

ON A CLASS OF MARKOV PROCESSES TAKING VALUES ON LINES AND THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

MASATOSHI FUKUSHIMA and MASUYUKI HITSUDA

To Professor Kiyoshi Noshiro on the occasion of his 60-th birthday

§1. Introduction

We shall consider a class of Markov processes $(n(t), x(t))$ with the continuous time parameter $t \in [0, \infty)$, whose state space is $\{1, 2, \dots, N\} \times R^1$. We shall assume that the processes are spacially homogeneous with respect to $x \in R^1$. In detail, our assumption is that the transition function

$$F_{ij}(x, t) = P(n(t) = j, x(t) \leq x | n(0) = i, x(0) = 0), \quad t > 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq N, x \in R^1,$$

satisfies following conditions (1, 1)~(1, 4).

(1, 1) $F_{ij}(x, t)$ is non-negative, and, for fixed i, j and t , it is monotone non-decreasing and right continuous in $x \in R^1$.

$$(1, 2) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{ij}(+\infty, t) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_{ij}(x, t) \leq 1, \\ F_{ij}(-\infty, t) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F_{ij}(x, t) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \quad t > 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N F_{ij}(+\infty, t) &= 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1, 3) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{ij}(x, t) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{R^1} F_{ik}(x-y, t) dF_{kj}(y, s) \quad t, s > 0, \\ 1 \leq i, k \leq N, \quad x &\in R^1, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1, 4) \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} F_{ij}(x, t) = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij}, & x \in [0, +\infty) \\ 0, & x \in (-\infty, 0). \end{cases}$$

The central limit theorem for processes of this type, in case of the discrete time parameter and in a special case of the continuous time parameter, has been obtained by Keilson and Wishart [3]. In this paper, through introducing a system of generators of the semi-groups related to the processes, we show that the central limit theorem is valid for our cases of the continuous time parameter.

Received August 9, 1966.

With the transition function $F_{ij}(x, t)$ satisfying (1, 1)~(1, 4) we associate its Fourier transform:

$$f_{ij}(z, t) = \int_{R^1} e^{izx} dF_{ij}(x, t), \quad t > 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \quad z \in R^1.$$

Then the matrix $f(z, t) = (f_{ij}(z, t))$ satisfies the followings:

$$(1, 5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}(0, t) = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \quad t > 0,$$

$$(1, 6) \quad f(z, t+s) = f(z, t)f(z, s) \quad t, s > 0,$$

$$(1, 7) \quad f(z, t) \text{ converges, as } t \text{ tends to zero, to the identity matrix } E \text{ uniformly in } z \in R^1 \text{ in the wide sense, i.e. each element } f_{ij}(z, t) \text{ of } f(z, t) \text{ converges to } \delta_{ij} \text{ uniformly on any compact } z\text{-set.}$$

In §2, we shall determine the generator $A(z)$, $z \in R^1$, of the semigroups $f(z, t)$, $z \in R^1$, (Theorem 1). In particular, if $N=1$, our expression of $A(z)$ is no other than the so-called Lévy-Khintchine formula. $\{A(z), z \in R^1\}$ in Theorem 1 characterizes all the processes whose transition functions satisfy (1, 1)~(1, 4).

§3 will be devoted to the proof of the central limit theorem for our processes under some assumptions placed upon $A(z)$ (Theorem 2). Our procedure in this section is essentially due to Keilson and Wishart [3].

In §4, m and v which are defined by the first and second derivatives respectively of an eigen value $\lambda(z)$ of $A(z)$ will be expressed explicitly by $A(z)$ and its eigenvectors. We shall further solve affirmatively the Harris' conjecture related to the expectation processes of the electron-photon cascade (Harris [2], page 194).

§2. The generators of semigroups $f(z, t)$.

Throughout §2, §3 and the first half of §4, we shall assume that we are given a transition function $F_{ij}(x, t)$ on $\{1, 2, \dots, N\} \times R^1$ satisfying (1, 1)~(1, 4).

In this section, we shall show the existence of the generator

$$A(z) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(z, t) - E}{t} \quad (\text{Lemma 1}),$$

and determine all the possible types of the generator $A(z)$ (Theorem 1).

LEMMA 1. The limit

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(z, t) - E}{t} = A(z)$$

exists and the convergence is uniformly in $z \in R^1$ in the wide sense.

