

Relatively flat modules

Paul E. Bland

If (A', B') , (B', C') and (A, B) , (B, C) are torsion-torsion free theories on ${}_R M$ and M_R respectively which are generated by an idempotent ideal I of R , then $M \in {}_R M$ is said to be relatively flat if $(\cdot) \otimes_R M$ preserves short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ in M_R with $N \in \mathcal{B}$. Several characterizations of relatively flat modules are given and it is shown that any module $M \in {}_R M$ which is codivisible with respect to (A', B') is relatively flat. In addition, when (A', B') is hereditary, it is proven that $M \in {}_R M$ is relatively flat if and only if M/IM is a flat R/I -module. Finally, a relatively flat dimension for $M \in {}_R M$ and a left global relatively flat dimension for R are defined and it is shown, again when (A', B') is hereditary, that the left global relatively flat dimension of R coincides with the left global flat dimension of R/I .

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R will denote an associative ring with identity and our attention will be confined to the categories ${}_R M$ and M_R of unital left and right R -modules respectively. Our purpose is to study relatively flat modules in the setting of torsion-torsion free theories which are generated by an idempotent ideal of R . The reader is referred to [2], [5], and [7] for the general results and terminology on torsion theories.

Received 5 September 1975.

If (A, B) is a torsion theory on M_R , then a right R -module M is said to be divisible (codivisible) if given an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ in M_R , where N is torsion (L is torsion free), the induced map $\text{hom}_R(X, M) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(L, M)$ ($\text{hom}_R(M, X) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(M, N)$) is an epimorphism. By taking X to be projective (injective), we see that M is divisible (codivisible) if and only if $\text{ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0$ for every torsion module N ($\text{ext}_R^1(M, L) = 0$ for every torsion free module L). Divisible modules are due to Lambek [5] and codivisible modules were introduced in [1].

In [4], Jans calls a class \mathcal{B} of modules in M_R a torsion-torsion free class if \mathcal{B} is closed under taking submodules, factors, extensions, direct products and direct sums. By saying that \mathcal{B} is closed under extensions we mean that $M \in \mathcal{B}$ whenever there is a submodule N of M such that N and M/N are in \mathcal{B} . For such a torsion-torsion free class \mathcal{B} there exist classes A and C of modules such that (A, \mathcal{B}) and (\mathcal{B}, C) are torsion theories on M_R . We shall refer to such a pair $(A, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{B}, C)$ as a torsion-torsion free theory on M_R . Jans has also shown [4, Corollary 2.2] that there is a one-to-one correspondence $I \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B} = \{M \mid MI = 0\}$ between idempotent ideals I of R and torsion-torsion free classes \mathcal{B} in M_R . Thus it follows that:

- (1) $A = \{M \mid MI = M\} = \{M \mid M \otimes_R I \cong M\}$;
- (2) $\mathcal{B} = \{M \mid MI = 0\} = \{M \mid M \otimes_R I = 0\}$;
- (3) $C = \{M \mid \text{hom}_R(B, M) = 0 \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{B}\}$;
- (4) $A(M) = MI$ for any $M \in M_R$ where $A(M)$ denotes the torsion submodule of M with respect to (A, \mathcal{B}) ;
- (5) the idempotent filter of right ideals of R associated with (\mathcal{B}, C) is given by

$$F(R) = \{K \mid K \supseteq I, K \text{ a right ideal of } R\} .$$

Obviously, a given idempotent ideal I of R generates a torsion-torsion

free theory (A', B') , (B', C') on ${}_R M$ and a torsion-torsion free theory (A, B) , (B, C) on M_R . Notice that $A'(R) = A(R) = I$. Throughout the remainder of this paper we will suppose, unless stated otherwise, that I is an idempotent ideal of R and that (A', B') , (B', C') and (A, B) , (B, C) are as above. For $M \in {}_R M$ or M_R , $M^* = \text{hom}_Z(M, Q/Z)$ will denote the character module of M . Before beginning we record the fact that many of the following definitions and theorems reduce to definitions and theorems of "classical" homological algebra when $I = 0$.

