

Corrigendum

Contested evidence: a Dutch reimbursement decision taken to court – CORRIGENDUM

FLOORTJE MOES, EDDY HOUWAART, DIANA DELNOIJ AND KLASIEN HORSTMAN

doi:10.1017/S1744133116000281, published online by Cambridge University Press 15 November 2016.

Errors were made on page 8 under the heading 5. Contested evidence. The first sentence should read 'In 2011, increase in the price of a specific brand of bladder instillations (Uracyst) attracted the attention of insurance companies.'

Reference

Moes, F., E. Houwaart, D. Delnoij and K. Horstman (2016), 'Contested evidence: a Dutch reimbursement decision taken to court', Published Online 15 November 2016. doi: 10.1017/S1744133116000281.