

## THE ALMOST LINDELÖF DEGREE

BY

S. WILLARD AND U. N. B. DISSANAYAKE

ABSTRACT. In [A], Arhangel'skii showed that for any  $T_2$  space  $X$ ,  $|X| \leq 2^{L(X)\chi(X)}$ , where  $L(X)$  is the Lindelöf degree of  $X$  and  $\chi(X)$  is the character of  $X$ .

In [B], Bell, Ginsburg and Woods improved this result, assuming normality, by showing that for  $T_4$  spaces  $X$ ,  $|X| \leq 2^{wL(X)\chi(X)}$ , where  $wL(X)$  is the weak Lindelöf degree of  $X$ .

We introduce below a new cardinal function  $aL(X)$ , the *almost Lindelöf degree* of  $X$ , which agrees with  $L(X)$  on  $T_3$  spaces, but which is often smaller than  $L(X)$  on  $T_2$  spaces, and show that for  $T_2$  spaces  $X$ ,

$$|X| \leq 2^{aL(X)\chi(X)}.$$

**1. Introduction.** Our undefined notation follows that in [J]. Briefly, then, for a topological space  $X$ ,

$L(X)$  = the Lindelöf degree of  $X$ ,

$\chi(X)$  = the character of  $X$ ,

$\partial(X)$  = the tightness of  $X$ ,

$\pi\chi(X)$  = the  $\pi$ -character of  $X$ ,

$\psi_c(X)$  = the closed character of  $X$ .

In addition, a subset  $E$  of a topological space  $X$  will be *almost  $\kappa$ -Lindelöf* iff every  $X$ -open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $E$  has a subsystem  $\mathcal{U}'$  with  $|\mathcal{U}'| \leq \kappa$  and  $E \subset \bigcup \{Cl_x U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$ . We then define

$$aL(E, X) = \min\{\kappa \mid E \text{ is almost } \kappa\text{-Lindelöf}\},$$

$$aL(X) = \omega + \sup\{aL(E, X) \mid E \text{ closed in } X\},$$

and refer to  $aL(X)$  as the *almost Lindelöf degree* of  $X$ .

Similarly, the *weak Lindelöf degree*  $wL(X)$  of  $X$  is by definition the least  $\kappa \geq \omega$  such that every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $x$  has a subsystem  $\mathcal{U}'$  with  $|\mathcal{U}'| \leq \kappa$  and  $X = \bigcup \mathcal{U}'$ .

Note that  $wL(X) \leq aL(X, X) + \omega$ .

---

Received by the editors August 18, 1983 and, in revised form, December 13, 1983.

AMS Subject Classification (1980) Primary 54A25 Secondary 54D20

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1984

1.1 THEOREM. For any topological space  $X$ ,

- (i)  $wL(X) \leq aL(X) \leq L(X)$ .
- (ii)  $aL(X) = L(X)$  if  $X$  is  $T_3$ .

**Proof.** Follows from the definitions.

1.2 REMARK. Let  $\kappa K$  be the Katěov  $H$ -closed extension of the infinite discrete space  $X$ . A typical basic open set  $B$  in  $\kappa X$  takes the form  $B = G \cup \{\mathcal{P}\}$  where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a free ultrafilter on  $X$  and  $G \in \mathcal{P}$  or  $B$  is a subset of  $X$ . Since  $\kappa X - X$  is the set of all free ultrafilters on  $X$ , it is clear that  $\kappa X - X$  is a closed discrete subset of  $\kappa X$  and  $|\kappa X - X| = 2^{2^{|X|}}$ . Thus  $L(\kappa X) = 2^{2^{|X|}}$ . We denote the Stone-Cêch compactification of  $X$  by  $\beta X$ . Since the weight of  $\beta X$  is  $2^{|X|}$ , and by considering basic open neighbourhoods at  $\mathcal{P} \in \beta X - X$  in  $\kappa X$ , it follows that  $aL(\kappa X) = 2^{|X|}$  and  $aL(\kappa X, \kappa X) < \aleph_0$ .

This standard example (19N of [W]) shows that in general  $wL(X)$ ,  $aL(X)$  and  $L(X)$  are distinct for  $T_2$  spaces. Next we shall give a more general example since not every infinite cardinal is of the form  $2^\kappa$ .

1.3 EXAMPLE. For any cardinal  $\kappa \geq \omega$ , there exists a  $T_2$ -space  $X$  with  $aL(X) \leq \kappa$  and  $L(X) = 2^\kappa$ .

**Proof.** Let  $T = I^\kappa \times I$ , and let  $E$  be the subspace  $I^\kappa \times \{0\}$  of  $T$ . Note that  $T$  is hereditarily  $\kappa$ -Lindelöf (since  $w(T) = \kappa$ ).

Let  $X$  be the set  $T$  with the following topology: neighbourhoods of points  $p \in T - E$  will be unchanged in  $X$ , while neighbourhoods of points  $p \in E$  will take the form

$$U_p^* = (U - E) \cup \{p\}$$

where  $U$  is a neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $T$ .

Certainly  $L(X) = 2^\kappa$  since  $E$  is a closed relatively discrete subspace of  $X$  of cardinality  $2^\kappa$ .

Moreover,  $aL(X) = \kappa$ . This follows from the fact that if  $U$  is open in  $T$  and  $p \in U \cap E$ , then

$$Cl_X U_p^* \supset U.$$

To see this, let  $x \in U$ . If  $x \notin E$ , then  $x \in U_p^*$ , so assume  $x \in U \cap E$ . Let  $V_x^*$  be a neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Then

$$V_x^* = (V - E) \cup \{x\}$$

where  $V$  is a neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $T$ . Since  $E$  is nowhere dense, it follows that  $V_x^* \cap U_p^* \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $x \in Cl_X U_p^*$ .

