

Draft Minutes APSA Council Meeting

September 1, 1993
Washington Hilton Hotel
9:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m.

[Editor's Note: Minutes still pending
Council approval]

Present:

Officers: Lucius J. Barker, Charles O. Jones, Arlene W. Saxonhouse, Kenneth Shepsle, F. Chris Garcia, James A. Caporaso, Catherine E. Rudder, Peter A. Gourevitch, Paula D. McClain, G. Bingham Powell

Council: Susan C. Bourque, Germaine A. Houston, Richard W. Mansbach, Ronald Rogowski, Nancy L. Rosenblum, Martin Shefter, Hanes Walton, Jr., Harriett B. Applewhite, David S. Broder, James L. Gibson, Jennifer L. Hochschild, Raymond F. Hopkins, Gary C. Jacobson, Barbara S. Romzek, Linda F. Williams

Nominees: Sidney Verba, John Aldrich, Barbara Geddes, Anne Hopkins, Margaret Levi, Mamie E. Locke, William E. Nelson, Jr., Michael Zuckert

Staff: Michael Brintnall, Robert Hauck, Sheilah Mann, Rovilla McHenry, Maurice Woodard

Guests: Dr. Cora Marrett, NSF; Professor Susan Welch, Ad Hoc Committee on Program Assessment; Paul Allen Beck, 1994 Program Committee Chair

1. *President Lucius Barker called the meeting to order and asked the members, guests, and APSA staff to introduce themselves.*

2. *The Council unanimously approved the minutes of the Council Meeting of April 17, 1993 with one amendment.*

APSR Editor, Powell, asked that item 7 of the April 17 minutes be amended to include the distribution of articles by field to include political philosophy (21%).

3. *President Lucius Barker reported to the Council on*

- a. his visits to the meetings of the regional political science associations.
- b. his visit to the Ralph Bunche Sum-

mer Institute. Barker encouraged institutions to take up the Bunche Institute and find ways to fund a new Latino Institute.

- c. the appointment of a Steering Committee to guide the Minority Identification Project, chaired by Elliot Slotnick of Ohio State University, and comprised of Sheila Ards, University of Minnesota; R. Douglas Arnold, Princeton University; Edward Carmines, Indiana University; Ann Craig, University of California, San Diego; Luis Fraga, Stanford University; Cal Jillson, University of Colorado at Boulder; and Harvey Klehr, Emory University.

- d. his pleasure at having had the opportunity to serve the Association as its President.

4. *Executive Director Catherine Rudder reported to the Council.*

The financial health of the Association is excellent, and the extra floors in the building are now rented. Even more heartening is the robust participation of its members in the affairs of the Association, supporting the annual meeting, attending committee meetings, reviewing articles and books for *APSR* and *PS*, and so forth.

There are several new APSA publications which have been extremely well received—most notably the new edition of the *State of the Discipline*, edited by Ada Finifter. A new undergraduate faculty directory is out, and the membership directory is in preparation. The syllabi collections coordinated by Sheilah Mann are also extremely popular.

5. *President-Elect Charles O. Jones reported on his Standing and Award Committee Appointments*

President-Elect Jones presented his proposed appointments to the Council, reporting that they were based on wide consultations. The task was especially prodigious because of the addition of a sixth member to each committee, intended to increase the opportunities for participation and for representation from different segments of our community, in particular institutions with primary teaching missions. He asked for permission to make adjustments to the appointments should the need arise. The Council unanimously both approved the list of appointments, and authorized the replacements if needed.

6. *Report on the 1993 Annual Meeting*

a. Report from the Meeting Chairs

President Barker commended Paula McClain and Peter Gourevitch for their energetic and creative work in organizing the 1993 meeting. Co-chairs McClain and Gourevitch in turn expressed their enthusiasm at seeing the meeting come together, and their appreciation to the APSA staff, Jennifer Hacha Richards, in particular, for the high quality of their support.

