

## COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON LORENTZ SPACES

S.C. ARORA, GOPAL DATTA AND SATISH VERMA

Fredholm, injective, isometric and surjective composition operators on Lorentz spaces  $L(p, q)$  are characterised in this paper.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $f$  be a complex-valued measurable function defined on a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . For  $s \geq 0$ , define  $\mu_f$  the *distribution function* of  $f$  as

$$\mu_f(s) = \mu\{x \in X : |f(x)| > s\}.$$

By  $f^*$  we mean the *non-increasing rearrangement* of  $f$  given as

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \mu_f(s) \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We also denote the rearrangement of  $f$  with respect to the measure  $\mu$  by  $f^{*\mu}$ . For  $t > 0$ , let

$$f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds.$$

For  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , and for measurable function  $f$  on  $X$  define  $\|f\|_{pq}$  as

$$\|f\|_{pq} = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty (t^{1/p} f^{**}(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/q}, & 1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} f^{**}(t), & 1 < p \leq \infty, q = \infty \end{cases}$$

The *Lorentz space* denoted by  $L(p, q)(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  (or shortly  $L(p, q)$ ) is defined to be the vector space of all (equivalence classes of) measurable functions  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $\|f\|_{pq} < \infty$ . Also  $\|\cdot\|_{pq}$  is a norm and  $L(p, q)$  is a Banach space with respect to this norm. The  $L^p$ -spaces for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  are equivalent to the spaces  $L(p, p)$ . For more on Lorentz spaces one can refer to [1, 2, 3, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17].

On the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a measurable transformation. Then we define a linear transformation  $C_T$  on the Lorentz space  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  into the linear space of all complex-valued measurable functions on  $X$  by

---

Received 30th November, 2006

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/07 \$A2.00+0.00.

$C_T f = f \circ T$ . If  $C_T$  is bounded with range in  $L(p, q)$ , then it is called a *composition operator* on  $L(p, q)$  induced by  $T$ . There is a vast literature for composition operators on measurable function spaces and their applications, one can refer to [4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 18, 19, 20] and references therein.

For a complex-valued measurable function  $u$  on  $X$ , we define a linear transformation  $M_u$  on the Lorentz space  $L(p, q)$  as  $M_u f = u \cdot f$ , where the product of functions is pointwise. If  $M_u$  is bounded with range in  $L(p, q)$ , then it is called a *multiplication operator* on  $L(p, q)$  induced by  $u$ .

For a bounded linear operator  $A$  on a Banach space; we use the symbols  $N(A)$  and  $R(A)$  to denote the kernel and the range of  $A$ , respectively. We recall that  $A$  is called compact if the closure of the image of the unit ball is compact; and *Fredholm* if  $R(A)$  is closed,  $\dim N(A) < \infty$  and  $\text{codim } R(A) < \infty$ , where  $\dim N(A)$  is the dimension of  $N(A)$  and  $\text{codim } R(A)$  is the codimension of  $R(A)$ , namely the dimension of any subspace complementary to  $R(A)$ .

The main aim of this paper is to study Fredholm property, isometry, invertibility of composition operators on Lorentz spaces  $L(p, q)$ . In Section 2, we study the boundedness of composition operators between Lorentz spaces with different measure spaces. In Section 3, we discuss the closedness of the range  $R(C_T)$ , denseness and surjectiveness of composition operator. In Section 4, adjoint of a composition operator is obtained and Fredholm, isometric and invertible composition operators are characterised.

