

OMITTED RAYS AND WEDGES OF FRACTIONAL CAUCHY TRANSFORMS

R. A. HIBSCHWEILER[✉] and T. H. MACGREGOR

(Received 16 April 2004; revised 15 February 2005)

Communicated by P. Fenton

Abstract

For $\alpha > 0$ let \mathcal{F}_α denote the set of functions which can be expressed

$$f(z) = \int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{\zeta}z)^\alpha} d\mu(\zeta) \quad \text{for } |z| < 1,$$

where μ is a complex-valued Borel measure on the unit circle. We show that if f is an analytic function in $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and there are two nonparallel rays in $\mathbb{C} \setminus f(\Delta)$ which do not meet, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ where $\alpha\pi$ denotes the largest of the two angles determined by the rays. Also if the range of a function analytic in Δ is contained in an angular wedge of opening $\alpha\pi$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 30E20; secondary 30H05.

1. Introduction

Let $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\Lambda = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, and let \mathcal{M} denote the set of complex-valued Borel measures on Λ . For $\alpha > 0$, let \mathcal{F}_α denote the set of functions $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \int_\Lambda \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{\zeta}z)^\alpha} d\mu(\zeta) \quad \text{for } |z| < 1.$$

The power function in (1) denotes the principal branch. Each function given by (1) is analytic in Δ . For $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$, let $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} = \inf \|\mu\|$, where $\|\mu\|$ denotes the total variation of μ , and μ varies over all measures in \mathcal{M} for which (1) holds. This defines a norm on \mathcal{F}_α , and \mathcal{F}_α is a Banach space with respect to this norm. The family \mathcal{F}_1

was first studied by Havin [2]. The general class \mathcal{F}_α , where $\alpha > 0$, was introduced in [5] and has been studied extensively. In [6] a survey is given about these so-called fractional Cauchy transforms.

Several conditions on an analytic function f are known to be sufficient to imply $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. Most of these conditions are analytic. Here we are concerned with geometric conditions. The Riesz-Herglotz formula provides information of this type. It implies that if $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic and $f(\Delta)$ is contained in a half-plane, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Another result of this kind was obtained by Bourdon and Cima in [1], namely, if $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic and there are two oppositely directed rays in $\mathbb{C} \setminus f(\Delta)$, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$.

This paper contains generalizations of the two results described above. We show that if $f(\Delta)$ is contained in an angular wedge with opening $\alpha\pi$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. Also if there are two nonparallel rays in $\mathbb{C} \setminus f(\Delta)$ which do not meet and the angles at infinity between these two rays are $\alpha\pi$ and $\beta\pi$, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma$, where $\gamma = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$.

If $f(\Delta)$ is contained in an angular wedge of opening less than π , then $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$, but f need not belong to \mathcal{F}_α for any α , $0 < \alpha < 1$. This holds more generally for bounded analytic functions. To see this, let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2n}}{n^2}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

The function f is analytic and bounded in Δ . However $f \notin \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ when $0 < \alpha < 1$. This is because the Taylor coefficients of f do not satisfy the condition $a_n = O(n^{\alpha-1})$, which is necessary for membership in \mathcal{F}_α .

Finally we mention that if $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic and $\mathbb{C} \setminus f(\Delta)$ contains a ray, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$ [5, Theorem 5].

2. Preliminaries

This section contains lemmas which will be used to prove the main results. The first two lemmas are in [5, Lemma 1]. Lemma 2.3 is in [3, Theorem 2]. Lemma 2.4 is known but we give a proof.

LEMMA 2.1. *For every $\alpha > 0$, $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ if and only if $f' \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha+1}$.*

LEMMA 2.2. *If $0 < \alpha < \beta$, then $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{F}_\beta$.*

LEMMA 2.3. *If $\alpha \geq 1$, $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and the function $\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is analytic, then the composition $f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$.*

LEMMA 2.4. *Suppose that $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic and f' belongs to Hardy space H^1 . Then $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ for every $\alpha > 0$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $f' \in H^1$ and let $g = f'$. Let $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$. Then

$$G(\zeta) \equiv \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} g(r\zeta)$$

exists for almost all θ in $[-\pi, \pi]$ and $G(e^{i\theta}) \in L^1([-\pi, \pi])$. Also g is represented by the Cauchy formula

$$(2) \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Delta} \frac{G(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Equation (2) yields (1), where $d\mu(\zeta) = (G(\zeta)/2\pi i \zeta)d\zeta$ and hence $g = f' \in \mathcal{F}_1$. By Lemma 2.2, $f' \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ for $\alpha > 1$. Lemma 2.1 implies that $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha > 0$. \square

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose that the function g is analytic in a neighbourhood of Δ . Let N be a positive integer and suppose that $|\zeta_n| = 1$, $\alpha_n > 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$, and $\zeta_n \neq \zeta_m$ for $n \neq m$. Let*

$$(3) \quad f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{\prod_{n=1}^N (z - \zeta_n)^{\alpha_n}}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$, where $\alpha = \max\{\alpha_n : 1 \leq n \leq N\}$.