McClain reported that a section 45 was added to the program: "Politics of Change." The section included a listing of 50 panels of the 70-75 panels organized around the theme of the Politics of Identity by all sections. She also noted the addition of two program innovations: Poster Sessions and Hyde Park Sessions offering open debate on Gays in the Military and Intervention in Bosnia and Somalia.

McClain cautioned future program chairs against panel chairs who attempt to manipulate panels to their liking without prior knowledge of the section (a.k.a. division) chair's knowledge. Panel chairs should be told that changes must be vetted through the division organizer.

Gourevitch remarked on how well a voluntary association does in organizing panels. He observed that the turnover in leadership of the sections is contributing to the freshness of the program each year.

Gourevitch also pointed out that there has been a substantial increase in the number of graduate students participating on panels. This raises the issue, he said, of the role of graduate students in annual meeting panels. He questioned whether attendees are best served by hearing graduate students rather than faculty in panel presentations and discussions.

b. Report on 1993 preregistration and exhibits

Catherine Rudder reported that preregistration was at record levels, that exhibit booth sales were at an all time high, and that we occupied seven hotels.

c. Short Courses, Travel Grants, and Other Special Features of the Program

Rob Hauck reported on what he called the "internationalization" of the meeting, involving the participation of many for-

eight scholars. Six foreign scholars received APSA Council Senior International Travel Grants sponsored by APSA. Typically, many more foreign scholars attend with such support, but this year the USIA provided travel grants for 16 foreign scholars. The USIA has also purchased 1500 annual meeting programs to be distributed to their posts abroad, in response to widespread foreign demand. Several Japanese scholars are also in attendance as part of a continued exchange program with the Japanese Political Science Association.

Many foreign students are also attending the meeting with the generous support of the Huang Hsing Foundation, the APSA Council, and the Asia Foundation. A delegation of American political scientists is also meeting with a delegation from the Budapest University of Economic Sciences at the annual meeting to discuss graduate political science training in Hungary.

d. Special Programs at the Meeting

Rob Hauck and Catherine Rudder also noted the growing numbers of activities at the annual meeting aimed at graduate students, including the Leading Scholar series, the Graduate Student reception, and the orientation for foreign graduate students. Hauck also reported on the availability of tickets for Council members to visit the Holocaust Museum following the meeting.

e. New Format for Printed Program

The organization of the Annual Meeting Program has been changed to consolidate some sections previously listed separately, and to make it easier to navigate the meeting. Rob Hauck asked the Council to let staff know about any further changes which might be desirable. Arlene Saxonhouse expressed her appreciation for the arrangements to visit the Holocaust Museum and reported that she instantly found the annual meeting program much easier to read and use.

f. Panel Paper Room Changes

Michael Brintnall reported on initiatives in the panel paper room, stimulated by discussions of longer term options for electronic dissemination of materials and new publishing technologies. This year Kinko's services were made available for authors ahead of the meeting, and Kinko's will make paper pick-ups for authors wishing to print or reprint their paper during the meeting.

APSA will monitor papers on one set of tables in an experiment to consider the implications of the Association making copies of papers which sell out early. APSA will reproduce papers on the test table which sell out, and offer them for

sale at a price which covers the extra costs. Several tables will also be monitored to measure how many papers are supplied to the panel paper room, in what volume, and at what stage of the meeting.

Herbert Jacob also is leading an experiment to list abstracts of papers from two sections of the Program on the Internet.

g. Agenda for Annual Business Meeting

The agenda for the APSA 1993 Annual Business Meeting was approved unanimously.

7. 1994 Annual Meeting Program

Charles O. Jones introduced Paul Allen Beck, the 1994 Meeting Program Organizer. Beck reported that the 1994 meeting theme will be Politics and Political Science in a Changing World, intended to explore both the application of political science to the study of change and the impact of world change on the discipline of political science.

