Letter to the Editor: New Observation



Brachial Plexopathy Following Minimally Invasive Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting

Seyed-Mohammad Fereshtehnejad^{1,2} ^(h), Marc Ruel³, Pierre Raymond Bourque^{4,5}, Jodi Warman-Chardon^{4,5,6},

Mario Kontolemos⁷ and Jocelyn Zwicker^{4,5,6}

¹Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada, ²Division of Clinical Geriatrics, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society (NVS), Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden, ³Division of Cardiac Surgery, University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada, ⁴Department of Medicine (Neurology), The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, ON, Canada, ⁵The University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada, ⁶The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada and ⁷Department of Radiology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

Keywords: Neuroanatomy; trauma; electrodiagnostic studies

Up to 10% of brachial plexopathies are iatrogenic, most commonly caused by stretching or compression of nerve tissue during procedure-related positioning such as in cardiothoracic surgeries.¹ Brachial plexus injury has been prospectively reported in 0.5%–9.6% of coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgeries with conventional methods.² Minimally invasive cardiac surgery (MICS) CABG is a technique that has been developed to maximize clinical outcomes and minimize postoperative complications by avoiding sternotomy.³ We report a case of acute brachial plexopathy following MICS CABG.

A 70-year-old male, with coronary artery disease and worsening unstable angina, was noted to have painless flaccid paralysis of the left arm after regaining consciousness from MICS CABG. The surgery was performed through a small left thoracotomy without cardiopulmonary bypass, while the patient was positioned in 25 degrees right lateral decubitus with the left arm abducted above his head. The procedure was moderately prolonged (5 hours) due to technical factors related to the presence of pectus excavatum. On initial examination postoperatively, there was no movement in any muscle of the left arm and areflexia in the entire left upper extremity. The patient had circumferential, multi-dermatomal paresthesia with pinprick and light touch deficits circumferentially distal to the elbow. The examination of the cranial nerves and lower extremities was unremarkable. On day 3, a brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a tiny cortical focus of Diffusion Weighted Imaging hyperintensity in the right parietal lobe. MRI of the cervical spine showed degenerative changes with severe narrowing of the left neural foramen at C5-C6. MRI of the left brachial plexus (Figure 1) demonstrated subtly increased Short Tau Inversion Recovery (STIR) signal at the level of the upper trunk, thought to represent intraneural edema. On day 6, the patient regained 2/5 (manual muscle testing, MRC scale) strength in most left upper extremity muscles except for 4+/5 strength of finger flexion and 0/5 shoulder abduction. He was discharged on day 7, with outpatient appointments in physiotherapy and

occupational therapy. Three weeks later, shoulder abduction was still graded 0/5 and shoulder external rotation 1/5. Wrist extension and finger extension were graded 2/5. Elbow extension was 4while elbow flexion, wrist flexion, and finger abduction had improved to 4+/5. Pinprick sensation remained diminished in the axillary cutaneous territory but was normal in the forearm. Initial nerve conduction studies showed an unelicitable left axillary motor response and reduced sensory amplitude of the left radial and left medial antebrachial cutaneous nerves (Table 1). At month 2, dexterity and motor strength in left upper extremity continued to improve except for marked weakness of shoulder abduction and external rotation. The repeat electrodiagnostic study showed very frequent positive sharp waves and fibrillation potentials in the left deltoid and infraspinatus muscles, with a neurogenic recruitment pattern (Table 1). At month 3, shoulder abduction and external rotation strength grading improved to 4/5 with 4+ to 5 strength in the rest of the upper extremity. By 1 year, the patient had fully recovered all upper extremity function and sensation.

To date, excellent short-term and long-term outcomes of MICS CABG have been reported,⁴ with no previous report of sustained brachial plexus injury. We report the single case of postoperative brachial plexopathy out of nearly 600 MICS CABG procedures that have been performed at our center, with 100% follow-up.⁴ The MICS CABG procedure is enabled by positioning the patient in a right lateral decubitus with the left arm abducted over the head.³ In that position, the upper trunk may be vulnerable to compression⁵ by the posterior bow of the clavicle.⁶ In contrast, the classic brachial plexopathy following traditional CABG with median sternotomy is due to the traction on the C8 anterior primary ramus.⁷

