Antarctic Treaty (1959), 6–7	under Environmental Compensation Fund
areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 76–77	(deep seabed), 82–84
preamble to, 6–7	environmental impact assessments, 56
Protocol on Environmental Protection to the	environmental impact statements for, 80
Antarctic Treaty, 6, 7, 10–11, 12	financial assurances for, 237-248
environmental impact statements, 10-11	future uses of, 244–248
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Parties (ATCPs),	liability funding mechanisms, 244
7–8, 12, 183, 187–188	mandatory insurance, 245
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting (ATCMs),	under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,
7–8, 51, 78, 119–120, 185–188	237
access remedies, 221–222	habitat equivalency analysis for, 88, 89-90
financial assurances and, 241	heads of damages, 55–56
Antarctic Treaty System, 6–7, 9	ILC Draft Article on State Responsibility, 58–63
Antarctic Treaty Area, 10–11, 162–163	ILC Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss,
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting, 7–8, 51,	55, 65–67
78, 119–120, 185–188	international organizations' responsibilities,
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Parties, 7–8, 12,	105–109
183, 187–188	under domestic/national laws, 109
areas beyond national jurisdiction (ABNJ),	under international law, 105–108
environmental damage and harm in. See	under ISA regulations, 82–84
also Antarctic; deep seabed area; global	liability allocation for environmental harm in,
commons; high seas; standing	99
Antarctic Liability Annex, 77–78, 93	amongst several responsible actors, 116–119
under Antarctic Protocol, 76–79, 150	in Antarctic, 119–123
under Antarctic Treaty, 76–77	causation factors, 97–100
civil liability in, 3, 65–73	climate change factors, 97
compensable damage in, 76–85, 92–93	conceptual approach to, 95–97
in Antarctic, 76–80	in deep seabed, 123–129
in deep seabed, 80–84	in high seas, 129–130
in high seas, 84–85	International Seabed Authority, 123–129
under Convention on the Regulation of	for land-based activities, 95–96
Antarctic Mineral Resources Activities,	for ocean-based activities, 95–96
78–80, 149	operator responsibility, 109–116
under customary international law, 57–76	rules for, 96–97
assessment of environmental damage, 70	Seabed Disputes Chamber, 126–128
causation in, 58–61	special rules on, 119–130
ecosystem services loss, 70–73	under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,
forms of reparation, 61–65, 92	•
in International Court of Justice cases, 59,	123–127, 130 liability standards in, 149–157
63–64	in Antarctic, 149–150
international organizations' responsibilities,	in deep seabed, 150–154
105–108	in high seas, 154–157
loss of profit as result of environmental	marine biodiversity and, 84–85
impairment, 67	
* '	Oil Pollution Liability Convention and, 67–68,
monitoring of environmental damage, 70	105 scope of, 55–56
pure environmental damage, 70–73 reasonable preventive measures, 67–68	state responsibility for, 100–105
reinstatement measures, 68–69	attribution of conduct in, 101
remoteness in, 58–61	in coastal states, 103–104
reparation principles, 58	under domestic/national laws, 104–105
state responsibility under, 57–65	over exclusive economic zones, 103–104
threshold of harm, 73–76	under international law, 57–65, 100–104
definition of, 4–6, 54, 66	UN Compensation Commission and, 56–57,
under Draft Exploitation Regulations, 82–84	60–65, 71, 74, 87–92

80-82 valuation of environmental damage, 54, 87-92 in U.S., 87-88 ASR. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts ATCMs. See Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting ATCPs. See Antarctic Treaty Consultative atmosphere, as form of commons, 5 atmospheric trust, 139-140 Australia, 183 Exclusive Economic Zones off Antarctic, 7 Bahia Paraiso oil spill, 9 Barcelona Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean, 73 Basel Liability Protocol. See Protocol on Liability and Compensation to the Basel Convention on Transboundary Movement of Hazardous Wastes Biodiversity beyond National Jurisdiction (BBNJ), 196-197, 261-262 high seas and, 19-20 international legally binding instrument, 20, 24-25, 196-197, 227-228 Biodiversity beyond National Jurisdiction (international legally binding instrument) (BBNJ ILBI), 20, 24-25, 196-197, 227-228 Bunker Oil Convention, 114, 146 causation, of damage, in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 58-61, 97-100 CCAMLR. See Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources CERCLA. See Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. U.S. cessation of harmful activities, 207-208 of wrongful acts, 169 channelling of liability, 110-116, 125 advantages/disadvantages in, 114-115 for nuclear energy production, 110 for operators, 110-116 polluter-pays principle, 115-116 for vessel-based cargo oil, 110-113 CHH. See common heritage of humankind China, 166 CHM. See common heritage of mankind claims, espousal of, 44, 174

under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,

climate change impacts on global commons, 5 loss and damage, 51-52 Paris Agreement, 51-52 standing for claims for environmental harm and, 180-181 coastal states. See also specific states areas within national jurisdiction and, 69 state responsibility and, 103-104 Commission on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR Commission), 187-188 common heritage of humankind (CHH), 13-14, 189-192, 194-195 common heritage of mankind (CHM), 13, 17, 83, 127, 153, 189–190, 194, 264. See also common heritage of humankind compensation. See also remedies access to remedies and, 199-200 adequate and prompt compensation, 31-34, global commons and, 3-4 International Law Commission, 30 UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and, 31 compensation funds Environmental Compensation Fund (deep seabed), 82-84 International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds, 47, 71–72, 175–176, 217, 255 financial assurances and, 231 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, U.S. (CERCLA), 87 Convention for the Conservation of Antarctic Seals 6 Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (London Convention), 23, 48, 157 Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention), 36-37, 141

Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution

Convention on International Liability for Damage

Damage in Connection with the Carriage

of Hazardous and Noxious Substances

(HNS Convention), 37-38, 215-216

financial assurances and, 235-236, 246-247

Convention on Limitation of Liability for

Maritime Claims, 156, 232

Caused by Space Objects, 5, 144

Convention on Liability and Compensation for

Damage, 1-2, 214-215

Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage, 85, 110–111, 147, 217, 230–231 Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses. See UN Watercourses Convention Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm. 183–192 in international Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental general for environmental harm. 183–192 in international formus, 221–227 in domestic formus, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (International Foundation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (International Foundational formus, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm. 183–192 by non-state actors, 194–195 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 185–192 by non-state actors, 194–195 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 185–190 by state operators, 192–194 under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 12–175 International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 12–179 bemocratic Republic of the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations district, 222 in international forums, 23–22-26 domestic laws, standing for claims	Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources, 6, 8–9, 187–188	Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 46, 126–128 areas beyond national jurisdiction under,
Oil Pollution Damage, 85, 110–111, 147, 217, 237, 230–231 Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses. See UN Watercourses Convention (Nordic Convention), 47. Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 49. Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 coosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cum	Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for	81–82 standing for claims for environmental harm,
217, 230–231 Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses See UN Watercourses Convention Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ceosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in indemstic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 188–190 by state operators, 192–194 under UN Convention on the Resulation of the Law of the Sea, 12–15, 17–18 common heritage of humankind, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage and harm, 23–129 legal status as global commons, 12–14 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 reso		
Uses of International Watercourses. See UN Watercourses Convention Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ccosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Scabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental fassiurances and, 243–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 90 recostate ment mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international Probation of the Law of the Sea, 12–15, 17–18 common heritage of humankind, 13–14 International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 14–15 temocratic Republic of the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 188–190 to the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations (bapties, 24–15 to the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploita		
UN Watercourses Convention Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nortic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA, See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liabi	Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational	
under UN Convention on the Brotection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risk in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resources management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global c	Uses of International Watercourses. See	Seabed Disputes Chamber, 188-190
(Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 cecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Scabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status a global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental limpact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact sattements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic, 222 in international fortums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 paterns patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 18 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic lawin, 179–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 18 public trust doctrine, 179–179 to	UN Watercourses Convention	by state operators, 192–194
Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9-11, 78-80, 120-122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36-37, 48 Costa Rica, 90-91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12-18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223-227 in international forums, 223-226 International Seabed Authority and, 223-226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 International Seabed Authority and, 223-226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 International Rorums, 223-226 International Forums, 226-227 in international forums, 223-226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 International Rorums, 223-226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 Seabed Disputes Of Industrial Anamonized, 44-49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary Marterourse and public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178-179 domestic laibility law, 43-49 harmonized, 43-44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201-207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 49 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178-179 domestic laibility law, 43-49 harmonized, 43-44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201-207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 49 turn domestic forums, 26-6-27 in international forums, 201-207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 International Forums, 26-6-27 in international forums, 201-207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 International forums, 201-207 International forums, 201-207 I		
Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in indomestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental liability, 17–18 nenvironmental inability, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–120 liability standards for, 150–154 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management for, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17	Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA),	
Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 23–226 international seabed Authority and, 223–226 international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 232–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic lability law, 43–49 harmonized, 44–49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations disputes chlamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic lability law, 43–49 harmonized, 44–49 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations of claims for environmental insumuncte, 12 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploit		. /
Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm metharing, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 cocoide, 252 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental damage, 70–73 environmental damage, 70–73 environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact satements, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in domestic forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 213–129 lample law remedies, 18 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 213–129 lamplementational forums, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 213–129 lamplementational forums, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 213–129 lamplementational forums, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for cla		
ccosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trus doctrine, 178–179 domestic lability alwo, 43–49 harmonized, 43–49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–243 coroide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive		
CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic lawility domestic lawility and, 43–49 transpoundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 34		
Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public law, 43–49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state responsibility and adamage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 liapility allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 15–154 resources in, 15–17	9	
damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 logal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17		
damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17	cumulative checus, 20, 50, 200	
DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17	damage See environmental damage and harm	
Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 domestic liability law, 43–49 harmonized, 44–49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–24, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecocystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		*
deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17		
deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZS. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZS. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZS. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 environmental damage, 70–73 environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact		
access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 Ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	9	
in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	223–227	
International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility	in domestic forums, 226–227	Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84,
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and,	in international forums, 223–226	151-152
activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 state responsibility and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 ecocide, 252 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 ecosta Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		state responsibility and, 42–43
compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 ecosta Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		.1
definition of, 13–14 in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones institutional arrangements for, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	environmental liability, 17–18	seabed), 82–84
1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
resource management, 16–17 methodological approach to, 27–28 legal status as global commons, 12–14 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 liability standards for, 150–154 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
beyond national jurisdiction, 80 liability standards for, 150–154 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 resources in, 15–17 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
liability standards for, 150–154 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 resources in, 15–17 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
resources in, 15–17 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		

