

VOLUME 11
NUMBER 2
JUNE 1988

An International Journal of Current Research
and Theory with Open Peer Commentary

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Appearing in this issue, with Commentary . . .

Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability in intellectually talented preadolescents: Their nature, effects, and possible causes Camilla Persson Benbow

Tactical deception in primates A. Whiten and R. W. Byrne

Multiple book review of *Sensory Analysis* Donald Laming

C A M B R I D G E U N I V E R S I T Y P R E S S

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Editor

Stevan Harnad
20 Nassau St., Suite 240
Princeton, NJ 08542

Managing Editor

Chooi-Yeok Kuan

Copy Editor (Chief)

Avis Kniffen

Associate Editors

Behavioral Biology

Jack P. Hailman/U. Wisconsin
Hubert Markl/U. Konstanz

Biosocial Behavior

Glendon Schubert/U. Hawaii, Manoa

Cognition and Artificial Intelligence

Zenon Pylyshyn/U. Western Ontario

Cognitive Development

Annette Karmiloff-Smith/MRC, London and MPI, Nijmegen

Evolutionary Biology

Michael T. Ghiselin/California Academy of Sciences

Experimental Analysis of Behavior

A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County

History and Systems

Julian Jaynes/Princeton

Language and Cognition

Philip Johnson-Laird/MRC, Cambridge
Peter Wason/University College, London

Language and Language Disorders

Max Coltheart/Birbeck College, London

Linguistics

Robert Freidin/Princeton

Neurobiology

Irving Kupfermann/Columbia

Neuropharmacology

Susan D. Iversen/Merck Sharp and Dohme, Ltd.

Neuropsychology

Jeffrey A. Gray/Inst. Psychiatry, London

Neurophysiology

Sten Grillner/Karolinska Institutet

Paleoneurology

Stephen Jay Gould/Harvard

Perception

Richard Gregory/U. Bristol

Philosophy

Daniel C. Dennett/Tufts
Gilbert Harman/Princeton

Philosophy of Science

Adolf Grünbaum/U. Pittsburgh

Psychobiology

Victor H. Denenberg/U. Connecticut
David S. Olton/Johns Hopkins

Quantitative Methods

Donald B. Rubin/Harvard

Vision and Artificial Intelligence

Stuart Sutherland/U. Sussex

Editorial Policy *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called Open Peer Commentary* to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See *Instructions for Authors and Commentators*, inside back cover.) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project.

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to write to the editor.

This publication was supported in part by NIH Grant LM 03539 from the National Library of Medicine.

Copying This journal is registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (27 Congress St., Salem, MA 01970). Organizations in the U.S.A. who are also registered with the CCC may therefore copy material (beyond the limits permitted by sections 107 and 108 of U.S. Copyright Law) subject to payment to the CCC of the per-copy fee indicated in the code on the first page of the article. This consent does not extend to multiple copying for promotional or commercial purposes.

ISI Tear Sheet Service, 3501 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, is authorized to supply single copies of separate articles for private use only.

For all other use, permission should be sought from the Cambridge or New York offices of the Press.

Subscriptions *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (ISSN 0140-525X) is published quarterly in March, June, September and December. Four parts form a volume. The subscription price, which includes postage, of Volume 11 (1988) is US \$147.00 net in the U.S.A. and Canada (£89.00 in the U.K.; £96.00 in the rest of the world) for institutions; US \$63.00 net (£41.00) for individuals; US \$36.00 net (£25.00) for BBS Associates; and US \$36.00 net (£25.00) for students (in the U.S.A. and Canada only) who provide proof of eligibility with order. Single parts cost US \$41.00 net (£25.00) plus postage. Institutional orders may be sent to a bookseller or, in the U.S.A. and Canada direct to: Cambridge University Press, 32 East 57 Street, New York, N.Y. 10022; in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, England. Individuals must order direct from the Press. Second class postage paid at New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes in the U.S.A. and Canada to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, 32 East 57 Street, New York, N.Y. 10022.