PROOF. We give the proof for the case $N = 2$. A similar argument can be given for other values of N .

Suppose that $|\zeta| = |\sigma| = 1$, $\zeta \neq \sigma$, $\beta > 0$, and $\gamma > 0$. Suppose that the function g is analytic in a neighborhood of Δ and let

$$(4) \quad f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - \zeta)^\beta (z - \sigma)^\gamma}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

We shall show that $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$, where $\alpha = \max\{\beta, \gamma\}$.

The function $z \mapsto g(z)/(z - \sigma)^\gamma$ is analytic at $z = \zeta$, and hence

$$\frac{g(z)}{(z - \sigma)^\gamma} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m (z - \zeta)^m,$$

for z in some neighbourhood of ζ . Let p be the least integer such that $p \geq \beta$ and let $s = p - \beta$. Then

$$(5) \quad f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{a_m}{(z - \zeta)^{\beta-m}} + (z - \zeta)^s h(z),$$

where the function h is analytic in some neighbourhood of ζ . Suppose that β is not an integer. Then

$$\frac{d}{dz} [(z - \zeta)^s h(z)] = (z - \zeta)^s h'(z) + s(z - \zeta)^{s-1} h(z).$$

Since $(z - \zeta)^s$ is bounded in $\bar{\Delta} \setminus \{\zeta\}$, this implies that there is a positive constant A such that

$$(6) \quad \left| \frac{d}{dz} [(z - \zeta)^s h(z)] \right| \leq A|z - \zeta|^{s-1},$$

for $z \in \bar{\Delta}$, z near ζ , and $z \neq \zeta$. Likewise if γ is not an integer, q is the least integer such that $q \geq \gamma$ and $t = q - \gamma$, then

$$(7) \quad f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \frac{b_m}{(z - \sigma)^{\gamma-m}} + (z - \sigma)^t k(z),$$

where k is a function analytic in some neighbourhood of σ and b_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$) are suitable constants. Thus

$$(8) \quad \left| \frac{d}{dz} [(z - \sigma)^t k(z)] \right| \leq B|z - \sigma|^{t-1},$$

for $z \in \bar{\Delta}$, z near σ , and $z \neq \sigma$, where B is a positive constant.

For $z \in \bar{\Delta} \setminus \{\zeta, \sigma\}$, let

$$(9) \quad r(z) = f(z) - \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{a_m}{(z - \zeta)^{\beta-m}} - \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \frac{b_m}{(z - \sigma)^{\gamma-m}}.$$

The relations (5), (6) and (9) imply that there is a constant C such that

$$(10) \quad |r'(z)| \leq C|z - \zeta|^{s-1},$$

for $z \in \bar{\Delta}$, z near ζ , and $z \neq \zeta$. Likewise (7)–(9) imply that

$$(11) \quad |r'(z)| \leq D|z - \sigma|^{t-1},$$

for $z \in \bar{\Delta}$, z near σ , and $z \neq \sigma$, where D is a positive constant.

The function $z \mapsto (z - \tau)^u$ belongs to H^1 when $|\tau| = 1$ and $u > -1$. Hence the inequalities (10) and (11) and the fact that r' is analytic in $\bar{\Delta} \setminus \{\zeta, \sigma\}$ imply that $r' \in H^1$. This proves that $r' \in H^1$ when β and γ are not integers. A similar argument shows that $r' \in H^1$ when only one of the numbers β and γ is not an integer. If both

β and γ are integers then $r = 0$. Therefore, in general, $r' \in H^1$. Lemma 2.4 yields $r \in \mathcal{F}_\delta$ for every $\delta > 0$.

Equation (9) gives

$$(12) \quad f = f_1 + f_2 + r,$$

where

$$f_1(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{a_m}{(z - \zeta)^{\beta-m}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \frac{b_m}{(z - \sigma)^{\gamma-m}}.$$

The function $z \mapsto 1/(z - \zeta)^\delta$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_β when $0 < \delta \leq \beta$ and hence $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_\beta$. Likewise $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma$. Lemma 2.2 yields $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. Since $r \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$, (12) implies that $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. □

Lemma 2.5 contrasts with the following result obtained in [5, Lemma 1].

