

A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO A RESULT OF JABERI AND MAHMOODI

A. SAHAMI  and S. F. SHARIATI 

(Received 25 June 2023; accepted 9 July 2023; first published online 10 August 2023)

Abstract

We show that $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)$ is φ -amenable for each multiplicative linear functional $\varphi : \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This is a counterexample to the final corollary of Jaber and Mahmoodi [‘On φ -amenability of dual Banach algebras’, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **105** (2022), 303–313] and shows that the final theorem in that paper is not valid.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46H25; secondary 43A07.

Keywords and phrases: φ -amenability, Banach algebra.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The cohomological notion of amenability was introduced and studied in the pioneering work of Johnson [5]. A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is amenable if every continuous derivation from \mathcal{A} into a dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule E^* is inner. A modification of amenability depending on multiplicative linear functionals was introduced and studied by Kaniuth *et al.* [6]. A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called φ -amenable if there exists an element m in \mathcal{A}^{**} such that $m(\varphi) = 1$ and $m(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)m(f)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$, where φ is a multiplicative linear functional on \mathcal{A} . For a locally compact group G , the Fourier algebra $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is always φ -amenable. Moreover, the Segal algebra $S^1(G)$ is φ -amenable if and only if G is amenable (see [1, 6]).

Jaber and Mahmoodi [4] introduced the new concept of φ -injectivity for the category of dual Banach algebras, where φ is a wk^* -continuous multiplicative linear functional on \mathcal{A} . A dual Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is φ -injective if whenever $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ is a wk^* -continuous unital representation on a reflexive Banach space E , then there is a projection $Q : \mathcal{L}(E) \rightarrow \pi(\mathcal{A})^\varphi$ such that $Q(STU) = SQ(T)U$ for $S, U \in \pi(\mathcal{A})^c$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$, where $\pi(\mathcal{A})^\varphi = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(E) : \pi(a)T = \varphi(a)T \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})\}$. They proved that φ -injectivity is equivalent to φ -amenability [4, Theorem 3.6].

There is an important category of dual Banach algebras, called enveloping dual Banach algebras. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let E be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule.



An element $x \in E$ is called weakly almost periodic if the module maps $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ given by $a \mapsto a \cdot x$ and $a \mapsto x \cdot a$ are weakly compact. The set of all weakly almost periodic elements of E is denoted by $WAP(E)$ [7, Definition 4.1]. Runde observed that $WAP(\mathcal{A}^*)^*$ is a canonical dual Banach algebra associated to an arbitrary Banach algebra \mathcal{A} [7, Theorem 4.10]. By means of the new notion of φ -injectivity, Jaberi and Mahmoodi investigated φ -amenability of the enveloping dual Banach algebra $WAP(\mathcal{A}^*)^*$ [4, Theorem 4.8]. In a short final section of the paper, they claimed that $WAP(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)^*)^*$ is not $\tilde{\varphi}$ -amenable, where $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the unique extension of the augmentation character φ on the semigroup algebra $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)$ [4, Theorem 5.4]. From this result, they concluded that $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)$ is not φ -amenable, where φ is the augmentation character [4, Corollary 5.5].

On the contrary, we show that $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)$ is φ -amenable for each multiplicative linear functional $\varphi : \ell^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and comment on the reason for this counterexample to the result stated in [4].

2. φ -amenability of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)$

Let $S = \mathbb{N}$. With the semigroup product $m \wedge n = \min\{m, n\}$, for $m, n \in S$, the set S becomes a semigroup. It is known that $\Delta(\ell^1(S))$ consists of all the functions $\varphi_n : \ell^1(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\varphi_n(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i \delta_i) = \sum_{i=n}^\infty \alpha_i$, for $n \in S$ (see [2, page 32]). Suppose that $m = \delta_1$. Then $\varphi_1(m) = \varphi_1(\delta_1) = 1$ and

$$am = a\delta_1 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \right) \delta_1 = \varphi_1(a)\delta_1 = \varphi_1(a)m, \quad \text{where } a = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \delta_i \in \ell^1(S).$$

