

## ON THE ZEROS OF POWER SERIES WITH EXPONENTIAL LOGARITHMIC COEFFICIENTS

BY  
W. GAWRONSKI AND U. STADTMÜLLER

0. In this paper we investigate the zeros of power series

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \sum_0^{\infty} A(n)z^n$$

for some functions of coefficients  $A$ . In particular, we derive upper and lower bounds for the number of zeros of  $f$  in its domain of analyticity. For various choices of  $A$  such as  $A(t) = (t+1)^\kappa$ ,  $e^{\sqrt{t}}$ ,  $R(t^\alpha)$  ( $R$  being a rational and real function),  $(t+1)^\kappa \log^\lambda(t+1)$  ( $\kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ) detailed investigations of the behaviour of the zeros are given in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 12]. The basic methods in obtaining upper and lower bounds for the number of zeros of  $f$  for an extended class of functions (1) are due to A. Peyerimhoff [9, 7, 3] and they have been extended by the authors [4, 5, 6, 12].

Throughout suppose that  $Q_s$  is a real polynomial with (exact) degree  $s$  and distinct zeros  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$  that is

$$(2) \quad Q_s(z) = \prod_1^p (z - \alpha_\nu)^{k_\nu}$$

for some  $k_\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_1^p k_\nu = s$ . Furthermore, we denote by  $P_k$  a polynomial of degree at most  $k$ , when  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $P_k(z) \equiv 0$ , when  $-k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $P_k$  may be different at each occurrence.

We deal with power series (1) for the following choices of  $A$  where in some cases it is convenient to characterize  $A$  or a closely related function as a solution of some differential equation [cf. 7].

(i)

$$(3) \quad A(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^p e^{\alpha_\nu x} P_{k_\nu-1}(x) + A_0(x)$$

where  $A \in C_s[0, \infty)$  is a real solution of the differential equation

$$(4) \quad Q_s\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)A(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x > 0,$$

$\varphi$  being completely monotone for  $x > 0$ .

(ii)

$$(5) \quad A(x) = R(\log(x+1)),$$

---

Received by the editors March 2, 1979 and in revised form March 11, 1980.

where  $R$  denotes a real and rational function the poles of which are different from  $\log n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(iii)

$$(6) \quad A(\log(x+1)) = \sum_{\nu=1}^p (x+1)^{\alpha_\nu} P_{k_\nu-1}(\log(x+1))$$

where  $A \in C_s[0, \infty)$  is a real solution of the differential equation

$$(7) \quad Q_s\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) A(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the cases (ii) and (iii) the associated power series (1) admits unique analytic extension onto  $\mathbb{C}^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{if } \text{Re } z \geq 1, \text{ then } \text{Im } z \neq 0\}$ , whereas for (3)  $f$  can be extended onto

$$(8) \quad \mathbb{C}_p^* = \mathbb{C}^* - \{e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_p}\}$$

(see the proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 3).

(i) If  $A$  satisfies (4) with  $\alpha_\nu \leq 0, \nu = 1, \dots, p$ , and

$$(9) \quad A(0) = A'(0) = \dots = A^{(q)}(0) = 0$$

for some  $q \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}, s \geq 1$ , then it was shown in [7, Theorem 4, p. 219] that

$$(10) \quad f(z) = \sum_0^\infty A(n+\tau)z^n, \quad \tau \in [0, 1)$$

has at most  $s$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  (unless  $f \equiv 0$ ) and at least  $q+1$  different zeros which are  $\leq 0$ . We shall show that the upper estimate remains true, if the restriction on  $\alpha_\nu$  is dropped completely and  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is replaced by  $\mathbb{C}_p^*$ . Furthermore, we shall prove that the lower estimate for the number of negative zeros remains true, provided  $\text{Im } \alpha_\nu \neq \pi \pmod{2\pi}, \nu = 1, \dots, p$  (see Theorem 1).

(ii) For (5) the representation of

$$R(z) = \frac{P_r(z)}{Q_s(z)}, \quad r \geq 0, \quad P_r(\alpha_\nu) \neq 0$$

will be written

$$(11) \quad R(z) = C_{r-s}(z) + \sum_{\nu=1}^p \sum_{\mu=1}^k \frac{a_{\nu,\mu}}{(z-\alpha_\nu)^\mu}, \quad C_{r-s}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-s} c_j z^j.$$

If  $\alpha_\nu \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid k \geq \max(0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)\}$ , then it was shown in [3, theorem 3, p. 179] that the number of zeros of  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty R(n)z^n$  in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  does not exceed  $m+r$ . Defining

$$(12) \quad l = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid k \geq \max(0, e^{\alpha_1} - 1, \dots, e^{\alpha_p} - 1)\},$$

we prove that

$$(13) \quad f(z) = \sum_0^\infty R(\log(n+1))z^n$$

has at most  $l+r$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , thereby showing again that an upper bound depends on the degree of  $P$ , and the location of the poles of  $R$  only (see Theorem 2).

(iii) It is known [12] that

$$(14) \quad f_{\kappa,m}(z) = \sum_0^\infty (n+1)^\kappa \log^m(n+1)z^n, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

has exactly  $m$  or  $k+m$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , when  $\kappa \leq 0$  or  $k < \kappa \leq k+1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , respectively and these zeros are all  $\leq 0$  and simple.