There will be 47 divisions to the meeting, incorporating the 32 existing Organized Sections. Beck noted a few problems in the connection with Organized Sections. A few Section designees did not know much about the Annual Meeting or what to do; some designees did not know they had been tapped for the position by the Section, and in one case there was distinct conflict within the Section over the choice. Beck said he did not choose the Sections' first choice in a few cases, in one instance because the choice was too "green a Ph.D."; and in another because the designee was a repeat section organizer.

There was a real problem achieving diversity in the program divisions because so much discretion was constrained by the fact that Organized Sections designate the majority of the Program Committee. He consequently has ended up with more chairs from the same institution than he would have preferred.

Beck raised several issues for consideration. He said he suspected an erosion of professionalism in facing meeting responsibilities, with increased numbers of later papers and no-shows. He said he will urge panel chairs to prohibit formal discussion of late papers, and ask the Association to keep a list of people who do not fulfill responsibilities to turn over to the next year's meeting organizer.

In discussion, it was suggested that the Council be cautious about imposing sanctions on non-participants, but that it also is valuable to track this phenomenon and to give participants very clear dates and deadlines. The Administrative Committee might then review the information and report to the Council. It was noted that some associations write to no-shows indi-

vidually asking for an explanation.

Peter Gourevitch offered, with Association help, to write to all panel chairs asking for information about no-shows and late papers. Lucius Barker and Charles O. Jones cautioned against publishing names of such people, but endorsed this effort as useful internal information and asked staff to work with the program chairs to carry it out.

8. Items relating to the *American Political Science Review*

a. Report of the Editor of the *American Political Science Review*

Bingham Powell, editor of the *American Political Science Review*, presented his report covering his second year as editor. He began by noting that he had previously described the first year of his service as "interesting" as he had coped with the mechanics of moving the editorial office, changing the format of the *Review*, and implementing new editorial practices. This second year, he said, could better be described as "ordinary."

The main item to report, he said, was that submissions continue to increase, contrary to historical patterns in which submissions have surged then fallen back when a new editor takes over. More people are submitting articles, and they are of high calibre. Four hundred and eighty-seven manuscripts were received last year, compared to the average of 426 over the five years of the previous editor.

Virtually every article submitted is reviewed. The only significant exception is articles that are too long, which are returned to authors for rewriting first (though he is also cautious of avoiding bias because some fields are more conducive to page limitations than others). The editorial board is consulted on practically everything, including recommending reviewers for each article. This requires weekly responses from the editorial board members. Nine hundred individual reviewers (up from 550 in 1990) provided over 1,500 reports on articles submitted.

The distribution of manuscripts across fields has remained roughly consistent with previous years, with 37% of submissions in American politics and public policy, 20% in comparative politics, 24% in normative political theory, 9% in international relations, and 10% in formal theory. Normative political theory has shown growth; international relations has dropped back to traditional levels from a big spurt last year. He said one of the difficulties of modern day publication, though, is that many articles no longer fit neatly into one field or another, making traditional classification difficult. For instance, a recent article on the Keating

Five and the concept of mediated corruption could be classified both as theory and policy.

Overall, 13% of submissions are accepted for publication, with acceptance by subfield varying only slightly. In other words, acceptance levels reflect submission levels.

Book reviews follow similar patterns. More books are being submitted for review, and more reviews have been sent out for review. Reviews published by field closely reflect books received by field.

The elapsed time from receipt to final decision to publish has been cut by one week compared to last year, but still is much longer than prior years because of the more complex review process. The median elapsed time last year was 65 days, compared to about 52 days in the late 1980s and 64 in 1982-83. The main reason for the greater lag is the time required for interns to prepare a synopsis for the editorial board, used to identify reviewers. The benefit from this effort is a more diverse and inclusive review process.

Bingham Powell praised the efforts of his interns and other support staff at Rochester, and expressed appreciation for the support from the Association. He said that he was pleased with the paper which is now being used in the *Review*, and finds the combined packaging with *PS* a success.