environmental restoration, liability rules and, 34-36	generally accepted international rules and
erga omnes, 164–170, 179, 193, 198, 204–205	standards (GAIRS), 157
erga omnes partes, 164-170, 179, 184-185,	Germany, fault-based liability in, 136
256–257	global commons. See also Antarctic; areas beyond
espousal of claims, 44, 174	national jurisdiction; atmosphere; deep
European Convention on Human Rights, 180	seabed area; high seas; specific
Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs), 103–104, 166	environments; specific regions
off Antarctic, 7	climate change influences on, 5
Exxon Valdez oil spill, 88	compensation approaches to, 3-4
•	definition of, 4–6
fault-based liability, 134	as resource domains, 4-5
in France, 136	liability approaches to, 3-4
in Germanic countries, 136	7 11
policy considerations for, 135–141	habitat equivalency analysis (HEA), 88, 89-90
subjective fault in, 135–136	harm. See environmental damage and harm
financial assurances, 230	harmonized domestic liability law, 44-49
analysis of, 248–250	HEA. See habitat equivalency analysis
in Antarctic, 239–242	high seas, 18–25
Antarctic Liability Annex and, 235–236,	access to remedies, 227–228
238–241, 246	BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228
under Antarctic Protocol, 232, 238–239	biodiversity beyond national jurisdiction and,
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting and,	19–20
241	under Convention for the Prevention of Marine
in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 237–248	Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and
future uses of, 244–248	Other Matter, 23
liability funding mechanisms, 244	under Convention on the Law of the Sea, 18–19
mandatory insurance, 245	BBNJ (ILBI), 20, 24–25, 195–197
under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,	environmental protection obligations, 22-25
237	International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 19
Basel Protocol and, 235–236	environmental liability procedures, 22–25
in deep seabed, 242–244	environmental protection obligations, 22-25
Environmental Compensation Fund and,	under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,
243-244	22-25
forms of, 230–237	environmental risks and, 20–21
HNS Convention and, 235–236, 246–247	institutional arrangements, 19-20
insurance and, 235	legal status as global commons, 18
mandatory, 245	liability allocation for environmental harm in,
parametric insurance, 248	129–130
self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243	liability standards for, 154–157
International Law Commission and, 237–238	resource management, 20–21
International Oil Pollution Compensation and,	standing for claims for environmental harm,
231	195–197
liability rules, 232–233	HNS Convention. See Convention on Liability
Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims	and Compensation for Damage in
Convention, 232, 240–241	Connection with the Carriage of
protection and indemnity clubs and, 234-235	Hazardous and Noxious Substances
purpose of, 231–234	
risk internalization, 232-233	ICJ. See International Court of Justice
Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 238	ICRW. See International Convention for the
flag states, 103, 129, 143, 154, 155, 156	Regulation of Whaling
forum non conveniens, 199–200, 214	ILC. See International Law Commission
France, 183	ILC Draft Articles on Prevention of
Exclusive Economic Zones off Antarctica, 7	Transboundary Harm from Hazardous
fault-based liability in, 136	Activities, 155

ILC Draft Articles on the Allocation of Loss in the ILC Draft Articles on Prevention of case of Transboundary Harm arising out of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Hazardous Activities, 31 Activities, 155 ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations (DARIO), International Organizations, 106, 108, 106, 108, 172-173 ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States ILC Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ASR), 37-45, 74-76, 102-103, 175-176, 58, 98-99, 161, 163-164 211-212, 213 in standing claims, 161-162, 164-166, 169-170 liability and, 28, 29, 31, 32-33, 40-41 ILC Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, International Maritime Organization (IMO), 106, 37-45, 74-76, 102-103, 175-176, International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds 211-212, 213 illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing, 25, (IOPC Funds), 47, 71-72, 175-176, 217, 255 financial assurances and, 231 international organizations. See also specific IMO. See International Maritime Organization implied powers, of international organizations, organizations areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 105-109 171-172 under domestic/national laws, 109 insurance financial assurances and, 235 under international law, 105-108 Draft Articles on the Responsibility of mandatory insurance, 245 parametric insurance, 248 International Organizations and, 106, 108, self-insurance, 234-235, 242-243 inter-governmental organizations, access to implied powers of, 171-172 remedies and, 205 regional fisheries management arrangements, International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling (ICRW), 166, 183 regional fisheries management organizations, International Convention Relating to Intervention 106, 107 on the High Seas in Cases of Oil Pollution standing for claims for environmental harm in Antarctic, 187-188 Casualties, 162 International Court of Justice (ICJ), 55 under international law, 171-173 access to remedies cases, 200-201, 210 UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and, Monetary Gold principle, 203 107-108 areas beyond national jurisdiction cases, 59, International Seabed Authority (ISA), 14-15 63-64, 87-92, 118-119 access to remedies for environmental harm and, liability allocation for environmental harm in, 223-226 areas beyond national jurisdiction under, valuation of environmental damage in, 87-92 82-84 liability allocation for environmental harm, 99 Draft Exploitation Regulations, 82–84, 151–152 standing for claims for environmental harm, environmental liability, 17-18 168-185 environmental protection obligations, 17-18 International Court of the Environment Implementation Agreement, 14-15 Foundation, 200 liability and, 33, 151-152 allocation for environmental harm and, International Law Commission (ILC), 251 access to remedies, 199-200, 207-208 123-129 for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 55, environmental, 17-18 58-63, 65-67 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 42, 46 compensation requirements and, 30 resources management, 16-17 Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States for Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 42, 46, 126-128, 143 Internationally Wrongful Acts (DARIO), access to remedies for environmental harm, 58, 98–99, 161, 163–164 in standing claims, 161-162, 164-166, areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 169-170 financial assurances and, 237-238