Proof. Let us fix $a > 0$ arbitrarily. From the property (1, 7), we can choose $v > 0$ such that, for $z \in [-a, a]$, the matrix

$$A_v(z) = \int_0^v \mathbf{f}(z, s) ds = \left(\int_0^v f_{ij}(z, s) ds \right)$$

has its inverse $A_v^{-1}(z)$. Since

$$A_v(z) \mathbf{f}(z, t) = \int_0^v \mathbf{f}(z, s) \mathbf{f}(z, t) ds = \int_0^v \mathbf{f}(z, s+t) ds = \int_t^{v+t} \mathbf{f}(z, s) ds,$$

we have

$$\mathbf{f}(z, t) = A_v^{-1}(z) \int_t^{v+t} \mathbf{f}(z, s) ds.$$

Therefore we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{f}(z, h) - E}{h} &= \lim_{h \downarrow 0} A_v^{-1}(z) \frac{1}{h} \left[\int_h^{v+h} \mathbf{f}(z, s) ds - \int_0^v \mathbf{f}(z, s) ds \right] \\ &= A_v^{-1}(z) \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[\int_v^{v+h} \mathbf{f}(z, s) ds - \int_0^h \mathbf{f}(z, s) ds \right] \\ &= A_v^{-1}(z) [\mathbf{f}(z, v) - E], \quad z \in [-a, a]. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence in question is uniform since $\mathbf{f}(z, s)$ converges to $\mathbf{f}(z, v)$ uniformly in $z \in [-a, a]$ as s tends to v . The right side of the above equation should be independent of v because the left does not depend on v .

Thus we have proved Lemma 1.

Remark. We can express $\mathbf{f}(z, t)$ in the form $\exp\{A(z)t\}$. In fact, $\mathbf{f}(z, t)$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{f}(z, t) = A(z) \mathbf{f}(z, t)$$

with the initial condition

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \mathbf{f}(z, t) = E.$$

The following theorem gives us the possible types of $A(z)$.

THEOREM 1. *The elements $a_{ij}(z)$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, of $A(z)$ can be expressed in the form,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{ij}(z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{izx} \Gamma_{ij}(dx) \quad i \neq j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \\
 a_{ii}(z) &= - \sum_{j \neq i} \Gamma_{ij}(R^1) + i\nu_i z - \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2} z^2 + \int_{|u|>1} (e^{izv} - 1) \Pi_i(du) \\
 &\quad + \int_{|u| \leq 1} (e^{izu} - 1 - izu) \Pi_i(du), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N
 \end{aligned}$$

where Γ_{ij} 's, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq N$, are finite measures on R^1 , ν_i 's, $i = 1, \dots, N$ are real numbers, σ_i 's, $i = 1, \dots, N$ are real and positive, and Π_i 's, $i = 1, \dots, N$ are measures on R^1 such that $\int_{|u| \leq 1} x^2 \Pi_i(dx) < \infty$ and $\Pi_i(u; |u| > \varepsilon) < \infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. In case that $i \neq j$, we can see by Lemma 1 that the convergence

$$a_{ij}(z) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{f_{ij}(z, h)}{h}$$

is uniform in the wide sense in $z \in R^1$, and therefore $a_{ij}(z)$ is a positive definite function of z because $\frac{f_{ij}(z, h)}{h}$ has the same property. Then there exists a

finite measure Γ_{ij} such that

$$a_{ij}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{izx} \Gamma_{ij}(dx).$$

In case that $i = j$, we note that

$$\frac{f_{ii}(z, h) - 1}{h} = \frac{e^{a_{ii}(z)h} - e^{a_{ii}(0)h}}{h} + \frac{e^{a_{ii}(0)h} - 1}{h} + \frac{f_{ii}(z, h) - e^{a_{ii}(z)h}}{h}.$$

Then, $\frac{f_{ii}(z, h) - e^{a_{ii}(z)h}}{h}$ tends to zero as $h \downarrow 0$ by virtue of the remark after

Lemma 1. If we remark that $a_{ii}(0) = - \sum_{j=i}^N a_{ij}(0) = - \sum_{j=i}^N \Gamma_{ij}(R^1)$, $\frac{e^{a_{ii}(0)h} - 1}{h}$ tends

to $- \sum_{j=i}^N \Gamma_{ij}(R^1)$ as $h \downarrow 0$. The limit of $\frac{e^{a_{ii}(z)h} - e^{a_{ii}(0)h}}{h} = e^{a_{ii}(0)h} \cdot \frac{e^{(a_{ii}(z) - a_{ii}(0))h} - 1}{h}$

as $h \downarrow 0$ is the Lévy-Khintchine formula:

$$i\nu_i z - \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2} z^2 + \int_{|u|>1} (e^{izv} - 1) \Pi_i(du) + \int_{|u| \leq 1} (e^{izu} - 1 - izu) \Pi_i(du)$$

(see for example Gnedenko and Kolmogorov [1]).