2. E-flat modules

If E is the class of all short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ in M_R with $N \in B$, then $M \in {}_R M$ is said to be flat relative to E or simply E-flat if $(\cdot) \otimes_R M$ preserves short exact sequences in E . It is easy to see that M is E-flat if and only if $\text{tor}_1^R(N, M) = 0$ for all $N \in B$.

THEOREM 2.1. *The following are equivalent for any $M \in {}_R M$:*

- (1) M is E-flat;
- (2) M^* is divisible with respect to (B, C) ;
- (3) $K \otimes_R M \cong KM$ canonically for each $K \in F(R)$;
- (4) if $M \cong E/N$ where E is E-flat, then $KE \cap N = KN$ for each $K \in F(R)$;
- (5) $\text{tor}_1^R(R/K, M) = 0$ for all $K \in F(R)$.

Proof. We will show $(1) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1)$ and then $(1) \Leftrightarrow (4)$.

$(1) \Rightarrow (5)$ is obvious since if $K \in F(R)$, then $R/K \in B$.

$(5) \Rightarrow (3)$. If $K \in F(R)$, then $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{j} R \rightarrow R/K \rightarrow 0$ is in E and so $0 = \text{tor}_1^R(R/K, M) \rightarrow K \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{1 \otimes j} R \otimes_R M$ is exact. Thus if $\varphi : R \otimes_R M \rightarrow M$ is the canonical isomorphism, then $K \otimes_R M \cong \varphi \circ (1 \otimes j)(K \otimes_R M) = KM$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). If $K \otimes_R M \cong KM$ canonically for each $K \in F(R)$, then

$K \otimes_R M \rightarrow M : \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \otimes m_i \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n k_i m_i$ is a monomorphism. Hence we have an epimorphism $\text{hom}_R(R, M^*) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(K, M^*)$ since $(K \otimes_R M)^* \cong \text{hom}_R(K, M^*)$ and $M^* \cong \text{hom}_R(R, M^*)$. Thus, it follows from the Generalized Baer's Criterion [3, Proposition 3.2] that M^* is divisible with respect to (B, C) .

(2) \Rightarrow (1). If $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is in E , then

$$0 \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(N, M^*) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(X, M^*) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(L, M^*) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus $0 \rightarrow (N \otimes_R M)^* \rightarrow (X \otimes_R M)^* \rightarrow (L \otimes_R M)^* \rightarrow 0$ is exact and so $0 \rightarrow L \otimes_R M \rightarrow X \otimes_R M \rightarrow N \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Finally, let us show that (1) \Leftrightarrow (4).

(1) \Rightarrow (4). For each $K \in (R)$, the exact sequence $N \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{\phi} M \rightarrow 0$ where $N = \ker \phi$ and j is the canonical injection yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes j} & K \otimes_R E & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \phi} & K \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2 \\ & & KE & \xrightarrow{\theta} & KM \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where ψ_1 and ψ_2 are the canonical isomorphisms given by (3) and

$$\theta : KE \rightarrow KM : \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \phi(x_i). \text{ Hence}$$

$$KN = \psi_1 \circ (1 \otimes j)(K \otimes_R N) = \psi_1(\ker(1 \otimes \phi)) = \ker \theta = KE \cap N.$$

(4) \Rightarrow (1). The exact sequence $N \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{\phi} M \rightarrow 0$ yields, for each $K \in F(R)$, a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes j} & K \otimes_{R^E} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varphi} & K \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \psi_1 & & \\
 & & KE & \xrightarrow{\theta} & KM \rightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

where ψ_1 and θ are as above. Since $KN = KE \cap N$, $KE/KN \cong KM$.

Notice also that since $\psi_1 \circ (1 \otimes j)(K \otimes_R N) = KN$, ψ_1 induces an

isomorphism $\frac{K \otimes_{R^E} N}{(1 \otimes j)(K \otimes_R N)} \cong \frac{KE}{KN}$. But $\frac{K \otimes_{R^E} N}{(1 \otimes j)(K \otimes_R N)} = \frac{K \otimes_{R^E} N}{\ker(1 \otimes \varphi)} \cong K \otimes_R M$.