. 1. 6 1. 6	
standing for claims for environmental harm,	institutional mechanisms, 259–260
166–169	under international law, 142–149
standing for claims for environmental harm,	International Law Commission and, 28, 29, 31,
171, 173, 189–192 Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 166–169	32–33, 40–41, 138 International Seabed Authority and, 33, 139
International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	environmental liability, 17–18
(ITLOS), 14–15	Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 42, 46
access to remedies under, 202, 203	under International Tribunal for the Law of the
high seas under, 19	Sea, 143
IOPC. See International Oil Pollution	joint, 116–117
Compensation Funds	limitations of, 262–264
ISA. See International Seabed Authority	for loss and damage, 51–52
ITLOS. See International Tribunal for the Law of	under climate change regimes, 51–52
the Sea	under Paris Agreement, 51–52
	Lugano Convention on Civil Liability for
joint liability, 116–117	Damage Resulting from Activities
jus cogens, 169, 170	Dangerous to the Environment, 45–46,
, 8 , , ,	141
lex loci delicti rule, 218–219	moral dimensions of, 133
liability, international	Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary
absolute, 147	Protocol, 48, 49
access to remedies and, 199-200	Oil Pollution Liability Convention, 37-38
under Antarctic Protocol, 34, 48	polluter-pays principle, 36-37, 140, 141
Antarctic Liability Annex, 11–12, 28, 35, 49,	application of, 37
50-51, 121, 131	legal status of, 36-37
approaches to, 38-53	proportionate, 117
administrative, 50–51	under Protocol on Liability and Compensation
in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 138–139	to the Basel Convention on Transboundary
Basel Liability Protocol, 32, 48	Movement of Hazardous Wastes, 32
BBNJ (ILBI) and, 141	purpose for rules in, 30–38, 252–254
channelling of, 110–116, 125	compensation, 31–34
advantages/disadvantages in, 114–115	economic objectives, 37–38
for nuclear energy production, 110	for environmental restoration strategies,
for operators, 110–116	34-36
polluter-pays principle, 115–116	for prevention of environmental harm, 34–36
for vessel-based cargo oil, 110–113	Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 143
conceptual approach to, 2–4, 29–30	several, 116–117
domestic liability law and, 43–49	standards of, 157–158
harmonized, 44–49	in Antarctic, 149–150
tort of nuisance, 41	for civil liability regimes, 145–147
transboundary harm, 46	conceptual approach to, 133–134
unharmonized, 43–44	in deep seabed, 150–154
in existing environmental agreements, 48–49	generally accepted international rules and, 157
fault-based, 134	in high seas, 154–157
in France, 136	policy considerations, 135–141
in Germanic countries, 136	risk factors for, 136–140
policy considerations for, 135–141 subjective fault in, 135–136	state responsibilities and, 39–43, 142–145 default rules, 142
future challenges for, 260–262	due diligence and, 42–43, 142–143
global commons and, 3–4	no-harm principle and, 42
for Antarctic, 10–12	Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 42
in deep seabed, 17–18	strict, 134, 140–141
high seas, 22–25	UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and, 34,
HNS Convention, 37–38	139
·- · · · · /)/)*))