President Barker in turn expressed his thanks to Bingham Powell for his work on the *Review*.

b. Managing Editor Search Advisory Committee

President-Elect Jones said that it is a shame to lose Bingham Powell as editor. Since it must be so, he said that he has appointed a Search Advisory Committee to advise him on whom he will recommend to the Council as the next editor. He said that he felt the best choice under any circumstances to chair such a committee was Sid Verba, but especially so since Mr. Verba is nominated as the next President-Elect, and that he has accepted. The rest of the Committee is Emanuel Adler, University of Wisconsin; Jean Bethke Elstain, Vanderbilt University; John Hibbing, University of Nebraska; Matthew Holden, University of Virginia; Keith Krehbiel, Stanford University; Bingham Powell, University of Rochester; and Kay Schlozman, Boston College. The budget for this Committee is to be worked out with the Executive Director and the Chair. The Council approved the appointment of this Committee and necessary budget allotments unanimously.

The procedure for the search will be: (1) a call for nominations; (2) development by the Search Committee of a short

list; (3) a final recommendation by the President working with the Chair of the Search Committee; (4) negotiations by the Executive Director with the institution involved; and (5) a final recommendation to the Council for approval. President-Elect Jones said he welcomed active Council participation in the search.

c. Report on Physical Changes in the *American Political Science Review*

Catherine Rudder reported that the change in the format of the *Review* has resulted in more pages at less cost. All of the initial objectives to this end have more than been met. Bingham Powell has been central to getting this transformation in place, along with the effective coordination between him and Robert J-P. Hauck, editor of *PS*, to mail their two journals together.

9. Presentation by Dr. Cora Marrett, Assistant Director for Social, Behavior, and Economic Sciences, National Science Foundation

Catherine Rudder described the recent progress in "earning a place at the table" for political science within the NSF, particularly through establishing the new Directorate on social, behavioral and economic sciences. She then introduced Dr. Marrett, Assistant Director of the new Directorate, as the ideal person to consolidate and advance our progress in "getting a place at the table" within the NSF. Rudder said NSF could not have done better.

Dr. Marrett credited all of the disciplines for helping NSF move ahead in its support for political science and the other social, behavioral, and economic sciences, and said she would like to request the opportunity to rely on us more in the future.

She expressed appreciation to Catherine Rudder for her great support of NSF and willingness to be on hand frequently as she convened executive directors and others to NSF town meetings for ideas and guidance. She noted the important role of political scientists in the Directorate's work, thanking Jim Gibson for his work on a new advisory committee for the Directorate and noting that Allen Kornberg would be joining her as a new division head.

She said that the success in creating the new Directorate occurred both because of the direct efforts of many people, and also the groundwork of high quality scholarship. The evidence of such scholarship made it possible for the Directorate's supporters to convince others that when created it would thrive.

New divisions in the Directorate are international programs focusing on collaboration of U.S. and the rest of the

world, regardless of discipline; science resources studies, which gathers statistics on the resources the nation commits to science and engineering, and SBES research which is the social and political sciences cluster. Allen Kornberg will head this latter division.

In addition to pressures from below, in the form of high quality proposals seeking funding, the NSF agenda is also sensitive to top-down direction. The President has set several key priorities which it is valuable to recognize have central SBES roles. One is an emphasis on advanced manufacturing, to assure the U.S. retains the leading edge in industrial processes. Dr. Marrett pointed out that the engineers themselves are the first to recognize that these are human and organizational issues as much as technological. Another is communication and information—the so-called high performance information highway. One initiative is to "network" an entire town—Blacksburg, VA—which, of course, has significant social science implications. Other areas are preparing a Year 2000 work force with appropriate science, math, and technological skills; and civil infrastructure systems. Each of these has social science issues at its core. This is increasingly being recognized, but still also calls for the social scientists to step forward and identify the critical role they can play.

