

The ileum of the sheep as a site of protein digestion

By D. BEN-GHEDALIA, H. TAGARI AND A. BONDI

*Department of Animal Nutrition, The Hebrew University,
Faculty of Agriculture, Rehovot, Israel*

(Received 20 October 1975 – Accepted 15 March 1976)

1. In order to study the ability of the ileum of the sheep to digest and absorb protein, casein or gluten were infused into the intestine of rams through cannulas inserted at distances of 0.05, 7, 9 and 15 m from the pylorus. Amounts of casein or gluten containing 10–20 g nitrogen were infused during 24 h, in animals given a low-N diet.
2. N balance was negative during the preliminary period when no infusion was given; infusion of casein or gluten increased the daily N retention to 5–15 g.
3. Infusion of proteins into the intestine did not induce an increased activity of pancreatic proteases in digesta withdrawn from the lower part of the intestine.
4. The increased N retention after the infusion of proteins into the lower part of the intestine indicates a considerable capacity of this section of the intestine to digest and absorb proteins.

The localization of protein digestion along the gut has been studied extensively in man (Nixon & Mawer, 1970) and chicks (Bird, 1968).

However, there is little information concerning the relative contribution of different regions of the sheep's small intestine to protein digestion. Whereas Kay (1969) suggested that segmental specialization of the sheep's intestine associated with protein digestion does not exist, Ben-Ghedalia, Tagari, Bondi & Tadmor (1974) reported that the middle one-third of the small intestine was the main site for protein digestion and absorption. In the latter study, the activities of pancreatic proteases were found to be markedly reduced as the digesta flowed along the lower part of the small intestine. Whether consequently or not, no apparent protein digestion or absorption was found in the last 10 m of the small intestine. Furthermore, a considerable amount of protein-nitrogen (7.5 g), passed daily to the terminal ileum, while the N ingested in food amounted to 18.8 g/d. In view of these findings, the ability of the sheep to digest and absorb protein along the last 10 m of the small intestine might be questioned. The present study was designed to determine whether this ability does in fact exist, and if not, whether digestion or absorption is the limiting factor.

In order to study the ability of the ileum of sheep to digest and absorb protein, casein, wheat gluten or casein hydrolysate was infused into the lower part of the intestine of cannulated rams. The high values for N digestibility and retention indicate considerable ability of the ileum to both digest protein and absorb the digested products.

Table 1. *The composition (g/kg) of the semi-purified diets given during Expts 1 and 2*

Ingredient	Expt 1	Expt 2
Starch	570	267
Cottonseed hulls	400	300
Citrus pulp	—	400
Sodium chloride	10	10
Dicalcium phosphate	10	10
Calcium carbonate	7	10
Mineral mixture*	3	3
Vitamin mixture†		
Nitrogen content	3.07	5.20

* A commercial mineral mixture used as an additive in concentrates for calf fattening (Folkman & Kofler Ltd, Petach Tikva, Israel).

† Supplying 400 µg retinol equivalent, 20 µg cholecalciferol equivalent and 13.4 mg α-tocopherol/kg diet.

EXPERIMENTAL

Expt 1

Two 2-year-old, 55–60 kg rams were given four treatments in four successive treatment periods. One animal was equipped with a T-shaped intestinal cannula at 7 m from the pylorus, the second was cannulated at 15 m from the pylorus. The cannulation procedures were as described previously (Ben-Ghedalia *et al.* 1974).

Treatment period 1. The diet consisted of 400 g vetch hay (*Vicia sativa* L.) (N content 20.8 g/kg) and 600 g commercial concentrate mixture (N content 20.5 g/kg)/d as given by Ben-Ghedalia *et al.* (1974). This diet was designed to produce a positive N balance (positive control diet). During this treatment period and throughout the experiment, the food was given in equal amounts twice daily at 07.30 and 19.30 hours. After an adaptation period of 30 d, a N balance experiment lasting 5 d was carried out. Details of procedures used in N balance experiments have been described (Tagari, 1969).

Treatment period 2. During this treatment period, the rams received a N-deficient diet consisting of a semi-synthetic mixture (Table 1) (3.07 g N/kg); ram no. 1 was offered 450 g/d and ram no. 2 500 g/d. In addition, 800 g cottonseed hulls (8.64 g N/kg)/d were offered to each ram. This ration (negative control diet) was considered to induce a negative N balance. The object of this treatment was to adjust the animals to a low body N status, which would serve as a baseline from which their capacity to digest and absorb a protein or its hydrolysate infused into the distal part of the small intestine could be assessed. Feeding and N balance procedures were as used during treatment period 1. This treatment period consisted of a 5 d gradual diet change, followed by a 10 d adaptation period and 5 d collection period.