Remark: From the above discussion, we can see that Γ_{ij} , ν_i , σ_i and Π_i are uniquely determined by the transition function $F_{ij}(x, t)$ satisfying (1, 1)~(1, 4).

§3. The central limit theorem.

Let $A(z)$ be the matrix defined in Lemma 1. We shall assume

Assumption 1.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \Gamma_{ij}(dx) < +\infty, \quad i \neq j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N,$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \Pi_i(dx) < +\infty, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Assumption 2. $A(0)$ is irreducible.

The Assumption 1 is equivalent to the fact that

$$(*) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 dF_{ij}(x, 1) < \infty \quad \text{for any } i, j = 1, \dots, N.$$

In fact, Assumption 1 is equivalent to that

$$(**) A(z) \text{ is twice differentiable with respect to } z.$$

And (**) is equivalent to the same property of $e^{A(z)} = f(z, 1)$. This is no other than (*) (see Feller [4] page 485).

By virtue of Assumption 2, $f(0, 1) = e^{A(0)}$ is a positive stochastic matrix and therefore, by Perron-Frobenius' theorem, it has the simple eigenvalue 1 and the absolute values of the other $N-1$ eigenvalues are less than 1. Therefore, the equation

$$\det(A(0) - \lambda E) = \pi(0, \lambda) = 0$$

has a simple root $\lambda = 0$, and all the other roots have negative real parts. From these facts we can derive the next Lemma.

LEMMA 2. *There is a function $\lambda(z)$ defined on some neighbourhood $(-a, a)$ of $z = 0$ which has the continuous first and second derivatives in $(-a, a)$ and satisfies that*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z, \lambda(z)) &= 0, & z \in (-a, a) \\ \lambda'(0) &= 0 \\ \text{where } \pi(z, \lambda) &= \det(A(z) - \lambda E). \end{aligned}$$

Further, $\lambda'(0)$ is purely imaginary and $\lambda''(0)$ is real and non-positive.

Proof. As $\lambda = 0$ is a simple root of the equation $\Pi(0, \lambda) = 0$, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\lambda(0, 0) &= \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \lambda}(0, 0) \neq 0. \quad \text{If we put} \\ \pi(z, \lambda) &= \pi_1(z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) + i\pi_2(z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_i(z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2), i = 1, 2,$ are real valued functions and $\lambda_1 = \Re \lambda, \lambda_2 = \Im \lambda,$ then we have

$$\pi_1(0, 0, 0) = 0, \quad \pi_2(0, 0, 0) = 0.$$

On the otherhand, $\pi(z, \lambda)$ is analytic in λ . Therefore we have by Cauchy-Riemann's equation that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial \lambda_1}(0, 0, 0) & \frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial \lambda_1}(0, 0, 0) \\ \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial \lambda_2}(0, 0, 0) & \frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial \lambda_2}(0, 0, 0) \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial \lambda_1}(0, 0, 0) & \frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial \lambda_1}(0, 0, 0) \\ -\frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial \lambda_1}(0, 0, 0) & \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial \lambda_1}(0, 0, 0) \end{array} \right| \\ & = \left(\frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial \lambda_1}(0, 0, 0) \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial \lambda_1}(0, 0, 0) \right)^2 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now the first part of Lemma 2 is the direct consequence of the implicit function theorem.

Now, it is easy to see by the form of $A(z)$ that $\pi_z(0, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \pi(0, 0)$ is pure imaginary and $\pi_\lambda(0, 0)$ is real. Therefore $\lambda'(0) = \frac{-\pi_z(0, 0)}{\pi_\lambda(0, 0)}$ is pure imaginary. By the same method we can see that $\lambda''(0)$ is real. Let us note that $\sum_{i=1}^N |f_{ij}(z, 1)| \leq 1$ where $f_{ij}(z, 1)$ is (i, j) -element of the matrix $e^{A(z)} = f(z, 1)$. This implies, applying Frobenius' theorem again, all the eigenvalues of $A(z)$ have non-positive real parts. Especially, $\Re \lambda(z) \leq 0$ and $\Re \lambda(z)$ attains its maximum 0 at $z=0$. Thus

$$\frac{d^2}{dz} \Re \lambda(z) \Big|_{z=0} = \Re \lambda''(0) = \lambda''(0) \leq 0.$$

Remark. We may assume without loss of generality that for $z \in (-a, a)$ $\lambda(z)$ is the eigenvalue of $A(z)$ the real part of which is greater than the real parts of any other eigenvalues, because the eigenvalues of $A(z)$ are continuous in z , and the eigenvalue $\lambda(0) = 0$ of $A(0)$ has the maximum real part. In the following we assume the above property of $\lambda(z)$ in $z \in (-a, a)$.