If  $A$  satisfies (7) and (9) and if  $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_p$ ,

$$(15) \quad k = \max(-1, [\alpha_p]),$$

( $[x]$  denotes the largest integer not exceeding  $x$  as customary)

$$(16) \quad n_p \text{ is the number of } \alpha_v \text{ being in } \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is determined by

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} j &= 0, & \text{if } \alpha_1 \leq 0, \\ j < \alpha_1 \leq j+1, & \text{if } \alpha_1 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

then we prove that

$$(18) \quad f(z) = \sum_0^\infty A(\log(n+1))z^n$$

has at most  $k+s-n_p$  or  $k+s-1-n_p$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , when  $\alpha_1 \leq 0$  or  $\alpha_1 > 0$  respectively. A lower bound for the number of different zeros being  $\leq 0$  is given by  $j+q+1$  (see Theorems 3 and 4).

The situation becomes completely different for (10) and (18), if we admit that  $Q_s$  has non-real zeros, that is some  $\alpha_v$ 's are "complex". For instance, if we consider

$$(19) \quad f(z) = \sum_0^\infty \sin \log(n+1)z^n$$

as a special case of (18) ( $s=2$ ,  $Q_2(z) = z^2+1$ ), then we have (see(14))

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2i} (f_{i,0}(z) - f_{-i,0}(z)).$$

Observing that

$$f_{\kappa,0}(z) \sim \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\kappa)} \frac{1}{z(\log(-z))^\kappa},$$

as  $z \rightarrow \infty, z \in \mathbb{C}^*, \kappa \notin \mathbb{N}$  [1, p. 226] we obtain for  $z = -x, x \rightarrow \infty$

$$f(-x) \sim \frac{1}{x} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-i)(\log x)^i} = \frac{\alpha}{x} \sin(\log \log x + \beta),$$

$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \neq 0$ . Thus (19) has an infinite number of zeros on the negative real axis. Furthermore, it will be shown that (19) has infinitely many zeros on  $(0, 1)$  (see section 4). In general, when  $Q_s$  has “complex” zeros, we point out how to treat (10) and (18) by asymptotic methods. To this end we give generalizations of Watson’s lemmata for asymptotic representations of Laplace integrals and loop integrals (see section 1).

1. In this section we collect some auxiliary results which will be used for obtaining upper bounds for the number of zeros and for deriving the asymptotic distribution of the zeros of some power series. The first lemma essentially gives a basic technique for handling our power series. Its proof is given in [3, p. 175] but since it is applied several times we restate it.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that  $g \in V[0, 1]$ . Then for  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$*

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - zt_j) \int_0^1 \frac{dg(t)}{1 - zt} = P_{n-1}(z) + z^n \int_0^1 \prod_{j=1}^n (t - t_j) \frac{dg(t)}{1 - zt}$$

with some polynomial  $P_{n-1}$ .

The following lemmata 2 and 3 are generalizations of Watson’s lemmata for Laplace integrals and loop integrals [cf. 8, theorems 3.2, p. 113, and 5.1, p. 120]. Since the proofs are direct analogues of those of the theorems cited above, we omit them. Throughout for complex valued functions  $a(t)$  and  $b(t)$  defined in some angular neighbourhood of  $t_0$ ,  $a(t) \sim b(t), t \rightarrow t_0$ , means that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} a(t)/b(t) = 1$ .

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that  $q(t)$  is a complex valued function for  $t > 0$  with a finite number of discontinuities. Further assume that*

$$L(z) := \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} q(t) dt$$

and that  $\rho, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \rho > 0$ .

(i) *If  $L$  has a finite abscissa of convergence and if*

$$q(t) \sim t^{\rho-1} \left( \log \frac{1}{t} \right)^\lambda, \quad t \rightarrow +0,$$

then, as  $z \rightarrow \infty, |\arg z| \leq (\pi/2) - \theta, \theta > 0$

$$L(z) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\rho)}{z^\rho} (\log z)^\lambda.$$

(ii) If

$$q(t) \sim t^{\rho-1}(\log t)^\lambda, \quad t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

then, as  $z \rightarrow 0$ ,  $|\arg z| \leq (\pi/2) - \theta$ ,

$$L(z) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\rho)}{z^\rho} \left(\log \frac{1}{z}\right)^\lambda.$$

(Throughout  $\log z$ , its power, and the fractional powers of  $z$  are defined by their principal values.)