liability caps, 148-149 OSPAR Convention. See Convention for the Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims Protection of the Marine Environment of Convention (LLMC), 232, 240-241 the North-East Atlantic London Convention. See Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution by parametric insurance, 248 Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter Pardo, Arvid, 13-14 loss allocation, 28 parens patria, 177-179, 189, 206, 216 ILC Draft Principles on Allocation of Loss, Paris Agreement, 51–52 participation in environmental decision-175-176 loss and damage making,205-206. See also Rio Declaration on Environment and Development ecosystem services loss and, 70-73 ILC Draft Principles on Allocation of Loss, Philippines, 166 P&I clubs. See protection and indemnity clubs 175-176 liability and, 51-52 polluter-pays principle, 36-37, 140, 141 under climate change regimes, 51-52 application of, 37 channelling of liability, 115-116 under Paris Agreement, 51-52 Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and legal status of, 36-37 Portugal, 181 Damage, 51 Lugano Convention on Civil Liability for Damage Postiglione, Amedeo, 200 Resulting from Activities Dangerous to the prompt and adequate compensation, 22-23, 31, Environment, 45-46, 72-73, 141 33-34, 44-45, 62, 95, 130, 131, 145-147, 153, 157-158, 212-213, 230, 231, 237-238 mandatory insurance, 245 proportionate liability, 117 marine biodiversity, in areas beyond national protection and indemnity clubs (P&I clubs), jurisdiction, 84-85 234-235 marine genetic resources, 19-20, 21, 26, 84, 156 Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Monetary Gold principle, 203 Antarctic Treaty (Antarctic Protocol) (1991), 6, 7, 10–11, 12 Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol, access to remedies under, 220-222 Antarctic Liability Annex, 11-12, 28, 35, 49, 48, 49, 72-73 necessitous intervention, 69, 163, 179, 257 50-51, 77-78, 93, 121, 131 areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 76-79, negligence. See fault-based liability New Zealand, 10 NGOs. See non-government organizations Antarctic Liability Annex, 77–78, 93 Nicaragua, 90-91 environmental impact statements, 10-11 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14-15 financial assurances under, 232, 238-239 no-fault liability. See strict liability liability under, 34, 48 no-harm principle, 40, 251 Protocol on Liability and Compensation to the non-government organizations (NGOs) Basel Convention on Transboundary access to remedies for environmental harm and, Movement of Hazardous Wastes (Basel 203, 206-207 Liability Protocol) (1999), 32, 48, 70, standing for claims for environmental harm, 113-114, 216 173-175, 179-180 financial assurances and, 235-236 in Antarctic, 187-188 public law remedies, standing for claims for environmental harm and, 181 in deep seabed, 194-195 public trust doctrine, 178-179 oceans. See deep seabed; high seas pure environmental damage/loss, 26, 55-56, 63, 65, 70-73, 83, 160-161, 176, 224, Odyssey oil spill, 1 areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 1 243-244, 255, 258-259 civil liability rules and, 1-2, 4 Oil Pollution Act, U.S. (1990), 87 recognition and enforcement, of judgments, access Oil Pollution Liability Convention, 37-38, 67-68, to remedies 105, 110-111, 113, 114 in domestic forums, 219-220 standing claims and, 175-176 in international forums, 208-209