## 2. BOUNDEDNESS

In this section we characterise those measurable transformations  $T : Y \rightarrow X$ , where  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$  are two  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces, for which

$$C_T : L(p, q)(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \rightarrow L(p, q)(Y, \mathcal{B}, \nu) \quad (f \mapsto f \circ T)$$

is bounded.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *A measurable transformation  $T : Y \rightarrow X$  induces a composition operator*

$$C_T : L(p, q)(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \rightarrow L(p, q)(Y, \mathcal{B}, \nu), \quad 1 < p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$$

if and only if

$$(\nu \circ T^{-1})(E) \leq b \mu(E), \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ for some } b > 0.$$

Moreover

$$\|C_T\| = k^{1/p}, \quad \text{where } k = \inf \{b_0 > 0 : (\nu \circ T^{-1})(E) \leq b_0 \mu(E), \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

PROOF: First assume that  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and suppose  $C_T$  is a composition operator induced by  $T$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Then the non-increasing rearrangement of the characteristic function  $\chi_E$  is given by

$$\chi_E^*(t) = \chi_{[0, \mu(E))}(t).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_E^{**}(t) &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \chi_E^*(s) ds \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq t < \mu(E) \\ \frac{1}{t} \mu(E), & \text{if } t \geq \mu(E). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_E\|_{pq}^q &= \frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty (t^{1/p} \chi_E^{**}(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \mu(E)^{q/p} + \frac{1}{p-1} \mu(E)^{q/p} = p' (\mu(E))^{q/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . This implies that  $\chi_E \in L(p, q)(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu \circ T^{-1})(E) &= \nu(T^{-1}(E)) = (p')^{-p/q} \|\chi_{T^{-1}(E)}\|_{pq}^p \\ &= (p')^{-p/q} \|\chi_E \circ T\|_{pq}^p = (p')^{-p/q} \|C_T \chi_E\|_{pq}^p \\ &\leq (p')^{-p/q} \|C_T\|^p \|\chi_E\|_{pq}^p = \|C_T\|^p \mu(E). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(\nu \circ T^{-1})(E) \leq b \mu(E),$$

where  $b = \|C_T\|^p$ . If  $\mu(E) = \infty$ , then the inequality is trivial. For  $q = \infty$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , we have

$$\|\chi_E\|_{p\infty} = \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} \chi_E^{**}(t) = (\mu(E))^{1/p}.$$

Therefore

$$(\nu \circ T^{-1})(E) = \|C_T \chi_E\|_{p\infty}^p \leq \|C_T\|^p \mu(E).$$

Conversely, suppose there is a constant  $b > 0$  such that for all  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$(\nu \circ T^{-1})(E) \leq b \mu(E).$$

For  $f$  in  $L(p, q)(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , the distribution of  $f \circ T$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{(f \circ T)}(s) &= \nu\{y \in Y : |f(T(y))| > s\} \\ &= (\nu \circ T^{-1})\{x \in X : |f(x)| > s\} \\ &\leq b \mu\{x \in X : |f(x)| > s\} = b \mu_f(s). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\{s > 0 : \mu_f(s) \leq t\} \subseteq \{s > 0 : \nu_{f \circ T}(s) \leq bt\}.$$

This gives

$$(f \circ T)^{*,\nu}(bt) \leq f^{*,\mu}(t)$$

and consequently

$$(f \circ T)^{**,\nu}(bt) \leq f^{**,\mu}(t), \quad t > 0.$$

Now for  $f$  in  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_T f\|_{pq}^q &= \frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty (t^{1/p} (f \circ T)^{**,\nu}(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= (b^{q/p}) \frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty (t^{1/p} (f \circ T)^{**,\nu}(bt))^q \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq (b^{q/p}) \frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty (t^{1/p} f^{**,\mu}(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} = (b^{q/p}) \|f\|_{pq}^q. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $C_T$  is bounded. For  $q = \infty$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_T f\|_{p\infty} &= \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} (f \circ T)^{**,\nu}(t) \\ &= b^{1/p} \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} (f \circ T)^{**,\nu}(bt) \\ &\leq b^{1/p} \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} f^{**,\mu}(t) = b^{1/p} \|f\|_{p\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result. Moreover, we have

$$\|C_T\| = k^{1/p},$$

where  $k = \inf \{b_0 > 0 : (\nu \circ T^{-1})(E) \leq b_0 \mu(E)\}$ . □

**COROLLARY 2.2.** ([11]) *Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a non-singular measurable transformation. Then  $T$  induces a composition operator  $C_T$  on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , if and only if there exists some constant  $b > 0$  such that*

$$(\mu \circ T^{-1})(E) \leq b \mu(E), \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

