## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



# A note on the rational homological dimension of lattices in positive characteristic

Sam Hughes 问

Mathematical Institute, Andrew Wiles Building, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK E-mail: <a href="mailto:sam.hughes@maths.ox.ac.uk">sam.hughes@maths.ox.ac.uk</a>

Received: 6 December 2021; Revised: 9 May 2022; Accepted: 23 May 2022; First published online: 10 June 2022

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification .: Primary - 20J06, Secondary - 20F67

#### Abstract

We show via  $\ell^2$ -homology that the rational homological dimension of a lattice in a product of simple simply connected Chevalley groups over global function fields is equal to the rational cohomological dimension and to the dimension of the associated Bruhat–Tits building.

# 1. Introduction

Let *k* be the function field of an irreducible projective smooth curve *C* defined over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let *S* be a finite non-empty set of (closed) points of *C*. Let  $\mathcal{O}_S$  be the ring of rational functions whose poles lie in *S*. For each  $p \in S$ , there is a discrete valuation  $v_x$  of *k* such that  $v_p(f)$  is the order of vanishing of *f* at *p*. The valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is the ring of functions that do not have a pole at *p*, that is

$$\mathcal{O}_S = \bigcap_{p \notin S} \mathcal{O}_p.$$

Let  $\bar{k}$  denote the algebraic closure of k. Let **G** be an affine group scheme defined over  $\bar{k}$  such that  $\mathbf{G}(\bar{k})$  is almost simple. For each  $p \in S$ , there is a completion  $k_p$  of k and the group  $\mathbf{G}(k_p)$  acts on the Bruhat– Tits building  $X_p$ . Thus, we may embed  $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_S)$  diagonally into the product  $\prod_{p \in S} \mathbf{G}(k_p)$  as an arithmetic lattice.

The *rational cohomological dimension* of a group  $\Gamma$  is defined to be

$$\operatorname{cd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) := \sup\{n \colon H^n(\Gamma; M) \neq 0, M \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma \text{-module}\}$$

the rational homological dimension is defined completely analogously as

 $\operatorname{hd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) := \sup\{n : H_n(\Gamma; M) \neq 0, M \text{ a } \mathbb{Q}\Gamma \operatorname{-module}\}.$ 

In [5], it is shown that  $cd_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_{S})) = \prod_{p \in S} \dim(X_{p})$ . In light of this, Ian Leary asked the author what is  $hd_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_{S}))$ ?

**Theorem A.** Let **G** be a simple simply connected Chevalley group. Let k and  $\mathcal{O}_S$  be as above. Then

$$\mathrm{hd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_{S})) = \mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_{S})) = \prod_{p \in S} \dim (X_{p}).$$

More generally, we obtain the following.

**Corollary B.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in a product of simple simply connected Chevalley groups over global function fields with associated Bruhat–Tits building X. Then  $hd_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) = d_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) = \dim(X)$ .

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Glasgow Mathematical Journal Trust.

The author expects these results are well-known; however, they do not appear in the literature so we take the opportunity to record them here.

## 2. $\ell^2$ -homology and measure equivalence

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group. Both  $\Gamma$  and the complex group algebra  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$  act by left multiplication on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2\Gamma$  of square-summable sequences. The group von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}\Gamma$  is the ring of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant bounded operators on  $\ell^2 G$ . The non-zero divisors of  $\mathcal{N}G$  form an Ore set and the Ore localization of  $\mathcal{N}\Gamma$  can be identified with the ring of affiliated operators  $\mathcal{U}\Gamma$ .

There are inclusions  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{N}\Gamma \subseteq \ell^2\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{U}\Gamma$ , and it is also known that  $\mathcal{U}\Gamma$  is a self-injective ring which is flat over  $\mathcal{N}\Gamma$ . For more details concerning these constructions, we refer the reader to [12] and especially to Theorem 8.22 of Section 8.2.3 therein. The *von Neumann dimension* and the basic properties we need can be found in [12, Section 8.3].

The  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers of a group  $\Gamma$ , denoted  $b_i^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ , are then defined to be the von-Neumann dimensions of the homology groups  $H_i(\Gamma; \mathcal{U}\Gamma)$ . The following lemma is a triviality.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and suppose that  $b_i^{(2)}(\Gamma) > 0$ . Then the homology group  $H_i(\Gamma; \mathcal{U}\Gamma)$  is non-trivial.

Two countable groups  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  are said to be *measure equivalent* if there exist commuting, measurepreserving, free actions of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  on some infinite Lebesgue measure space  $(\Omega, m)$ , such that the action of each of the groups  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  admits a finite measure fundamental domain. The key examples of measure equivalent groups are lattices in the same locally compact group [6]. The relevance of this for us is the following deep theorem of Gaboriau.

**Theorem 2.2.** (Gaboriau's Theorem [4]) Suppose a discrete group  $\Gamma$  is measure equivalent to a discrete group  $\Lambda$ . Then  $b_p(\Gamma) = 0$  if and only if  $b_p(\Lambda) = 0$ .

