

Corrigendum

Consumer factors associated with purchasing local versus global value chain foods – CORRIGENDUM

Svetlana Bogomolova, Adam Loch, Larry Lockshin and Jon Buckley

doi: 10.1017/S1742170516000375. Published online by Cambridge University Press, 3 November 2016

In the recent publication mentioned above, the authors would like to apologise for incorrectly attributing the references in [table 1](#). The correct [table 1](#) is detailed below:

Reference

Bogomolova, S., Loch, A., Lockshin, L. and Buckley, J. 2016. Consumer factors associated with purchasing local versus global value chain foods. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems* 1–14. doi: 10.1017/S1742170516000375.

Table 1. Summary of relevant studies on demographic characteristics and propensity to buy local foods.

Authors	Location	Categories/outlets	Gender	Age	SES/income	Education	Location	Hous. size	Ethnicity	Outcome measure
(Memery et al., 2015)	England, UK	Local food at farmers markets	female	55+	ns	ns	ns			Self-reported past purchase of local products and future intentions
(Racine et al., 2013)	North Carolina, US	Local food at farmers markets			lower		rural	Hous. with children	white	Self-reported past purchase of local products
(Gracia et al., 2012)	Aragon, Spain	1 category: Lamb	female							Actual bids and eventual purchases
(Cranfield et al., 2012)	City of Guelph, Ontario, Canada	Local foods	female	mixed	ns	higher		mixed		Claimed likelihood to purchase
(Conner et al., 2010)	Michigan, US	Locally grown foods at farmers markets	ns	ns	part-time employment	ns		N of adults	ns	Self-reported past purchases of local products
(Conner et al., 2010)	Michigan, US	Farmers market participation			(-) working part-time			single person hous.	white	Self-reported past market attendance
(Zepeda, 2009)	US	Local produce at farmers markets	female	ns	ns	ns	ns	more adults	ns	Self-reported past patronage of farmers markets
(Brown et al., 2009)	Montpellier, France, Nottingham, UK	Commercial fruit and vegetable box schemes		older	have professional occupation					Description of participants in the box scheme against census
(Carpio and Isengildina-Massa, 2009)	South Carolina, US	Local produce and animal products	female	older	higher		work in agric	ns		Claimed willingness to pay extra for local produce and (separately) for local animal products
(Robinson-O'Brien et al., 2009)	Minnesota, US	Eating local, organically, non-GM and non-processed	female	ns	low-mid				non-white (hispanic and asian)	Attitudes towards four alternative food practices: a) organic, b) not processed, c) locally grown, and d) not genetically engineered
(Zepeda and Li, 2006)	US	Farmers markets, farmer direct	ns	ns	low/mid	ns	ns	>1 adult	ns	Self-reported past purchases from farmers markets or directly from farmers
(Onianwa et al., 2005)	Alabama, US	farmers markets	ns	ns	ns	higher	ns	Hous. with children with higher income	ns	Self-reported past patronage of farmers markets

Table 1. (Cont.)

Authors	Location	Categories/outlets	Gender	Age	SES/income	Education	Location	Hous. size	Ethnicity	Outcome measure
(Brown, 2003)	Tennessee, US	Fruits and vegetables, food labeled organic			higher	higher	farm			Self-reported past purchases of organic foods and fresh fruits and vegetables
(Jekanowski, 2000)	Indiana, US	Locally produced agricultural products	female		higher	lower	Longer rural	ns		Self-reported future intentions to purchase local products
(Eastwood et al., 1999)	Tennessee, US	Farmers markets and other farmer-outlets	female	older	higher	higher			white	Description of patrons of farmers markets and other farmer-outlets against census
Summary of past studies	12/15 studies come from US or Canada	All studies focus on farmers markets and farmer direct delivery	female	older	mixed	higher	rural	mixed	mixed	All but 1 study use self-reported or claimed past or future behaviors