

# Primary plastic polymers: Urgently needed upstream reduction

## Editorial

**Cite this article:** Baztan J, Jorgensen B, Carney Almroth B, Bergmann M, Farrelly T, Muncke J, Syberg K, Thompson R, Boucher J, Olsen T, Álava J-J, Aragaw TA, Bailly D, Jain A, Bartolotta J, Castillo A, Collins T, Cordier M, De-Falco F, Deeney M, Fernandez M, Gall S, Gammage T, Ghiglione J-F, Gündoğdu S, Hansen T, Issifu I, Knoblauch D, Wang M, Kvale K, Monsaingeon B, Moon S, Morales-Caselles C, Reynaud S, Rodríguez-Seijo A, Stoett P, Varea R, Velis C, Villarrubia-Gómez P and Wagner M (2024). Primary plastic polymers: Urgently needed upstream reduction. *Cambridge Prisms: Plastics*, 2, e7, 1–2 <https://doi.org/10.1017/plc.2024.8>

### Keywords:

Plastic production reduction; plastic pollution; primary plastic polymers

### Corresponding author:

Juan Baztan;  
Email: [juan.baztan@uvsq.fr](mailto:juan.baztan@uvsq.fr)

Juan Baztan<sup>1</sup> , Bethany Jorgensen<sup>2</sup> , Bethanie Carney Almroth<sup>3</sup> , Melanie Bergmann<sup>4</sup>, Trisia Farrelly<sup>5</sup> , Jane Muncke<sup>6</sup> , Kristian Syberg<sup>7</sup>, Richard Thompson<sup>8</sup>, Justin Boucher<sup>6</sup>, Tara Olsen<sup>9</sup>, Juan-José Álava<sup>10</sup>, Tadele Assefa Aragaw<sup>11</sup>, Denis Bailly<sup>12</sup>, Aanchal Jain<sup>12</sup>, Jill Bartolotta<sup>13</sup>, Arturo Castillo<sup>14</sup>, Terrence Collins<sup>15</sup>, Mateo Cordier<sup>1</sup> , Francesca De-Falco<sup>8</sup> , Megan Deeney<sup>16</sup>, Marina Fernandez<sup>17</sup>, Sarah Gall<sup>8</sup>, Tom Gammage<sup>18</sup>, Jean-François Ghiglione<sup>19</sup>, Sedat Gündoğdu<sup>20</sup>, Teis Hansen<sup>21</sup>, Ibrahim Issifu<sup>10</sup>, Doris Knoblauch<sup>22</sup> , Melissa Wang<sup>23</sup>, Karin Kvale<sup>24</sup>, Baptiste Monsaingeon<sup>25</sup>, Sangcheol Moon<sup>26</sup>, Carmen Morales-Caselles<sup>27</sup>, Stephanie Reynaud<sup>28</sup>, Andrés Rodríguez-Seijo<sup>29</sup>, Peter Stoett<sup>30</sup> , Rufino Varea<sup>31</sup>, Costas Velis<sup>32</sup>, Patricia Villarrubia-Gómez<sup>33</sup> and Martin Wagner<sup>34</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CEARC Laboratory, Versailles SQY University, Paris Saclay Component, France; <sup>2</sup>Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA; <sup>3</sup>University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden; <sup>4</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany; <sup>5</sup>Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand; <sup>6</sup>Food Packaging Forum Foundation, Zürich, Switzerland; <sup>7</sup>Roskilde University, Roskilde, Denmark; <sup>8</sup>University of Plymouth, Plymouth, UK; <sup>9</sup>Lund University, Lund, Sweden; <sup>10</sup>University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; <sup>11</sup>Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia; <sup>12</sup>Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest, France; <sup>13</sup>The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA; <sup>14</sup>Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands; <sup>15</sup>Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA; <sup>16</sup>London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK; <sup>17</sup>Instituto de Biología y Medicina Experimental, Buenos Aires, Argentina; <sup>18</sup>James Cook University, Townsville, Australia; <sup>19</sup>CNRS, Sorbonne University, Paris, France; <sup>20</sup>Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey; <sup>21</sup>University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; <sup>22</sup>Ecologic Institute, Berlin, Germany; <sup>23</sup>University of Exeter, Exeter, UK; <sup>24</sup>GNS Science, Lower Hutt, New Zealand; <sup>25</sup>University of Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France; <sup>26</sup>University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, USA; <sup>27</sup>Cádiz University, Cádiz, Spain; <sup>28</sup>Pau University, Pau, France; <sup>29</sup>Vigo University, Vigo, Spain; <sup>30</sup>University of Ontario, Oshawa, Canada; <sup>31</sup>University of the South Pacific, Suva, Fiji; <sup>32</sup>University of Leeds, Leeds, UK; <sup>33</sup>Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm, Sweden and <sup>34</sup>Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