### 3. RANGES OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS

In this section, we establish conditions for a composition operator to have a closed range or dense range and then we present a characterisation of surjective composition operators.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $C_T$  is a bounded composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . Then  $C_T$  has closed range if and only if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $f_T(x) \geq \varepsilon$  for almost all  $x \in S$ , where  $S = \{x \in X : f_T(x) \neq 0\}$  and  $f_T$  is the Radon Nikodym derivative of  $\mu T^{-1}$  with respect to  $\mu$ .*

PROOF: Suppose  $f_T(x) \geq \varepsilon$  for almost all  $x \in S$ . Then for  $f \in L_{\mu}^{p,q}(S)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mu}^{p,q}(S) &= \{f \in L(p, q) : f \text{ vanishes outside } S\}, \\ (f \circ T)^*(t) &= \inf \{s > 0 : \mu\{x \in X : |f(T(x))| > s\} \leq t\} \\ &= \inf \{s > 0 : \mu T^{-1}\{x \in S : |f(x)| > s\} \leq t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\mu T^{-1}(E) = \int_E f_T(x) d\mu \geq \varepsilon \mu(E),$$

where  $E = \{x \in S : |f(x)| > s\}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ T)^*(\varepsilon t) &\geq \inf \{s > 0 : \mu\{x \in S : |f(x)| > s\} \leq t\} \\ &= \inf \{s > 0 : \mu\{x \in X : |f(x)| > s\} \leq t\} = f^*(t), \text{ for all } t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(f \circ T)^{**}(\varepsilon t) \geq f^{**}(t), \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\|C_T\|_{pq} \geq \varepsilon^{1/p} \|f\|_{pq}, \text{ for all } f \in L_{\mu}^{p,q}(S).$$

As  $N(C_T) = L_{\mu}^{p,q}(X \setminus S)$ , we get that  $C_T$  has closed range.

Conversely, suppose that  $C_T$  has closed range. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \|C_T\|_{pq} \geq \varepsilon \|f\|_{pq}, \text{ for all } f \in L_{\mu}^{p,q}(S).$$

Choose positive integer  $n$  such that  $1/n < \varepsilon$ . Let  $E = \{x \in S : f_T(x) < 1/n^p\}$ .

If possible  $\mu(E) > 0$ , then  $\mu(E) < (1/n^p)\mu(E)$  and

$$(\chi_E \circ T)^*(t) \leq \chi_E^*(n^p t), \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

This gives

$$\|C_T \chi_E\|_{pq}^q \leq \frac{1}{n^q} \|\chi_E\|_{pq}^q < \varepsilon^q \|\chi_E\|_{pq}^q.$$

This contradicts (3.1). Hence  $f_T$  is bounded away from zero. □

For a measurable transformation  $T$  on measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ ,  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $L(p, q)(X, T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}), \mu)$  is a subspace of  $L(p, q)$ . Now we study the range of composition operators in terms of  $L(p, q)(X, T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}), \mu)$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *If  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , then the range of  $C_T$  is dense in  $L(p, q)(X, T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}), \mu)$ .*

PROOF: In case  $X$  is of finite measure, then  $\chi_S$  in  $L(p, q)(X, T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}), \mu)$  implies that  $\chi_S = C_T \chi_{S'}$ , for some  $S' \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus all simple functions of  $L(p, q)(X, T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}), \mu)$  belong to the range of  $C_T$  and hence using (2.4, Hunt [7]), we find that range of  $C_T$  is dense in  $L(p, q)(X, T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}), \mu)$ . In case  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, the proof follows from Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *A composition operator  $C_T$  on  $L(p, q)$  is surjective if and only if  $f_T$  is bounded away from zero on its support and  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ .*

