

STEEPEST DESCENT AND LEAST SQUARES SOLVABILITY

BY
C. W. GROETSCH

Let T be a bounded linear operator defined on a Hilbert space H . An element $z \in H$ is called a least squares solution of the equation

$$(1) \quad Tx = b$$

if $\|Tz - b\| = \inf\{\|Tx - b\| : x \in H\}$. It is easily shown that z is a least squares solution of (1) if and only if z satisfies the normal equation

$$(2) \quad T^*Tx = T^*b,$$

where T^* is the adjoint of T .

If the range of T is closed, then (1) is always least squares solvable and Nashed [5] has shown that the steepest descent sequence $\{x_n\}$, given by

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \alpha_n r_n, \quad x_0 \in H$$

where $r_n = T^*Tx_n - T^*b$ and $\alpha_n = \|r_n\|^2 / \|Tr_n\|^2$, converges to a least squares solution. Kammerer and Nashed [3] have established the convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to a least squares solution for operators with nonclosed range provided that the projection of b onto the closure of the range of T is in the range of TT^* .

In this note we give a necessary and sufficient condition for least squares solvability of (1) for operators with arbitrary range. This condition is reminiscent of Picard's criterion for solvability of linear integral equations of the first kind [7, p. 164] (see also [2], [4], and [6]) and is analogous to a theorem of Browder and Petryshyn [1] which characterizes the solvability of certain nonlinear operator equations in terms of the sequence of Picard iterates of the operator.

THEOREM. *Each weak limit point of $\{x_n\}$ is a least squares solution of (1).*

Proof. Suppose z is a weak limit point of $\{x_n\}$. Given $y \in H$, there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ with

$$\lim_j \langle z - x_{n_j}, y \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\lim \langle x_{n_j} - z, y - T^*Ty \rangle = 0.$$

However, $\langle x_{n_j} - z, y - T^*Ty \rangle = \langle x_{n_j} - z - r_{n_j} + T^*Tz - T^*b, y \rangle$, therefore addition of the limits gives

$$\langle T^*Tz - T^*b, y \rangle = \lim \langle r_{n_j}, y \rangle.$$

But Nashed [5] has shown that $\lim r_n = 0$ (the blanket assumption in [5] is that T has closed range, however the proof that $\lim r_n = 0$ does not use this assumption). Since y is arbitrary, it follows that z is a solution of (2), i.e. z is a least squares solution of (1).

In a Hilbert space every strongly bounded sequence contains a weakly convergent subsequence, therefore if $\{x_n\}$ is bounded for some $x_0 \in H$ the theorem above implies that (1) has a least squares solution. Conversely, if z is a least squares solution, then the argument of [3, 3.2] shows that $\{\|x_n - z\|\}$ is non-increasing and hence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Therefore we have:

COROLLARY. *Equation (1) is least squares solvable if and only if the steepest descent sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded for any $x_0 \in H$.*

REFERENCES

1. F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, *The solution by iteration of nonlinear functional equations in Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 571–575.
2. J. B. Diaz and F. T. Metcalf, *On iterative procedures for equations of the first kind, $Ax=y$, and Picard's criterion for the existence of a solution*, Math. Comp. **24** (1970), 923–935.
3. W. J. Kammerer and M. Z. Nashed, *Steepest descent for singular linear operators with nonclosed range*, Appl. Anal. **1** (1971), 143–159.
4. ———, *Iterative methods for best approximate solutions of linear integral equations of the first and second kind*, MRC Technical Summary Report #1117, Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin, 1971.
5. M. Z. Nashed, *Steepest descent for singular linear operator equations*, SIAM J. Number. Anal. **7** (1970), 358–362.
6. M. Z. Nashed and Grace Wahba, *Convergence rates of approximate least squares solutions of linear integral and operator equations of the first kind*, MRC Technical Summary Report #1260, Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin, 1972.
7. F. Smithies, *Integral Equations*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1970.

UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45221