Treatment period 3. The negative control diet was continued during this period. Casein hydrolysate (acid-, salt- and vitamin-free; Nutritional Biochemicals Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) was infused into ram no. 1 at the 7 m site and into ram no. 2 at the 15 m site. Casein hydrolysate (63 g) was dissolved in 700 ml distilled water together with 0.63 g tryptophan, and the mixture was infused using a peristaltic

pump (Type RZG; H. H. Heidolph, Schwabach, W. Germany), 20–24 h/d for 7 d. Faeces and urine collections began on the third day of infusion.

Treatment period 4. The sheep continued to receive the negative control diet. Infusion of intact casein was begun 1 week from the end of the casein-hydrolysate infusion. Casein (70 g; non-protein-N-free) was dissolved in 700 ml 0.028 M-disodium hydrogen phosphate as described by Egan & Moir (1965) and its pH was adjusted to simulate the pH of digesta found at the infusion sites. The experimental procedures were as in treatment period 3.

Expt 2

The object of this experiment was to study the digestive potential of the distal part of the sheep's small intestine when greater amounts of protein (casein or gluten) than those used in Expt 1 were infused. Four rams, 1–2 years old and weighing about 50 kg, were used. Two of them (rams nos. 3 and 4) were cannulated at the duodenum (0.05 m from the pylorus), the third (ram no. 5) and fourth (ram no. 2) were equipped with T-shaped cannulas at 9 and 15 m distance from the pylorus respectively.

The animals were offered 900 g negative control diet/d (Table 1), supplying 4.6 g N/d, as the only feeding-stuff throughout the experiment. The composition of the diet was modified from that used in Expt 1 by incorporating dried citrus pulp (Table 1), in order to make it more palatable. The feeding procedure was as described in Expt 1 except that, before the meals, chromic oxide (1 g) impregnated into paper was supplied to rams nos. 2 and 5, to enable measurements of the rate of flow of the digesta at the cannulation sites.

N balance was determined as in Expt 1 and, in addition, pancreatic protease activities in digesta sampled from rams nos. 2 and 5 were determined. Six digesta samples/d were withdrawn, beginning 1 h after the morning meal, at 1.5 h intervals on the 1st, 3rd and 5th day of each period. The infusion of protein was stopped 10 min before each sampling.

Treatment period 1. The negative control diet was fed for 20 d of adaptation and 5 d of N balance; samples of digesta were taken during the balance experiment.

Treatment period 2. During this treatment period 140 g casein/d dissolved in 800 ml 0.028 M- Na_2HPO_4 were infused for 7 d. On the 1st day, digesta sampling was begun; faeces and urine collections were started on the 3rd day of infusion.

Treatment period 3. The infusion consisted of 180 g wheat gluten (796 g protein/kg) dispersed in 1600 ml 0.02 M-hydrochloric acid. This gave a suspension at pH 3 which was suitable for infusion into the duodenum. For infusion at the 9 and 15 m sites, 0.05 M-sodium hydroxide was simultaneously infused at a rate calibrated to adjust the pH of the gluten infusate to that of the digesta. Further experimental steps were as described for treatment period 2.

Analytical methods

Total N in diets, faeces and urine was determined by the Kjeldahl technique. Trypsin (*EC* 3.4.4.4), chymotrypsin A (*EC* 3.4.4.5) and carboxypeptidase A (*EC* 3.4.2.1) activities in digesta were measured by the methods used by Ben-Ghedalia *et al.* (1974). Cr_2O_3 was determined by the method of Stevenson & de Langen (1960).

Table 2. *Expt 1. Nitrogen digestibilities and retentions of two rams cannulated at intestinal sites 7 or 15 m distal to the pylorus, and given successively (1) a N-sufficient diet* (positive control diet), (2) a N-deficient diet* (negative control diet (NCD)), (3) NCD + intestinal infusions of 63 g casein hydrolysate into the 7 or 15 m sites, (4) NCD + intestinal infusions of 70 g intact casein into the 7 or 15 m sites*

Ram no.	Distance of cannula from pylorus (m)	Dietary N (g/d)		Total	Refusals	Intake	Infused N (g/d)	Excreted N (g/d)		Digested N (g/d)	Retained N (g/d)
		In dietary components:*						In faeces	In urine		
		Vetch (<i>Vicia sativa</i> L.) hay	Concentrate mixture								
Treatment period † 1 (positive control diet)											
1	7	8.32	12.30	20.62	—	20.62	—	6.41	11.64	14.21	2.57
2	15	8.32	12.30	20.62	—	20.62	—	7.02	12.58	13.60	1.02
Treatment period 2 (NCD)											
		Semi-synthetic mixture	CSHP								
1	7	1.38	6.91	8.29	2.97	5.32	—	5.33	2.25	-0.01	-2.26
2	15	1.54	6.91	8.45	3.58	4.87	—	6.24	1.99	-1.37	-3.36
Treatment period 3 (NCD + casein-hydrolysate infusion)											
1	7	1.38	6.91	8.29	0.90	7.35	9.85	7.15	4.00	10.05	6.05
2	15	1.54	6.91	8.45	1.43	7.03	9.85	6.66	3.70	10.22	6.52
Treatment period 4 (NCD + intact-casein infusion)											
1	7	1.38	6.91	8.29	0.79	7.50	9.85	8.18	3.74	9.17	5.43
2	15	1.54	6.91	8.45	1.88	6.57	9.85	7.59	3.89	8.83	4.94

CSHP, Cottonseed hull pellets.