Consider a probability measure $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N)$ on $\{1, 2, \dots, N\} \times R^1$, where μ_i 's are measures on R^1 such that $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i(R^1) = 1$. Let us put

$$F_j^\mu(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_i(dy) F_{ij}(x-y, t), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad \text{and}$$

$$F^\mu(x, t) = \{F_1^\mu(x, t), F_2^\mu(x, t), \dots, F_N^\mu(x, t)\}.$$

Then $F^\mu(x, t)$ determines the distribution of a Markov process on $\{1, 2, \dots, N\} \times R^1$ at time t with the initial distribution μ . We further put

$$f^\mu(z, t) = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixz} dF_1^\mu(x, t), \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixz} dF_2^\mu(x, t), \dots, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixz} dF_N^\mu(x, t) \right\}.$$

Then,

$$f^\mu(z, t) = f^\mu(z, 0+)f(z, t)$$

where $f^\mu(z, 0+)$ is the Fourier transform of μ . Define m and v by the formula

$$(3, 1) \quad m = -i\lambda'(0), \quad v = -\lambda''(0).$$

THEOREM 2. For any initial distribution μ on $\{1, 2, \dots, N\} \times R^1$,

$$(3, 2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-it^{\frac{1}{2}}mz} f^\mu(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}z, t) = e^{\frac{-1}{2}z^2v^2} e, \quad z \in (-a, a)$$

holds, where $e = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N)$ is the left eigenvector belonging to $A(0)$ for the eigenvalue 0, and $\sum_{i=1}^N e_i = 1$.

Proof. There is a regular matrix $T(z)$ for $z \in (-a, a)$ such that

$$A(z) = T^{-1}(z)J(z)T(z)$$

where $J(z)$ is a matrix of the Jordan's normal form whose (1, 1)-element is $\lambda(z)$. Here we can choose $T(z)$ to be continuous in $z \in (-a, a)$. Now we have

$$(3, 3) \quad f^\mu(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}z, t)e^{-izmt^{\frac{1}{2}}} = f^\mu(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}z, 0)T^{-1}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}z)e^{-izmt^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\exp(tJ(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}z))T(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}z).$$

In order to investigate the limit of the above expression, we first note the following

$$(3, 4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f^\mu(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}z, 0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} f^\mu(z, 0) = f^\mu(0, 0).$$

Since the first column $e(z)$ of $T(z)$ is the left eigenvector and the first row $i(z)$ of $T(z)$ is the right eigenvector of $A(z)$ belonging to the eigenvalue $\lambda(z)$, we may assume that $e(0)$ and $i(0)$ are of the form

$$e(0) = e = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N)$$

$$i(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the continuity of each element of $T(z)$, we have

$$(3, 5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} T(z) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N \\ * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(3, 6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} T^{-1}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ \vdots & * \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

The absolute values of the (i, j) -elements of $\exp\{tJ(z)\}$ for $i, j \geq 2$ and $j - i = l \geq 0$ are less than $\frac{1}{l!} t e^{-\alpha(z)t}$, where $-\alpha(z)$ denotes the maximum real part of the eigenvalues of $A(z)$ except $\lambda(z)$.

On the other hand the (i, j) -elements of $\exp\{tJ(z)\}$ for $j - i < 0$ or for $i = 1, j \neq i$ are equal to 0. Since $\sup_{z \in (-a, a)} e^{-\alpha(z)} < 1$, the (i, j) -elements of $\exp\{tJ(z)\}$ for $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$ converge to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For the $(1, 1)$ -element, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-izmt^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{t\lambda(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} z)} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} z^2 v^2},$$

with m and v defined by (3, 1). Therefore

$$(3, 7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-izmt^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\{tJ(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} z)\} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} z^2 v^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & | & 0 \dots 0 \\ \hline 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

holds. Consequently we get (3, 1) by (3, 3)~(3, 7) and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY. *In case $v = -\lambda''(0) > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F^\mu(xt^{\frac{1}{2}} + tm, t) = \int_0^{\frac{x}{v}} \frac{e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dy \cdot e$$

for any initial distribution μ .

§4. The expression of m and v , and the expectation process of the eletron-photon cascade.

Let $e(z)$ and $i(z)$ be the left and right eigenvector of $A(z)$ belonging to $\lambda(z)$ respectively, as in the proof of Theorem 2. We can assume that they are twice differentiable in the neighbourhood of the origin and $e(0) = e, i(0) = 1$.