## 3. Proofs

**Proof of Theorem A.** We first note that the group  $\Gamma := \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_s)$  is measure equivalent to the product  $\Lambda := \prod_{p \in S} \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{F}_q[t_p])$  for some suitably chosen  $t_p \in \mathcal{O}_p$ . By [13, Theorem 1.6] (see also [2,3,1]), the group  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{F}_q[t_p])$  has one non-vanishing  $\ell^2$ -Betti number in dimension dim  $(X_p)$ . Hence, by the Künneth formula  $\Lambda$  has one non-vanishing  $\ell^2$ -Betti number in dimension  $d = \prod_{p \in S} \dim(X_p)$ . Thus, by Gaboriau's Theorem, the group  $\Gamma$  has exactly one non-vanishing  $\ell^2$ -Betti number in dimension d. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $hd_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) \geq d$ . The reverse inequality follows from the fact that  $\Gamma$  acts properly on the d-dimensional space  $\prod_{p \in S} \dim(X_p)$ .

**Proof of Corollary B.** The proof of the corollary is entirely analogous. First, we split **G** into a product of simple groups  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{G}_{i}$  corresponding to the decomposition of the Bruhat–Tits building  $X = \prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ . Let  $\Lambda_{i}$  be a lattice in  $\mathbf{G}_{i}$  and let  $\Lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i}$ . Each  $\Lambda_{i}$  has a non-vanishing  $\ell^{2}$ -Betti number in dimension dim  $(X_{i})$ . In particular,  $\Lambda$  has a non-vanishing  $\ell^{2}$ -Betti number in dimension dim  $(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \dim (X_{i})$ . By Gaboriau's Theorem,  $\Gamma$  also has non-vanishing  $\ell^{2}$ -Betti number in dimension dim (X). It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $hd_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) \geq d$ . The reverse inequality follows from the fact that  $\Gamma$  acts properly on the *d*-dimensional space  $\prod_{p \in S} X_{p}$ .

**Remark 3.1.** A similar argument can be applied to lattices in products of simple simply connected algebraic groups over locally compact p-adic fields. One obtains the analogous result for such a lattice  $\Gamma$  that  $cd_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) = hd_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) = \dim(X)$ , where X is the associated Bruhat–Tits building.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his PhD supervisor Professor Ian Leary for his guidance, support, and suggesting of the question. This note contains material from the author's PhD thesis [7] and was originally part of [8], but was split off into a number of companion papers [9,10] (see also [11]) at the request of the referee. This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council grant number 2127970. The author would like to thank the referee for a number of helpful comments.

Competing interests. The author declares none.

### References

- [1] M. W. Davis et al., Weighted L2-cohomology of Coxeter groups, *Geom. Topol.* **11** (2007), 47–138. ISSN: 1465-3060. doi: 10.2140/gt.2007.11.47.
- J. Dymara, L2-cohomology of buildings with fundamental class, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132(6) (2004), 1839–1843.
  ISSN: 0002-9939. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-03-07234-4.
- [3] J. Dymara, Thin buildings, Geom. Topol. 10 (2006), 667–694. ISSN: 1465-3060. doi: 10.2140/gt.2006.10.667.
- [4] D. Gaboriau, Invariants l2 de relations d'Équivalence et de groupes, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 95 (2002), 93–150. ISSN: 0073-8301. doi: 10.1007/s102400200002.
- [5] G. Gandini, Bounding the homological finiteness length, Bull. London Math. Soc. 44(6) (2012), 1209–1214. ISSN: 0024-6093. doi: 10.1112/blms/bds047.
- [6] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups, in *Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991)*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 182 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993), 1–295.
- [7] S. Hughes, Equivariant cohomology, lattices, and trees, PhD Thesis (School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Southampton, 2021).
- [8] S. Hughes, Graphs and complexes of lattices (2021). arXiv:2104.13728 [math.GR].
- [9] S. Hughes, Lattices in a product of trees, hierarchically hyperbolic groups, and virtual torsion-freeness, Bull. London Math. Soc. doi: 10.1112/blms.12637
- [10] S. Hughes, Irreducible lattices fibring over the circle (2022). arXiv:2201.06525 [math.GR].
- [11] S. Hughes and M. Valiunas, Commensurating HNN-extensions: hierarchical hyperbolicity and biautomaticity (2021). arXiv: 2203.11996 [math.GR].
- [12] W. Lück, L<sup>2</sup>-invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3<sup>rd</sup> Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 44 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002), xvi+595. iSBN: 3-540-43566-2. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-04687-6.
- [13] H. D. Petersen, R. Sauer and A. Thom, L<sup>2</sup>-Betti numbers of totally disconnected groups and their approximation by Betti numbers of lattices, J. Topol. 11(1) (2018), 257–282. ISSN: 1753–8416. doi: 10.1112/topo.12056.