THEOREM 2.6. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}_\beta$ then $f \cdot g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha+\beta}$.*

Since the function g in Lemma 2.5 is analytic in $\bar{\Delta}$, g is a multiplier of \mathcal{F}_δ for every $\delta > 0$ [4, Theorem 3.5]. This fact and Theorem 2.6 imply that the function f in (3) belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha'}$, where $\alpha' = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$. Lemma 2.5 is clearly an improvement of this result. The assumption that $\zeta_n \neq \zeta_m$ for $n \neq m$ is critical in Lemma 2.5. To see how this is reflected in our argument, suppose that the numbers ζ_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots, N$) are distinct, $\zeta_2 \rightarrow \zeta_1$, and the numbers α_n are fixed. Suppose that $\alpha = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N\}$. Then the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}$ of the corresponding function in (3) goes to infinity as $\zeta_2 \rightarrow \zeta_1$.

3. The main results

Let f be analytic in Δ . In this section we give two geometric conditions on $f(\Delta)$ sufficient to imply that $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose that the function $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic and let $\Phi = \mathbb{C} \setminus f(\Delta)$.*

- (a) *Suppose that Φ contains two nonparallel rays. Let $\alpha\pi$ and $\beta\pi$ denote the angles at ∞ between these two rays, where $\alpha \geq \beta$. If $\alpha < 2$, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$.*
- (b) *If Φ contains a ray then $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$.*

PROOF. First assume that Φ contains two nonparallel rays. Since $\alpha + \beta = 2$, the assumptions imply that $1 < \alpha < 2$. We may assume that the rays do not intersect.

Let F denote a conformal mapping of Δ onto the complement of the two rays. The Schwarz-Christoffel formula gives

$$(13) \quad F(z) = b \int_0^z \frac{(w - \zeta_1)(w - \zeta_2)}{(w - \zeta_3)^{\alpha+1}(w - \zeta_4)^{3-\alpha}} dw + c,$$

where $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3,$ and ζ_4 are distinct points on Λ , and b and c are suitable complex numbers. Hence

$$F'(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(w - \zeta_3)^{\alpha+1}(w - \zeta_4)^{3-\alpha}},$$

where g is a quadratic polynomial. Since $3 - \alpha < \alpha + 1$, Lemma 2.5 yields $F' \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha+1}$. Hence Lemma 2.1 implies $F \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$.

Since $f(\Delta) \subset F(\Delta)$ and F is univalent, the function $\varphi = F^{-1} \circ f$ is analytic in Δ and maps Δ into Δ . Since $F \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and $\alpha > 1$, Lemma 2.3 yields $f = F \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. This proves the first assertion.

The second assertion can be proved in a similar way. The conformal mapping of Δ onto the complement of a ray has the form $F(z) = P(z)/(z - \zeta)^2$, where P is a quadratic polynomial and $|\zeta| = 1$. This yields $F \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and hence Lemma 2.3 yields $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$. □

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that f is analytic in Δ . If $f(\Delta)$ is contained in an angular wedge of opening $\alpha\pi$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$.*

PROOF. The function $z \mapsto [(1 + z)/(1 - z)]^\alpha$ maps Δ one-to-one onto the wedge $\{w : |\arg w| < \alpha\pi/2\}$. Hence there are complex numbers b and c such that the function defined by $F(z) = b[(1 + z)/(1 - z)]^\alpha + c$ maps Δ one-to-one onto the angular wedge containing $f(\Delta)$. The function $z \mapsto 1/(1 - z)^\alpha$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_α . Let $h(z) = (1 + z)^\alpha$. Since $\alpha > 1$, h' is bounded. Thus $h' \in H^1$ and it follows that h is a multiplier of \mathcal{F}_δ for every $\delta > 0$ [4, Theorem 3.5]. Therefore $F \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. Since $f(\Delta) \subset F(\Delta)$ and F is univalent, we have $f = F \circ \varphi$, where the function $\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is analytic. Since $F \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and $\alpha > 1$, Lemma 2.3 gives $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. □

References

- [1] P. Bourdon and J. A. Cima, 'On integrals of Cauchy-Stieltjes type', *Houston J. Math.* **14** (1988), 465–474.
- [2] V. P. Havin, 'On analytic functions representable by an integral of Cauchy-Stieltjes type', *Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Ser. Mat. Meh. Astronom.* **13** (1958), 66–79 (in Russian).
- [3] R. A. Hibscheweiler and T. H. MacGregor, 'Closure properties of families of Cauchy-Stieltjes transforms', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **105** (1989), 615–621.

- [4] ———, 'Multipliers of families of Cauchy-Stieltjes transforms', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **331** (1992), 377–394.
- [5] T. H. MacGregor, 'Analytic and univalent functions with integral representations involving complex measures', *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **36** (1987), 109–130.
- [6] ———, 'Fractional Cauchy transforms', *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **105** (1999), 93–108.

University of New Hampshire
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Durham, NH 03824
USA
e-mail: rah2@cisunix.unh.edu

Bowdoin College
Department of Mathematics
Brunswick, ME 04011
USA