PROOF: In case  $C_T$  is surjective then by using Theorem 3.1,  $f_T$  is bounded away from zero on its support. Let  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  be of finite measure. Since  $C_T$  is surjective, there exists  $f \in L(p, q)$  such that  $\chi_E = C_T f$ . Then we find  $\chi_E = \chi_{T^{-1}(E_0)}$ , where  $E_0 = \{x \in X : f(x) = 1\}$ . Hence  $E = T^{-1}(E_0)$ . This proves  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq T^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  and therefore equality. The converse follows by using the Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.4.** *If  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . Then  $C_T$  has dense range if and only if  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ .*

PROOF: Suppose  $C_T$  has dense range. Let  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $\chi_E \in L(p, q)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\langle f_n \rangle$  in  $L(p, q)$  such that  $C_T f_n \rightarrow \chi_E$  in  $\|\cdot\|_{pq}$  and so  $C_T f_n \rightarrow \chi_E$  almost everywhere. Since each  $C_T f_n$  is measurable with respect to  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ , therefore  $\chi_E$  is measurable with respect  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  so that  $\chi_E = \chi_{T^{-1}(F)}$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ .

Conversely suppose  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , then we can find  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(E \Delta T^{-1}(F)) = 0$ . Since  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, we have an increasing sequence  $\langle F_n \rangle$  of measurable set of finite measure such that  $F_n \uparrow F$  or  $T^{-1}(F \sim F_n) \downarrow \phi$ . Hence for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\mu T^{-1}(F \sim F_n) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{p'}\right)^p, \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_T \chi_F - C_T \chi_{F_n}\|_{pq} &= \|C_T \chi_{(F \sim F_n)}\|_{pq} = \|\chi_{T^{-1}(F \sim F_n)}\|_{pq} \\ &= p'(\mu T^{-1}(F \sim F_n))^{1/p} < \varepsilon, \forall n \geq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\chi_E \in \overline{R(C_T)}$ . Now the result follows by using [7].  $\square$

#### 4. FREDHOLM AND ISOMETRIC COMPOSITION OPERATORS

In this section we have made an attempt to study the adjoint of the composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . Fredholm, isometric and invertible composition operators are characterised. By using (2.7, Hunt [7, p. 262]) for every

$g \in L(p', q')$ , we can find a bounded linear functional  $F_g \in (L(p, q))^* = L(p', q')$ , where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1 = 1/q + 1/q'$ , defined as

$$F_g(f) = \int fg d\mu, \text{ for all } f \in L(p, q).$$

For each  $g \in L(p', q')$ , there exists a unique  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  measurable function  $E(g)$  such that

$$\int fg d\mu = \int fE(g)d\mu,$$

for each  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  measurable function  $f$  for which the left integral exists.  $E(g)$  is called the *conditional expectation* of  $g$  with respect to  $\sigma$ -algebra  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ . The Frobenius Perron operator  $P_T$  on  $L(p', q')$  is defined as

$$P_Tg = f_T \cdot E(g) \circ T^{-1},$$

where  $E(g) \circ T^{-1} = f$  if and only if  $E(g) = f \circ T$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , then  $C_T^*$ , the adjoint of the composition operator  $C_T$ , is  $P_T$ .*