* For details of diets, see Table 1 and p. 212.

† For details, see p. 212.

RESULTS

Expt 1

Values for N digestibility and retention for the positive and negative control diets are given in Table 2 (treatment periods 1 and 2 respectively). When the positive control diet (400 g vetch hay and 600 g concentrate/d) was fed, a positive N retention was obtained with both rams. On the other hand, when the negative control diet was fed, the N retention was negative. N balance results for the infusion treatments are given in Table 2 (treatment periods 3 and 4). During the protein infusions, food intake was improved and amounts of refusals were reduced. The faecal N excreted daily by both rams given infusions of the casein hydrolysate and intact casein resembled quantitatively the amounts of N ingested orally. Considering that the apparent N digestion of the negative control diet was close to 0 (see Table 2), it appears that the casein hydrolysate and intact casein infused into the intestine at 7 and 15 m from the pylorus were largely absorbed. The considerable N retentions (5.0–6.5 g/d) obtained in these experiments support this assumption.

Table 3. *Expt 2. Nitrogen digestibilities and retentions of rams cannulated at intestinal sites 0.05, 9 and 15 m distal to the pylorus, and given successively (1) a N-deficient diet* (negative control diet (NCD)), (2) NCD + intestinal infusions of 140 g intact casein into the 0.05, 9 or 15 m sites, (3) NCD + intestinal infusions of 180 g wheat gluten into the 0.05, 9 or 15 m sites*

Ram no.	Distance of cannula from pylorus (m)	Dietary N intake (g/d)	Infused N (g/d)	Excreted N(g/d)		Digested N (g/d)	Retained N (g/d)
				In faeces	In urine		
Treatment period† 1 (NCD)							
3	0.05	4.62	—	3.96	2.92	0.66	-2.26
4	0.05	4.62	—	4.11	1.59	0.51	-1.08
5	9	4.62	—	4.44	2.33	0.18	-2.15
2	15	4.62	—	4.88	2.40	-0.26	-2.66
Treatment period 2 (NCD + casein infusion)							
3	0.05	4.62	19.70	5.59	10.12	18.73	8.61
4	0.05	4.62	19.70	3.69	8.17	20.63	12.46
5	9	4.62	19.70	7.05	7.69	17.27	9.58
2	15	4.62	19.70	3.48	6.37	20.84	14.47
Treatment period 3 (NCD + gluten infusion)							
3	0.05	4.62	22.92	4.15	10.58	22.99	12.44
4	0.05	2.83‡	22.92	1.95	13.81	23.80	9.99
5	9	4.62	22.92	6.53	11.02	21.01	9.99
2	15	4.62	22.92	6.05	11.88	21.49	9.61

* For details of diet, see Table 1 and p. 213.

† For details, see p. 213.

‡ Ram no. 4 refused part of its ration.

Expt 2

N balance results are given in Table 3. As in Expt 1, the sheep given the negative control diet were in negative N retention and would have lost substantial amounts of body N by the end of the 25 d preliminary period. In confirmation of Expt 1, casein infused at 9 or 15 m distal to the pylorus was as well digested and absorbed as when infused into the duodenum. Doubling the amount of the infused casein did not adversely affect its digestion and absorption along the distal part of the small intestine (Table 3, treatment period 2, cf. Table 2, treatment period 4). The very high N retention of the four rams, irrespective of the infusion sites, indicated that casein, even when infused in considerable amounts was well digested and absorbed as amino acid-N.

The results obtained with the gluten infusion are given in Table 3 (treatment period 3). Gluten infused at 9 or 15 m distal to the pylorus was absorbed to almost the same extent as gluten infused into the duodenum. Ram no. 4, infused at 0.05 m from pylorus, refused part of its ration and this is reflected in the lower faecal N excretion. Again the very high N retentions can be explained only by digestion and amino acid-N absorption from the intestine.

