

## EXISTENCE AND NONEXISTENCE OF REGULAR GENERATORS

J. ADÁMEK

ABSTRACT. A total category is constructed which has no regular generator although it has an object  $C$  such that every object is a regular quotient of a copower of  $C$ .

**Introduction.** Given a class  $\mathcal{E}$  of epimorphisms in a category  $\mathbf{K}$ , by a (weak)  $\mathcal{E}$ -generator is meant a small collection  $\mathbf{G}$  of objects such that every object  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{E}$ -quotient of its canonical coproduct w.r.t.  $\mathbf{G}$  (or any coproduct of  $\mathbf{G}$ -objects, respectively). The former means that the canonical coproduct  $\coprod_{G \in \mathbf{G}} \coprod_{f \in \text{hom}(G, X)} G_f$ ,  $G_f = G$ , exists and the canonical morphism into  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Whereas the two concepts of  $\mathcal{E}$ -generator and weak  $\mathcal{E}$ -generator coincide for  $\mathcal{E} = \text{epis}$ , strong epis, extremal epis, we will prove that they do not coincide for  $\mathcal{E} = \text{regular epis}$ . The example we present is very “well-behaved”: it is a total category  $\mathbf{K}$ , i.e., the Yoneda embedding  $\mathbf{K} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\mathbf{K}^{\text{op}}}$  is a right adjoint; thus,  $\mathbf{K}$  is complete, cocomplete, compact, etc.

Let us remark that, on the other hand, the existence of a weak regular generator does imply the existence of a regular generator provided that  $\mathbf{K}$  has regular factorizations or, more generally, has the cancellation property for regular epimorphisms.

*The counterexample.* We define a category  $\Gamma$  as follows:  $\Gamma$ -objects are quadruples  $(X, X_0, X_1, \alpha)$  where  $X \supseteq X_0 \supseteq X_1$  are sets and  $\alpha: \exp X_1 \rightarrow X_0$  is a function such that

$$\alpha(\emptyset) = \alpha(\{x\}) \in X_1$$

for all  $x \in X_1$ .

Elements of  $X_0$  are called *internal*, those of  $X - X_0$  are called *external*; an element  $x \in X_0$  is called *special* in case  $x = \alpha(\emptyset)$  or  $x = \alpha(M)$  for some infinite set  $M \subseteq X_1$  with  $\alpha(\{m_1, m_2\}) = \alpha(M)$  for all  $m_1 \neq m_2$  in  $M$ .

$\Gamma$ -morphisms from  $(X, X_0, X_1, \alpha)$  to  $(Y, Y_1, Y_0, \beta)$  are functions  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

- (1)  $f[X_0] \subseteq Y_0$
- (2)  $f[X_1] \subseteq Y_1$
- (3)  $f(\alpha(M)) = \beta(f[M])$  for each  $M \subseteq X_1$
- (4) for each  $x \in X - X_0$  either  $f(x) \in Y - Y_0$  or  $f(x)$  is special.

Composition and identities are defined on the level of  $\text{Set}$ . We have to verify that morphisms are indeed closed under set-theoretical composition. This follows easily from:

---

Received by the editors August 29, 1991; revised June 2, 1992.

AMS subject classification: 18A20, 18A99.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

LEMMA 1.  $\Gamma$ -morphisms preserve special points.

PROOF. Let  $f: (X, X_0, X_1, \alpha) \rightarrow (Y, Y_0, Y_1, \beta)$  be a morphism, and let  $x \in X_0$  be special. If  $f(x) = \beta(\emptyset)$ , then  $f(x)$  is special. Suppose  $f(x) \neq \beta(\emptyset)$ . Since  $x$  is special, there is  $M \subseteq X_1$  infinite with  $x = \alpha(M) = \alpha(\{x_1, x_2\})$  for all  $x_1 \neq x_2$  in  $M$ . Then for  $x_1 \neq x_2$  in  $M$

$$\beta(\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}) = \beta(f[\{x_1, x_2\}]) = f(x) \neq \beta(\emptyset)$$

implies  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ . Thus,  $f[M]$  is an infinite set with  $f(x) = \beta(f[M]) = \beta(\{y_1, y_2\})$  for all  $y_1 \neq y_2$  in  $f[M]$ .

