c_1(g)^2 - 4c_1(g)c_1(g^2) + c_1(g^2)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\eta = 4c_1(g)^3 - c_1(g)^4 = 4c_1(g)^2 - c_1(g^2)^2 \in \gamma^3 K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s).$$

We claim that the class of  $2^{i_A-3} \eta$  gives the desired torsion element.

Indeed,  $c_1(g^2) = c_1([\mathcal{L}(2\lambda)])$ . Since  $2\lambda \in T^*$ ,  $[\mathcal{L}(2\lambda)] \in \mathfrak{c}(T^*)$  and, therefore, by [GZ12, Corollary 3.1],  $c_1(g^2) \in \gamma^1 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$ . Moreover, we have  $2^{i_A-1} c_1(g)^2 = c_2(2^{i_A} g)$ , where  $2^{i_A} g \in K_0(\mathfrak{B})$ . Hence,  $2^{i_A-1} c_1(g)^2 \in \gamma^2 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$ . Combining these together, we obtain that  $2^{i_A-3} \eta \in \gamma^2 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$ .

Now, since  $2^{i_A-3} \eta \in \gamma^2 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$ , its image in  $\gamma_\xi^2 \mathfrak{G}_s$  can be computed as

$$q(2^{i_A-3} \eta) = 2^{i_A-3} q(\eta) = 2^{i_A-1} q(c_1(g)^2) = 2^{i_A-1} (1 - e^{-\sigma})^2 = 2^{i_A} y.$$

But  $q(2^{i_A-3} \eta) \notin \gamma_\xi^3 \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{i_A+1} y \rangle$ . Therefore,  $2^{i_A-3} \eta \notin \gamma^3 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$ .

Since  $2^{i_A-2} \eta = 2^{i_A} c_1(g)^3 + 2^{i_A-2} c_1(g)^4$  is in  $\gamma^3 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$ , the class of  $2^{i_A-3} \eta$  gives the desired torsion element of order two. □

*Example 5.2.* Let  $G_s = \text{HSpin}_{2n}$  be a half-spin group of rank  $n \geq 4$ . So,  $G_s$  is of type  $D_n$ , where  $n$  is even,  $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma = \bar{\omega}_1 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and, according to Example 3.6, we have  $d = 2^{v_2(n)+1}$ .

Let  $\xi \in H^1(k, G_s)$  be a nontrivial torsor. Then there is only one Tits algebra  $A = A_{\sigma, \xi}$ ; it has exponent 2 and index  $2^{i_A}$  such that  $i_A \leq v_2(n) + 1$ .

Recall that each such torsor corresponds to an algebra with orthogonal involution  $(A, \delta)$  with trivial discriminant and trivial component of the Clifford algebra. The respective twisted form  $\mathfrak{B} = {}_\xi \mathfrak{B}_s$  then corresponds to the variety of Borel subgroups of the group  $\text{PGO}^+(A, \delta)$ . Applying Proposition 5.1 to this situation, we obtain that for any such algebra  $(A, \delta)$  where  $8 \mid \text{ind}(A)$  and  $A$  is non-division, there exists a nontrivial torsion element of order two in  $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$  that vanishes over a splitting field of  $(A, \delta)$ .

LEMMA 5.3. *The  $\gamma$ -filtration on  $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  is generated by the first Chern classes  $c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_i)])$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , that is,*

$$\gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) = \left\langle \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_j)]) \mid \text{the number of elements in the product} \geq i \right\rangle.$$

In particular, the second quotient  $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  is additively generated by the products

$$\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B}_s) = \langle c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_i)])c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_j)]) \mid i, j \in 1, \dots, n \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Each  $b \in K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  can be written as a linear combination  $b = \sum_{w \in W} a_w g_w$ . Therefore, any Chern class of  $b$  can be expressed in terms of  $c_1(g_w)$ .

Each  $\rho_w$  can be written uniquely as a linear combination of fundamental weights  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ . Therefore, by the formula for the Chern class of the tensor product of line bundles [CPZ10, 8.2], each  $c_1(g_w)$  can be expressed in terms of  $c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_i)])$ .  $\square$

Example 5.4. Let  $G_s$  be an adjoint group of type  $E_7$  and let  $\xi \in H^1(k, G_s)$  be a nontrivial  $G_s$ -torsor. Then there is only one non-split Tits algebra  $A = A_{\sigma, \xi}$  of exponent 2 and  $i_A \leq 3$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B} = {}_\xi \mathfrak{B}_s$  be the respective twisted flag variety.