PROOF: Let  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Then for  $g \in L(p', q')$

$$\begin{aligned} (C_T^*F_g)(\chi_E) &= F_g(C_T\chi_E) = \int C_T\chi_E \cdot g \, d\mu \\ &= \int (\chi_E \circ T) \cdot g \, d\mu = \int E(g) \cdot \chi_E \circ T \, d\mu \\ &= \int E(g) \circ T^{-1} \cdot \chi_E \, d\mu T^{-1} = \int E(g) \circ T^{-1} \cdot \chi_E f_T \, d\mu \\ &= F_{(E(g) \circ T^{-1}) \cdot f_T}(\chi_E) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $C_T^*F_g = F_{(E(g) \circ T^{-1}) \cdot f_T}$ . By identifying  $g \in L(p', q')$  with  $F_g \in (L(p, q))^*$ , we can write

$$C_T^*g = (E(g) \circ T^{-1}) \cdot f_T = P_Tg \tag{□}$$

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , then  $N(C_T^*)$  is either zero dimensional or infinite dimensional.*

PROOF: Suppose  $0 \neq g \in N(C_T^*)$ . Let  $E = \{x \in X : g(x) \neq 0\}$ , then  $\mu(E) \neq 0$ . Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a sequence of disjoint measurable subsets of  $E$  such that

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, \quad 0 < \mu(E_n) < \infty.$$

For each natural number  $n$ , let  $g_n = g \cdot \chi_E \circ T$ . For each  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C_T^*(g_n)f &= \int (g \cdot \chi_E \circ T)(f \circ T) \, d\mu \\ &= \int g \cdot (\chi_E f \circ T) \, d\mu \\ &= C_T^*(g)(\chi_E f) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\{g_n : n \geq 1\}$  is a linearly independent subset of  $N(C_T^*)$ . Hence, if  $N(C_T^*)$  is not zero dimensional, it is infinite dimensional.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *If  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . Then  $C_T$  is injective if and only if  $T$  is surjective.*

**THEOREM 4.4.** *If  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . Then  $C_T$  is Fredholm if and only if  $C_T$  is invertible.*

**PROOF:** If  $C_T$  is Fredholm, then  $N(C_T^*)$  and  $N(C_T)$  both are finite dimensional and are of zero dimension. Therefore  $C_T$  is injective and  $R(C_T)$  is dense in  $L(p, q)$ . Since  $R(C_T)$  is closed, therefore  $C_T$  is surjective. This proves the invertibility of  $C_T$ . The proof of the converse is obvious.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.5.** *If  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p', q')$ , then  $C_T^*C_T = M_{f_T}$ .*

**PROOF:** On replacing  $g$  by  $C_Tg$  in the Theorem 4.1, we find that for every  $g \in L(p', q')$

$$C_T^*C_Tg = C_T^*(g \circ T) = E(g \circ T) \circ T^{-1} \cdot f_T = g \cdot f_T = M_{f_T}g.$$

Hence  $C_T^*C_T = M_{f_T}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.6.** *If  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , then  $C_T$  is an isometry if and only if  $T$  is measure preserving.*

**DEFINITION 4.7:** ([16]) The essential range of a complex-valued measurable function  $f$  defined on the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is given by the set

$$\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x) - \lambda| < \varepsilon\}) > 0, \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0 \right\}.$$

By the theory developed so far, we have the following

**THEOREM 4.8.** *If  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $C_T$  is injective.
- (2)  $f$  and  $f \circ T$  have the same essential ranges for every  $f \in L(p, q)$ .
- (3)  $\mu \ll \mu \circ T^{-1}$ .
- (4)  $f_T$  is different from zero almost everywhere.
- (5)  $M_{f_T}$  is injective.

**THEOREM 4.9.** *If  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , then  $C_T$  is invertible if and only if  $f_T$  is bounded away from zero almost everywhere on  $X$  and  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ .*

**PROOF:** If  $f_T$  is bounded away from zero almost everywhere on  $X$  and  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ , then  $M_{f_T}$  is injective and hence  $C_T$  is injective. In view of Theorem 3.3,  $C_T$  is surjective. Therefore  $C_T$  is invertible. Converse follows by the Theorems 3.3 and 4.8.  $\square$



Invertibility of  $T$  does not imply invertibility of  $C_T$ . This is proved by the following examples.