LEMMA 3. For given  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  let

$$C_\varepsilon = \{t = re^{-i\pi} \mid \infty > r \geq \varepsilon\} \cup \{t = \varepsilon e^{i\varphi} \mid -\pi \leq \varphi \leq \pi\} \\ \cup \{t = re^{i\pi} \mid \varepsilon \leq r < \infty\}$$

be Hankel's loop and  $q(t)$  a function being continuous on  $C_\varepsilon$  and holomorphic, but not necessarily single valued, in the annulus  $\{t \mid 0 < |t| < 2\varepsilon\}$ . Further we suppose that

$$I(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\varepsilon} e^{zt} q(t) dt$$

has an abscissa of absolute convergence being different from  $+\infty$ , and that

$$q(t) \sim t^{\rho-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^\lambda$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $|\arg t| \leq \pi$ , where  $\rho, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Then, as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|\arg z| \leq (\pi/2) - \theta$ ,  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$I(z) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\rho)} \frac{(\log z)^\lambda}{z^\rho}, & \text{if } \rho \notin \mathbb{N} \\ \gamma_\rho \frac{\lambda (\log z)^{\lambda-1}}{z^\rho}, & \text{if } \rho \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\gamma_\rho = - \left. \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-x)} \right|_{x=\rho}.$$

Finally, we use lemmata 2 and 3 to derive the asymptotic behaviour of

$$(14) \quad f_{\kappa,m}(z) = \sum_0^\infty (n+1)^\kappa \log^m(n+1)z^n, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{C}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

for  $z \rightarrow \infty$  and  $z \rightarrow 1$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$  (cf. lemma 3 in [12] with a different proof for  $z = -x$ ,  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ).

LEMMA 4. (i) As  $z \rightarrow \infty, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , we have

$$(20) \quad f_{\kappa,m}(z) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{\kappa+1}}{z^2} & \text{if } m = 0, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{N} \\ \frac{(-1)^{m+1}(\log \log(-z))^m}{z \Gamma(1-\kappa)(\log(-z))^\kappa} & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \kappa \notin \mathbb{N} \\ \frac{(-1)^{m+1} m \gamma_\kappa (\log \log(-z))^{m-1}}{z (\log(-z))^\kappa} & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_\kappa$  is defined in Lemma 3.

(ii) As  $z \rightarrow 1, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , we have

$$(21) \quad f_{\kappa,m}(z) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)(-1)^m}{z (\log 1/z)^{\kappa+1}} \left( \log \log \frac{1}{z} \right)^m + H_1(z), & \text{if } -\kappa \notin \mathbb{N} \\ \frac{(-1)^{m-\kappa} (\log \log 1/z)^{m+1}}{z(m+1)(-\kappa-1)! (\log 1/z)^{\kappa+1}} + H_2(z), & \text{if } -\kappa \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

where  $H_\mu(z)$  denote functions being holomorphic at  $z = 1$ .

**Proof.** (i) By residue calculus (observe that  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , see also [4, 5]) we have for  $z \in \mathbb{C}_0^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{if } \text{Re } z \geq 0, \text{ then } \text{Im } z \neq 0\}$

$$f_{\kappa,m}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \sum_1^\infty n^\kappa \log^m n z^n = \frac{-1}{2iz} \int_{1/2-i\infty}^{1/2+i\infty} \frac{t^\kappa \log^m t}{\sin \pi t} e^{t \log(-z)} dt$$

where  $\log(-z) = \log |z| + i(\arg z - \pi), 0 < \arg z < 2\pi$ . Now we deform the contour of integration into  $(0 < \varepsilon < 1)$

$$C'_\varepsilon = \{t = \xi - i\varepsilon \mid -\infty < \xi \leq 0\} \cup \left\{ t = \varepsilon e^{i\varphi} \mid -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} \cup \{t = \xi + i\varepsilon \mid 0 \geq \xi > -\infty\}$$

and obtain for  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*, |z| > 1$

$$f_{\kappa,m}(z) = \frac{-1}{2iz} \oint_{C'_\varepsilon} \frac{t^\kappa \log^m t}{\sin \pi t} e^{t \log(-z)} dt.$$

Now an application of Lemma 3 (see also [9, p. 205] for  $z = -x, x \rightarrow \infty$  and  $m = 0$ ) leads to (20) provided  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \kappa \notin \mathbb{N}$  or  $m \in \mathbb{N}, \kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ , since a deformation of  $C_\varepsilon$  into  $C'_\varepsilon$  in Lemma 3 does not affect the statement. If  $m = 0$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ , then see [10, Vol. I, p. 7, prob. 46].

(ii) In this case an application of Plana's sum formula [11, p. 440] gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\kappa,m}(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^\infty n^\kappa \log^m n z^n = \frac{1}{2} \log^m 1 + \frac{1}{z} \int_1^\infty t^\kappa \log^m t e^{-t \log(1/z)} dt \\ &\quad + i \int_0^\infty \frac{(1+iy)^\kappa \log^m(1+iy) z^{iy} - (1-iy)^\kappa \log^m(1-iy) z^{-iy}}{e^{2\pi y} - 1} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \int_1^\infty t^\kappa \log^m t e^{-t \log(1/z)} dt + H(z) \end{aligned}$$

where  $H$  is holomorphic at  $z = 1$ . If  $\text{Re } \kappa > -1$ , then Lemma 2, (ii) implies (21) directly. If  $\text{Re } \kappa \leq -1$ , then we put  $\nu := [-\text{Re } \kappa]$  and apply Lemma 2, (ii) to

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz} z\right)^\nu f_{\kappa,m}(z) = \sum_0^\infty (n+1)^{\kappa+\nu} \log^m(n+1)z^n$$

which gives (note that  $\text{Re } \kappa + \nu > -1$ )

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz} z\right)^\nu f_{\kappa,m}(z) \sim \frac{1}{z} \frac{\Gamma(\kappa + \nu + 1)(-1)^m}{(\log 1/z)^{\kappa+\nu+1}} \left(\log \log \frac{1}{z}\right)^m$$

as  $z \rightarrow 1, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Now  $\nu$  times integration leads to (21).