LEMMA 2 (DESCRIPTION OF COLIMITS IN  $\Gamma$ ). Let  $D: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a small diagram with objects  $Dd = (X_d, X_{d0}, X_{d1}, \alpha_d)$ , and let  $\approx$  be the smallest equivalence on  $\coprod_d X_d$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $x \approx D\delta(x)$  for each  $x \in X_d$  and each  $\mathbf{D}$ -morphism  $\delta: d \rightarrow d'$ ;
- (2)  $\alpha_d(M) \approx \alpha_{d'}(M')$  for any  $M \subseteq D_{d1}$ ,  $M' \subseteq X_{d'1}$  with  $c[M] = c[M']$  where  $c: \coprod_d X_d \rightarrow \bar{X} = \coprod_d X_d / \approx$  is the canonical map.

Then a colimit of  $D$  is formed by the sink of canonical maps from  $Dd$  to

$$\text{colim } D = (\bar{X} + Z, \bar{X}_0 + Z, \bar{X}_1, \bar{\alpha})$$

where  $\bar{X}_1 = c(\coprod_d X_{d1})$ ,  $\bar{X}_0 = c(\coprod_d X_{d0})$ ,  $Z = \{M \subseteq \bar{X}_0; M \not\subseteq c[X_{d0}] \text{ for any } d\}$ , and for each  $M \subseteq \bar{X}_1$

$$\bar{\alpha}(M) = \begin{cases} c(\alpha_d[M']) & \text{whenever } M = c[M'] \text{ for some } M' \subseteq X_{d0} \\ M & \text{whenever } M \in Z. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let us first verify that for each  $d$  the restriction  $c_d$  of the canonical map  $c$  is a morphism  $c_d: Dd \rightarrow \text{colim } D$ . It is obvious that  $c_d[X_{d1}] \subseteq \bar{X}_1$  and  $c_d[X_{d0}] \subseteq \bar{X}_0$ , furthermore,  $c(\alpha_d(M')) = \bar{\alpha}(c[M'])$  for each  $M' \subseteq X_{d1}$ . Let us verify that, for each  $x \in X_d - X_{d0}$ , whenever  $c_d(x)$  is not special in  $\text{colim } D$ , then  $c_d(x) \in \bar{X} - \bar{X}_0$ . If there would exist  $y \approx x$ ,  $y \in X_{d'} - X_{d'0}$  with  $D\delta(y) \in X_{d''0}$  for some  $\delta: d' \rightarrow d''$  in  $\mathbf{D}$ , then the point  $D\delta(y) \approx x$  would be special in  $Dd''$ , and arguing as in Lemma 1, we would conclude that  $c_d(D\delta(y)) = c_d(x)$  is special in  $\text{colim } D$ . Consequently, all external points in the equivalence class of  $x$  are mapped to external points by all morphisms of the diagram  $D$ . Since the condition (2) above concerns non-external points only (recall that  $\alpha(M)$  is never external), it follows that the whole equivalence class of  $x$  contains external points only. Thus,  $c(x) = c_d(x) \in \bar{X} - \bar{X}_0$ .

The sink of all  $c_d: Dd \rightarrow \text{colim } D$  is, obviously, compatible with  $D$ . Let  $f_d: Dd \rightarrow B = (Y, Y_0, Y_1, \beta)$  be another compatible sink. Then the equivalence  $\approx$  is clearly contained in the equivalence merging  $x \in X_d$  with  $x' \in X_{d'}$  iff  $f_d(x) = f_{d'}(x')$ . Thus, we have a unique mapping  $\bar{f}: \bar{X} \rightarrow Y$  with  $\bar{f}(c_d(x)) = f_d(x)$  for all  $d, x$ . Define  $f: \bar{X} + Z \rightarrow Y$  to be the extension of  $\bar{f}$  with  $f(M) = \beta(\bar{f}[M])$  for each  $M \in Z$ . Then  $f_d = f \cdot c_d$  and  $f(\alpha(M)) = \beta(f[M])$  for all  $M \subseteq \bar{X}_1$ , and  $f$  is the unique function with these properties. Moreover, for each  $x \in \bar{X} - \bar{X}_0$  we have  $x' \in X_d - X_{d0}$  with  $x = c(x')$ ; thus  $f(x) = f_d(x')$  is either external or special. Thus,  $f: \text{colim } D \rightarrow B$  is a morphism.

COROLLARY.  $\Gamma$  is cocomplete, and for each coproduct in  $\Gamma$  the only special points are the special points of the individual summands.

In fact, if the diagram in Lemma 2 is discrete and a set  $M \subseteq \bar{X}_0$  fulfils  $\bar{\alpha}(M) = \bar{\alpha}(\{m_1, m_2\})$  for all  $m_1 \neq m_2$  in  $M$ , then, obviously,  $M \in Z$  (else there exist  $m_1 \neq m_2$  in  $M$  with  $\{m_1, m_2\} \in Z$ ). Thus,  $M = c(M')$  for some  $M' \subseteq X_{d0}$ , and  $\alpha_d(M')$  is a special point of  $Dd$ .

