

untreated. We do not claim to replace the therapeutic team but to strengthen it.

Those who give serious consideration to this important book will find much of interest and many ideas which, I hope, will become very widespread in British psychiatry, namely, goal definition, targeting of problem areas, therapeutic investment versus benefits achieved, and objective measurement at all stages throughout treatment.

In the unlikely event that the reviewer finds his own aeroplane hijacked by a lorry driver, I am sure he will be able to pursue a rewarding career in the literary field. I have no such pretensions. I would just like to be able to provide a demonstrably worthwhile service to the adult neurotic population.

MARTIN B. BROWN

Nurse Therapist

*Pastures Hospital,
Mickleover,
Derby DE3 5DQ*

DEAR SIR,

I am sorry that Miss Skellern should think my review was a joke, and I realize it will only make matters worse when I say that it was deadly serious. In the short space allowed me for my review I wished to make two points in as vivid a way as possible: first, to question what the basic educational requirements should be for a practitioner of behavioural therapy, and second to ask what the relationship should be between the nurse therapist and other professionals— notably clinical psychologists and doctors.

Although Miss Skellern may call me reactionary, it seems to me to be reasonable that practitioners of behavioural therapy should have qualifications in psychology, just as psychiatrists should have qualifications in medicine and airline pilots should know a little about general physics and engineering. At a time when our society is producing many graduates in psychology, it seems a pity that some of these could not be offered the sort of specialist training Dr Marks has described.

Mr Brown assures me that nurse therapists do not wish to 'fly the aeroplane', but this point is far from clear, since the course claims to provide an independent role for nurse therapists and the relationship of the nurse therapists to other members of the therapeutic team is left critically unclear. If the nurse therapists are to work alongside established clinical psychologists and under their general supervision let this be clearly stated: it has not been stated so far. Mr Brown goes on to say that nurse therapists will have an effect on British psychiatry: but again, the relationship between a nurse therapist and a

psychiatrist is not made clear. If nurse therapists are to work on their own in the community, or if they are to work in a primary care setting, let it be made clear who is to pay them and to whom they are responsible. Mr Brown adds the image of the hijacker to my simile: I would only say that until these issues are resolved, it is the nurse therapist who will seem to others to have hi-jacked the aeroplane.

DAVID GOLDBERG

*Department of Psychiatry,
The University Hospital of South Manchester,
West Didsbury,
Manchester M20 8LR*

WHAT'S IN A NAME? ATTEMPTED SUICIDE

DEAR SIR,

Psychiatrists, aware of the growth in the number of patients who take overdoses of drugs, usually accept the view put forward by Stengel (1964) that those who kill themselves and those who do not succeed in killing themselves represent two different but overlapping populations. Those who survive the overdose and other methods of potential self-destruction provide many problems; a minor one is how to name the act carried out by such individuals.

There have been a number of suggestions concerning nomenclature. *Attempted suicide* is applicable only to a small percentage; undoubtedly there are a few who intended to kill themselves and fortuitous discovery has prevented death. For these, the term is appropriate. For the majority it is recognized that the intention to die is not in the forefront of the individual's motives. A number of alternative terms have been suggested for this behaviour. *Parasuicide* (Kreitman *et al*, 1969) is commonly used but it retains the connotation of a partial suicide—suicide related behaviour. *Pseudocide* (Lennard Jones and Asher, 1959) self-evidently and '*Self-poisoning*' (Kessei, 1965) have developed pejorative meanings with the implication of 'merely' an overdose and not an act of someone in distress. Ramon and his colleagues (1975) have drawn attention to the differing attitudes of nurses and doctors towards such individuals.

There is need to coin a new term which can be precisely defined without the disadvantages associated with the present names. I would like to propose the name *Propetia* which I introduced at the Annual Congress of the International Association of Suicide Prevention in 1975. The word derives from the Greek *προπετεια* meaning rashness, headlong haste and containing the idea of falling into something or rushing into it in a reckless manner without previous assessment of the risks. It is thus different from the