2. In this section we deal with the power series (10) thereby extending the results in [7].

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $Q_s \in \mathbb{R}[z], s \geq 0$ , and that  $A \in C_s[0, \infty)$  is a real solution of the differential equation*

$$(4) \quad Q_s \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) A(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x > 0$$

$\varphi$  being completely monotone for  $x > 0$ . If  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p\}$  is the set of different zeros of  $Q_s$ , then

$$(10) \quad f(z) = \sum_0^\infty A(n + \tau)z^n, \quad \tau \geq 0$$

defines on  $\mathbb{C}_p^*$  (see (8)) uniquely a holomorphic function possessing at most  $s$  zeros unless  $f \equiv 0$ . Moreover, if

$$(22) \quad \text{Im } \alpha_\nu \neq \pi \pmod{2\pi}, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, p,$$

$$(9) \quad A(0) = A'(0) = \dots = A^{(q)}(0) = 0$$

for some  $q \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}, s \geq 1$ , and  $\tau \in [0, 1)$ , then  $f$  has at least  $q+1$  different zeros which are  $\leq 0$ . The zeros of those being on the negative real axis have odd multiplicities unless  $f \equiv 0$ .

**Proof.** Actually the proof for the upper estimate is hidden in that of theorem 3 in [7]. Therefore we only give a short outline for this part. The general solution of (4) is given by (3) with

$$(23) \quad A_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{+0}^1 w dg(w) \int_{C_w} \frac{e^{(x-1)t}}{Q_s(t)(t - \log w)} dt, \quad x > 0,$$

where  $\varphi(x) = \int_{+0}^1 w^x dg(w), x > 0$ , for some monotonically increasing  $g$  and  $C_w$  is a positively oriented and simply closed curve in the half plane  $\text{Re } t \leq \max_{1 \leq \nu \leq p} \text{Re } \alpha_\nu + 1$  containing  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ , and  $\log w$  in its interior. Since  $A_0$

satisfies (4), it follows from lemma 1 in [7, p. 212] that

$$(24) \quad \int_{+0}^1 \frac{dg(w)}{(1 + \log 1/w)^{s-\nu}} < \infty, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, s.$$

This ensures the existence of the  $w$ -integral in (23) after having evaluated the contour integral along  $C_w$  by calculus of residues. To establish the analytic extension of  $f$  we observe that for sufficiently small  $|z|$  we have, by (23) and (24),

$$(25) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_0(n + \tau)z^n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_0^{\infty} z^n \int_{+0}^1 w dg(w) \int_{C_w} \frac{e^{(n+\tau-1)t}}{Q_s(t)(t - \log w)} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{+0}^1 w dg(w) \int_{C_w} \frac{e^{(\tau-1)t}}{(1 - e^t z)Q_s(t)(t - \log w)} dt$$

being holomorphic throughout  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . The part of  $f$  generated by the homogeneous solution of (4) obviously has the form

$$(26) \quad P_{s-1}(z) / \prod_{\nu=1}^p (1 - e^{\alpha_\nu} z)^{k_\nu}$$

for some  $P_{s-1} \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ . Now  $f(z)$  is the sum of (25) and (26). Multiplying  $f(z)$  by  $\prod_{\nu=1}^p (1 - e^{\alpha_\nu} z)^{k_\nu}$  the use of the technique of Lemma 1 immediately leads to (note that  $\log(1/z)$  is outside of  $C_w$  for all  $w \leq 1$  if  $|z|$  is sufficiently small)

$$(27) \quad \prod_{\nu=1}^p (1 - e^{\alpha_\nu} z)^{k_\nu} f(z)$$

$$= P_{s-1}(z) + z^s \int_{+0}^1 w dg(w) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_w} \prod_{\nu=1}^p \left( \frac{e^t - e^{\alpha_\nu}}{t - \alpha_\nu} \right)^{k_\nu} \frac{e^{(\tau-1)t} dt}{(1 - e^t z)(t - \log w)}$$

$$= P_{s-1}(z) + z^s \int_{+0}^1 \frac{w^\tau}{1 - zw} \prod_{\nu=1}^p \left( \frac{w - e^{\alpha_\nu}}{\log w - \alpha_\nu} \right)^{k_\nu} dg(w)$$

giving the analytic extension of  $f$  onto  $\mathbb{C}_p^*$ .

Since  $Q_s \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ , it follows that  $P_{s-1} \in \mathbb{R}[z]$  and that

$$\prod_1^p \left( \frac{w - e^{\alpha_\nu}}{\log w - \alpha_\nu} \right)^{k_\nu} \geq 0.$$

Now the upper bound follows from theorem 1 in [9, p. 196].

