

First locate Z with ZX perpendicular to XY and $XZ = \frac{1}{2}XY$ and then F on the line segment ZY with ZF = ZX. Lastly, let G on XY be such that YG = YF. This point G satisfies $\frac{GY}{GX} = \phi$.

10.1017/mag.2024.129 © The Authors, 2024 Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Mathematical Association

a, 2024 TRAN QUANG HUNG High School for Gifted Students, Hanoi University of Science, Hanoi National University, Hanoi, Vietnam e-mail: analgeomatica@gmail.com MICHEL BATAILLE 6 square des Boulots, 76520 Franqueville-Saint-Pierre, France e-mail: michelbataille@wanadoo.fr

108.46 A generalisation of Fuss' theorem

Introduction

Fuss' theorem for bicentric quadrilaterals is a classic theorem of plane geometry that appeared in the 18th century in the works of Nikolai Fuss, an assistant of the great Leonhard Euler, see [1, 2, 3]. In [3], Juan Carlos Salazar gave a very simple and elegant solution to this theorem using only classical tools. This is an interesting idea, and we have exploited this idea to give a generalisation of Fuss' theorem. Here we shall propose a 'weaker' condition that only the inscribed quadrilateral is enough. The theorem is as follows:



Theorem 1

Let *ABCD* be a convex quadrilateral inscribed in a circle ω . Let *O* and *R* be the centre and radius of ω , respectively. Assume that bisectors of $\angle DAB$ and $\angle DCB$ meet at *P* lying inside *ABCD*. Let r_1 be the distance from *P* to the sides *AB* and *AD*. Let r_2 be the distance from *P* to the sides *CB* and *CD*. Let *d* be the distance between *O* and *P*. Let $\angle DAB = \alpha$ and $\angle DCB = \gamma$, (see Figure 1). Then,



FIGURE 1: Illustration for Theorem 1

Remark: When $r_1 = r_2 = r$ then *P* is the incentre of the quadrilateral *ABCD*. In other words, *ABCD* is a bicentric quadrilateral and we get Fuss' theorem

$$\frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{1}{(R-d)^2} + \frac{1}{(R+d)^2}$$

Thus, Fuss' theorem is a particular case of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1

As mentioned above, in this solution we shall use Juan Carlos Salazar's idea in [3], but there are some differences as we have omitted the inscribed centre of the quadrilateral and replaced it with the intersection of the bisectors of two opposite angles.



FIGURE 2: Illustration for proof of Theorem 1

Proof (see Figure 2): Let *M* and *N* be the second intersections of lines *PA* and *PC* with ω , respectively. Since, *AP* and *CP* are the bisectors of $\angle DAB$ and $\angle DCB$, respectively, *M* and *N* lie on the perpendicular bisector of *BD*. It is also true that *MN* is a diameter of ω so that *O* is the midpoint of *MN*. By Apollonius's theorem for the median in a triangle, we have

$$PM^{2} + PN^{2} = 2PO^{2} + \frac{1}{2}MN^{2} = 2(d^{2} + R^{2}).$$
(1)

Let *K* and *L* be the orthogonal projections of *P* on the sides *AD* and *CD*, respectively. We note that $\angle PAK = \frac{1}{2} \angle DAB = \frac{1}{2}\alpha$ and $\angle PCL = \frac{1}{2} \angle DCB = \frac{1}{2}\gamma$, and obtain

$$\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}\alpha}{r_1} = \frac{1}{PA}$$
 and $\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}\gamma}{r_2} = \frac{1}{PC}$

It follows that

$$\frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha}{r_1^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\gamma}{r_1^2} = \frac{1}{PA^2} + \frac{1}{PC^2}.$$
 (2)

By the power theorem and since the two chords AM and CN of ω meet at P,

$$PA \times PM = PC \times PN = R^2 - OP^2 = R^2 - d^2.$$

This leads to

$$\frac{1}{PA^2} + \frac{1}{PC^2} = \frac{PM^2 + PN^2}{(R^2 - d^2)^2}.$$
 (3)

From (1), (2), and (3), we get that

$$\frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha}{r_1^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\gamma}{r_2^2} = \frac{2(R^2 + d^2)}{(R^2 - d^2)^2} = \frac{1}{(R - d)^2} + \frac{1}{(R + d)^2}.$$
 (4)