regional fisheries management organizations (RFMOs), 106, 107 standing for claims for environmental harm and, 171 reinstatement, 49, 50, 55-56, 65-69, 72-73, 160-161 remedies, access to, for environmental harm see also hartaretic Liability Annex analysis of, 228-229 in Antaretic, 220-223 under Antaretic Patocol, 220-222 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222 in international forums, 220-222 under BBNJ (IL-Bl), 227-228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199-200 in deep seabed, 223-227 in international Sprace to, 199-200 in deep seabed, 223-227 in international Sprace to, 199-200 in deep seabed, 223-227 in international Sprace to, 199-200 in deep seabed, 223-227 in international Sprace to, 199-200 in deep seabed Authority and, 223-226 lateratic, 222 in international Sprace to, 199-200 in demostic forums, 211-220 choice of applicable law, 218-219 parties to proceedings, 216-218 recognition and enforcement of judgments are responsibility for, 207 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211-212, 213 in high seas, 227-328 intergovernmental organizations and, 205 through International Court of Justice cases, 200-201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international Court of Justice cases, 200-201, 210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200-201, 201 in International Court of Justice cases, 200-201, 201 in International Court of Justice cases, 200-201, 201 in In		
regional fisheries management organizations (RFMOs), 106, 107 standing for claims for environmental harm and, 171 reinstatement, 49, 50, 55–56, 65–69, 72–73, 160–161 remedies, access to, for environmental harm. See also Antarctic Liability Annex analysis of, 228–229 in Antarctic, 220–223 under Antarctic Protocol, 220–222 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222 in international forums, 220–222 under BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in international forums, 220–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 crivil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties in, 205–207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in, 208–200 International Law Commission and, 203, 206–207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in, 208–200 International Law Commission and, 203, 206–207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in, 208–200 International Law Commission and, 203, 206–207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in, 208–200 International Law Commission and, 203, 206–207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in, 208–200 International Law Commission and, 203, 206–207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in, 208–200 International Law Commission and, 203, 206–207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in domestic forums, 219–220 in international forums, 220–221 in international forums, 220–226 International forums, 226–227 in international forums, 221–226 International forums, 221–226 in domestic forums, 226–227 remotenes, \$8-61 reparations, 020–201 under IN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under Win Commensation for accessing to environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, \$8 resource management organizations and, 205 f	regional fisheries management arrangements	evidentiary issues in, 209
(RFMOs), 106, 107 standing for claims for environmental harm and, 171 reinstatement, 49, 50, 55–56, 65–69, 72–73, 160–161 remedies, access to, for environmental harm. See also Antarctic Liability Annex analysis of, 228–229 in Antarctic, 220–223 under Antarctic Protocol, 220–222 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222 in domestic forums, 222–223 under BBN (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 conceptual approach to, 199–200 conceptual approach to, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in international forums, 226–227 in international forums, 225–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delictir ule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments in, 208–200 International law Commission and, 203, 206–207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in, 208–209 International Law Commission and, 199–200 International Law Commission and, 203, 206–207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in domestic forums, 219–220 under Rio Declaration, 211–212 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under Rio Declaration, 211–212 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under Rio Declaration, 211–212 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under Rio Declaration, 211–212 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under Rio Declaration, 211–212 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under Rio Declaration, 210–212 remoteness, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 recognition and enforcement of judgments in domestic forums, 210–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Drat	(RFMAs), 106	participation in environmental decision-
standing for claims for environmental harm and, 171 reinstatement, 49, 50, 55-56, 65-69, 72-73, 160-161 remedies, access to, for environmental harm. See also Antarctic Liability Annex analysis of, 228-229 in Antarctic, 220-223 under Antarctic Protocol, 220-222 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222 in domestic forums, 220-222 under BBNJ (ILBI), 227-228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199-200 conceptual approach to, 199-200 in deep seabed, 223-227 in international forums, 220-227 in domestic forums, 222-226 International Seabed Authority and, 223-226 Issued Bisputes Chamber, 223-226 International Solution and enforcement of judgments in domestic forums, 216-227 remoteness, 58-61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61-65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16-17 for high seas, 20-21 choice of applicable law, 218-219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213-216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211-213 lex loci delicti rule, 218-219 parties to proceedings, 216-218 recognition and enforcement of judgments in, 208-209 under international law, 199-200 International Law Commission and, 203, 205-207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in domestic forums, 219-220 under Rio Declaration, 211-212 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200-201 under IUN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212-213, 226-227 remoteness, \$8-61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61-65, 92 principles for, \$8 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16-17 for high seas, 20-21 under IUN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212-213, 226-227 remoteness, \$8-61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national furnity, 226-227 remoteness, \$8-61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national furnity, 216-217 remoteness, \$8-61 reparations, 10-211, 20-20 remoteness, \$8-61 reparations, 10-21, 20-21 remotenes		
in, 208-209 under Antarctic Liability Annex analysis of, 228-229 in Antarctic, 220-223 in Antarctic, 220-223 in domestic forums, 222-223 in international forums, 222-223 in domestic forums, 222-223 under BBNJ (ILBI), 227-228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199-200 conceptual approach to, 109-200 in deep seabed, 223-227 in international forums, 223-226 International Seabed Authority and, 223-226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 218-219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211-213 lex loci delictir rule, 218-219 parties to proceedings, 216-218 recognition and enforcement of judgments in domestic forums, 219-220 choice of applicable law, 218-219 parties to proceedings, 216-218 recognition and enforcement of judgments in Antarctic, 222 in international Seabed Authority and, 223-226 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 205 for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61-65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16-17 for high seas, 20-21 under International Law Commission and, 199-200 in demetic, 220-222 in international forums, 220-222 under BBNJ (ILBI), 227-228 compensation for environmental darmand, 199-200 in deep seabed, 223-226 International Seabed Authority and, 223-226 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 205 for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61-65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16-17 for high seas, 20-210 under INC Compensation Commission, 203-201 under INC Compensation Commission, 203-201 under INC Compensation Commission, 203-207 recognition and enforcement of judgments in domestic forums, 219-220 in international forums, 268-209 under Rio Declaration, 210-212 recognition and enforcement of judgments and 203-202 recognition and enforcement of judgments and 203-202 recognition and enforcement of judgments and 203-202 recognition and enforce		
160–161 remedies, access to, for environmental harm. See also Antarctic Liability Annex analysis of, 228–229 in Antarctic, 220–223 under Antarctic Protocol, 220–222 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222 in international forums, 220–222 under BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 enoceptual approach to, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 203–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 203–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 211–212 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 211–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in thermational Court of the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of the Environment, 209–210 in international forums, 223–226 seed Disputes Chamber (223–226 in demestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 211–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in international Court of the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Annetary Cold principle, 203 in international forums, 226–221 available remedies, 207–208		
remedies, access to, for environmental harm. See also Antarctic Liability Annex analysis of, 228–229 in Antarctic, 220–223 under Antarctic Protocol, 220–222 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222 in domestic forums, 222–223 under BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 disputes settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 223–226 disputes settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 223–226 disputes chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicit rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 inter-governmental organizations and, 205 through International Court of the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 226–211 advisory opinions, 210–221 available remedies, 207–208	reinstatement, 49, 50, 55-56, 65-69, 72-73,	under international law, 199–200
also Antaretic Liability Annex analysis of, 228–229 in Antaretic, 220–223 under Antaretic Protocol, 220–222 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222 in international forums, 220–222 under BNJ (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 conceptual approach to, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in indomestic forums, 226–227 in indomestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–20 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international Obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 inter-governmental organizations and, 205 through International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Anonetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–221 available remedies, 207–208		
analysis of, 228–229 in Antarctic, 220–223 iunder Antarctic Protocol, 220–222 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222 in international forums, 222–223 under BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 conceptual approach to, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in international forums, 226–227 in international forums, 226–227 in international forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum mon convenients, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 214–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 inter-governmental organizations and, 205 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Cold principle, 203 in international forums, 220–221 advisory opinions, 210–221 advisory opinions, 210–221 available remedies, 207–208		,