To prove the lower bound we put

$$a_\nu := \left\lceil \frac{|\operatorname{Im} \alpha_\nu|}{\pi} \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_\nu := \begin{cases} \alpha_\nu - \pi i a_\nu \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} \alpha_\nu), & \text{if } a_\nu \text{ is even} \\ \alpha_\nu - \pi i (a_\nu + 1) \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} \alpha_\nu), & \text{if } a_\nu \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\alpha_\nu - \beta_\nu \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi i}$  and  $|\operatorname{Im} \beta_\nu| < \pi$ , by (22).



From (23) we have

$$(28) \quad A_0(x) = \int_{+0}^1 w \, dg(w) \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^p \operatorname{res}_{t=\alpha_\nu} \frac{e^{(x-1)t}}{Q_s(t)(t-\log w)} + \frac{w^{x-1}}{Q_s(\log w)} \right\}.$$

By the periodicity of the exponential we obtain that  $A_0(n)$  and  $A(n)$  do not change, when the  $\alpha_\nu$ 's in the exponents are replaced by the  $\beta_\nu$ 's. Further, we may assume that  $\operatorname{Re} \beta_\nu \leq 0$ , for otherwise we consider

$$\tilde{f}(\xi) = f(e^k z) = \sum_0^\infty \tilde{A}(n) \xi^n$$

where  $\xi := e^k z$ ,  $\tilde{A}(x) = A(x)e^{-kx}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Since all these manipulations do not affect the assumptions of Theorem 1 we may suppose w.l.o.g. that  $|\operatorname{Im} \alpha_\nu| < \pi$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha_\nu \leq 0$ ,  $\nu = 1, \dots, p$ .

Next, we put  $\theta := \max_{1 \leq \nu \leq p} \operatorname{Im} \alpha_\nu$ . Hence it follows from (3) and (28) that for every positive  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$

$$(29) \quad |A(\gamma + \rho e^{i\varphi})| < e^{(\theta+\varepsilon)\rho} \quad |\varphi| \leq \frac{\pi}{2},$$

when  $\rho$  is sufficiently large. By (24), (29) holds for  $\gamma = 0$  and  $(q < s)$   $|A^{*(q)}(x)| < e^{(\theta+\varepsilon)|x|}$  for sufficiently large  $|x|$ , where  $A^*(x) := A(ix)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Clearly (9) implies  $A^*(0) = A^{*(1)}(0) = \dots = A^{*(q)}(0) = 0$ . Now the lower bound follows from the theorem in [6].

REMARKS. (i) Formula (27) shows that  $f$  has at most  $s-1$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C} - \{e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_p}\}$  if  $\varphi \equiv 0$ .

(ii) The function

$$f(z) = \sum_0^\infty n^2 \cos \pi n \, z^n = \frac{-z(1-z)}{(1+z)^3}$$

shows that we cannot omit condition (22).

Applying the lower estimate given in Theorem 1 to  $g(z) = f(-z)$  we obtain

COROLLARY. *If in Theorem 1 we assume in addition that  $\varphi \equiv 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \alpha_\nu \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , then  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty A(n)z^n$  has at least  $q+1$  different zeros which are  $\geq 0$ . The zeros of those being on the positive real axis have odd multiplicities unless  $f \equiv 0$ .*

As an application we consider  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty n^k \sin \alpha n z^n$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \pi$  ( $Q_s(z) = (z^2 + \alpha^2)^{k+1}$ ,  $s = 2k+2$ ,  $q = k$ ,  $\alpha_1 = i\alpha$ ,  $\alpha_2 = -i\alpha$ ,  $\varphi \equiv 0$ ). It follows that  $f$  has exactly  $2k+1$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C} - \{e^{i\alpha}, e^{-i\alpha}\}$ , all zeros are simple, and, besides  $z = 0$ , there are  $k$  positive and  $k$  negative zeros.

3. In this section we deal with the power series (13). The main result is given by

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that  $R(z) = P_r(z)/Q_s(z)$ ,  $P_r \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ . Further assume that*

$r \geq 0$ ,  $Q_s$  is given by (2),  $P_r(\alpha_\nu) \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_\nu \neq \log n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\nu = 1, \dots, p$ .

Then

$$(13) \quad f(z) = \sum_0^\infty R(\log(n+1))z^n$$

defines on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  uniquely a holomorphic function. Further, if  $\alpha_\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\nu = 1, \dots, p$ , then the number of zeros of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  does not exceed  $l+r$  ( $l$  is defined by (12)).

**Proof.** Throughout the proof we denote the number of zeros of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  by  $N$ . Using representation (11) we have for  $|z| < 1$

$$f(z) = \sum_0^\infty C_{r-s}(\log(n+1))z^n + \sum_{\nu=1}^p \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_\nu} a_{\nu,\mu} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{(\log(n+1) - \alpha_\nu)^\mu}.$$