THEOREM. The category  $\Gamma$  has no regular generator, although each object is a regular quotient of a copower of the object

$$C = (\{a, b, b', c, c'\}, \{b, b', c, c'\}, \{c, c'\}, \alpha)$$

where

$$\alpha(\emptyset) = c \text{ and } \alpha(\{c, c'\}) = b.$$

REMARK. Since the category  $\Gamma$  is cocomplete (by Lemma 2), the existence of a weak regular generator  $\{C\}$  implies that  $\Gamma$  is total—this has been proved in [BT<sub>2</sub>].

PROOF. Denote by  $\Gamma_0$  the full subcategory of  $\Gamma$  consisting of all objects without external points, and define a functor

$$U: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_0$$

by

$$U(X, X_0, X_1, \alpha) = (X_0, X_0, X_1, \alpha); \quad Uf = f/X_0.$$

I. Let us prove that each object  $K = (X, X_0, X_1, \alpha)$  is a regular quotient of a copower of  $C$ . It is obvious that

$$K = K_0 + K_1$$

where

$$K_0 = UK$$

and

$$K_1 = (X, \{\alpha(\emptyset)\}, \{\alpha(\emptyset)\}, \emptyset \mapsto \alpha(\emptyset)).$$

Thus, it is obviously sufficient to prove that both  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  are regular quotients of copowers of  $C$ .

(a) Let us first verify that a morphism  $f: A \rightarrow A'$  in  $\Gamma$  with  $A' \in \Gamma_0$  is a regular epimorphism whenever both  $f$  and the domain-codomain restriction of  $f$  to “ $X_1$ -type” points are onto. More precisely, put  $A = (X, X_0, X_1, \alpha)$  and  $A' = (X', X'_0, X'_1, \alpha')$ . Suppose  $X' = X'_0$  and  $f(X) = X', f(X_1) = X'_1$ . Then  $f$  is a regular epimorphism in  $\Gamma$ . In fact, the kernel set  $\ker f \subseteq X \times X$  of  $f$  defines a subobject of  $A \times A$ :

$$E = (\ker f, (X_0 \times X_0) \cap \ker f, (X_1 \times X_1) \cap \ker f, \alpha^*)$$

where for the projections  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  of  $X \times X$  we have

$$\alpha^*(M) = (\alpha \cdot \pi_1[M], \alpha \cdot \pi_2[M]) \quad \text{for all } M.$$

It is easy to see that  $\pi_1, \pi_2: E \rightarrow A$  are morphisms of  $\Gamma$ , and of course  $f \cdot \pi_1 = f \cdot \pi_2$ . Now for each morphism

$$\bar{f}: A \rightarrow \bar{A} = (\bar{X}, \bar{X}_0, \bar{X}_1, \bar{\alpha})$$

with  $\bar{f} \cdot \pi_1 = \bar{f} \cdot \pi_2$  we have (since  $f$  is onto) a unique map  $g: \ker f \rightarrow \bar{X}$  with  $\bar{f} = g \cdot f$ . This is a morphism  $g: A' \rightarrow \bar{A}$  of  $\Gamma$  because

$$g[X'_i] = g \cdot f[X_i] = \bar{f}[X_i] \subseteq \bar{X}_i \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1$$

and given  $M' \subseteq X'_0 = f[X_0]$  there exists  $M \subseteq X_0$  with  $M' = f[M]$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha'(M')) &= g(\alpha'(f[M])) \\ &= g(f(\alpha[M])) \\ &= \bar{f}(\alpha[M]) \\ &= \bar{\alpha}(\bar{f}[M]) \\ &= \bar{\alpha}(g[M']). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $f$  is a regular epimorphism in  $\Gamma$ .

We now prove that  $K_0$  is a regular quotient of a copower of  $C$ . In fact, the canonical morphism

$$f: \coprod_{h \in \text{hom}(C, K_0)} C \rightarrow K_0$$

is onto, since for each  $x \in X_0$  we have a morphism

$$h: C \rightarrow K_0, \quad h(b') = x, \quad h(-) = \alpha(\emptyset) \text{ otherwise.}$$

Its restriction to  $X_1$ -type points is also onto, since for each  $x \in X_1$  we have a morphism  $h: C \rightarrow K_0$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h(c') &= x \\ h(b) &= \alpha(\{x, \alpha(\emptyset)\}) \\ h(-) &= \alpha(\emptyset) \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $f$  is a regular epimorphism.

