



columns

could find such input from a separate team useful. However, there are times when it could be handy to arrange a bit of extra support for patients whose illness has deteriorated, and for distressed people who are experiencing a psychological or social crisis. In those circumstances, busy clinicians will simply lower their threshold for the stated intention to admit to hospital and pull in nurses from the crisis team knowing that they will assist the patient in the community for a couple of weeks. Of course, this is not a rational way to use health service resources but it is an inevitable result of the diversion of staff to sub-specialist teams with such narrow and largely pointless clinical duties.

The actual data obtained by Dibben *et al* will be useful in countering recent suggestions from crisis specialists that their services should be expanded to include older adults (Cooper *et al*, 2007).

Another letter commenting on this paper (Jha & Boskovic, 2008, this issue) demonstrates that there are psychiatrists who are thinking very clearly about how best to provide effective, efficient and comprehensive mental healthcare to older people. I urge policy makers to seek advice on service models from the authors, Drs Jha and Boskovic, and other experienced old age psychiatry clinicians. They must not repeat the mistakes that have been made with services for working-age adults and foist unnecessary crisis resolution teams on older people with mental disorders.

COOPER, C., REGAN, C., TANDY, A. R., *et al* (2007) Acute mental health care for older people by crisis resolution teams in England. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, **22**, 263–265.

DIBBEN, C., SAHEED, H., KONSTANTINOS, S., *et al* (2008) Crisis resolution and home treatment teams for older people with mental illness. *Psychiatric Bulletin*, **32**, 268–270.

JHA, A. & BOSKOVIC, T. (2008) Crisis resolution and home treatment teams for older people (letter). *Psychiatric Bulletin*, **33**, 75.

Anthony J. Pelosi Consultant Psychiatrist, Hairmyres Hospital, East Kilbride G75 8RG, email: anthony.pelosi@nhs.net

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.2.75a

We thank Dr Pelosi for his interest in our study on the impact of a CRHTT for older people (*Psychiatric Bulletin*, November 2008, **32**, 268–270). However, there is no misinterpretation of data, as suggested by Dr Pelosi. We tested chi-squared differences in the proportion of total number of admissions over number of crisis events and not total number of admissions *per se*.

We agree that it is possible, but far from definite, that some of the patients seen by the crisis team may have been sub-threshold for admission and we

clearly stated this in our discussion: 'It may be argued that individuals who received home treatment only were below the "admission threshold" and the referrals to the CRHTT had been generated by the availability of this new service.' However, by treating people early, one could argue that the CRHTT play an important role in preventing possible future admissions. As Dr Pelosi mentioned in his letter, crisis team support might 'come in handy' at the time of deterioration of illness, social or psychological crisis.

The views of patients and carers are also important when developing new services. Our study showed that carers showed a trend towards greater satisfaction with the CRHTT compared with hospital admission. This is in keeping with a Cochrane review (Joy *et al*, 2006) which has shown that home treatment is a more satisfactory form of care for adults of working age with severe mental illness and their families. Cooper *et al* (2007) also make the point that home treatment may be important in maintaining the independence of the older person.

As responsible clinicians who take pride in our work we should always strive to offer the best evidence-based care and review our practice accordingly. We have suggested that a gold standard double-blind randomised control trial needs to be done in older patients, including an economic evaluation. Nevertheless, our pragmatic study design shows that such a service may be helpful.

COOPER, C., REGAN, C., TANDY, A. R., *et al* (2007) Acute mental healthcare for older people by crisis resolution teams in England. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, **22**, 263–265.

JOY, C. B., ADAMS, C. E. & RICE, K. (2006) Crisis intervention for people with severe mental illnesses. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Review*, **4**, CD001087.

Claire Dibben Specialist Registrar, **Humera Saeed** Specialist Registrar, **Konstantinos Stagias** Specialist Registrar (research post), **Golam Mohammed Khandaker** Specialist Registrar, ***Judy S. Rubinsztein** Consultant, Older Peoples Mental Health Services, Suffolk Mental Health Partnership NHS Trust, West Suffolk Hospital, Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk IP33 2QZ, email: judy.rubinsztein@smhp.nhs.uk

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.2.76

We audited our practice with four guidelines:

1. Yearly structured reviews considering treatment effectiveness, tolerability, side-effects and adherence.
2. Risk assessment which includes bathing and showering, using electrical equipment, sudden unexpected death in epilepsy and managing prolonged or serial seizures.
3. To discuss the risk of higher mortality in people with epilepsy and learning disabilities with the individual, their family and/or carers.
4. Women with epilepsy and their partners must be given information and counselling about contraception, conception, pregnancy and caring for children.

Data were collected by audit from both community and in-patient case notes. The first cycle, completed in October 2005 ($n=12$), found that structured yearly reviews were done for 58% of the patients and risk assessment for 75% (but did not include sudden unexpected death in epilepsy), but no advice was given to women (0% of cases) and increased risk of mortality was not discussed (0% of cases).

After raising the awareness within the team about NICE guidelines, the second cycle completed in September 2006 showed some improvement, with structured yearly review completed in 100% of cases, risk assessment in 75%, and advice given to women in 50%; however, increased risk of mortality was still not discussed (0%).

A checklist for NICE guidelines has been included in medical notes and it was agreed within the team that if the risk of increased mortality was not discussed with patients or carers, it should be clearly documented with reasons.

***Neeraj Bajaj** Specialty Trainee, Stobhill Hospital, 133 Balonrock Road, Glasgow G21 3UT, email: nbajaj@doctors.org.uk, **Chandima Perera** Specialist Registrar in Learning Disability, Edinburgh, **Rina Banerjee** Consultant Learning Disability Psychiatrist, Stockton Learning Disability Services, Stockton

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.2.76a

NICE guidelines for epilepsy in learning disabilities service

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines for epilepsy issued in October 2004 include special considerations for the care of women with epilepsy and people with learning disabilities.

Taking the path less trodden: UK psychiatrists working in low- and middle-income countries

The project run in Ghana by the South West London and St George's Mental Health National Health Service (NHS) Trust, Royal College of Psychiatrists and Challenges Worldwide, is a good model for developing opportunities for UK