From formula (1.7) and the remarks immediately following in [12] we get ( $m = 1, \dots, r-s$ )

$$f_{0,m}(z) = \sum_0^\infty \log^m(n+1)z^n = \frac{z}{1-z} \int_0^1 \frac{1-t}{\log 1/t} \frac{P_{m-1}(\log_2 1/t)}{1-zt} dt,$$

where  $\log_2 1/t = \log \log 1/t$ . Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_0^\infty C_{r-s}(\log(n+1))z^n &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-s} c_j \sum_{n=0}^\infty \log^j(n+1)z^n \\ &= \frac{c_0}{1-z} + \frac{z}{1-z} \int_0^1 \frac{1-t}{\log 1/t} \frac{P_{r-s-1}(\log_2 1/t)}{1-zt} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have for  $n \geq l$ ,  $\mu \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(\log(n+1) - \alpha_\nu)^\mu} &= \frac{1}{(\mu-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau(\log(n+1) - \alpha_\nu)} \tau^{\mu-1} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{(\mu-1)!} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{\alpha_\nu \tau}}{(n+1)^\tau} \tau^{\mu-1} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{(\mu-1)!} \int_0^1 dt \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{e^{\alpha_\nu \tau} \tau^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\tau)} e^{(\tau-1)\log_2(1/t)} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sum_0^\infty \frac{z^n}{(\log(n+1) - \alpha_\nu)^\mu} = P_{l-1}(z) + \frac{z^l}{(\mu-1)!} \int_0^1 dt \frac{t^l}{1-zt} \int_0^\infty d\tau \frac{e^{\alpha_\nu \tau} \tau^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\tau)} e^{(\tau-1)\log_2(1/t)}.$$

Now, using Lemma 1, we finally obtain for  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$  (observe that  $c_0 = 0$ , when  $r < s$ )

$$(30) \quad (1-z)f(z) = P_l(z) + z^{l+1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)t^l}{\log 1/t} \frac{V(t)}{1-zt} dt, \quad \text{if } r \geq s$$

$$(31) \quad f(z) = P_{l-1}(z) + z^l \int_0^1 \frac{t^l}{\log 1/t} \frac{V(t)}{1-zt} dt, \quad \text{if } r < s$$

where

$$V(t) = P_{r-s-1} \left( \log_2 \frac{1}{t} \right) - \int_0^\infty e^{\tau \log_2(1/t)} \sum_{\nu=1}^p e^{\alpha_\nu \tau} \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_\nu} \frac{a_{\nu,\mu}}{(\mu-1)!} t^{\mu-1} \frac{d\tau}{\Gamma(\tau)}$$

thereby giving an explicit representation of the analytic extension of  $f$  onto  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Since  $P_l$  and  $P_{l-1}$  are real polynomials we may apply theorem 1 in [3], that is we need an upper estimate of the number of real zeros of

$$H(\xi) := V(e^{-e^{-\xi}}) = P_{r-s-1}(\xi) - \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau \xi} \frac{E(\tau)}{\Gamma(\tau)} d\tau,$$

where

$$E(\tau) := \sum_{\nu=1}^p e^{\alpha_\nu \tau} \sum_{\mu=1}^{k_\nu} \frac{a_{\nu,\mu}}{(\mu-1)!} \tau^{\mu-1}.$$

(i) Suppose that  $r = s$ . Then, since  $E$  has at most  $s - 1$  real zeros [10, vol. II, p. 48, prob. 75],  $H$  has at most  $s - 1$  real zeros [10, vol. II, p. 50, prob. 80]. Thus, by theorem 1 in [3] and (30),  $N \leq l + 1 + s - 1 = l + r$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $r < s$ . Observing that  $E$  has at most  $r$  positive zeros in this case (cf. the proof of theorem 3 in [3]), by (31) as above, we obtain  $N \leq l + r$ .

(iii) Finally we assume that  $r > s$ . By Rolle's theorem, the number of real zeros of  $H$  does not exceed that of

$$H^{(r-s)}(\xi) = (-1)^{r-s+1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau \xi} \frac{\tau^{r-s}}{\Gamma(\tau)} E(\tau) d\tau$$

by more than  $r - s$ . Thus, as above we obtain  $N \leq l + 1 + s - 1 + r - s = l + r$ , by (30). This completes the proof.

In case, when  $R$  has non-real poles, the asymptotic methods developed in [3, 5, in particular theorem 1 in 5] show how to find sufficient conditions for  $f$  to have an infinity of zeros on the negative real axis. Since the results and arguments are very similar to those in [3, 5] we omit them. We only show that  $z = \infty$  is the only possible limit point of zeros.

First we observe that representations (30) and (31) remains true possibly with some  $l' > l$ , if  $R$  has non-real poles. Since  $V$  is holomorphic on  $(0, 1)$ ,  $z = 1, \infty$  are the only limit points of zeros of  $f$ . Using Plana's sum formula [11, p. 440] we obtain

$$f(z) = P_{l'-1}(z) + \frac{1}{2}R(\log(l'+1))z^{l'} + \int_{l'}^\infty R(\log(t+1))e^{-t \log(1/z)} dt$$

$$+ iz^{l'} \int_0^\infty \frac{R(\log(1+l'+iy))z^{iy} - R(\log(1+l'-iy))z^{-iy}}{e^{2\pi y} - 1} dy$$

where the latter integral is bounded for  $z \rightarrow 1, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Further we get from

Lemma 2, (ii), that

$$\int_1^\infty R(\log(t+1))e^{-t \log(1/z)} dt \sim \frac{K(-1)^{r-s}}{\log 1/z} \left(\log \log \frac{1}{z}\right)^{r-s}$$

as  $z \rightarrow 1, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , for some real  $K \neq 0$  and thus

$$f(z) \sim \frac{K(-1)^{r-s}}{\log 1/z} (\log \log 1/z)^{r-s} \quad z \rightarrow 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

This shows that  $z = 1$  is never a limit point of zeros of  $f$ .