**EXAMPLE 4.10.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$ , with the Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  on the Borel subsets. Let  $T(x) = \sqrt{x}$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . Then  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$  ([11, Example 5.1]).  $U(x) = x^2$  is the inverse of  $T$ . But  $C_T$  is not invertible as

$$\frac{\|C_T \chi_{[0,1/n]}\|_{pq}^q}{\|\chi_{[0,1/n]}\|_{pq}^q} = \frac{1}{n^p},$$

for each natural number  $n$ . So  $C_T$  is not bounded away from zero.

**EXAMPLE 4.11.** Let  $X = \mathbf{R}$  with Lebesgue measure and let  $T(x) = ax + b$ ,  $a \neq 0, 1$ . Then  $T$  is not measure preserving and  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $L(p, q)$  but  $C_T$  is not an isometry.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.A. Adams and J.J.F. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces*, Pure and Applied Math. **140**, (Second edition) (Academic Press, New York, 2003).
- [2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **129** (Academic Press, London, 1988).
- [3] P.L. Butzer and H. Berens, *Semigroups of operators and approximation*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen Band **145** (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967).
- [4] J.T. Campbell and J.E. Jamison, 'The analysis of composition operators on  $L^p$  and the Hopf decomposition', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **159** (1991), 520–531.
- [5] Y. Cui, H. Hudzik, R. Kumar and L. Maligranda, 'Composition operators in Orlicz spaces', *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **76** (2004), 189–206.
- [6] T. Hoover, A. Lambert and J. Quinn, 'The Markov process determined by a weighted composition operator', *Studia Math.* **72** (1982), 225–235.
- [7] R.A. Hunt, 'On  $L(p, q)$  spaces', *L'Enseignement Math.* **12** (1966), 249–276.
- [8] M.R. Jabbarzadeh and E. Pourreza, 'A note on weighted composition operators on  $L^p$  spaces', *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **29** (2003), 47–54.
- [9] B.S. Komal and S. Gupta, 'Composition operators on Orlicz spaces', *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **32** (2001), 1117–1122.
- [10] S.G. Krein, Ju.I. Petunin and E.M. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, AMS Translation of Math. Monographs **54** (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1982).
- [11] R. Kumar and R. Kumar, 'Composition operators on Banach function spaces', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **33** (2005), 2109–2118.
- [12] R. Kumar and R. Kumar, 'Compact composition operators on Lorentz spaces', *Math. Vesnik.* **57** (2005), 109–112.
- [13] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II, Function Spaces* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1979).

- [14] G.G. Lorentz, 'Some new function spaces', *Ann. of Math.* **51** (1950), 37–55.
- [15] G.G. Lorentz, 'On the theory of spaces  $\Lambda$ ', *Pacific J. Math.* **1** (1951), 411–429.
- [16] R.K. Singh and J.S. Manhas, *Composition operators on function spaces*, North Holland Math. Studies **179** (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1993).
- [17] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Math. Series **32** (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton N.J., 1971).
- [18] H. Takagi, 'Fredholm weighted composition operators', *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **16** (1993), 267–276.
- [19] H. Takagi and K. Yokouchi, 'Multiplication and composition operators between two  $L^p$ -spaces', in *Function Spaces (Edwardsville, IL 1998)*, Contemp. Math. **232** (Providence, RI, 1999), pp. 321–338.
- [20] X.M. Xu, 'Compact composition operators on  $L^p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ ', *Adv. in Math. (China)* **20** (1991), 221–225.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Delhi  
Delhi-110007  
India  
e-mail: scarora@maths.du.ac.in

Department of Mathematics  
PGDAV College  
University of Delhi  
Delhi-110065  
India  
e-mail gopaldatt@maths.du.ac.in

Department of Mathematics  
SGTB Khalsa College  
University of Delhi  
Delhi-110007  
India  
e-mail: vermas@maths.du.ac.in