In our case, the electrodiagnostic studies revealed a patchy pattern of involvement with main abnormalities in the distribution of the upper trunk (i.e. deltoid, infraspinatus) and posterior cord (i.e. extensor indicis) supported by the electromyography. The C8 innervated median, ulnar motor amplitudes, and ulnar sensory amplitude were within normal limits. The low radial sensory

Corresponding author: J. Zwicker; Email: jzwicker@toh.ca

Cite this article: Fereshtehnejad S-M, Ruel M, Bourque PR, Warman-Chardon J, Kontolemos M, and Zwicker J. (2024) Brachial Plexopathy Following Minimally Invasive Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting. The Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences 51: 463–465, https://doi.org/10.1017/cjn.2023.240

[©] The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Canadian Neurological Sciences Federation. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

	Distal latency (ms)		Baseline-to-peak amplitude (µV)		Conduction velocity (m/s)	
	Week 3	Month 2	Week 3	Month 2	Week 3	Month 2
(a) Motor nerve						
L-Median (APB)	3.96 (< 4.5)	-	11.6 (> 5)	-	53 (> 50)	-
L-Ulnar (ADM)	3.44 (< 3.7)	-	9.5 (> 7)	-	56 (> 50)	-
L-Radial (EIP)	3.70	4.4	2.4 (> 1.9)	2.4 (>1.9)	-	-
R-Radial (EIP)	2.86	-	3.9 (> 1.9)	-	-	-
L-Axillary (deltoid)	NR	3.02 (< 4.8)	NR	0.5	-	-
R-Axillary (deltoid)	4.38 (< 4.8)	-	10.0	-	-	-
	Distal latency (ms)		Baseline-to-peak amplitude (µV)			
	Week 3	Month 2	Week 3	Month 2		
(b) Sensory nerve						
L-Median	2.86 (< 3.3)	-	24.9 (> 10)	-		
L-Ulnar	3.07 (< 3.2)	-	22.9 (> 5)	-		
L-Radial	1.98 (< 2)	1.77 (< 2)	15.7 (> 9)	13.6 (> 9)		
R-Radial	1.51 (< 2)		35.1 (> 9)			
L-Lateral antebrachial cutaneous	1.98 (< 2.5)	-	5.6 (> 5)	-		
R- Lateral antebrachial cutaneous	2.34 (< 2.5)		8.0 (> 5)			
L-Medial antebrachial cutaneous	2.29 (< 2.6)	1.72 (< 2.6)	3.0 (> 4)	4.5 (> 4)		
R- Medial antebrachial cutaneous	2.19 (< 2.6)		9.9 (> 4)			
	Spontaneous activity		Motor unit action potential (MUAP)		Recruitment	
	Week 3	Month 2	Week 3	Month 2	Week 3	Month 2
(c) Electromyography						
L-Deltoid	NL	2+ Fib 3+ PSW	No units	Few polyphasic	No units	Moderately reduced
L-Biceps	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
L-Triceps	NL	1+ PSW	NL	NL	NL	NL
L-Infraspinatus	1+ PSW	4+ PSW	No units	Few polyphasic	No units	Moderately reduced
L-First dorsal interosseous (FDI)	NL	-	NL	-	NL	-
L-Extensor indicis proprius	1+ PSW	-	Many polyphasic	-	Severely reduced	-

Table 1: Motor (a) and sensory (b) nerve conduction studies and (c) needle electromyography of patient's left upper extremity after 3 weeks and 2 months of follow-up (normal values are mentioned in parentheses; abnormal values are highlighted in bold; corresponding values in the right-side upper extremity were in the normal range, which are not shown all here in this table)

ADM = abductor digiti minimi; APB = abductor pollicis brevis; EIP = extensor indicis proprius; Fib = fibrillation; NL = normal; NR = not recordable; PSW = positive sharp waves.