4. In this section we investigate the zeros of the power series (18). The main results are concerned with the case of real  $\alpha_\nu$ 's only.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that  $Q_s \in \mathbb{R}[z], s \geq 1$ , is given by (2) with  $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_p$ . Further assume that  $A \in C_s[0, \infty)$  is a real solution of the differential equation*

$$(7) \quad Q_s\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)A(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then (unless  $f \equiv 0$ )

$$(18) \quad f(z) = \sum_0^\infty A(\log(n+1))z^n$$

defines (uniquely) on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  a holomorphic function possessing at most  $k + s - n_p$  or  $k + s - 1 - n_p$  zeros, if  $\alpha_1 \leq 0$  or  $\alpha_1 > 0$  respectively ( $k$  and  $n_p$  are defined by (15) and (16) respectively).

**Proof.** The general solution of (7) is given by

$$(32) \quad A(x) = \sum_1^p e^{\alpha_\nu x} P_{k_\nu-1}(x).$$

From formulae (1.6), (1.7), (1.8), and the remarks immediately following (1.7) in [12] we get,  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$

$$(33) \quad f_{\kappa,m}(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{P_m(\log_2 1/t)}{1-zt} \frac{dt}{(\log 1/t)^{\kappa+1}}, \quad \text{if } \kappa < 0,$$

$$(34) \quad f_{\kappa,m}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{[\kappa]+1}} \left\{ P_{[\kappa]}(z) + z^{[\kappa]+1} \int_0^1 \frac{P_m(\log_2 1/t)}{1-zt} \frac{(1-t)^{[\kappa]+1}}{(\log 1/t)^{\kappa+1}} dt \right\},$$

if  $\kappa \geq 0$ ,

and in particular

$$(35) \quad f_{\kappa,m}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{[\kappa]+1}} \left\{ P_{[\kappa]-1}(z) + z^{[\kappa]} \int_0^1 \frac{P_m(\log_2 1/t)}{1-zt} \frac{(1-t)^{[\kappa]+1}}{t(\log 1/t)^{\kappa+1}} dt \right\},$$

if  $\kappa > 0$ ,

where  $P_m$  in (34) and (35) reduce to some polynomials  $P_{m-1}$ , if  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Suppose that  $\alpha_1 > 0$ . Then, by (32),  $f$  is a sum of terms of type (35). Put  $\kappa = \alpha_\nu$ ,  $m = \kappa_\nu - 1$ . Applying the technique of Lemma 1 to (35) we get

$$(1 - z)^{\kappa+1} f_{\kappa,m}(z) = P_{\kappa-1}(z) + z^\kappa \int_0^1 \frac{P_m(\log_2 1/t)}{1 - zt} \frac{(1-t)^{\kappa+1}}{t(\log 1/t)^{\kappa+1}} dt$$

and hence

$$(36) \quad (1 - z)^{\kappa+1} f(z) = P_{\kappa-1}(z) + z^\kappa \int_0^1 \frac{V(t)}{1 - zt} \frac{(1-t)^{\kappa+1}}{t \log 1/t} dt, \quad \alpha_1 > 0$$

where

$$(37) \quad V(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^p (\log 1/t)^{-\alpha_\nu} P_{\kappa_\nu-1}(\log_2 1/t)$$

and  $P_{\kappa_\nu-1}$  becomes  $P_{\kappa_\nu-2}$  if the corresponding  $\alpha_\nu$  is a positive integer according the remark following (35).

If  $\alpha_1 \leq 0$ , then some of the terms have forms (33) or (34). Similarly as above we obtain the slightly weaker result

$$(38) \quad (1 - z)^{\kappa+1} f(z) = P_\kappa(z) + z^{\kappa+1} \int_0^1 \frac{V(t)}{1 - zt} \frac{(1-t)^{\kappa+1}}{\log 1/t} dt, \quad \alpha_1 \leq 0$$

with the same  $V(t)$  as in (37).

By the remarks following (35) and (37)  $V$  has at most  $s - 1 - n_p$  zeros on  $(0, 1)$  [10, vol. II, p. 48, prob. 75]. Now, using (36) and (38), theorem 1 in [3] completes the proof.

Lower bounds for the number of negative zeros are given by

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied. Moreover, assume that*

$$(9) \quad A(0) = A'(0) = \dots = A^{(q)}(0) = 0$$

for some  $q \in \{0, \dots, s - 1\}$ . Then

$$(18) \quad f(z) = \sum_0^\infty A(\log(n + 1))z^n$$

has at least  $j + q + 1$  different zeros which are  $\leq 0$  ( $j$  is defined by (17)).