Hence we have an isomorphism $\psi_2 : K \otimes_R M \rightarrow KM$ which can easily be shown to be the canonical isomorphism. Thus (3) holds and so M is E -flat.

In passing we note that if $\{M_\alpha\}$ ($\alpha \in I$) is a family of modules in R^M , then $\bigoplus M_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in I$) is E -flat if and only each M_α is E -flat. We now need the following

LEMMA 2.2. *If $M \in M_R$, then $M \in B$ if and only if $M^* \in B'$.*

Proof. If $M \in B$, then $MI = 0$ and so if $xf \in IM^*$, then $(xf)(m) = f(mx) = f(0) = 0$ for each $m \in M$. Thus $xf = 0$ and consequently, $IM^* = 0$. Therefore $M^* \in B'$. Conversely, suppose that $M^* \in B'$; then $(M^*)^* = M^{**} \in B$. But M embeds in M^{**} and so $M \in B$ since B is closed under submodules and isomorphic images.

In the proof of the following theorem if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is an R -homomorphism, then f^* will denote the R -homomorphism $N^* \rightarrow M^* : g \rightarrow g \circ f$.

THEOREM 2.3. *If $M \in R^M$ is codivisible with respect to (A', B') , then M is E -flat.*

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{j} X \xrightarrow{k} N \rightarrow 0$ be in E and suppose that $f : L \rightarrow M^*$ is R -linear. By taking character modules we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & M & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \phi & & \\
 & & & & M^{**} & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow f^* & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & N^* & \xrightarrow{k^*} & X^* & \xrightarrow{j^*} & L^* \rightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

where ϕ is the canonical embedding $M \rightarrow M^{**} : m \rightarrow \phi_m$ and $\phi_m : M^* \rightarrow Q/Z : h \rightarrow h(m)$. Since $N^* \in \mathcal{B}'$ (Lemma 2.2) and M is codivisible with respect to (A', \mathcal{B}') this diagram can be completed commutatively by an R -homomorphism $g : M \rightarrow X^*$. Thus if u, v , and w are the canonical embeddings shown below, then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{j} & X & \xrightarrow{k} & N & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow u & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & L^{**} & \xrightarrow{j^{**}} & X^{**} & \xrightarrow{k^{**}} & N^{**} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f^{**} & & \swarrow g^* & & & & \\
 & & M^{***} & & & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow \phi^* & & & & & & \\
 & & M^* & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

But if $x \in L$, then

$$(\phi^* \circ f^{**} \circ u)(x) = \phi^*(f^{**}(u_x)) = \phi^*(u_x \circ f^*) = u_x \circ f^* \circ \phi,$$

and so for $m \in M$,

$$(u_x \circ f^* \circ \phi)(m) = u_x(\phi_m \circ f) = (\phi_m \circ f)(x) = f(x)(m).$$

Thus $\phi^* \circ f^{**} \circ u = f$ and therefore we have shown that M^* is divisible with respect to $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, M is \mathcal{E} -flat.

The following theorem relates \mathcal{E} -flat modules to flat R/I -modules.

THEOREM 2.4. *If (A', \mathcal{B}') is hereditary, then $M \in \mathcal{R}^M$ is \mathcal{E} -flat if and only if M/IM is a flat R/I -module.*

Proof. Suppose that M is \mathcal{E} -flat and let $\phi : C \rightarrow M$ be an

R -epimorphism where C is codivisible with respect to (A', B') . If $\bar{\varphi} : C/IC \rightarrow M/IM : x + IC \rightarrow \varphi(x) + IM$ is the induced R/I -epimorphism and $N = \ker \varphi$, then $\ker \bar{\varphi} = (N+IC)/IC$. Hence, since C/IC is a projective R/I -module [6, Theorem 8], to show that M/IM is a flat R/I -module it suffices to show that $(N+IC)/IC \cap KC/IC \subseteq (KN+IC)/IC$ for any $K \in F(R)$. Let $x = n + IC \in (N+IC)/IC$, $n \in N$, and $x = m + IC \in KC/IC$, $m \in KC$; then $n - m \in IC \subseteq KC$ and so $n \in KC$. But, by Theorem 2.3, C is E -flat and therefore, by Theorem 2.1, $KC \cap N = KN$. Hence $n \in KN$ and therefore $x \in (KN+IC)/IC$.