The fourth session of the United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP) Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee (INC-4) to develop a legally binding global Plastics Treaty takes place in Ottawa, Canada, at the end of April 2024. As Inger Andersen (UNEP Executive Director) reminded us in her opening plenary remarks at INC-3:

the resolution called for an instrument that is, and I quote: “Based on a comprehensive approach that addresses the full life cycle of plastic.” Not a plastic instrument that deals with plastic pollution from recycling or waste management alone, the full life cycle and this means rethinking everything along the chain from polymer to production, from product to packaging. We need to use fewer virgin materials, less plastic and no harmful chemicals. We need to ensure that we use and reuse, and recycle resources more efficiently. And dispose safely of what is left over. This is how we protect human and ecosystem health...

Plastics production doubled between 2000 and 2019, with 460 million tonnes produced in 2019 alone (OECD, 2022). With cumulative production reaching 10,000 million tonnes in 2020, we have a growing body of evidence about the harmful impacts of plastics on human and environmental health and climate (Cabernard et al., 2022; Muncke et al., 2023; Trasande et al., 2024). The evidence base shows that due to the persistent and cumulative nature of plastic pollution (Geyer et al., 2017), plastic removal and recycling technologies cannot keep pace with the scale of the global plastic pollution crisis (e.g., Borrelle et al., 2020; Lau et al., 2020; OECD, 2022). Even if plastics production is reduced between the range of 1%–3% per year, global plastic pollution will continue its upward trend as cumulative production reaches at least 20,000 million metric tonnes of plastic by 2040 (Scientists’ Coalition, 2024a). In light of this, we call for an ambitious global reduction target for “virgin plastics” or primary plastic polymers (PPP), defined as “plastic materials made of synthetic and semi-synthetic polymers that are used for the first time to create plastic products in any form, including thermoplastic, thermoset, elastomer and composite resins made from bio-based and fossil-based feedstocks” (Scientists’ Coalition, 2024b).

The transition away from non-essential single-use plastics is fundamental for ending plastic pollution. The growing production and substantive subsidies to oil and gas extraction are keeping the price of PPP artificially low, with severe economic, societal and environmental repercussions

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the same Creative Commons licence is used to distribute the re-used or adapted article and the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use.

 Cambridge Prisms

 CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

(EEA, 2022). The global market has been flooded with cheap PPP, resulting in conditions where recycled plastics cannot compete with virgin plastics and are losing market shares despite global efforts to enhance plastics recycling. The low price of fossil plastic feedstock further favors the production and consumption of single-use (UNDP, n.d.) and short-lived plastics (OECD, 2022). This means that market incentives are weak for producing durable plastic products. Furthermore, because single-use and short-lived products typically have very low recycling potential, their risk of material loss from the supply chain and their likelihood of ending up as pollution in the environment is high. By implementing a legally binding global PPP reduction target, the material becomes more valuable as less is produced, which in turn can help overcome the growing negative economic, societal, environmental and human health impacts of non-essential plastics (McGlade et al., 2021). Additionally, we recommend plastics and their alternatives and substitutes be assessed against the “essential use concept” (Cousins et al., 2021) as currently applied in the Montreal Protocol. The further up the supply chain the global responses are, the safer, more affordable and effective the implementation mechanisms will be (Simon et al., 2021).

The high complexity and low transparency of the petrochemical industry coupled with the lack of willingness to disclose production data also presents a significant challenge to establishing effective global and national reduction targets. The development of robust essentiality, hazard-based safety, sustainability and transparency criteria will be crucial in guiding the development and implementation of effective PPP reduction targets.

Finally, we stress the need for mandatory, time-bound global and national PPP reduction targets. The evidence is clear: We must go upstream to the source of the global plastics crisis and turn off the plastic pollution tap by reducing PPP production.

**Open peer review.** To view the open peer review materials for this article, please visit <http://doi.org/10.1017/plc.2024.8>.

