

ON A THEOREM OF NIVEN

BY

R. SITA RAMA CHANDRA RAO AND G. SRI RAMA CHANDRA MURTY

In [4], Niven proved that the set A of integers $\mathcal{Q}_s(n)$ for all $s \geq 1$ and all $n \geq 1$ has density zero, $\mathcal{Q}_s(n)$ being the sum of the s th powers of all positive divisors of n . However his argument contains a mistake (see Remark 1). In this paper we give a proof of Niven's result and establish several related results, one of which generalizes a result of Dressler (See Theorem 3 and Remark 2).

THEOREM 1. *The set A of integers $\mathcal{Q}_s(n)$ for all $s \geq 1$ and all $n \geq 1$ has density zero. That is, if $A(n)$ is the number of positive integers not exceeding n that belong to A , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Proof. We use the following result of Niven (cf. [4] corollary 2). (1) For any fixed positive integer k , if p_i is a set of primes for which $\sum p_i^{-1} = \infty$ and if A is any sequence whose members are divisible by atmost k of these primes only to the first degree, then $d(A) = 0$ (where $d(A)$ is the density of A).

Let B denote the set of all integers $\mathcal{Q}_s(n)$ for all $s \geq 2$ and for all $n \geq 1$. Since $\mathcal{Q}_s(m) \geq m^s$, for fixed s , the number of $\mathcal{Q}_s(m)$ counted by $B(n)$ is not more than $n^{1/s}$ and hence

$$B(n) \leq n^{1/2} + n^{1/3} + \dots + n^{1/r}, \text{ pgr,}$$

where $r \leq \log_2 n$ because for any larger value of r , $\mathcal{Q}_r(2) > n$. Thus $B(n) \leq n^{1/2} \log_2 n$ so that $d(B) = 0$. Let C denote the set of integers $\mathcal{Q}_1(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose a positive integer k such that $1/2^k < \epsilon/2$ and separate C into two disjoint sets C_1 and C_2 where C_1 consists of those elements of C that are divisible by 2^k . Hence for all n , $C_1(n) \leq n/2^k < \epsilon n/2$. Also $C_2(n) =$ The number of $\mathcal{Q}_1(m) \leq n$ such that $2^k \nmid \mathcal{Q}_1(m)$ and this does not exceed the number of positive integers $m \leq n$ which are divisible by atmost k distinct primes to the first degree. Hence by (1), $d(C_2) = 0$ so that for all large n , $C(n) = C_1(n) + C_2(n) < \epsilon n$. This proves that $d(C) = 0$ and since $A = B \cup C$, the theorem follows.

REMARK 1. Niven separates A into two possibly overlapping sets B and C , $\mathcal{Q}_s(n)$ being put in B if n has more than k distinct prime factors, otherwise,

Received by the editors September 20, 1977.

in C . In specifying the choice of k , he says ‘‘Any member of the set B satisfies the inequality

$$\mathfrak{D}_s(n) \geq n^s \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + \rho_j^{-s}) = n^s c_s,$$

ρ_j being the j th prime. The last equality defines c_s , a function of s and k , and we choose k so that $\varepsilon c_1 > 4$ ’’. We note that the above inequality is true only if ρ_j is the j th prime dividing n but not the j th prime in the sequence of all rational primes. Thus c_s also depends on n and hence the choice of k mentioned above can not be done.

THEOREM 2. *The set B of all integers $J_s(n)$, for all $s \geq 1$ and all $n \geq 1$ has density zero, where $J_s(n)$ denotes the Jordan totient function of order s (cf. [1], Page 147).*

Proof. If B_1 is the set of all integers $J_1(n)$, for all $n \geq 1$, then it is well known that $d(B_1) = 0$ (cf. [5], Theorem 11.9, pp. 249). Let B_2 denote the set of all integers $J_s(n)$ for all $s \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$. Since

$$J_s(m) = m^s \prod_{p|m} (1 - p^{-s}) > m^s \prod_p (1 - p^{-s}) \geq m^s \prod_p (1 - p^{-2}),$$

the product ranging over all primes p , repeating the arguments in the first part of the proof of Theorem 1, we get $d(B_2) = 0$. Since $B = B_1 \cup B_2$, the result follows.

THEOREM 3. *Let r, s be fixed non-negative integers and t, u, k be fixed positive integers. Further let*

$$\begin{aligned} X_{s,t} &= \{n \mid (\mathfrak{D}_s(n), J_t(n)) \leq k\}, \\ Y_{r,s} &= \{n \mid (\mathfrak{D}_r(n), \mathfrak{D}_s(n)) \leq k\}, \\ Z_{t,u} &= \{n \mid (J_t(n), J_u(n)) \leq k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$d(X_{s,t}) = d(Y_{r,s}) = d(Z_{t,u}) = 0.$$

Proof. We prove $d(X_{s,t}) = 0$ and the rest are similar. If for an n , $(\mathfrak{D}_s(n), J_t(n)) \leq k$, then n is divisible by atmost k distinct primes only to the first degree. Hence by (1), $d(X_{s,t}) = 0$.

REMARK 2. Taking $t = 1$ in Theorem 3, we see that $d(X_{s,1}) = 0$, where s is a positive integer, which is a recent result due to Dressler (cf. [2], Theorem 2). We note that in addition to (1) mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1 above, Dressler uses the results of Hardy and Ramanujan on the normal order of $\mathfrak{B}(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ (cf. [3], Theorem 431) which were avoided in our proof.

REFERENCES

1. L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. I, Chelsea Publishing Company (reprinted), New York, 1952.
2. R. E. Dressler, *On a Theorem of Niven*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **17** (1), (1974), pp. 109–110.
3. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, Fourth edition (1960).
4. I. Niven, *The Asymptotic density of sequences*, *Bull. A.M.S.*, **57** (1951), pp. 420–434.
5. I. Niven and H. S. Zuckermann, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Wiley Eastern Limited, New Delhi–Bangalore, Third edition (1972).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ANDHRA UNIVERSITY
WALTAIR 530 003, INDIA