Conversely, suppose that M/IM is a flat R/I -module and let $\varphi : C \rightarrow M$ and N be as above. Let $K \in F(R)$ and suppose that $x \in KC \cap N$. Since $x \in KC$ and $x \in N$,

$$x + IC \in (N+IC)/IC \cap KC/IC = (KN+IC)/IC.$$

If $x + IC = y + IC$, $y \in KN \subseteq N$, then $x - y \in IC \cap N$. But (A', B') is hereditary and so $IC \cap N = IN \subseteq KN$. Thus it follows that $x \in KN$ and, by Theorem 2.1, that M is E -flat.

COROLLARY 2.5. *If (A', B') is hereditary, then:*

- (1) every module in A' is E -flat;
- (2) if $M \in B'$, then M is flat if and only if M is E -flat;
- (3) every $M \in R^M$ is E -flat if and only if R/I is a (von Neumann) regular ring.

3. E -flat dimension

If $M \in R^M$, then we can build a codivisible resolution

$$(*) \quad \dots \rightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \dots \rightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

of M where each C_i is codivisible with respect to (A', B') and $\ker \alpha_i \in B'$ for $i \geq 0$. (Notice that $C_i \in B'$ for $i \geq 1$ since B' is closed under extensions.) Indeed, if $\varphi : F \rightarrow M$ is the free module on M and $K = \ker \varphi$, then F/IK is codivisible with respect to (A', B') and $K/IK \in B'$. Thus we need only set $C_0 = F/IK$ and let α_0 be the induced mapping. Hence the result follows by induction. Consequently, for any

$X \in M_R^E$, we have a complex

$$\dots \rightarrow X \otimes_R C_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X \otimes_R C_0 \rightarrow 0 .$$

If $\text{tor}_n^E(X, M)$ denotes the n -th homology group of this sequence, then it

is easy to show that $\text{tor}_n^E(X, M)$ is independent of the particular

codivisible resolution selected for M and that $\text{tor}_0^E(X, M) \cong X \otimes_R M$.

Therefore if $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is in E , then by tensoring this into (*) and taking homology we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \text{tor}_n^E(L, M) \rightarrow \text{tor}_n^E(X, M) \rightarrow \text{tor}_n^E(N, M) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{tor}_1^E(L, M) \\ \rightarrow \text{tor}_1^E(X, M) \rightarrow \text{tor}_1^E(N, M) \rightarrow L \otimes_R M \rightarrow X \otimes_R M \rightarrow N \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $\text{tor}_n^E(\cdot, M) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, then M is E -flat. To show the converse we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *If (A', B') is hereditary and $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$ is exact in R^M , then the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow L/IL \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} M/IM \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} N/IN \rightarrow 0$ is exact in R/I^M .*

Proof. If $\bar{f}(x+IL) = f(x) + IM = 0$, then $f(x) \in IM$. Hence $f(x) \in IM \cap f(L)$. But (A', B') is hereditary and so $IM \cap f(L) = If(L)$.

If $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i f(y_i) \in If(L)$, then $x - \sum_{i=1}^n k_i y_i \in \ker f = 0$. Thus

$x \in IL$ and so \bar{f} is a monomorphism. The proofs when $\text{im } \bar{f} = \ker \bar{g}$ and \bar{g} is an epimorphism are similar and will therefore be omitted.

LEMMA 3.2. *If (A', B') is hereditary and $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is exact in R^M with N E -flat, then M is E -flat if and only if L is E -flat.*

Proof. By the lemma above the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow L/IL \rightarrow M/IM \rightarrow N/IN \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Now, by Theorem 2.4, N/IN is a flat R/I -module and so, as is well known, M/IM is a flat R/I -module if and only if L/IL is a flat R/I -module. Hence the result, again by

Theorem 2.4.