## References

- Borrelle SB, Ringma J, Law KL, Monnahan CC, Lebreton L, McGivern A, Murphy E, Jambeck J, Leonard GH, Hilleary MA, Eriksen M, Possingham HP, De Frond H, Gerber LR, Polidoro B, Tahir A, Bernard M, Mallos N, Barnes M and Rochman CM (2020) Predicted growth in plastic waste exceeds efforts to mitigate plastic pollution. *Science* 369(6510), 1515–1518.
- Cabernard L, Pfister S, Oberschelp C and Hellweg S (2022) Growing environmental footprint of plastics driven by coal combustion. *Nature Sustainability* 5, 139–148.
- Cousins IT, De Witt JC, Glüge J, Goldenman G, Herzke D, Lohmann R, Miller M, Ng CA, Patton S, Scheringer M, Trier X and Wang Z (2021) Finding essentiality feasible: Common questions and misinterpretations concerning the “essential-use” concept. *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts* 23(8), 1079–1087.
- European Environment Agency (EEA) (2022) Plastics, the circular economy and Europe’s environment. A priority for action. Available at <https://doi.org/10.2800/5847>.
- Geyer R, Jambeck JR and Lavender-Law K (2017) Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances* 3(7), e1700782.
- Lau WW, Shiran Y, Bailey RM, Cook E, Stuchtey MR, Koskella J, Velis CA, Godfrey L, Boucher J, Murphy MB, Thompson RC, Jankowska E, Castillo AC, Pilditch TD, Dixon B, Koerselman L, Kosior E, Favoino E, Gutberlet J, Baulch S, Atreya ME, Fischer D, He KK, Petit MM, Sumaila UR, Neil E, Bernhofen MV, Lawrence K and Palardy JE (2020) Evaluating scenarios toward zero plastic pollution. *Science* 369(6510), 1455–1461.
- McGlade J, Samy Fahim I, Green DS, Landrigan P, Andrady AL, Costa MF, Geyer R, Gomes RL, Shau Hwai AT, Jambeck J, Li D, Rochman C, Ryan PG, Thiel M, Thompson R, Townsend KA, Turra A and Maes T (2021) From pollution to solution: A global assessment of marine litter and plastic pollution. ISBN: 978-92-807-3881-0. Available at <https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/36963/POLSOL.pdf>.
- Muncke J, Andersson AM, Backhaus T, Belcher SM, Boucher JM, Carney Almroth B, Collins TJ, Geueke B, Groh KJ, Heindel JJ, von Hippel FA, Legler J, Maffini MV, Martin OV, Peterson Myers J, Nadal A, Nerin C, Soto AM, Trasande L, Vandenberg LN, Wagner M, Zimmermann L, Thomas Zoeller R and Scheringer M (2023) A vision for safer food contact materials: Public health concerns as drivers for improved testing. *Environment International* 180, 108161.
- OECD (2022) *Global Plastics Outlook: Economic Drivers, Environmental Impacts and Policy Options*. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/de747aef-en>.
- Scientists’ Coalition for an Effective Plastics Treaty (2024a) Primary Plastic Polymers: Urgently needed Upstream Reduction. Available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10906376>.
- Scientists’ Coalition for an Effective Plastics Treaty (2024b) Scientists’ Coalition’s response to the revised draft text of the international legally binding instrument on plastic pollution, including the marine environment (UNEP/PP/INC.4/3).
- Simon N, Raubenheimer K, Urho N, Unger S, Azoulay D, Farrelly T, Sousa J, van Asselt H, Carlini G, Sekomo C, Schulte ML, Busch P-O, Wienrich N and Weiland I (2021) A binding global agreement to address the life cycle of plastics. *Science* 373(6550), 43–47.
- Trasande L, Nelson ME, Al Shawabkeh A, Barrett ES, Buckley JP, Dabelea D, Dunlop AL, Herbstman JB, Meeker JD, Naidu M, Newschaffer C, Padula AM, Romano ME, Ruden DM, Sathyanarayana S, Schantz SL, Starling AP, Hamra GB, Smith P and Karr C (2024) Prenatal phthalate exposure and adversary birth outcomes in the USA: A prospective analysis of births and estimates of attributable burden and costs. *Lancet Planetary Health* 8(2), e74–e85. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(23\)00270-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(23)00270-X)
- United Nations Development Programme. (n.d.) *Plastics 101: A quick guide to the global plastics negotiations*. United Nations Development Programme. Available at <https://www.undp.org/plastics-101>.