Advertising Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Promotion Department of the Cambridge or New York Office of Cambridge University Press.

*Modelled on the 'CA Comment' service of the journal *Current Anthropology*.

Contents Volume 11:2 June 1988

Benbow, C. P. Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability in intellectually talented preadolescents: Their nature, effects, and possible causes		169
Open Peer Commentary		
Becker, B. J. & Hedges, L. V. The effects of selection and variability in studies of gender differences	183	
Bleier, R. The plasticity of the human brain and human potential	184	
Bloom, L. Boys and girls and mathematics: What is the difference?	185	
Braine, L. G. Sex differences in mathematics: Is there any news here?	185	
Bryden, M. P. Cerebral organization and mathematical ability	186	
Burnett, S. A. Spatial visualization and mathematical reasoning abilities	187	
Chipman, S. F. Sex differences in parallax view?	188	
Denenberg, V. H., Berrebi, A. S. & Fitch, R. H. Sex, brain, and learning differences in rats	188	
Eysenck, H. J. O Tempora, O Mores!	189	
Farmer, H. S. Predicting who our future scientists and mathematicians will be	190	
Goldman-Rakic, P. S. & Clark, A. S. The new math: Is $XY \geq XX$?	191	
Halpern, D. F. Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability: Let me count the ways	191	
Hardyck, C. Causes of mathematical giftedness: Beware of left-handed compliments	192	
Harshman, R. A. A variety of brains?	193	
Hines, M. Hormonal influences on human cognition: What they might tell us about encouraging mathematical ability and precocity in boys and girls	194	
Humphreys, L. G. Sex differences in variability may be more important than sex differences in means	195	
Hunt, E. Sex differences in mathematical talents remain unexplained	196	
Jackson, N. E. To understand sex differences we must understand reasoning processes (and vice versa)	197	
Jensen, A. R. Sex differences in arithmetic computation and reasoning in prepubertal boys and girls	198	
Kenrick, D. T. Biology: Si! Hard-wired ability: Maybe no	199	
Kimura, D. Biological influences on cognitive function	200	
Kornbrot, D. E. Creative mathematics: Do SAT-M sex effects matter?	200	
Mackenzie, B. Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability: Causes, consequences, and variability	201	
Mayer, R. E. What we really need is a theory of mathematical ability	202	
McGuinness, D. Socialization versus biology: Time to move on	203	
Mills, C. J. Rival hypotheses about sex differences in mathematics: Problems and possibilities	204	
Money, J. Mathematics as male pathology	205	
Newcombe, N. & Baenninger, M. A. Nature/nurture in male/female mathematical giftedness	206	
Nyborg, H. Mathematics, sex hormones, and brain function	206	
Rosenthal, R. Evaluating explanations of sex differences in mathematical reasoning scores	207	
Sanders, B. Mathematical ability, spatial ability, and remedial training	208	
Searleman, A. Neuropsychological factors and mathematical reasoning ability	209	
Smothergill, D. W. Causes of things and nature of things: Advice from Hughlings Jackson	210	
Sternberg, R. J. The male/female difference is there: Should we care?	210	
Swanson, H. H. Hormones and sexual differentiation	211	
Symons, D. On throwing bones to environmentalists	212	
Tiger, L. Sex differences in mathematics: Why the fuss?	212	
Vandenberg, S. G. Could these sex differences be due to genes?	212	
Wahlsten, D. Bias and sampling error in sex difference research	214	
Walberg, H. J. Factors influencing educational productivity	214	
Witelson, S. F. Neuroanatomical sex differences: Of no consequence for cognition?	215	
Zohar, A. & Guttman, R. The forgotten realm of genetic differences	217	
Author's Response		
Benbow, C. P. Sex-related differences in precocious mathematical reasoning ability: Not illusory, not easily explained		217
Whiten, A. & Byrne, R. W. Tactical deception in primates		233
Open Peer Commentary		
Altmann, S. A. Darwin, deceit, and metacommunication	244	
Baldwin, J. D. Learning how to deceive	245	
Bennett, J. Thoughts about thoughts	246	
Bernstein, I. S. Metaphor, cognitive belief, and science	247	
Burghardt, G. M. Anecdotes and critical anthropomorphism	248	
Chevalier-Skolnikoff, S. Classification of deceptive behavior according to levels of cognitive complexity	249	
Danto, A. C. Deception and explanatory economy	252	
Dennett, D. Why creative intelligence is hard to find	253	
de Waal, F. B. M. Emotional control	254	
Dunbar, R. I. M. How to break moulds	254	
Gallup, Jr., G. G. Toward a taxonomy of mind in primates	255	
Griffin, D. R. Subjective reality	256	
Heyes, C. The distant blast of Lloyd Morgan's Canon	256	
Humphrey, N. Lies, damned lies and anecdotal evidence	257	
McGrew, W. C. You can't hide your lying eyes (or can you?)	258	
Menzel, Jr., E. W. Mindless behaviorism, bodiless cognitivism, or primatology?	258	