in Antarctic, 220–222 under Abry (ILBI), 227–228 in international forums, 220–222 in domestic forums, 222–223 in international forums, 220–222 under BBN) (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in domestic forums, 223–226 International Forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forum, 211–212 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments in domestic forums, 219–220 under Rio Declaration, 211–212 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227 remotences, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments in domestic forums, 210–220 under Rio Declaration, 211–212 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227 remotences, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 1		
under Antarctic Protocol, 220–222 dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222 in domestic forums, 222–223 in international forums, 220–222 under BBN) (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in indomestic forums, 226–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 crivil lability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 inter-governmental organizations and, 205 through International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 advisory opinions, 210–212 available remedies, 207–208 in indomestic forums, 219–220 in international forums, 208–209 under Rio Declaration, 211–212 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227 remotenes, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under INC Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227 remotenes, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAS. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Enviro		,
dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222 in domestic forums, 222–223 in international forums, 222–222 under BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 conceptual approach to, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lev loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 intergovernmental organizations and, 205 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 advisory opinions, 210–222 available remedies, 207–208		
under Rio Declaration, 211–212 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227 in domestic forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 210–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 intergovernmental organizations and, 205 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208		
scope of, 199 under BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 conceptual approach to, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in idmestic forums, 226–227 in indemestic forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 Gispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delictir rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 inter-governmental organizations and, 205 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 scope of, 199 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–221 under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227 remoteness, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 10–17 sovereign immunity access to		
under BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228 compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 conceptual approach to, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicit rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 international Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Cold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 222–22 available remedies, 207–208 state responsibility for, 207 UN Compensation Commission, 200–201 under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227 remoteness, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under INC Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227 remoteness, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 223–		,
compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200 conceptual approach to, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 inter-governmental organizations and, 205 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 UN Compensation Commission, 200–202 tunder UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 213, 212–213, 226–227 remoteness, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMOs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 49 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 standing or claims for environmental harm, 166–169 standing or claims for environmental harm, 166–169 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 standing or claims for environmental harm, 166–169 standing or claims for environmental harm, 166–169 standing or c	,	
under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, conceptual approach to, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 intergovernmental organizations and, 205 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227 remoteness, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 standing for claims for environmental harm, 223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 standin		
conceptual approach to, 199–200 in deep seabed, 223–227 in domestic forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lev loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 international Court of Use Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–222 available remedies, 207–208		
in deep seabed, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 international Oburt of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–212 available remedies, 207–208 remoteness, 58–61 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 sovereignity, over Antarctic, 6–7		
in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 211–2220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 intergovernmental organizations and, 205 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 222 available remedies, 207–208 reparations, for environmental damage for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental ha		
in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 international Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies in the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies in query area of the principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 advisory opinions, 210–221 in Antarctic, 222 available remedies, 207–208		
International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principles for, 58 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 scabel Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 scabel Authority, 16–17 restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S.,		
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in liner-governmental organizations and, 205 through International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 202–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 2220–222 available remedies, 207–208 resource management for deep seabed areas, 16–17 for high seas, 20–21 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAS. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 237–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 237–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 237–224 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity and, 217 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208		
in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in international Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 126–129, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7	Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226	resource management
in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in the matricianal Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 under International Seabed Authority, 16–17 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations arrangements RFMOs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity and, 217 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208	dispute settlement mechanisms	for deep seabed areas, 16–17
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations arrangements RFMOS. See regio	in Antarctic, 222	for high seas, 20–21
in domestic forums, 211–220 choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 international Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 RFMAs. See regional fisheries management organizations arrangements RFMOS. See regional fisheries management organizations rarrangements RFMOS. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		under International Seabed Authority, 16–17
choice of applicable law, 218–219 civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 in International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 arrangements RFMOs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
civil liability conventions, 218 forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in the seas, 227–228 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 RFMOs. See regional fisheries management organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
forum choice, 213–216 forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 international Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 in International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
international obligations for, 211–213 lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 in International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 123–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
lex loci delicti rule, 218–219 parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 123–223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46		
parties to proceedings, 216–218 recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies on remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies under, 211–212 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies on the Allocation of Loss, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies on the Allocation of Loss, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies on the Allocation of Loss, 126–128, 143 access to remedies on the Allocation of Loss, 126–128, 143 access to remedies on the Allocation of Loss, 126–128, 143 access to remedies of environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies of environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies o		
recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 access to remedies for environmental harm, inter-governmental organizations and, 205 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 no-harm principle in, 40 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 126–169 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
219–220 sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 Principle 10, 45–46 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
sovereign immunity and, 217 under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–210 in International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 inter-governmental organizations and, 205 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		1
211–212, 213 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 126–128, 143 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46,
in high seas, 227–228 in high seas, 227–228 access to remedies for environmental harm, inter-governmental organizations and, 205 through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 access to remedies for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
through International Court for the Environment, 209–210 in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7	inter-governmental organizations and, 205	223–226
in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		standing for claims for environmental harm,
several liability, 116–117 Monetary Gold principle, 203 in international forums, 200–211 advisory opinions, 210–211 in Antarctic, 220–222 available remedies, 207–208 several liability, 116–117 sovereign immunity access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 jure gestionis, 105 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7	Environment, 209–210	,
Monetary Gold principle, 203 sovereign immunity in international forums, 200–211 access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 advisory opinions, 210–211 jure gestionis, 105 in Antarctic, 220–222 jure imperii, 105 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117
in international forums, 200–211 access to remedies for environmental harm, 217 advisory opinions, 210–211 jure gestionis, 105 in Antarctic, 220–222 jure imperii, 105 available remedies, 207–208 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7	Monetary Gold principle, 203	
in Antarctic, 220–222 jure imperii, 105 available remedies, 207–208 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7		
available remedies, 207–208 sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7	* *	jure gestionis, 105
dispute settlement mechanisms, 201–207 international claims of, 6, 7		
	dispute settlement mechanisms, 201–207	international claims of, 6, 7