LEMMA 3.3. *If (A', B') is hereditary and $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is exact in R^M with N E -flat and $L \in B'$, then*

$0 \rightarrow X \otimes_R L \rightarrow X \otimes_R M \rightarrow X \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0$ is exact for any $X \in M_R$.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & XI \otimes_R L & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & X \otimes_R L & \rightarrow & X \otimes_R M & \rightarrow & X \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 \rightarrow & X/XI \otimes_{R/I} L & \rightarrow & X/XI \otimes_{R/I} M/IM & \rightarrow & X/XI \otimes_{R/I} N/IN & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & ,
 \end{array}$$

where the columns and rows are exact, the maps being the obvious ones. Notice that the bottom row is exact since N/IN is a flat R/I -module. Now $L \in B'$ and so $XI \otimes_R L = 0$. Hence $X \otimes_R L \rightarrow X/XI \otimes_{R/I} L$ is an isomorphism and from this one can see, by chasing around the diagram, that $X \otimes_R L \rightarrow X \otimes_R M$ is an injection.

Now for the theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. *If (A', B') is hereditary, then $\text{tor}_n^E(\cdot, M) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ if and only if M is E -flat.*

Proof. We have already seen that if $\text{tor}_n^E(\cdot, M) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, then M is E -flat. Conversely, suppose that M is E -flat and let $\dots \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a codivisible resolution of M with respect to (A', B') . If $X \in M_R$, then by applying Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3, and Theorem 2.3, it follows that $\dots \rightarrow X \otimes_R C_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X \otimes_R C_0 \rightarrow X \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Consequently, the result.

Suppose next that $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is exact in R^M and let $L \in B'$; then, by using standard arguments, we can find a short exact

sequence $0 \rightarrow \{C'_n\} \rightarrow \{C_n\} \rightarrow \{C''_n\} \rightarrow 0$ of codivisible resolutions of L, M , and N respectively. Thus for any $M \in M_R$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & & 0 \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \rightarrow & M \otimes_R C'_n & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & M \otimes_R C'_0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \rightarrow & M \otimes_R C_n & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & M \otimes_R C_0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \rightarrow & M \otimes_R C''_n & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & M \otimes_R C''_0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & 0 & & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Notice that the columns are exact since each C''_i is E -flat and $C'_i \in B'$ for $i \geq 0$. Taking homology we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dots \rightarrow \text{tor}_n^E(M, L) \rightarrow \text{tor}_n^E(M, X) \rightarrow \text{tor}_n^E(M, N) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{tor}_1^E(M, L) \\
 \rightarrow \text{tor}_1^E(M, X) \rightarrow \text{tor}_1^E(M, N) \rightarrow M \otimes_R L \rightarrow M \otimes_R X \rightarrow M \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that $M \otimes_R (\cdot)$ preserves short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ in M_R with $L \in B'$ if and only if $\text{tor}_n^E(M, \cdot) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence we have

THEOREM 3.5. *If (A', B') is hereditary, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{tor}_n^E = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$;
- (2) every $M \in M_R$ is E -flat;
- (3) if $M \in M_R$, then $M \otimes_R (\cdot)$ preserves short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ in M_R with $L \in B'$.

If $M \in M_R$, then we define the E -flat dimension of M to be the smallest integer such that $\text{tor}_{n+1}^E(\cdot, M) = 0$. If $E\text{-fd}(M)$ denotes the

E -flat dimension of M , the left global E -flat dimension of R is $l.gl.E\text{-fd}(R) = \sup\{E\text{-fd}(M) \mid M \in {}_R M\}$. The following theorem relates the E -flat dimension of $M \in {}_R M$ to the flat dimension of the R/I -module M/IM . Let $\text{fd}(M/IM)$ denote the flat dimension of M/IM as an R/I -module.

