

## Corrigendum

### Drug cue induced overshadowing: selective disruption of natural reward processing by cigarette cues amongst abstinent but not satiated smokers – Corrigendum

doi:10.1017/S0033291711001139. First published by Cambridge University Press 7 July 2011.

T. P. Freeman, C. J. A. Morgan, T. Beesley and H. V. Curran

In our recently published study (Freeman *et al.* 2012) the data in Table 3 are incorrectly presented. The data should have been multiplied by 10; however, the statistical analysis and interpretation remain unchanged. We apologize for this error and present the correct data below.

**Table 3.** Group means (standard deviations) for choice × importance ratings to the control cue and blocked cues (neutral cue blocked by a neutral cue, neutral cue blocked by a drug cue, drug cue blocked by a neutral cue)

	Controls	Smokers	Abstainers
Control cue	48.48 (30.95)	42.71 (35.26)	46.25 (33.33)
Neutral cue blocked by a neutral cue	33.04 (52.69)	50.00 (36.71)	27.50 (55.11)
Neutral cue blocked by drug cue	42.17 (50.54)	27.08 (53.12)	26.25 (60.99)
Drug cue blocked by neutral cue	27.39 (67.43)	47.08 (43.98)	41.25 (51.78)

#### Reference

Freeman TP, Morgan CJA, Beesley T, Curran HV (2012). Drug cue induced overshadowing: selective disruption of natural reward processing by cigarette cues amongst abstinent but not satiated smokers. *Psychological Medicine* 42, 161–171.