2. **Main theorem.** Here, our terminology follows that of [J]. We require the following lemma.

2.1 LEMMA. (a) Let  $X$  be a  $T_2$  space. Then  $|X| \leq d(X)^{X(X)}$ .

(b) Let  $X$  be a space with  $\partial(X) \leq \beta$ . Let  $G: P(X)_{\leq \beta} \rightarrow P_{\leq \kappa}(X)$  be a set mapping with the property that  $G(A) \supset \bar{A}$  for every  $A \in P_{\leq \beta}(X)$ . Suppose there exists  $B \subset X$  such that  $B \supset G(A)$  for every  $A \in P_{\leq \beta}(B)$ . Then  $B = \bar{B}$ .

**Proof.** (a) See [J], 2.5.

(b) Let  $x \in \bar{B}$ . Then, since  $\partial(X) \leq \beta$ , there is an  $A \in P_{\leq \beta}(B)$  with  $x \in \bar{A}$ . Thus  $x \in G(A) \subset B$ .

2.2 THEOREM. Let  $X$  be a  $T_2$  space. Then  $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)aL(X)}$ .

**Proof.** Set  $\beta = \chi(X)aL(X)$  and  $\kappa = 2^\beta$ . For each  $x \in X$  let  $\mathcal{W}_x$  be a collection of neighbourhoods of  $x$  such that  $|\mathcal{W}_x| \leq \beta$  and

$$\{x\} = \bigcap \{\bar{W} : W \in \mathcal{W}_x\}.$$

For  $A \subset X$ , we write

$$\mathcal{W}_A = \bigcup \{\mathcal{W}_x : x \in A\}.$$

Suppose  $A \subset X$  with  $|A| \leq \beta$ . Let  $V_A$  consist of all  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{W}_A$  such that  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq \beta$  and  $X - \bigcup \{\bar{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \Phi$ . Since  $|\mathcal{W}_A| \leq \beta$ , we have  $|V_A| \leq \beta^\beta = 2^\beta = \kappa$ . For each  $\mathcal{V} \in V_A$ , choose  $p(\mathcal{V}) \in X - \bigcup \{\bar{U} : U \in \mathcal{V}\}$  and set

$$G(A) = \overline{A \cup \{p(\mathcal{V}) : \mathcal{V} \in V_A\}}.$$

Then, by 2.1 (a),  $|G(A)| \leq \kappa^\beta = 2^\beta = \kappa$ .

Hence  $G: P_{\leq \beta}(X) \rightarrow P_{\leq \kappa}(X)$ .

Now by 2.24 (a) in [J], there is some  $B \subset X$  with  $|B| = \kappa$  such that  $B \supset G(A)$  for every  $A \in P_{\leq \beta}(B)$ .

We claim  $X = B$ .

First, by 2.1 (b),  $B$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . Suppose  $q \in X - B$ . For each  $y \in B$ , choose  $V_y \in \mathcal{W}_y$  such that  $q \notin \bar{V}_y$ . Since  $aL(B, X) \leq \kappa$ , there is some  $D \in P_{\leq \beta}(B)$  such that  $B \subset \bigcup \{\bar{V}_y : y \in D\} \subset X - \{q\}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_y : y \in D\}$  belongs to  $V_D$  and by construction,  $p(\mathcal{V}) \in G(D) \subset B$ . But  $p(\mathcal{V}) \in X - \bigcup \{\bar{U} : U \in \mathcal{V}\} \subset X - B$ , a contradiction. Thus, it follows that  $|X| \leq \kappa$ .

The following theorem generalizes 2.1 (a) and the proof is similar to 2.5 of [J].

2.3 THEOREM. Let  $X$  be a  $T_2$  space. Then  $|X| \leq d(X)^{\pi\chi(X)\psi_c(X)}$ .

Following the main lines of the proof of the Theorem 2.2 and applying 2.3 instead of 2.1 (a), one can easily obtain the following generalization of 2.2.

2.4 THEOREM. Let  $X$  be a  $T_2$  space. Then  $|X| \leq 2^{aL(X)\psi_c(X)\pi\chi(X)\partial(X)}$ .

2.5 EXAMPLE. The space constructed in 1.3 illustrates that the bound provided by Theorem 2.2 is sharper for (non-regular)  $T_2$  spaces than Arhangel'skii's famous

$$|X| \leq 2^{L(X)\chi(X)}$$

That example can be modified to show that our 2.2 differs also from the following result of Hajnal and Juhasz:

$$|X| \leq 2^{c(X) \times (X)}$$

(for  $T_2$  spaces; cf [J], 2.15(B)). To do this, let  $X$  be the space constructed in 1.3 and let  $Y$  be the Alexandroff double of the space  $T$  in 1.3. Then the disjoint union  $Z$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  has  $aL(Z) = \chi(Z) = \kappa$  while  $c(Z) = L(Z) = 2^\kappa$ .

We are indebted to the referee for his helpful suggestions towards the improvements of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [A] A. V. Arhangel'skii, *On the cardinality of bicomacta satisfying the first axiom of countability*, Soviet Math. Dok. **10** (1969), 951–955.
- [B] M. J. Bell, J. N. Ginsburg and G. Woods, *Cardinal inequalities for topological spaces involving the weak Lindelöf number*, Pacific J. Math. **79** (1978), 37–45.
- [J] I. Juhasz, *Cardinal functions in Topology – ten years later*, Math. Centre Tract #123, Math. centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [W] S. Willard, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1968.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA  
EDMONTON, ALBERTA