By Lemma 5.3, any element of  $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$  can be written as

$$x = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_i)])c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_j)]) \in \gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$$

for certain coefficients  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\sigma = \bar{\omega}_7 = \bar{\omega}_5 = \bar{\omega}_2$  and  $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_3 = \bar{\omega}_4 = \bar{\omega}_6 = 0$ , we obtain that

$$q(x) = C \cdot 2y \in \gamma_\xi^2, \quad \text{where } C = a_{25} + a_{27} + a_{57} + a_{22} + a_{55} + a_{77}.$$

Therefore,  $q(x) \neq 0$  in  $\gamma_\xi^2$  if and only if  $4 \nmid C$  and  $i_A \leq 2$ .

Consider the class  $\mathfrak{c}(\theta) \in \gamma^2 K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$  of the special cycle  $\theta$  constructed in [GZ10, Definition 3.3]. Note that the image of  $\theta$  in  $CH^2(\mathfrak{B})$  can be viewed as a generalization of the Rost invariant for split adjoint groups (see [GZ10, § 6]).

If  $i_A = 1$ , then, by [GZ10, Proposition 6.5], we know that  $\mathfrak{c}(\theta) \in \gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$  is a nontrivial torsion element. If  $i_A = 2$ , then, following the proof of [GZ10, Proposition 6.5], we obtain that  $2\mathfrak{c}(\theta) \in \gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$ .

We claim that if  $i_A \leq 2$ , then  $x = 2\mathfrak{c}(\theta)$  is nontrivial. Indeed, in this case  $4 \nmid C = a_{22} + a_{55} + a_{77} = 6$ ; therefore, we have  $q(x) \neq 0$ , and  $x \neq 0$  in  $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$ . In particular, this shows that for  $i_A = 1$  the order of the special cycle  $\theta$  in  $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$  is divisible by 4.

Example 5.5. Let  $\xi \in H^1(k, \text{PGO}_8^+)$ . Applying the same arguments as in Example 5.4 to Example 4.9, we obtain that if  $\text{ind}(A), \text{ind}(C_+), \text{ind}(C_-) \leq 4$ , then  $2\mathfrak{c}(\theta) \in \gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$  is nontrivial.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Alexander Merkurjev for pointing out Remark 3.2. This work has been supported by NSERC Discovery 385795, DAS 396100 and ERA grants.

## REFERENCES

- Bou05 N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras*, in *Elements of Mathematics* (Springer, Berlin, 2005), chs 7–9.
- CPZ10 B. Calmès, V. Petrov and K. Zainoulline, Invariants, torsion indices and oriented cohomology of complete flags, Preprint (2010), arXiv:0905.1341.
- Dem74 M. Demazure, *Désingularisation des variétés de Schubert généralisées*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. **7** (1974), 53–88.
- GZ10 S. Garibaldi and K. Zainoulline, *The  $\gamma$ -filtration and the Rost invariant*, Preprint (2010), arXiv.org 1007.3482.
- GZ12 S. Gille and K. Zainoulline, *Equivariant pretheories and invariants of torsors*, Transform. Groups **17** (2012), 471–498.
- SGA6 A. Grothendieck, *Exposé 0*, in *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann–Roch (SGA6)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 225 (Springer, 1971).
- Ful98 W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second edition, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) (Springer, Berlin, 1998).
- Kar98 N. Karpenko, *Codimension 2 cycles on Severi–Brauer varieties*, K-Theory J. **13** (1998), 305–330.
- KMRT98 M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 44 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998).
- Mer05 A. Merkurjev, *Equivariant K-theory*, in *Handbook of K-theory*, vols. 1, 2 (Springer, Berlin, 2005), 925–954.
- Pan94 I. Panin, *On the algebraic K-theory of twisted flag varieties*, K-Theory J. **8** (1994), 541–585.
- Ste75 R. Steinberg, *On a theorem of Pittie*, Topology **14** (1975), 173–177.
- Tit71 J. Tits, *Représentations linéaires irréductibles d'un groupe réductif sur un corps quelconque*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **247** (1971), 196–220.

Kirill Zainoulline kirill@uottawa.ca

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, 585 King Edward,  
Ottawa, ON K1N6N5, Canada