We notice that α and γ are the measures of two opposite angles of the cyclic quadrilateral *ABCD*, so $\alpha + \gamma = 180^{\circ}$ or $\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ which results in $\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\gamma = 1$. So we have

$$\frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha}{r_1^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\gamma}{r_2^2} = \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha}{r_1^2} + \frac{1 - \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha}{r_2^2} = \frac{1}{r_2^2} + \left(\frac{1}{r_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_2^2}\right)\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha.$$
 (5)

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha}{r_1^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\gamma}{r_2^2} = \frac{1 - \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\gamma}{r_1^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\gamma}{r_2^2} = \frac{1}{r_1^2} + \left(\frac{1}{r_2^2} - \frac{1}{r_1^2}\right)\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\gamma.$$
 (6)

By adding the two equations (5) and (6), we obtain

$$2\left(\frac{\sin^2\frac{1}{2}\alpha}{r_1^2} + \frac{\sin^2\frac{1}{2}\gamma}{r_2^2}\right) = \frac{1}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_2^2} + \left(\frac{1}{r_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_2^2}\right)\left(\sin^2\frac{1}{2}\alpha - \sin^2\frac{1}{2}\gamma\right).$$
 (7)

The trigonometric transformation with the condition $\alpha + \gamma = 180^{\circ}$ gives us

$$\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha - \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\gamma = \frac{1 - \cos \alpha}{2} - \frac{1 - \cos \gamma}{2}$$
$$= \frac{\cos \gamma - \cos \alpha}{2} = -\sin \frac{\gamma + \alpha}{2} \sin \frac{\gamma - \alpha}{2}$$
$$= \sin \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2}.$$
(8)

From (7) and (8), we see that

$$2\left(\frac{\sin^2\frac{1}{2}\alpha}{r_1^2} + \frac{\sin^2\frac{1}{2}\gamma}{r_2^2}\right) = \frac{1}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_2^2} + \sin\frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_2^2}\right).$$
 (9)

Now from (4) and (9), we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_2^2} + \sin \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_2^2} \right) = 2 \left(\frac{1}{(R-d)^2} + \frac{1}{(R+d)^d} \right).$$

This completes our proof.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the Editor and referee for careful reading and valuable comments.

References

- 1. H. Dorrie, Fuss' problem of the chord-tangent quadrilateral, §39 in 100 *Great Problems of Elementary Mathematics*, Dover (1965) pp. 188-193.
- 2. F. G-M., *Exercises de geometrie* (6th edn, 1920), J. Gabay reprint, Paris (1991) pp. 837-839.

3. J. C. Salazar, Fuss' theorem, *Math. Gaz.*, **90** (July 2006), pp. 306-307. 10.1017/mag.2024.130 © The Authors, 2024 QUANG HUNG TRAN

Published by Cambridge University Press High School for Gifted Students,

on behalf of The Mathematical Association Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam National University at Hanoi, Hanoi, Vietnam

e-mail: tranquanghung@hus.edu.vn

108.47 Thoughts on the Fermat point of a triangle

Introduction

Much has been written about the Fermat point of a triangle, and here we provide an alternative arrangement of the existing material which, we suggest, has certain advantages over the usual developments. First, a little of the history. According to [1], in 1638 Descartes invited Fermat to investigate the locus of a point X such that, for a given set $\{A, B, C, D\}$ of distinct points, the sum XA + XB + XC + XD of the four distances is constant. Later, in 1643, Fermat asked Torricelli for the point X which minimises the sum of the distances XA + XB + XC to three given points A, B and C. Subsequently, Torricelli found several solutions to the problem, and then, in 1659, his pupil Viviani published a solution. Briefly, there is a unique point P (now called the Fermat, or Fermat-Torricelli, point of the triangle $\triangle ABC$) which minimizes XA + XB + XC over all points X in the plane. In fact, P must lie inside, or on the boundary of, $\triangle ABC$ for otherwise (by relabelling the triangle if necessary) it would lie on the opposite side of the line ℓ through A and B to the vertex C. Now let Q be the reflection of P in the line ℓ . Then ℓ is given by $\{X : XP = XQ\}$, and C lies on the same side of ℓ as O does, namely in $\{X : XO < XP\}$; thus OC < PC. Since A and B lie on ℓ , we have OA = PA, OB = PB, so that

$$QA + QB + QC < PA + PB + PC$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, as illustrated in Figure 1, *P* must lie in the closed triangle $\triangle ABC$. Further, a search through the literature shows that not only does the Fermat point *P* exist within the closed triangle $\triangle ABC$, it lies strictly inside this triangle if each angle of the triangle is less than 120°; otherwise, it lies at the vertex with the largest angle.