Since $\lambda(0) = 0$, taking dreivatives of the equations

$$(4, 1) \quad \mathbf{e}(z)A(z) = \lambda(z)\mathbf{e}(z) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(4, 2) \quad A(z)\mathbf{i}(z) = \lambda(z)\mathbf{i}(z)$$

we have

$$(4, 3) \quad \mathbf{e}'(0)A(0) + \mathbf{e}A'(0) = \lambda'(0)\mathbf{e} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(4, 4) \quad A(0)\mathbf{i}'(0) + A'(0)\mathbf{1} = \lambda'(0)\mathbf{1}.$$

By (4, 3), we have

$$(4, 5) \quad m = -i\lambda'(0) = -\mathbf{e}A'(0)\mathbf{1}.$$

Differentiating (4, 1) twice and noting (4, 4), we have

$$(4, 6) \quad v = -\lambda''(0) = -\mathbf{e}A''(0)\mathbf{1} + 2\mathbf{e}'(0)A(0)\mathbf{i}'(0).$$

The following Lemma 3 will illustrate the probabilistic meaning of m and v . Let $\{n(t), x(t)\}$ be the Markov process on $\{1, 2, \dots, N\} \times R^1$ whose transition function are governed by $F_{ij}(x, t)$ and whose initial distribution is $\delta_0(dx)\mathbf{e}$, where δ_0 is the δ -measure concentrated at the origin.

LEMMA 3.
$$m = -i\mathbf{e}A'(0)\mathbf{1} = \frac{\mathbf{E}(x(t))}{t}, \text{ for any } t > 0$$

$$v = -\mathbf{e}A''(0)\mathbf{1} + 2\mathbf{e}'(0)A(0)\mathbf{i}'(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}[x(t) - \mathbf{E}(x(t))]^2}{t}.$$

Proof. We can see that

$$\mathbf{E}(x(t)) = -i\mathbf{e}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbf{f}(z, t)\right)\mathbf{1} = -i\mathbf{e}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} e^{tA(z)}\right)\mathbf{1} = -it\mathbf{e}A(0)\mathbf{1}mt,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[x(t) - \mathbf{E}(x(t))]^2 &= \mathbf{E}(x(t)^2) - m^2t^2 = -\mathbf{e}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} e^{tA(z)}\right)\mathbf{1} + \lambda'(0)^2t^2 \\ &= -t\mathbf{e}A''(0)\mathbf{1} - 2\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \mathbf{e}A^{(k)}(0)A^{k-2}(0)A'(0)\mathbf{1} + \lambda'(0)^2t^2. \end{aligned}$$

While, the second term of the last expression is, by virtue of (4, 3) and (4, 4), equal to $-\lambda'(0)^2t^2 - 2\mathbf{e}'(0)(\exp(tA(0)) - \mathbf{E} - tA(0))\mathbf{i}'(0)$. Thus, we see that the second equation of Lemma 3 is valid.

In the remainder of this section, let us discuss the case where $N=2$. In this case, $A(0)$ can be written in the form $A(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -a & a \\ b & -b \end{pmatrix}$ and by the assumption

that $A(0)$ is irreducible, $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ hold. Therefore

$$\mathbf{A}(0)^n = (-1)^{n-1} (a+b)^{n-1} \mathbf{A}(0), \quad n > 1,$$

and, by (4, 3), (4, 4) and (4, 6), we have

$$(4, 7) \quad v = -e\mathbf{A}''(0)\mathbf{1} + \frac{2}{(a+b)^2} e\mathbf{A}'(0)\mathbf{A}(0)\mathbf{A}'(0)\mathbf{1},$$

The expectation process introduced by Harris ([2] Chap. VII) related to the electron-photon cascade can be dealt with as a special case of our discussion with $N=2$.

Harris has conjectured that the central limit theorem holds for the expectation process, with m and v given by the right hand sides of the equations (4, 5) and (4, 7) respectively ([2] page 198). Theorem 2 and the above discussions show the validity of his conjecture.

REFERENCES

- [1] B.V. Gnedenko and A.N. Kolmogorov: *Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables*, Addison-Wesley Pub. Comp., (1954), (Translated from the Russian.)
- [2] T.E. Harris: *The theory of branching processes*, Springer-Verlag, (1963).
- [3] J. Keilson and D.M.G. Wishart: A central limit theorem for processes defined on a finite Markov chain, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **60** (1964), 547–567.
- [4] W. Feller: *An introduction to probability theory and its application* vol. 2, John Wiley & Sons, (1966).

Tokyo University of Education and Nagoya University