II.  $\Gamma$  DOES NOT HAVE A REGULAR GENERATOR. Suppose that, to the contrary,  $\mathbf{G}$  is a regular generator of  $\Gamma$ . We derive a contradiction by exhibiting, for each cardinal  $n$ , an object in  $\mathbf{G}$  of cardinality at least  $n$ . If all  $\mathbf{G}$ -objects would lie in  $\Gamma_0$ , then their coproducts would also lie in  $\Gamma_0$ , and this is impossible. Thus, some  $G_0 \in \mathbf{G}$  has external points.

For each infinite cardinal  $n$  define an object

$$D_n = (n, n, n, \delta_n)$$

$$\delta_n(M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{card } M \neq n, \text{ card } M \neq 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } \text{card } M = n \text{ or } \text{card } M = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then 1 is a special point of  $D_n$ . Thus, we have the following morphism  $f: G_0 \rightarrow D_n$ :  $f$  maps all external points to 1 and all internal ones to 0. Consider the canonical morphism  $c$  of the canonical coproduct of  $D_n$  w.r.t.  $\mathbf{G}$  which, by assumption, is a coequalizer of some pair  $g, g'$ :

$$B \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{g'} \end{array} \coprod_{i \in I} G_i \xrightarrow{c} D_n, \quad G_i = (X_i, X_{i0}, X_{i1}, \alpha_i).$$

Since  $f: G_0 \rightarrow D_n$  is one of the components of  $c$ , there exists  $j \in J$  with  $c(x) = 1$  for some external point  $x$  of  $G_j$ . Since 1 is internal in  $D_n$ , we claim that there exists  $y$  in  $B$  such that  $c(x) = c(g(y)) = c(g'(y))$  and one of the points  $g(y), g'(y)$  is external whereas the other one is internal. (In fact, suppose that no such  $y$  exists. By the description of colimits in Lemma 2 it then follows that the  $\approx$ -class of  $x$  contains only external points; thus,  $c(x)$  is an external point of the colimit, *i.e.*, of  $D_n$ —a contradiction.) It follows that  $y$  is an external point of  $B$ . Consequently, one of the points  $g(y), g'(y)$  is special in  $\coprod_{i \in I} G_i$ . By the above Corollary, we thus have a special point  $z \in G_{i_0}$  for some  $i_0 \in I$  with  $c(z) = 1$ . Since  $1 \neq \alpha(\emptyset)$ , it follows that there exists an infinite set  $M \subseteq X_{i_01}$  with  $\alpha(M) = \alpha(\{m_1, m_2\}) = z$  for all  $m_1, m_2 \in M, m_1 \neq m_2$ . Consequently, in  $D_n$  we have

$$1 = \delta_n(c[M]) = \delta_n(\{c(m_1), c(m_2)\}).$$

Since  $\delta(\{x\}) = 0$ , we conclude  $c(m_1) \neq c(m_2)$ , *i.e.*,  $c$  is one-to-one when restricted to  $M$ . Thus,  $c[M]$  is an infinite set which is mapped by  $\delta_n$  to 1—consequently,  $\text{card } c[M] = n$ . This proves that  $G_{i_0}$  has at least  $n$  points, which concludes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [AHR] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich and J. Reiterman, *Completeness almost implies cocompleteness*, Proc. of Categorical Topology, Prague, 1988, World Scient. Publ., Singapore, 1989.
- [AHS] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich and G. E. Strecker, *Abstract and concrete categories*, Wiley-Interscience Publ., New York, 1990.
- [BT<sub>1</sub>] R. Böger and W. Tholen, *Strong, regular and dense generators*, Cahiers Topol. Géom. Différentielle, to appear.
- [BT<sub>2</sub>] ———, *Total categories and solid functors*, Canad. J. Math. **42**(1990), 213–229.
- [BT<sub>3</sub>] ———, *Totality of colimit closures*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **32**(1991), 749–759.
- [D] B. J. Day, *Further criteria for totality*, Cahiers Topol. Géom. Différentielle **28**(1987), 77–78.
- [GU] P. Gabriel and P. Ulmer, *Lokal präsentierbare Kategorien*, Springer Lecture Notes In Math. **221**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [K] G. M. Kelly, *A survey of totality for enriched and ordinary categories*, Cahiers Topol. Géom. Différentielle **27**(1986), 109–131.
- [T] W. Tholen, *Note on total categories*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **21**(1980), 169–173.
- [TRA] V. Trnková, J. Rosický and J. Adámek, *Unexpected properties of locally presentable categories*, Algebra Universalis **27**(1990), 153–170.

Czech Technical University  
Technická 2  
Praha 6  
Czechoslovakia