

Table: Clinical characteristics of patients

| Clinical characteristics | HA-CLABSI surveillance n=68 (%) | HA-BSI surveillance n=1094 (%) |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Age (median, years) | 66 | 68 |
| Males | 42 (61.8) | 642 (58.7) |
| Immunocompromised | 18(26.5) | 359 (32.8) |
| Neonates | 0 (0) | 3 (0.27) |
| Burn injury | 0 (0) | 10 (0.9) |
| Length of hospital stay from admission to culture date (median, days) | 19.5 | 12 |

Table: Breakdown by organisms:

| Organism breakdown | HA-CLABSI surveillance n=84 (%) | HA-BSI surveillance n=1435 (%) |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Gram negative bacteria | 34 (40) | 821 (57.2) |
| Enterobacterales | 12 (14.3) | 552 (38.5) |
| - <i>Escherichia coli</i> | 1 (1.2) | 221 (15.4) |
| - <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> | 5 (5.9) | 182 (12.7) |
| - <i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> | 1 (1.2) | 47 (3.3) |
| - <i>Serratia marcescens</i> | 5 (5.9) | 30 (2.1) |
| - Other <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> spp. | 0 (0) | 72 (5.0) |
| <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. | 7 (8.2) | 96 (6.7) |
| <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> | 7 (8.2) | 43 (3.0) |
| <i>Acinetobacter baumannii/calcoaceticeus</i> complex | 4 (4.7) | 29 (2.0) |
| Other Gram negative bacteria | 0 (0) | 101 (7.0) |
| Gram positive bacteria | 20 (23.8) | 319 (22.2) |
| <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. | 15 (17.9) | 157 (10.9) |
| <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. | 4 (4.7) | 99 (6.9) |
| <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. | 0 (0) | 11 (0.8) |
| <i>Clostridium</i> spp. | 0 (0) | 7 (0.5) |
| Other gram positive | 1 (1.2) | 45 (3.1) |
| Multi-drug resistant organisms | 18 (21.2) | 121 (8.4) |
| <i>Enterococcus faecium</i> (VRE) | 9 (10.6) | 53 (3.7) |
| Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA) | 9 (10.6) | 44 (3.0) |
| Carbapenemase-producing CRE | 0 (0) | 24 (1.7) |
| - <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> | 0 (0) | 11 (0.8) |
| - <i>Escherichia coli</i> | 0 (0) | 10 (0.7) |
| - <i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> | 0 (0) | 2 (0.1) |
| - <i>Klebsiella aerogenes</i> | 0 (0) | 1 (0.1) |
| Fungi | 12 (14.1) | 168 (11.7) |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | 12 (14.1) | 150 (10.5) |
| <i>Trichosporon</i> spp. | 0 (0) | 6 (0.4) |
| <i>Cryptococcus</i> spp. | 0 (0) | 2 (0.1) |
| <i>Fusarium solani</i> complex | 0 (0) | 2 (0.1) |
| Other fungi | 0 (0) | 8 (0.6) |
| Mycobacterial spp. | 0 (0) | 6 (0.4) |

between admission to HA-CLABSI was 20 days and to HA-BSI was 12 days. Median duration between central line insertion to HA-CLABSI was 16 days. Of 1094, 631 (57.7%) patients had vascular catheter(s) (i.e., IV cannula, port-a-cath, peripherally-inserted central catheter or central line) inserted at time of HA-BSI diagnosis, of whom 46 (7.3%) patients had CLABSI \pm 2days from positive blood culture. There was no significant correlation between monthly aggregate data from these indicators (Spearman’s correlation coefficient= 0.36, p-value=0.1). Predominant organisms causing HA-CLABSI and HA-BSI were gram negative bacteria (GNB, 40% & 57.21%), gram positive bacteria (24.71% & 22.23%), and fungi. Common GNB in CLABSI patients were *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (8.24%), followed by *Serratia marcescens* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (5.88%). The frequent GNB in HA-BSI patients were *Escherichia coli* (15.4%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (12.68%), and *Pseudomonas* spp. (6.69%). Common multi-drug resistant organisms were vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (10.59% & 3.69%) and

methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (10.59% & 3.07%). **Conclusion:** HA-BSI did not correlate with HA-CLABSI. HA-BSI reflects heterogeneous population outcomes. For utilization as surveillance indicator, further assessment on exclusion criteria is required to improve specificity.

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2024;4(Suppl. S1):s154–s155
doi:10.1017/ash.2024.334

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation - Poster Presentation

Subject Category: Surveillance

epiXact-ONT: Long-read whole genome sequencing for rapid outbreak detection and comprehensive plasmid transmission analysis

Emma Briars, Day Zero Diagnostics; Ian Herriott, Day Zero Diagnostics; Allison Brookhart, Day Zero Diagnostics; Talia Hollowell, Day Zero Diagnostics; Nicole Billings, Day Zero Diagnostics; Miriam Huntley, Day Zero Diagnostics and Mohamad Sater, Day Zero Diagnostics

Background: Healthcare associated infections (HAIs) are a major contributor to patient morbidity and mortality. HAIs are increasingly important due to the rise of multidrug resistant pathogens which can lead to deadly nosocomial outbreaks. Traditional methods for investigating transmissions are slow, costly and have poor detection resolution. In addition, plasmid transmission which can horizontally transfer critical resistance and virulence genes is not part of routine infection control practice due to lack of comprehensive and cost-effective methods capable of identifying both pathogen and/or plasmid transmission. Here we demonstrate the utility of the Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) platform for whole genome sequencing (WGS) based pathogen and plasmids transmission analysis. **Methods:** We developed a rapid end-to-end process that includes sample preparation, sequencing optimized for generating long-reads and bioinformatics workflow customized for error-prone ONT data. We use Flye to generate de novo assemblies and a secondary bioinformatics step to identify each circular sequence. Individual circular sequences with an Ori (\geq 1) are identified. For pathogen clonality analysis we perform a pairwise mapping-based chromosomal sequences comparison eliminating need for an external reference genome. Similarly, individual plasmids are separated and compared pairwise. We annotate both the circularized chromosomal and plasmid sequences for known resistance and virulence genes. **Results:** We performed ONT (and confirmatory Illumina) sequencing of the genomes of 20 bacterial isolates originating from 5 HAI investigations previously performed at Day Zero Diagnostics using epiXact®, our Illumina-based HAI sequencing and analysis lab service. ONT-based clonality determination had 100% agreement with the Illumina based pipeline. We also found that using the outbreak-specific assembled genomes instead of an external reference increased the SNP-calling resolution in the ONT pipeline. We also identified sets of clonal isolates with both identical plasmids and distinct plasmids; as well as sets of non-clonal isolates with identical plasmids and distinct plasmids. In one subset of 7 multi-species isolates, we identified 2-7 circularized plasmid sequences in each isolate, all harboring known resistance genes. 4 plasmids were found in multiple isolates, with each plasmid appearing in between 2 and 4 distinct isolates. Notably, blaNDM was identified in at least 1 plasmid in each isolate. **Conclusion:** We demonstrate the utility of ONT for comprehensive HAI investigations, establishing the potential to transform healthcare epidemiology with rapid outbreak determination covering pathogen and plasmid transmission in < 2 4 hours from sample receipt.

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2024;4(Suppl. S1):s155
doi:10.1017/ash.2024.335