Mitchell, R. W. Ontogeny, biography, and evidence for tactical deception	259	Smith, P. K. Family life and opportunities for deception	264
Quiatt, D. Which are more easily deceived, friends or strangers?	260	Strum, S. C. Social strategies and primate psychology	264
Rachlin, H. Only external representations are needed	261	Thomas, R. K. Misdescription and misuse of anecdotes and mental state concepts	265
Reynolds, V. Tactical deception: A likely kind of primate action	262	Thompson, N. S. Deception and descriptive mentalism	266
Ristau, C. A. Deception: A need for theory and ethology	262	Authors' Response	
Shultz, T. R. & LaFrenière, P. J. Deception and adaptation: Multidisciplinary perspectives on presenting a neutral image	263	Byrne, R. W. & Whiten, A. Toward the next generation in data quality: A new survey of primate tactical deception	267
Laming, D. Précis of <i>Sensory Analysis</i>			275
Open Peer Commentary			
Cavonius, C. R. & van der Tweel, L. H. Limits on the usefulness of <i>Sensory Analysis</i>	296	coupling, and the amplitude-discrimination of pure tones	306
Hanna, T. E. A perspective from auditory psychophysics on differential coupling	297	Nevin, J. A. Sensory analysis and behavior theory	307
Harvey, Jr., L. O. To honor psychophysics and repeal confusion	298	Pastore, R. E. Problems in modeling intensity discrimination for audition	307
Järvillehto, M. Obscure input for sensory analysis: Peripheral information processing is a dynamic entity	298	Pöppel, E. & Logothetis, N. Psychophysical correlates of physiological functions	308
Kranda, K. Searching for models	299	Raab, D. H. What Miller hath joined, Laming hath put asunder	309
Kulikowski, J. J. Sensory analysis of vision	300	Stevens, K. A. Differential coupling for detection versus discrimination	310
Legge, G. E. & Viemeister, N. F. Sensory analysis in vision and audition	301	Tomko, D. L. <i>Sensory Analysis</i> : The question of balance	311
Lockhead, G. R. Modeling temporal and spatial differences	302	Tyler, C. W. A differentiated view of Weber's Law	311
Macmillan, N. A. How sensory an <i>Analysis</i> ?	303	Wagner, M. Presupposing Weber's Law: Theory without independent confirmation is circular	312
Malone, Jr., J. C. Sensory analysis: Phenomena, models, and theories concerning life near threshold	304	Watt, R. J. What is Weber's Law?	313
Meyer, G. E. Emerging perceptions of <i>Sensory Analysis</i>	305	Wenderoth, P. Critical assumptions in psychophysical analysis	314
Moore, B. C. J. Questioning some basic assumptions on the form of psychometric functions, differential		Yost, W. A. <i>Sensory analysis</i> : A psychoacoustic view	315
		Author's Response	
		Laming, D. A reexamination of <i>Sensory Analysis</i>	316