Dr. Marrett observed that the SBES must understand social sciences can and must be applied to the research agenda of all scientific fields. She concluded with the observation that 87% of federal funding for political science research comes from NSF.

10. Report of the APSA Treasurer

Treasurer James Caporaso presented his report, noting several key points about the budget: FY 1992-93 showed a surplus of \$86,565; the revised proposed budget for FY 1993-94 has incorporated small adjustments in several lines resulting in an expected \$33 surplus; the market value of investments is now \$9.84 million; we are near completion of the move of all investments under the purview of the Trust and Development Committee; and that investments last year earned 16% for the Trust and Development Fund and 19% for the Congressional Fellowship Program Endowment.

In response to questions, it was noted that although the Association is facing pressures of increasing postage costs in general, budgeted amounts for mailing of the *APSR* and *PS* have been lowered because of the savings from their joint packaging. There were also questions about increased amounts budgeted for computer supplies. Catherine Rudder replied that as well as showing generally

increasing costs for supplies, this was a reflection of our doing many more things with the computer, such as generating the Undergraduate Directory in-house, and was a means to hold down personnel levels and costs of contracting out.

The revised proposed budget for FY 1993-94 was approved unanimously.

11. *Report on Organized Sections*

Barbara Romzek, Chair of the Council Committee on Organized Sections, and Michael Brintnall reported on the implementation of new policy initiatives for Sections approved by the Council at its last meeting. These include new thresholds for creation and maintenance of Sections, direct appointment of Sections of the annual meeting organizer with the advice and consent of the program organizer, a rebate of some revenues from sales of Section labels, and a restriction on sale of advertising in Section newsletters. Section heads have been notified of these policies, and will be assembling at the annual meeting to discuss them. Romzek added that should some small Sections elect to disband because of the new minimum size requirements, we will need policies about how to handle their treasuries and membership. She invited comments from Council members about this.

President-Elect Jones reported that he has appointed members to the new Standing Committee on Organized Sections, and that all members have accepted pending Council approval. Barbara Romzek, University of Kansas, will chair the Committee, and Russell Dalton, University of California-Irvine; Elizabeth Hanson, University of Connecticut; Allen Hertzke, University of Oklahoma; Michael Preston, University of Southern California; and Joseph Stewart, University of Texas-Dallas, will serve on it. The Committee appointments were approved unanimously.

12. *Report from the Program Assessment Committee*

Susan Welch presented the report of the Program Assessment Committee on behalf of Chair Dick Fenno. The Ad Hoc Committee on Program Assessment was established by the Council at the request of the Executive Director and the staff to evaluate the full range of APSA services and the balance of resources allocated among them.

Welch reported that the Committee focused at a general level. A detailed program by program review was beyond its resources. The Committee found that APSA operations are in good hands, with a high quality staff behind them. She said the Association appears to be well adapting to the times, adding and pruning pro-

grams as appropriate. The Committee did look closely at the matter of membership and how the Association could grow.

While there is a pool of potential members in academia, especially in four-year programs and in community colleges, there also seems to be no "magic bullet" for reaching them.

The Committee noted some areas in which more Association work might be desirable, such as in disseminating information about assessment processes which many institutions are now grappling with, and in doing more with reaching foreign scholars. But Welch also noted the Association already has initiatives in place to address these issues. The Committee also thought the prospect of establishing a visiting scholars center was a good idea. And they also endorsed the prospect of establishing sunset provisions for APSA committees.

President Barker added that the Administrative Committee has already taken the initiative to follow up the work of this committee by recommending program by program self-studies, to be reporting to the Administrative Committee for review and subsequent discussion with the Council. This would be done with an eye toward meeting current and future needs of political scientists and creating new programs within context of available resources.

President-Elect Jones concluded the discussion by noting that it pays to keep an eye on programs in the future, but that it also is useful to highlight the key finding of the Assessment Committee that we looked at ourselves and found the Association to be in good condition.