standard of care, 134, 140, 146, 156–157 standing, for claims, for environmental harm in ABNJ	Permanent Court for International Justice, 164
analysis of, 197–198	regional fisheries management organizations
in Antarctic, 183–188	and, 171
Antarctic Liability Annex, 183–186	rights of nature approaches, 182–183
under Antarctic Treaty, 183–186	under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,
by international organizations, 187–188	166–171, 173
by non-state actors, 187–188	state responsibility
by state operators, 183–186	for access to remedies, 207
in civil liability regimes, 175–176	analysis of, 254-257
for climate change litigation, 180-181	for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 57-65,
collective legal interests in, 159-160	100–105
in deep seabed, 188–195	attribution of conduct in, 101
International Seabed Authority, 189-192	in coastal states, 103–104
by non-state actors, 194–195	under domestic/national laws, 104-105
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 188-190	over exclusive economic zones, 103-104
by state operators, 192–194	under international law, 57-65, 100-104
definition of, 159	international liability and, 39–43, 142–145
under domestic/national laws, 176–183	default rules, 142
parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216	due diligence and, 42–43, 142–143
public law remedies, 181	no-harm principle and, 42
public trust doctrine, 178–179	Stockholm Declaration, 142–143
Draft Articles on the Responsibility of	no-harm principle in, 40
International Organizations and, 172–173	strict liability, 134, 140–141
under Draft Principles on Allocation of Loss,	submarine cables, 13, 18, 21, 162, 253
175–176	Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission Advisory
erga omnes, 164–170, 179, 193, 198, 204–205	Opinion, 154–155
erga omnes partes, 164–170, 179, 184–185	
in high seas, 195–197	tort of nuisance, 41
under international law, 159, 160–175 Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States	transboundary harm, 46
for Internationally Wrongful Acts,	UN Compensation Commission, 118
161–162, 164–166, 169–170	access to remedies and, 200–201
in ICJ cases, 168–185	areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 56–57,
in individual states, 160–171	60–65, 71, 74, 87–92
for international organizations, 171–173	UN Convention on Biological Diversity, 19,
for non-state actors, 173–175	182–183
under International Oil Pollution	UN Convention on the Law of the Sea
Compensation Funds, 175-176	(UNCLOS)
by international organizations	access to remedies, 199, 202, 203, 212-213,
in Antarctic, 187–188	226-227
under international law, 171–173	areas beyond national jurisdiction under,
International Seabed Authority, 171, 173	80-83
International Seabed Authority, Seabed	liability allocation for environmental harm in,
Disputes Chamber and, 166–169	123–127, 130
under International Tribunal for the Law of the	compensation objectives, 31
Sea, 166–169	deep seabed under, 12–15, 17–18
jus cogens, 169, 170	common heritage of humankind, 13–14
legal criteria for, 159–160	International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea,
necessitous intervention, 163, 179	14–15
by non-government organizations, 173–175,	financial assurances and, 237
179–180	high seas under, 18–19
in Antarctic, 187–188	BBNJ (ILBI), 20, 24–25
in deep seabed, 194–195	environmental protection obligations, 22–25

international liability and, 34, 139
international organizations and, 107–108
standing for claims for environmental harm
under, 166–171, 173
Third UN Conference on the Law of the Sea,
8–9
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change,
19
UN Watercourses Convention, 45
unharmonized domestic liability law, 43–44, 254

International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea,

United States (U.S.)
areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 87–88
Exxon Valdez oil spill, 88
Restatement on the Law of Torts, 137
valuation of environmental damage, 87–88
under Comprehensive Environmental
Response, Compensation, and Liability
Act, 87
under Oil Pollution Act, 87

Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and Damage, 51