The proteolytic activities of intestinal digesta, both in terms of specific activities

Table 4. *Expt 2. Specific ($\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}$ per ml) and total activities (specific activity \times daily flow; nmol/min) of carboxypeptidase A (EC 3.4.2.1), trypsin (EC 3.4.4.4) and chymotrypsin A (EC 3.4.4.5) in digesta sampled at intestinal sites 9 or 15 m distal to the pylorus in two rams given successively (1) a N-deficient diet* (negative control diet (NCD)), (2) NCD + intestinal infusions of 140 g intact casein into the 9 or 15 m sites, (3) NCD + intestinal infusions of 180 g wheat gluten into the 9 or 15 m sites*

(Mean values for three determinations/ram)

Ram no.	Distance of cannula from pylorus (m)	Treatment period†	Enzyme activities					
			Carboxypeptidase A		Trypsin		Chymotrypsin A	
			Specific	Total	Specific	Total	Specific	Total
5	9	1 (NCD)	29.6	194	23.6	155	139	913
		2 (NCD + casein infusion)	21.6	127	24.9	149	144	879
		3 (NCD + gluten infusion)	27.5	246	16.0	142	88.0	779
2	15	1 (NCD)	17.9	89	9.0	45.4	65.6	331
		2 (NCD + casein infusion)	17.9	65	11.2	39.8	35.2	125
		3 (NCD + gluten infusion)	36.3	150	13.9	56.9	57.9	237
		SEM‡	2.4	—	1.5	—	10.2	—

* For details of diet, see Table 1 and p. 213.

† For details, see p. 213.

‡ Based on the between-day-of-sampling variation within each sheep.

and total activities (specific activity \times daily flow of digesta passing the cannulas at 9 and 15 m distal to the pylorus) are given in Table 4. It appeared that total activities of trypsin and chymotrypsin A were not positively affected by protein infusion into the intestine; only gluten infusions, but not those of casein, may have caused an increase in total activities of carboxypeptidase A.

DISCUSSION

The primary purpose of the present study was to determine whether absorptive or digestive limitations or both prevail in the lower small intestine of the sheep. The results of this work indicated that casein hydrolysate, intact casein and gluten infused into the lower sites of the intestine were well absorbed and resulted in positive N retention. This utilization of protein infusates revealed by good N retention values can be explained only by proteolysis and absorption of amino acids occurring in the lower parts of the intestine.

Apparently, the proteolytic breakdown of casein and gluten infused into the lower intestine was not disturbed by the complete absence of pepsin. Orally ingested proteins are attacked by pepsin which continues to act in the weakly acid medium of the upper intestine (Ben-Ghedalia, 1973). In the instance of the gluten infusion neither the absence of pepsin nor even the insolubility of gluten in the alkaline medium prevented proteolysis.

It could be expected that the extensive digestion of protein infused into the lower intestine would be associated with an enhanced activity of pancreatic proteases. In fact, increasing the protein content of diets given to simple-stomached animals is known to induce enhanced activity of pancreatic proteases (Snook, 1974). In the present study, however, most of the proteolytic activities measured in the lower sections of the intestine were not positively affected by protein infusions into the intestine. The conclusion drawn by Snook (1973) from findings for simple-stomached animals that protease production by the pancreas far exceeds the requirements of animals in most situations, certainly seems to be valid for ruminants with respect to their digestive capacity towards infused proteins.

The ability of the lower part of the intestine to digest and absorb proteins was indicated by the results of the present work. The finding in our previous study (Ben-Ghedalia *et al.* 1974) of a very low net absorption in the distal sections of the intestine must not be a necessary consequence of digestive and absorptive limitations; in these earlier experiments proteins were supplied to sheep at maintenance level, and under these circumstances all proteins susceptible to proteolytic breakdown were digested and absorbed in the upper sections of the gastrointestinal tract and apparently little or no available α -amino N reached the lower intestine.

REFERENCES

- Ben-Ghedalia, D. (1973). Protein digestion in different sections of the gastrointestinal tract of the sheep. PhD Thesis, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.
- Ben-Ghedalia, D., Tagari, H., Bondi, A. & Tadmor, A. (1974). *Br. J. Nutr.* **31**, 125.
- Bird, F. H. (1968). *Fedn Proc. Fedn Am. Socs exp. Biol.* **27**, 1194.
- Egan, A. R. & Moir, R. J. (1965). *Aust. J. agric. Res.* **16**, 437.
- Kay, R. N. B. (1969). *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* **28**, 140.
- Nixon, S. E. & Mawer, G. E. (1970). *Br. J. Nutr.* **24**, 227.
- Snook, J. T. (1973). *Wld Rev. Nutr. Diet.* **18**, 121.
- Snook, J. T. (1974). *Fedn Proc. Fedn Am. Socs exp. Biol.* **33**, 88.
- Stevenson, A. E. & de Langen, H. (1960). *N.Z. Jl agric. Res.* **3**, 314.
- Tagari, H. (1969). *Br. J. Nutr.* **23**, 455.