The Committee report and proposed follow-up actions by the Administrative Committee were approved unanimously by the Council.

13. *Reports on Minority Programs*

Catherine Rudder reported that the Ralph Bunche Summer Institute Program had gone forward this summer at a reduced scale. Eight of the students from this summer plan to be at the annual meeting. We are working hard to continue this program, and to establish a corresponding program for Latino students. But, such programs are expensive, and assembling the needed funding is difficult. Many people are instrumental at making it work, often working with only partial compensation. Schools do give a lot. Emory University, Spelman College, and Georgia State, for instance, donate course credit, overhead expenses, buses for transporting students among campuses, use of computer centers, etc. We need foundation support, but also a 50% match or so to make it viable.

We can't say now where future funding

will come from, but several avenues are being explored. A proposal from the University of California, San Diego, was turned down by NSF last year but we hope to pursue that option again. Lucius Barker has also been exploring foundation funding in conjunction with Stanford University. The Atlanta Consortium may be willing to continue their contributions, coupled with another generous gift from the Coca-Cola Foundation. President Barker added that he invited any institution to come forward to sponsor the Institutes.

Maurice Woodard listed graduate schools offering fellowships to minority students who had been identified to receive APSA minority fellowships this year, and noted the excellent quality of institutions which these students are attending.

He also pointed out that several important individuals will be honored at receptions at the annual meeting for their contributions to the profession and to advancing minorities in political science. Rudolfo O. de la Garza will be honored by the Committee on the Status of Latinos in the Profession; and Walter E. Beach and Hanes Walton, Jr. will be honored by the Committee on the Status of Blacks in the Profession.

Michael Brintnall reported that the Minority Identification Program is continuing with growing support from graduate and undergraduate institutions. As President Barker reported earlier, a Steering Committee has been appointed to work with this project.

Germain A. Hoston emphasized the importance of gaining the attention of minority students at the high school and early college years to overcome a pipeline problem in which students turn to other fields before learning about opportunities in political science. We need especially to reach out to the high schools, the community colleges, and to historically black institutions. She added that any faculty can go into the high schools to talk with students; it cannot be the responsibility only of minority faculty.

14. *Report on Education Programs*

Catherine Rudder reported on education programs on behalf of Sheila Mann who was organizing the Department Chairs workshop underway at that time. She noted special efforts to recognize outstanding teachers—any faculty who have received a campus-wide teaching award from their institution will be honored by APSA. We have received the names of 72 faculty who have been so honored, and all have been invited to the President-Elect's reception at the meeting for recognition. We will follow up in *PS* and at the next meeting with recognition of anyone whose name did not get to us this

year, plus naming next year's honorees.

We also completed a very successful summer institute on the American political system for foreign educators, sponsored by USIA. The institute was held jointly with the American University.

15. *Report on the APSA Research Grant Program*

Michael Brintnall noted the study of the Research Grant Program which is in the Council book. He said the Committee has not yet had a chance to review the study to consider whether to make any recommendations to the Council, and that would be forthcoming at the next Council meeting.

16. *Report from the Committee on the Status of Lesbians and Gays in the Profession*

Michael Brintnall reported to the Council that, per its charge, the Committee has underway a two-part study of the status of lesbians and gays in the profession. The first part is a questionnaire to members, which is being distributed at the meeting and is printed in *PS*. This is not sample based in order to provide the opportunity to reach as many members as possible. The second step is a survey of department chairs, which will be distributed along with the Departmental Survey, with the concurrence of the Departmental Services Committee.

17. *Other Committee Reports and Materials*

Attention was drawn to informational materials supplied with the Council book. This included information on the Oral History project which is wrapping up at the University of Kentucky and will result soon in a volume of oral histories of black political scientists. Twelve of fifteen scheduled interviews have been completed. The apparent success of the Chairs Workshop sponsored by the Departmental Services Committee, going on concurrently with the Council meeting, was also noted. Rob Hauck announced that the *State of the Discipline II* book was now in print and being distributed, and that the authors would be honored at the Graduate Student Reception. Hauck also reported that APSA had purchased a new, state of the art telephone system, which would be in place soon after the annual meeting. And Catherine Rudder pointed out the increasing number of activities at the annual meeting oriented to graduate students, and invited Council members to participate in them fully.

