

III- identified this new ablation approach in June 2021. An early technology assessment was conducted by reviewing relevant literature published to November 2021. The literature was identified by searching PubMed, Embase, the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform, ClinicalTrials.gov, and the Cochrane Library.

**Results.** Seven relevant publications were identified, including three open-label trials for paroxysmal AF and one for persistent AF. Three studies comparing PFA with conventional thermal ablation techniques were also included. The safety results showed a lower incidence of complications (esophageal injury, pulmonary venous stenosis, pericardial effusion, and aortic injury) after PFA relative to conventional techniques. In terms of efficacy, PFA resulted in lower rates of AF recurrence in the medium and long term, compared with conventional techniques, and there were no differences in the lengths or success rates of the procedures. No cost-effectiveness studies were identified. Seven ongoing trials were identified, but no results have been published.

**Conclusions.** The current evidence for PFA in the treatment of AF showed good safety and promising efficacy. However, there is a lack of information on its effect on quality of life and the risk of death, disabling stroke, or cardiac arrest. Early evaluation of new and emerging technologies makes it possible to gather the minimum information necessary to support decisions on their inclusion in the healthcare system. In this case, based on current evidence, the widespread use of PFA is not recommended, except under a rigorous research protocol.

## PD07 Cost-Effectiveness Of Direct Oral Anticoagulants In Chinese Patients With Non-Valvular Atrial Fibrillation

Kuan Peng ([kpeng420@hku.hk](mailto:kpeng420@hku.hk)), Yihua Li and Xue Li

**Introduction.** The emergence of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) has revolutionized the prevention of stroke-related non-valvular atrial fibrillation (NVAf). Although several DOACs are available, studies comparing the cost effectiveness of DOACs with vitamin K antagonists for NVAf are scarce. The objective of this study was to assess the cost effectiveness of DOACs and warfarin from the Hong Kong public institutional perspective to inform formulary listing decisions.

**Methods.** A previously developed Markov model was adapted to simulate the lifetime disease progression of a hypothetical cohort of 1,000 patients. Net monetary costs, quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs), and incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICERs) were computed for the following competing alternatives: warfarin, apixaban (5 mg twice daily), dabigatran 110mg or 150mg (twice daily), and rivaroxaban (20mg once daily). Model inputs were sourced from local real-world evidence, landmark trials, and comprehensive literature reviews. Probabilistic sensitivity analyses and deterministic sensitivity analyses were conducted to address study uncertainties. The willingness-to-pay threshold was set at one times the gross domestic product (GDP) per capita (USD 46,091) per QALY gained.

**Results.** In base case results, all DOACs provided greater improvements in QALYs at a lower cost than warfarin. Using apixaban as the reference for comparisons among the DOACs, dabigatran 110 mg resulted in greater costs and lower QALY gains and was dominated by apixaban, whereas dabigatran 150 mg provided an incremental QALY of 0.005 at an incremental cost of USD 326, leading to an ICER of USD 67,633 per QALY. The lifetime cost associated with rivaroxaban was lower than for apixaban (-USD 151), but with lower QALY gains (-0.147), resulting in an ICER of USD 1,029 per QALY. In probabilistic sensitivity analysis, the probability of warfarin, rivaroxaban 20 mg, dabigatran 110 mg, dabigatran 150 mg, and apixaban 5 mg being cost effective out of 2,000 iterations was 0 percent, 0 percent, 29.4 percent, 33.2 percent, and 37.4 percent, respectively. **Conclusions.** The results indicated that apixaban was the most cost-effective treatment in the management of NVAf, compared with other DOACs and warfarin. This conclusion was consistent under all the uncertainty test scenarios.

## PD08 A Systematic Review Of Reporting Quality Of Economic Evaluations In TCM In NRDL Of China Based On CHEERS

Juntao Yan, Shiyi Bao, Liu Liu, Yan Wei, Jian Ming and Yingyao Chen ([yychen@shmu.edu.cn](mailto:yychen@shmu.edu.cn))

**Introduction.** Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) has become a common kind of health care in several countries, with increasing demands. This review aimed to appraise the reporting quality of economic evaluations of TCM in the National Reimbursement Drug List (NRDL) of China (2020 version), based on the Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement.

**Methods.** The reporting quality of included economic evaluations was assessed by two independent reviewers using the CHEERS statement.

**Results.** A total of 360 articles were retrieved, but only 38 economic evaluations met the inclusion criteria. No articles were compliant with all items of the CHEERS checklist. On average, the included economic evaluations satisfactorily met 10.93 of the CHEERS items (51.31%). The least reported CHEERS checklist items included: "Characterizing heterogeneity", "Conflicts of interest", "Discount rate", and "Study perspective", with an average score of 0.00, 0.05, 0.08, and 0.16, respectively.

**Conclusions.** The economic evaluation of TCM is still at an early stage, with an urgent need for improving the reporting quality. To promote the reporting quality of economic evaluations and further development of TCM, multiple measures focusing on reporting formula, policy, training, and new methodology are required.