

failure to take medication. We felt that the visits from a psychiatrist intruded into their denial such that they needed to end the contact. In both of these cases the patients were of Afro-Caribbean origin and intelligent, their hopes for the future had been severely compromised by their illness.

The third 'disinterested' case was an older (33 year old) white man on Section 37 of the Mental Health Act. Both he and his parents were chronically psychotic. Denial of illness was strong in the whole family.

One case has not been part of the project long enough to assess her attitude to it.

Four cases seemed to value the meetings. In three of them, this appreciation was shared equally by relatives and patients. In the other case, the patient seemed to fall into the 'denying' group, whilst her parents (who were heavily burdened by her) were desperate for help.

All of the families in this group were highly concerned about their relatives' illness, and their prospects. With the development of a relationship, some parents became able to reveal their feelings of guilt. Education about the factors in the causation of schizophrenic illnesses was effective in reducing some of this. Generally, families valued feeling involved more consistently, although this appreciation only came through after some time. At first the families seemed willing to accept the treatment offered in a fatalistic way, and were only able to offer their concerns and anxieties later on, as the relationship gelled. On questioning, relatives said they valued having contact with one doctor who they felt had come to know their situation well.

Despite continuing contact, compliance with medication was still very variable, and the educative aims of the meetings came into prominence. Clear, sensible explanation of the needs for, and effect of medication repeatedly proved to be the most frequent topic for conversation. In two cases, patients stopped medication against advice and quickly relapsed. However, the close contact and the therapeutic relationship made it possible for them to rapidly restart before symptoms became serious. In another case, this education function led to the reaching of an agreement which prevented an uncontrolled stopping of medication.

Generally, patients had little understanding of the reasons for taking medication and, conspicuously, no knowledge of its protective effect against relapse. Side effects were not a major problem, but explanation of their occurrence was reassuring.

Another aspect of the educational process was discussion of the causation and implications of their illness. The group

demonstrating denial tended to avoid such topics, but the other group welcomed their introduction. They formed a useful basis for discussion about career prospects and the reasons for avoiding external stress and examinations. All of these patients found it extremely hard to find employment after leaving hospital, with only one being in employment at the present time. Advice and support were given as appropriate.

Supervision of cases proved to be quite difficult, close liaison with the ward-based team was important. As mentioned, two patients ended contact, and have not been re-referred. In one case, gradual deterioration was monitored, and finally a voluntary re-admission to hospital negotiated. The patient's prior admission, with similar symptoms, had been compulsory following a suicide attempt. Other patients have been seen at intervals varying from one week to two months. Visits last between 30 minutes to one hour. Relatives are generally present, and are able to give their view of the situation, which is sometimes at variance with the patient's!

Conclusions

This system facilitated the development of relationships with patients and their relatives from a group with a generally poor prognosis. Acute relapse in three patients may have been prevented. Time spent with relatives has led to their becoming a more constructive part of the network aiding patients' recovery.

However, in some cases, this system did not help and the combined resistance of patients and families was not overcome.

The role described is clearly not restricted to a psychiatrist. However, the authoritative figure of the 'doctor' was able to contribute with added weight. Much of the work was educative, and facts and concepts had to be put over in a way comprehended by lay people. A clear understanding of the illness and treatment concepts is therefore needed. The role could be fulfilled by a CPN or psychiatrically trained social worker, although we feel that at present it fills a slightly different niche from that usually occupied by these professionals.

We intend to review these cases after a further year.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Wolfson Charitable Trust who is funding Dr Matthews as Clinical Research Fellow, and Mrs Jill Edwards who typed the manuscript.

'Statistical Bulletin', DHSS

The DHSS *Statistical Bulletin* (Bulletin 1/85) on 'Mental Illness Hospitals and Units in England' is now available. This report presents the results of the 1983 Mental Health Enquiry for mental illness hospitals and units and examines the trends over the period from 1973. The statistics analysed are mainly of admission to, and discharges from, mental illness hospitals and units. Numbers of resident patients in these hospitals and units are also given. The description of the main trends over the period from 1973 to 1983 is followed by a more detailed

analysis of the 1983 figures compared with those in the immediately preceding years. Similar statistics for mental handicap hospitals and units are presented in a separate *Statistical Bulletin* (Bulletin 2/85).

A copy of the *Statistical Bulletin* is available for reference in the College Library, and further copies may be obtained from: Information Division, DHSS, Cannons Park, Government Buildings, Honeypot Lane, Stanmore HA7 1AY.