18. *New Business*

Rob Hauck reported on a new situa-

tion involving the APSA building which might warrant considering its possible sale. He said that the building is a significant asset, which we work hard to make contribute to the Association. The new tenant, the Eurasia Foundation, is an ideal neighbor. In addition, we are working to secure tax exemption for the property.

The State Department, however, has designated New Hampshire Avenue where we are as a new embassy row. This has generated increased interest in purchase of our property as an embassy site, especially since world events have raised demand for new embassies. We are an ideal site with a good location, a well-maintained building, and parking and consequently might be able to command a substantial premium above current market prices.

The Administrative Committee has directed staff, in the most cautious manner, to pursue the possibilities, particularly to determine if we could purchase a smaller building outside the embassy zone, and after considering all costs, have enough additional net revenue to add or fund a program. This calculation would include the cost of buying out our current tenant. It would also consider whether we had obtained tax exemption for the current building, which stays with the property. A \$1 million net revenue would fund a \$50,000 per year program. We would hope for a net twice that amount. The net revenue would definitely be earmarked for endowment of one or more APSA programs.

President Barker added that the Administrative Committee has established a procedure for this inquiry which includes full, frequent consultation and approval by the Administrative Committee and the Council.

Raymond Hopkins remarked on the wonderful building and location that we have now, and indicated he would rather work to raise new funds for endowment elsewhere than risk moving to a less desirable building. Ron Rogowski said that if a zoning decision presents us with a windfall, and we could get a building of comparable quality that is not so zoned, then we have an obligation to consider it, since the net funds could be used for activities to advance the purpose of the Association and to help political scientists in the future.

Lucius Barker reiterated that, at present, all that is called for is an inquiry into the possibilities. He asked for a vote of the Council on the Administrative Committee recommendation to look into these issues, as spelled out in the Administrative Committee minutes. In discussion, the charge to the National Office to conduct a full budgetary assessment, to include "full transactions costs, moving

expenses, loss of rental income, effect on the agreement with current tenants and all other relevant considerations" was modified by friendly amendment offered by Ron Rogowski to include after the word tenants "comparability of alternative facilities."

The Administrative Committee recommendation, so amended, passed in a voice vote with one dissent.

20. *The Council adjourned.* The next meeting will be Saturday, April 16, 1994, in Chicago, IL.

APSA Awards Presented at 1993 Annual Meeting

DISSERTATION AWARDS

Gabriel A. Almond Award (\$250)

For the best doctoral dissertation completed and accepted during 1991 or 1992 in the field of comparative politics.

Award Committee: George T. Yu, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Chair; Mary Katzenstein, Cornell University; August Nimtz, University of Minnesota

Recipient: Daniel M. Green, Indiana University

Dissertation: "Structural Adjustment Reform, Politics and Government Political Projects: A Comparative Study of PNDC Ghana and the District Assembly Decentralization Policy"

Dissertation Chair: Patrick O'Meara

Citation: Daniel M. Green's exceptionally well researched and written study examines the impact of structural adjustment economic reform programs on politics in developing countries. He examines Ghana from 1982 to 1990 under the government of the Provisional National Defence Council. The focus of the study is upon how structural adjustment reforms affect patterns of politics and influence the political strategies of governments. One of Green's findings is that implemented adjustment reforms can have earth-shaking consequences, creating markets and granting ascendancy to market rules of economic exchange where none were previously present.

The conclusions are relevant to the greater issues facing developing countries: issues concerning economic restructuring, the political dimensions of economic reform, government capacities to redraw economic and political rules in society,