**Proof.** If  $\alpha_1 \leq 1$ , that is  $j = 0$ , then the statement follows readily from [6]. If  $\alpha_1 > 1$ , then we have, by (17), that

$$(39) \quad 0 < \alpha_1 - j \leq 1, \quad j \geq 1.$$

Now we get from [6] again that

$$h(z) := \sum_0^\infty (n + 1)^{-j} A(\log(n + 1))z^n$$

has at least  $q + 1$  different zeros being  $\leq 0$ . Obviously we have

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{d}{dz} z\right)^j h(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \left(z \frac{d}{dz}\right)^{j-1} zh(z).$$

Now (39) and (20) show that

$$\left(z \frac{d}{dz}\right)^\nu zh(z) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, j - 1.$$

Hence, by a Rolle type argument [see e.g. 10, vol. II, p. 39, prob. 16] to the interval  $(-\infty, \varepsilon)$  we obtain inductively that  $f$  has at least  $j + q + 1$  different zeros which are  $\leq 0$ . This completes the proof.

As an application we consider the function (see (14) and [12])

$$(14) \quad f(z) = f_{\kappa,m}(z) = \sum_0^\infty (n + 1)^\kappa \log^m(n + 1)z^n, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We have  $p = 1, s = m + 1, \alpha_1 = \kappa, k = \max(-1, [\kappa]); j = 0$ , if  $\kappa \leq 0$ , and  $j < \kappa \leq j + 1$ , if  $\kappa > 0; q = m - 1$ . Now it is easily verified, by Theorems 3 and 4 that  $m + j$  is the exact number of zeros of  $f_{\kappa,m}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ ; all of them are  $\leq 0$  and simple.

If we drop the assumption on the reality of  $\alpha_\nu$ , then it was pointed out by Example (19) that we encounter functions having infinitely many zeros in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . In general, that is  $\alpha_\nu$  may be non real, Lemma 4 yields asymptotic expansions for  $f$  being of the type

$$f(z) \sim \frac{1}{z} \sum_{\nu=1}^p (\log(-z))^{-\alpha_\nu} P_{r_\nu}(\log \log(-z)) + \frac{K}{z^2} \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

and

$$f(z) \sim \frac{1}{z} \sum_{\nu=1}^p \left(\log \frac{1}{z}\right)^{-\alpha_\nu-1} P_{r_\nu}\left(\log \log \frac{1}{z}\right) + K \quad z \rightarrow 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

( $K \in \mathbb{R}$ ). From these asymptotic expansions sufficient conditions (depending on the location of the  $\alpha_\nu$ 's mainly) for the existence of an infinity of zeros accumulating at  $z = \infty$  and  $z = 1$  can be derived as in [3, 5]. Moreover,  $z = \infty$  and  $z = 1$  are the only possible limit points of zeros; for representations (36) and (38) remain true possibly with some  $k' > k$  and it follows again from the analyticity of  $V$  on  $(0, 1)$  that the zeros cannot accumulate at some  $x_0 \in (1, \infty)$ .

For

$$(19) \quad f(z) = \sum_0^\infty \sin \log(n + 1)z^n$$

we get from Lemma 4 (21) that ( $s = 2, Q_s(z) = z^2 + 1, \alpha_1 = i, \alpha_2 = -i$ ) as

$$z = x \rightarrow 1 - 0$$

$$f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2ix \log 1/x} \left( \frac{\Gamma(1+i)}{(\log 1/x)^i} - \frac{\Gamma(1-i)}{(\log 1/x)^{-i}} \right) = \frac{\operatorname{Im} \Gamma(1+i)/(\log 1/x)^i}{x \log 1/x}$$

$$= \frac{a}{x \log 1/x} \sin \left( \log \log \frac{1}{x} + b \right), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \neq 0.$$

This shows that  $f$  has infinitely many zeros on  $(0, 1)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. W. Ford, *Studies on divergent series and summability and the asymptotic developments of functions defined by MacLaurin series*, Chelsea Publ. Comp., New York, (1960).
2. W. Gawronski, *Asymptotic distribution of the zeros of power series*, Serd. Bulg. math. publ. vol. 5, 13–23 (1979).
3. W. Gawronski and A. Peyerimhoff, *On the zeros of power series with rational coefficients*, Arch. Math., **29**, 173–186 (1977).
4. W. Gawronski, *On the zeros of power series with rational coefficients II*, Arch. Math., **31**, 346–355 (1978).
5. W. Gawronski, *On the zeros of power series with rational coefficients III*, Arch. Math., **32**, 368–376 (1979).
6. W. Gawronski, *A lower bound for the number of negative zeros of power series*, Canad. Math. Bull., vol. 22 (1), 47–52 (1979).
7. W. B. Jurkat and A. Peyerimhoff, *On power series with negative zeros*, Tôhoku Math. J., vol. 24, no. 2, 207–221 (1972).
8. F. Olver, *Introduction to asymptotics and special functions*, Academic Press, Inc., New York, London, (1974).
9. A. Peyerimhoff, *On the zeros of power series*, Mich. Math. J., vol. 13, 193–214 (1966).
10. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis I, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, (1970).
11. G. Sansone and J. Gerretsen, *Lectures on the theory of functions of a complex variable*, vol. I, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, (1960).
12. U. Stadtmüller, *On the zeros of power series with logarithmic coefficients*, Canad. Math. Bull., vol. 22 (2), 221–233 (1979).

ABTEILUNG FÜR MATHEMATIK  
 UNIVERSITÄT ULM  
 OBERER ESELSBERG  
 D-7900 ULM  
 GERMANY