Reports and Comments

New code of welfare for animal transport in New Zealand

The New Zealand Ministry for Agriculture and Forestry (MAF), together with the National Animal Welfare Advisory Council (NAWAC), has recently published a new code of welfare which outlines the legal minimum standards when transporting any live animal (terrestrial and aquatic) within New Zealand The code does not cover animals exported from New Zealand to other countries and the welfare of exported animals is instead catered for by the International Air Transport Association (when transported by air) or MAF transport standards (when transported by sea).

Thirteen minimum standards are covered under ten headings: responsibilities, competency and stockmanship; equipment; journey planning and documentation; preparation and selection of animals for the journey; loading and unloading; the journey; special requirements; transport in emergencies; emergency humane destruction; and quality management. Each section follows a similar format that includes a general introduction, the relevant minimum standard(s), example indicators that could be used to signal that the minimum standard(s) is being met, and a section describing what is currently considered to be best practice. Most sections finish with further general information and links to helpful guidelines. The appendices include an animal welfare check list, a list of interpretations and definitions of terms used within the code and a section on legislative requirements.

The welfare codes are not legally binding in themselves but they may be used as evidence to support a prosecution for an offence under the relevant legislation. It is a requirement that all codes are reviewed at least every ten years.

Animal Welfare (Transport within New Zealand) Code of Welfare 2011 (September 2011). A4, 41 pages. National Animal Welfare Advisory Committee, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, New Zealand. ISBN: 978-0-478-38702-5 (print) 978-0-478-38703-2 (online). The guidelines are available at the MAF Biosecurity website: http://www.biosecurity.govt.nz/regs/animalwelfare/stds/codes, or by emailing: animalwelfare@maf.govt.nz.

E Carter, UFAW

Use of the whip in horse racing

The use of the whip in horse racing is a controversial topic which there has been some public concern about. In November 2010, the British Horseracing Authority (BHA), which is the main organisation that regulates horse racing in Great Britain, began considering the effectiveness of the current rules governing the use of the whip. This proved to be a timely debate due to two high profile cases of whip misuse occurring in the first half of 2011 at two popular race meetings: the John Smith's Grand National and the Prince of Wales Stakes at Royal Ascot. The winning jockey of the Grand National was suspended for five days following over-use of the whip on his horse, Ballabriggs, on which the whip was used 17 times (exceeding the then recommended maximum of 15 strokes). Another incident followed shortly when a jockey received a nine-day suspension for using his whip 24 times at Royal Ascot when riding the winner, Rewilding, to the finishing line.

Horse racing is a popular pastime in Great Britain and ranks the second most attended sport following football: in 2010, there were over 5.8 million attendees at race meetings. The BHA is keen to ensure that the public has a positive perception of horse racing and that people continue to visit racetracks. In June 2011, the BHA Board agreed the terms of reference for a review: 'To review the use of the whip in Horseracing in Great Britain'. The review process involved the following: a consultation with relevant stakeholders; a statistical analysis of breaches of the whip Rules; consideration of current academic research on the use of the whip and its effect on horses; the design and manufacture of whips; and public opinion research into the public's perception of the use of the whip in racing, which was undertaken by SMG/YouGov, a sports research agency. The results of the Review were published in September 2011.

The Review discusses why and how a whip may be used in horse racing. It is considered acceptable to use the whip for safety (for both horse and jockey) and for encouragement (to ensure that the horse is performing at its best). Only a whip that is of an energy-absorbing, cushioned design may be used during racing and, when used correctly, it is thought that the whip does not cause pain or injury to the horse. In 2010, there were 92,025 runners in 9,566 races and the total number of horses that ran was 20,123. According to figures in the BHA review, there would have been approximately 20 occasions when a weal was observed during 2010.

However, although the opinion of the Review Group overall was that the whip is still considered to be necessary in horse racing, it was also made clear that the current Rules and penalties are not effective: there were over 5,202 breaches of whip Rules between January 2004 and April 2011. The BHA is keen to be seen to be taking horse welfare seriously and the Review Group therefore put forward 19 recommendations to provide greater incentive for jockeys to ride within the Rules and to bring about lasting change in behaviour and attitudes.

All 19 recommendations were approved by the BHA Board and the new Rules came into force on 10th October 2011. However, following their introduction, the Professional Jockeys Association (PJA) raised a number of concerns and the Rules were amended on 21st October. Additional amendments were then made on 11th November following further disagreement between the BHA and the PJA.

The majority of recommendations relate to the number of times that the whip may be used and the penalties that would be incurred for inappropriate use. Taking into account the recent amendments, jockeys may use their whip

Universities Federation for Animal Welfare