It follows that $\ell^1(S)$ is φ_1 -amenable. For $n > 1$, define $m_n = \delta_n - \delta_{n-1}$. Then,

$$\varphi_n(m_n) = \varphi_n(\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}) = 1 - 0 = 1$$

and

$$am_n = a(\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=n}^\infty a_i(\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}) = \varphi_n(a)(\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}) = \varphi_n(a)m_n,$$

where $a = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \delta_i \in \ell^1(S)$. It follows that $\ell^1(S)$ is φ -amenable with respect to each multiplicative linear functional $\varphi : \ell^1(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Thus, [4, Corollary 5.5] is not true.

This counterexample to [4, Corollary 5.5] shows that [4, Theorem 5.4] is also not true. The mistake is the assertion in the second sentence of the proof of Theorem 5.4 that ‘there is an isometric isomorphism Θ from $\rho(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)^c)$ onto $\rho(\ell^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge))^\varphi$ ’. An example showing that Θ cannot be isometric can be constructed using [3, Theorem 7.6]. Take $\|\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \delta_n\| = \sup_F \|\sum_{n \in F} a_n \delta_n\|$, where F is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} . Take indices 1 and $2n + 1$ so that the corresponding basis elements belong to distinct summands. Set A to be the diagonal matrix having ones at indices 1 and $2n + 1$ and zero otherwise. Set B to have ones at indices 1 and $2n + 1$ in the first row and zeros otherwise. Then $B = \Theta(A)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\| &= \sup \left\{ \|a_1\delta_n + a_{2n+1}\delta_{2n+1}\| : \left\| \sum a_n\delta_n \right\| = 1 \right\} \\ &= \sum \{(a_1^2 + a_{2n+1}^2)^{1/2} : (a_1^2 + a_{2n+1}^2)^{1/2} = 1\} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \|B\| &= \sup \left\{ |a_1 + a_{2n+1}| : \left\| \sum a_n\delta_n \right\| = 1 \right\} \\ &= \sum \{|a_1 + a_{2n+1}| : (a_1^2 + a_{2n+1}^2)^{1/2} = 1\} = \sqrt{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Θ is not isometric. By taking k summands in a similar way, it can be shown that Θ is unbounded on diagonal elements of finite support.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for very careful reading and valuable comments that improved the presentation of the manuscript and pinpointed the error in [4]. Also the first author thanks Ilam university for its support.

References

- [1] M. Alaghmandan, R. Nasr Isfahani and M. Nemati, 'Character amenability and contractibility of abstract Segal algebras', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **82** (2010), 274–281.
- [2] H. G. Dales and R. J. Loy, *Approximate Amenability of Semigroup Algebras and Segal Algebras*, Dissertationes Mathematicae (Rozprawy Mat.), 474 (Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk, Warszawa, 2010).
- [3] M. Daws, 'Dual Banach algebras: representations and injectivity', *Stud. Math.* **178** (2007), 231–275.
- [4] A. Jaber and A. Mahmoodi, 'On φ -amenability of dual Banach algebras', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **105** (2022), 303–313.
- [5] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach Algebras*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 127 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1972).
- [6] E. Kaniuth, A. T. M. Lau and J. Pym, 'On φ -amenability of Banach algebras', *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **144** (2008), 85–96.
- [7] V. Runde, 'Dual Banach algebras: Connes-amenability, normal, virtual diagonals and injectivity of the predual bimodule', *Math. Scand.* **95** (2004), 124–144.

A. SAHAMI, Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Basic Sciences, Ilam University, P.O. Box 69315-516 Ilam, Iran
e-mail: a.sahami@ilam.ac.ir

S. F. SHARIATI, Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences,
Amirkabir University of Technology (Tehran Polytechnic), Tehran, Iran
e-mail: f.shariati@aut.ac.ir