

CORRECTION TO: ANALYTIC TAF ALGEBRAS

J. R. PETERS, Y. T. POON AND B. H. WAGNER

We would like to inform the reader of an error in [1].

First, Remark 1.10 should read as follows:

REMARK 1.10. Suppose  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$  is a strongly maximal triangular subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$  with diagonal  $\mathfrak{D}$ . For each  $n$ , let  $\mathfrak{B}_n = C^*(\mathfrak{A}_n, \mathfrak{D})$ . Then there exists a clopen subset  $\mathcal{R}_n$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}_n) = \mathfrak{B}_n$ . Note that  $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{R}_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{R}_n$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n^+ = \mathcal{P}_n \setminus X$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is strongly maximal, we have  $\mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{P}_n^+ \cup X \cup (\mathcal{P}_n^+)^{-1}$ . Now suppose that for each  $n$ , we can define a cocycle  $c_n$  on  $\mathcal{R}_n$  such that  $c_n(x, y) > 0$  if  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{P}_n^+$ . Suppose also that for each  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ , there is some  $m$  such that  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_m$  and  $c_n(x, y) = c_{n+1}(x, y)$  for all  $n \geq m$ . Then  $d(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n(x, y)$  exists (as a finite number) for every  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $d$  satisfies the cocycle condition  $d(x, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  of Definition 1.7, and  $\mathcal{P} = d^{-1}[0, \infty)$  since  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \mathcal{P}_n$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_d$  for some cocycle  $d$ , then  $c_n = d|_{\mathcal{R}_n}$  is a cocycle on  $\mathcal{R}_n$  such that  $c_n(x, y) > 0$  for  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{P}_n^+$ , and  $d(x, y) = c_n(x, y)$  for all  $n \geq$  some  $m$  since  $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup \mathcal{R}_n$ .

Note that if the  $c_n$ 's are given and  $d$  is defined by  $d(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n(x, y)$ , then  $d$  satisfies the cocycle condition, but in general  $d$  need not be continuous. This leads to a revised Theorem 2.2.

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$  be a strongly maximal triangular subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -analytic, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(x, y) = \hat{d}(x, y)$  exists (as a finite number) for each  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\hat{d}$  satisfies the cocycle condition on  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $\mathcal{P} = \hat{d}^{-1}[0, \infty)$ . Conversely, if  $\hat{d}$  is finite and continuous (i.e., locally constant), then  $\hat{d}$  is a cocycle on  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -analytic with  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\hat{d}}$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_d$  for an integer-valued cocycle  $d$ . If  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{P}_m$ , then  $\{d_n(x, y) : m \leq n < \infty\}$  is an increasing sequence bounded above by  $d(x, y)$ , so  $\hat{d}(x, y)$  exists, and it satisfies the cocycle condition on  $\mathcal{R}$  by Remark 1.10. Also, if  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) \geq 0 &\Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in \hat{v} \text{ for some matrix unit } v \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathfrak{A}_n \\ &\Leftrightarrow d_n(x, y) \geq 0 \text{ for some } n \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{d}(x, y) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $d^{-1}[0, \infty) = \hat{d}^{-1}[0, \infty)$ .

---

Received by the editors February 4, 1994.  
 AMS subject classification: 46H20, 46L05.  
 © Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

On the other hand, suppose  $\hat{d}$  is finite and continuous. Then the sequence  $\{d_n(x, y)\}$  is eventually constant since  $d_n(x, y)$  is always an integer, and therefore  $\hat{d}$  is an integer-valued cocycle such that  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\hat{d}}$  by Remark 1.10. ■

EXAMPLE. There are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -analytic TAF algebras for which the function  $\hat{d}$  defined in Theorem 2.2 is not continuous. The authors would like to thank Alan Hopenwasser and Allan Donsig for introducing them to the following example.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}_n = \mathbf{M}_{2^n}$  with matrix units  $\{e_{ij}^{(n)}\}$ , and let  $\sigma_n$  denote the standard embedding from  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  to  $\mathfrak{A}_{n+1}$ . For each  $n$ , let  $P_n$  be the  $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$  permutation matrix formed by interchanging rows  $2^n$  and  $2^n + 1$  of the identity matrix, and define  $j_n: \mathfrak{A}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{n+1}$  by  $j_n(a) = P_n \sigma_n(a) P_n$ . Now let  $\mathcal{T}_n$  be the set of upper triangular matrices in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  and let  $\mathcal{T} = \varinjlim (\mathcal{T}_n, j_n)$ . For  $(x, y) \in \hat{e}_{i,i+1}^{(n)}$ , define  $d(x, y) = 1$  if  $1 < i < 2^n - 1$  and  $d(x, y) = 2$  if  $i = 1, 2^n - 1$ . Then  $d$  can be extended uniquely to an integer-valued cocycle such that  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_d$ . However, if  $x_0 = (\hat{e}_1^{(1)}, \hat{e}_1^{(2)}, \hat{e}_1^{(3)}, \dots)$  and  $y_0$  is the unique element of  $X$  such that  $(x_0, y_0) \in \hat{e}_{12}^{(2)}$ , then  $\hat{d}(x_0, y_0) = 1$  but  $\hat{d}(x, y) = 2$  for all  $(x, y) \in \hat{e}_{12}^{(2)}$  with  $x \neq x_0$ . Hence,  $\hat{d}$  is not continuous at  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

Because  $\hat{d}$  is not always continuous, we make the following definition.

DEFINITION. If  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_d$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -analytic TAF algebra and the function  $\hat{d}$  of Theorem 2.2 is continuous, then we say that  $\mathcal{T}$  is *standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -analytic* and that  $\hat{d}$  is the *generic form* of  $d$ . Note that  $\hat{d}$  is determined by the clopen subset  $\hat{d}^{-1}(\{1\})$ .

For the rest of Section 2, we assume that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cocycles are given in generic form, particularly in Definition 2.6 and Lemma 2.7. Also, in Theorem 2.8 and Corollary 2.9,  $\mathcal{T}$  is assumed to be standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -analytic, and the algebras  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  in Example 2.10 and  $\mathcal{T}(\phi, x_0)$  in Theorem 2.4 are standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -analytic.

In a subsequent paper [2], it is shown that the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -analytic algebras are precisely the inductive limits of direct sums of upper triangular matrix algebras generated by “standard” embeddings, *i.e.*, generalizations of  $\varinjlim (\mathcal{T}_n, \sigma_n)$ .

REFERENCES

1. J. R. Peters, Y. T. Poon and B. H. Wagner, *Analytic TAF Algebras*, *Canad. J. Math.* **45**(1993), 1009–1031.
2. Y. T. Poon and B. H. Wagner,  *$\mathbb{Z}$ -analytic TAF algebras and dynamical systems*, *Houston J. Math.* **19**(1993), 181–199.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Iowa State University*  
*Ames, Iowa 50011*  
*U.S.A.*