Figure 1: Short Tau Inversion Recovery (STIR)-weighted coronal MRI of the brachial plexus demonstrating mildly increased signal involving the left brachial plexus at the level of the upper trunk and posterior cord representing edematous changes (yellow arrows).

amplitude is consistent with upper trunk or posterior cord lesion. In upper trunk brachial plexopathy, an asymmetrically reduced (> 50%) or absent lateral antebrachial cutaneous sensory response is expected but was normal in our patient. Plexopathy can cause selective involvement of nerve fascicles, which can explain such electrodiagnostic findings. The consistently low medial antebrachial cutaneous sensory amplitude suggests there was traction on the lower trunk or medial cord and is consistent with the patient's initial distal weakness and sensory loss. The upper trunk localization was confirmed by MRI of the brachial plexus (Figure 1). Increased STIR signal abnormality has been previously reported in stretch injuries of the brachial plexus.⁸

Given the rapidity of the clinical improvement, it is likely that there was a significant element of transient focal conduction block, except in the axillary territory where significant axonotmesis was demonstrated. The clinical significance of the C5-6 neural foraminal stenosis is unclear as the patient was asymptomatic prior to the cardiac surgery and the most severely affected muscles (i.e. deltoid and infraspinatus) are principally supplied by the C5 nerve roots.⁹ The absence of pain makes acute brachial neuritis unlikely.

Even with less-invasive robotic/laparoscopic techniques, measures to lower the incidence of iatrogenic peripheral nerve injuries include monitoring of patient positioning throughout the procedure, keeping the head and neck in a neutral position, limiting arm abduction, extension, and external rotation in the supine position, properly padding the shoulders during positioning, and if available, continuous monitoring of the somatosensory potential.⁵ All measures had been performed in the reported patient with, nevertheless, a poor initial neurological outcome followed by complete resolution. In conclusion, brachial plexopathies are much rarer after MICS CABG compared to CABG with median sternotomy. Due to the abducted position in MICS CABG, the brachial plexopathy may affect the upper plexus predominantly rather than the C8 anterior ramus, as seen post sternotomy. The ultimate outcome of brachial plexopathies post MICS CABG will depend on the degree of demyelination and axon loss. In this case, there was an excellent functional recovery, as has been previously reported for the vast majority of patients with brachial plexopathies after CABG.¹⁰

Data Availability Statement. The data that support the findings of this case study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the study patient, who provided verbal consent for all aspects of the publication.

Statement of Authorship. SMF, JZ, and MR were involved in the clinical assessment of the case. Data collection was done by SMF and JZ. MK assessed neuroimaging investigations. SMF, JZ, PB, and JW interpreted the electrodiagnostic studies. All authors (SMF, MR, PB, JW, MK, and JZ) were involved in drafting of the manuscript for intellectual content and revised the final version of the manuscript.

Competing Interests. The authors report no conflict of interest directly related to this case report. MR has received support from Medtronic in the Minimally Invasive versus Sternotomy (MIST) trial. PB has received support for expert testimony from Canadian Medical Protective Association.

Ethical Publication Statement. We confirm we have read the journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

References

- Desai KR, Nemcek AA Jr. Iatrogenic brachial plexopathy due to improper positioning during radiofrequency ablation. Semin Intervent Radiol. 2011;28:167–70.
- Unlu Y, Velioglu Y, Kocak H, Becit N, Ceviz M. Brachial plexus injury following median sternotomy. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2007;6:235–7.
- McGinn JT Jr., Usman S, Lapierre H, Pothula VR, Mesana TG, Ruel M. Minimally invasive coronary artery bypass grafting: dual-center experience in 450 consecutive patients. Circulation. 2009;120:S78–84.
- Guo MH, Vo TX, Horsthuis K, et al. Durability of minimally invasive coronary artery bypass grafting. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021;78:1390–1.
- Winfree CJ, Kline DG. Intraoperative positioning nerve injuries. Surg Neurol. 2005;63:5–18.
- Logan AM, Black MJ. Injury to the brachial plexus resulting from shoulder positioning during latissimus dorsi flap pedicle dissection. Br J Plast Surg. 1985;38:380–2.
- Levin KH, Wilbourn AJ, Maggiano HJ. Cervical rib and median sternotomy-related brachial plexopathies: A reassessment. Neurology. 1998;50:1407–13.
- Tharin BD, Kini JA, York GE, Ritter JL. Brachial plexopathy: A review of traumatic and nontraumatic causes. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2014;202:W 67–75.
- 9. Furukawa Y, Miyaji Y, Kadoya A, et al. Determining C5, C6 and C7 myotomes through comparative analyses of clinical, MRI and EMG findings in cervical radiculopathy. Clin Neurophysiol Pract. 2021;6: 88–92.
- Jellish WS, Oftadeh M. Peripheral nerve injury in cardiac surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2018;32:495–511.