

this matter his chief opponent is Hume in Section X of the first *Enquiry*. Larmer rejects Flew's (and my) interpretation of the first part of this chapter as a *check* on superstition rather than an *a priori* proof of the impossibility of miracles. The interpretation is perhaps debatable as an interpretation of Hume, but Larmer's debating leads him to underestimate the force of the Flew-Gaskin interpretation as a critical argument in its own right. What we have argued (whether representing Hume's intentions correctly does not for the moment matter) is that a well investigated belief that events of a certain sort simply do not happen in the ordinary course of nature makes one very sceptical about reports that such events have in fact occurred. Larmer is so myopic about this that he even finds fault (p. 95) with Swinburne's admission that 'our contemporary understanding of what things are physically impossible or possible' acts as a restraint upon what we can accept as well evidenced.

In chapter 4 Larmer effectively disposes of a number of article critics of miracles including McKinnon, Nowell-Smith and Robinson, but at the end of chapter 4 his dismissal of the four so-called *a posteriori* arguments in part 2 of Hume's famous chapter is lamentably superficial: 'This argument has little to recommend it' (p. 105). 'Again this is a very weak argument' (p. 106). His comment (p. 109) concerning the Contrary Miracles Argument suggests both that he has not read the secondary literature and that his historical perspective is somewhat limited: 'very few theologians would be prepared to suggest that God is not active in religion other than Christianity'.

As a whole philosophers interested in the logical mapwork of miracles will find a lot to chew over in this book and Hume scholars some things to reject. Christian apologists would perhaps be better advised to rest their case on the stronger foundation suggested by Swinburne in *The Concept of Miracle* (Macmillan, 1970).

J.C.A. GASKIN

**STUDIES IN CHRISTIAN ETHICS, Vol 1, 1988, T & T Clark, Edinburgh. (£6.95 for subscribers, £7.50 for individual volumes).**

Although theological journals are numerous and no reader can keep abreast of all of them, not all branches of theology are equally well served. This new journal fills a gap. It is devoted to Christian ethics and moral theology and is ecumenical. It is the child of the Society for the Study of Christian Ethics, an ecumenical society which brings together people of all Christian denominations who have an interest, whether academic or practical, in this field. The society meets annually to listen to and discuss papers on topics of mutual interest. To begin with the journal will appear once a year and will be related to the theme of the annual meeting of the society. The first issue is devoted to Christian ethics in the context of ecumenical dialogue. A great deal of dialogue has focussed on doctrinal and institutional issues, little on obstacles to unity which might arise because of differences in moral teaching or method. Four papers by internationally known scholars, each from a different communion, address aspects of this theme. The scholars are Richard McCormick, a Roman Catholic; Dietrich Ritschl, a Lutheran; John Howard Yoder, a Mennonite; and Oliver O'Donovan, an Anglican. Their papers were first read to the Society at its 1987 meeting. As well as the main articles there are substantial book reviews. So the journal has got off to a good start and promises well for the future.

BRENDAN SOANE