

stressful situations and in a state of clear consciousness with no evidence of drug abuse.

HANS FÖRSTL

*Section of Old Age
Institute of Psychiatry
De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF*

SIR: The flood of sexual abuse complaints is placing a heavy burden on our current terminology. 'Childhood sexual abuse' now has to cover three distinct categories of patients, appearing in increasing numbers. There are sexually abused children; sexually abused children who have now grown up; and adults who come forward volunteering for the first time that they were abused in childhood decades ago.

This last group differs significantly in many respects from orthodox child abuse. Key figures are often dead or abroad. Diagnosis is difficult, as other siblings may vehemently deny that abuse took place. Management is complex, particularly as patients often wish to exhume the past and start legal proceedings against their assailant.

We suggest a new name, 'Eureka syndrome', for this rather new illness. This would recognise a curious characteristic of some of these patients – an autochthonous quality to the abuse memory. Two of our last six patients claimed that they had totally repressed all memories of the sexual abuse at the time, and been totally unaware of the history until some chance event brought it back to their minds this year. They were then suddenly confronted with horrifying recollected scenes, which have drastically changed their feelings towards the family member involved.

A. C. CARR

*Department of Psychiatry
Henderson General Division
711 Concession Street
Hamilton L8V 1C3, Canada*

SIR: The article by Mendez (*Journal*, March 1992, 160, 414–416) contains three case reports – patients 1, 6 and 7 – where the syndrome is identical to that I reported eight years ago (MacCallum, 1984). Dr Mendez expresses some interesting viewpoints in the discussion but does not point out the fact that the mothers fail to appreciate their busy daughters as the same persons as their daughters in the tender caring role, yet somehow know that they exist both ways in a personal relationship, but never as intruders.

The publication *The Delusional Misidentification Syndromes* edited by Christodoulou (1986) contains chapters adding further insights, and in which, for instance, Joseph (1986) discusses the basic syndromes including MacCallum's Syndrome. I would expect that with neurophysiological advances,

further elucidation of these syndromes will become clearer to clinicians in the years ahead.

MACCALLUM, W. A. G. (1984) A syndrome of misinterpreting role changes as changes in person. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 144, 649–650.

JOSEPH, A. B. (1986) Focal central nervous system abnormalities in patients with misidentification syndromes. In *The Delusional Misidentification Syndromes* (ed. G. N. Christodoulou) Basel (Switzerland): S. Karger.

W. A. G. MACCALLUM

*Purdysburn Hospital
Belfast BT8 8BH
Northern Ireland*

Are non-Western beliefs false?

SIR: I refer to the letter by Singh (*Journal*, February 1992, 160, 280–281).

While I quite share his views on the evolution of the concept of semen loss and the problem of dealing with self-appointed quacks who perpetuate erroneous views; I am puzzled over his concluding paragraph where he states that ideas related to semen loss appear "to be a variation of the centuries-old false beliefs and ignorance", together with his own interpretation of the term 'culture-bound'.

Singh's assumption of semen-loss beliefs in a myth-orientated native population is predicated on the tenet that views of reality generated by Western scientific discourse are 'true', and since the natives' views do not tally with that of Western science, they automatically become false. His gold standard of reality then posits science to be 'the central truth' which has corrected such erroneous views, that at one time also persisted in the Western world, but thanks to 'development' and 'progress', this has now been dispelled from medical and lay minds simultaneously. This is also to suggest that history follows a progressive linear course, thus the past becomes equated with 'faulty', the present (modern) with 'correct', and science is knowledge while non-science is ignorance.

In my own ongoing research looking at popular ideas of psychological distress among white 'natives', I find a similar theme but in the opposite direction: semen *retention* rather than *loss* seems to be perceived as unhealthy, undesirable and its (semen) regular discharge is therefore physiologically necessary. My sample is a population of contemporary Londoners from the middle and lower social classes attending out-patient clinics for depression and volunteers from our own academic department whose responses did not differ from the clinic population. I wonder if Singh would also consider them to be holding false beliefs?

'Culture-bound' as a term used in transcultural literature stands for phenomena that are found