THEOREM 3.6. *If (A', B') is hereditary, then for any $M \in {}_R M$, $E\text{-fd}(M) = \text{fd}(M/IM)$.*

Proof. If $\dots \rightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \dots \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} M \rightarrow 0$ is a codivisible resolution of M with respect to (A', B') , then the induced sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_n} \dots \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_1} C_0/IC_0 \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_0} M/IM \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i$ for $i \geq 2$ is an R/I -projective resolution of M/IM [6, Theorem 8]. Hence if $E\text{-fd}(M) = k$, then k is the smallest integer such that $\text{tor}_{k+1}^E(\cdot, M) = 0$. Now it is easy to show that

$\text{tor}_{k+1}^E(X, M) \cong \text{tor}_1^E(X, \text{im } \alpha_k)$ for any $X \in M_R$ and so k is the smallest integer such that $\text{im } \alpha_k$ is E -flat. But (A', B') is hereditary and therefore $\text{im } \alpha_k \cap IC_{k-1} = I \text{im } \alpha_k$. Hence

$$\text{im } \bar{\alpha}_k = (\text{im } \alpha_k + IC_{k-1})/IC_{k-1} \cong \text{im } \alpha_k / (\text{im } \alpha_k \cap IC_{k-1}) = \text{im } \alpha_k / I \text{im } \alpha_k$$

and so, by Theorem 2.4, $\text{im } \bar{\alpha}_k$ is a flat R/I -module. In fact, one can show that k is the smallest integer such that $\text{im } \bar{\alpha}_k$ is a flat R/I -module. Therefore $E\text{-fd}(M) = \text{fd}(M/IM)$.

COROLLARY 3.7. *If (A', B') is hereditary, then $l.gl.E\text{-fd}(R) = l.gl.\text{fd}(R/I)$.*

In [6], Rangaswamy has defined a codivisible dimension for modules in ${}_R M$ and a left global codivisible dimension for R with respect to any hereditary torsion (A', B') on ${}_R M$. Briefly, if

$\dots \rightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \dots \rightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} M \rightarrow 0$ is a codivisible resolution of M with respect to (A', B') , then the codivisible dimension of M is the smallest integer n such that $\text{im } \alpha_n$ is codivisible. The $\text{l.gl.cod}(R)$ (the left global codivisible dimension of R) is then defined in the obvious way. Rangaswamy has shown [6, Theorem 14] that the left global codivisible dimension of R equals the left global homological dimension of $R/A'(R)$ where $A'(R)$ is the torsion ideal of R with respect to (A', B') . If E' is the class of all short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ in ${}_R M$ with $N \in B'$ and (A', B') and (A, B) are both hereditary, when viewing the obvious symmetry of our work we see that $\text{l.gl.E-fd}(R) = \text{r.gl.E-fd}(R)$. Since the left global flat dimension of a left noetherian ring coincides with its left global homological dimension, we conclude with the following observation.

THEOREM 3.8. *If (A', B') is hereditary and the left ideals in $F'(R) = \{K \mid K \supseteq I, K \text{ a left ideal of } R\}$ satisfy the ascending chain condition, then $\text{l.gl.E-fd}(R) = \text{l.gl.cod}(R)$.*

References

- [1] P.E. Bland, "Perfect torsion theories", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 41 (1973), 349-355.
- [2] Spencer E. Dickson, "A torsion theory for abelian categories", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 121 (1966), 223-235.
- [3] Oscar Goldman, "Rings and modules of quotients", *J. Algebra* 13 (1969), 10-47.
- [4] J.P. Jans, "Some aspects of torsion", *Pacific J. Math.* 15 (1965), 1249-1259.
- [5] Joachim Lambek, *Torsion theories, additive semantics and rings of quotients* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 177. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971).
- [6] K.M. Rangaswamy, "Codivisible modules", *Comm. Algebra* 2 (1974), 475-489.

- [7] Bo Stenström, *Rings and modules of quotients* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 237. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971).

Department of Mathematics,
Eastern Kentucky University,
Richmond,
Kentucky,
USA.