

ON THE CONVERSION OF THE DETERMINANT
INTO THE PERMANENT

Peter Botta

(received May 28, 1967)

1. Introduction. Let $M_m(F)$ be the vector space of m -square matrices over a field F . If X belongs to $M_m(F)$, then x_{ij} will denote the element occurring in row i and column j of X .

Let S_m be the symmetric group of degree m and $\epsilon: S_m \rightarrow F$ the alternating character on S_m (i.e. $\epsilon(\sigma) = 1$ or -1 according as σ is an even or odd permutation). If X belongs to $M_m(F)$ then the determinant of X and the permanent of X are defined as follows:

$$\det X = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \epsilon(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^m x_{i\sigma(i)} ;$$

$$\text{per } X = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \prod_{i=1}^m x_{i\sigma(i)} .$$

The object of this note is to show that if $m > 2$, then there is no linear transformation $K: M_m(F) \rightarrow M_m(F)$ such that $\det K(X) = \text{per } X$ for all X in $M_m(F)$. An early result in this direction is due to Polya [6], who showed that no affixing of \pm signs to the entries of X can (except when $m = 2$) uniformly convert the permanent into the determinant. Recently Marcus and Minc [4] established that if $m > 2$, then there is no linear transformation on matrices to matrices that uniformly converts the permanent into the determinant. In their proof of

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 11, no. 1, 1968

this result Marcus and Minc used r^{th} determinantal and permanental compound matrices, and an induction argument involving some rather complicated computations. The purpose of this note is to give a shorter and somewhat more direct proof of their result.

2. Results. We will establish the following:

THEOREM. If $m > 2$ then there does not exist a linear transformation $K: M_m(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow M_m(\mathbb{F})$ such that $\det K(X) = \text{per } X$ for all X .

Proof. We suppose such a linear transformation K exists. Suppose that K is singular. Then $K(A) = 0$ for some matrix $A \neq 0$. Hence $K(X+A) = K(X)$ for all X . Therefore

$$\text{per } X = \det K(X) = \det K(X+A) = \text{per } (X+A) \quad \text{for all } X.$$

Now note that if P and Q are permutation matrices (i.e. $p_{ij} = \delta_{i\sigma(j)}$, $q_{ij} = \delta_{i\tau(j)}$ for some $\sigma, \tau \in S_m$ where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise) then $\text{per } PXQ = \text{per } X$ for all X . Therefore we may assume that if $A = (a_{ij})$ then $a_{11} \neq 0$. Let B be the following matrix:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -a_{11} & -a_{12} & \dots & -a_{1m} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$B+A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_{12} & 1+a_{22} & \dots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & 1+a_{mm} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly we have

$$\text{per } B = -a_{11} \neq 0, \quad \text{per } (B+A) = 0.$$

This, however, contradicts the fact that $\text{per } (X+A) = \text{per } X$ for all X . Therefore we may assume that K is nonsingular.

Let G (resp. H) be the set of all linear transformations T of $M_m(\mathbb{F})$ into itself that satisfy $\det T(X) = \det X$ for all X (resp. $\text{per } T(X) = \text{per } X$ for all X). It is known [1, 3, 5] that G and H are groups and

- 1) T belongs to G if and only if there exist fixed nonsingular matrices U and V with $\det UV = 1$ such that either $T(X) = UXV$ or $UX'V$ (X' denotes the transpose of the matrix X).
- 2) T belongs to H if and only if there exist permutation matrices P and Q and diagonal matrices D and L with $\text{per } DL = 1$ such that either $T(X) = DPXQL$ or $DPX'QL$.

Suppose T belongs to H . The map K is nonsingular so K^{-1} exists and it is easy to check that $\text{per } K^{-1}(X) = \det X$ for all X . Therefore

$$\det KTK^{-1}(X) = \text{per } TK^{-1}(X) = \text{per } K^{-1}(X) = \det X \text{ for all } X.$$

Hence KTK^{-1} belongs to G . A similar argument shows that if S belongs to G then $K^{-1}SK$ belongs to H . Therefore we may conclude that these two subgroups of the group of nonsingular linear transformations of $M_m(\mathbb{F})$ onto itself are conjugate via K .

We now show that this is not the case and so arrive at the desired contradiction. First note that if T belongs to H we have $T(X) = DPXQL$ or $DPX'QL$, where D, P, Q and L are as above, and $\det DPQL = \pm 1$. Let H_0 be the set of T in H with $\det DPQL = 1$. It is clear that H_0 is a subgroup of both H and G , and the index of H_0 in H is two. Therefore, since H and G are isomorphic, the index of H_0 in G is two. Hence we may choose S in G such that G is the disjoint union of the two cosets H_0 and SH_0 . Further, we know that there exist fixed nonsingular U and V such that $S(X) = UXV$ or $UX'V$. Hence, if T belongs to G it must be of one of the following forms:

- 1) $T(X) = DPXQL$ 2) $T(X) = DPX'QL$
 3) $T(X) = UDPXQLV$ 4) $T(X) = UDPX'QLV$.

Clearly we may choose A and B with $\det AB = 1$ such that A is different from both DP and UDP and S is different from both QL and QLV , for any diagonal matrices D and L and any permutation matrices P and Q . Define $W: M_m(F) \rightarrow M_m(F)$ by $W(X) = AXB$; then W belongs to G and it is immediate that W is neither of form 1) nor of form 3). Suppose $W(X) = AXB = DPX'QL$; then

$$X' = (DP)^{-1} AXB(QL)^{-1} .$$

That is, we can transpose any matrix by pre- and post-multiplication by two fixed matrices. It is well known [2; p.837] that this is not true. Therefore, W cannot be of form 3). A similar argument shows that W is not of form 4). Hence W belongs neither to H_0 nor SH_0 , so does not belong to G , a contradiction. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. Peter Botta, Linear Transformations that preserve the Permanent, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
2. M. Marcus, Linear Operations on Matrices, Amer. Math. Monthly, 69 (1962), 837-847.
3. M. Marcus and F. May, The Permanent Function, Canad. J. Math. 14 (1962), 177-189.
4. M. Marcus and H. Minc, On the Relation between the Permanent and the Determinant, Illinois J. Math., 5 (1961), 376-381.
5. M. Marcus and B.N. Moyls, Linear Transformations on Algebras of Matrices, Canad. J. Math., 11 (1959), 61-66.
6. G. Polya, Aufgabe 424, Arch. Math. u. Phys., 20, p.271.

University of Michigan and
 University of Toronto