

ON SETS OF CONSISTENT ARCS IN A TOURNAMENT

P. Erdős and J. W. Moon

(received August 30, 1964)

1. Introduction. A (round-robin) tournament T_n consists of n nodes u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n such that each pair of distinct nodes u_i and u_j is joined by one of the (oriented) arcs $\overrightarrow{u_i u_j}$ or $\overrightarrow{u_j u_i}$. The arcs in some set S are said to be consistent if it is possible to relabel the nodes of the tournament in such a way that if the arc $\overrightarrow{u_i u_j}$ is in S then $i > j$. (This is easily seen to be equivalent to requiring that the tournament contains no oriented cycles composed entirely of arcs of S .) Sets of consistent arcs are of interest, for example, when the tournament represents the outcome of a paired-comparison experiment [1]. The object in this note is to obtain bounds for $f(n)$, the greatest integer k such that every tournament T_n contains a set of k consistent arcs.

2. A lower bound for $f(n)$. In this section we show that, for all positive integers n ,

$$(1) \quad f(n) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

where, as usual, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding x .

This is trivially true when $n = 1$; suppose it has been established for all n such that $1 \leq n \leq m - 1$, and consider any tournament T_m . Since such a tournament has a total of $\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$ arcs, there must exist some node, say u_m , from which at least

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 8, no. 3, April 1965

$\lfloor \frac{1}{2}m \rfloor$ arcs issue. By definition, the tournament defined by the remaining $m-1$ vertices contains a set S of at least $f(m-1)$ consistent arcs. It is clear that the arcs issuing from u_m and the arcs in S are consistent; therefore, appealing to the induction hypothesis, it follows that T_m contains a set of at least

$$\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor \cdot \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor \cdot \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$$

consistent arcs. This suffices to complete the proof of (1) by induction.

3. An upper bound for $f(n)$. In this section we show that for any fixed positive ϵ and all sufficiently large values of n ,

$$(2) \quad f(n) \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{2} \binom{n}{2}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be chosen. In a tournament T_n there are $n!$ ways of relabelling the nodes and $N = \binom{n}{2}$ pairs of distinct nodes. Hence, there are at most $n! \binom{N}{k}$ such tournaments whose largest set of consistent arcs contains k arcs. So, an upper bound for the number of tournaments T_n which contain a set of more than $(1+\epsilon)N/2$ consistent arcs is given by

$$\begin{aligned} n! \sum_{k > (1+\epsilon)N/2} \binom{N}{k} &< n! N \binom{N}{\lfloor (1+\epsilon)N/2 \rfloor} \binom{N}{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \binom{N}{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}^{-1} \\ &< n! N 2^N \binom{N}{\lfloor (1+\epsilon)N/2 \rfloor} \binom{N}{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}^{-1} \\ (3) \quad &= n! N 2^N \frac{(N - \lfloor N/2 \rfloor)(N - \lfloor N/2 \rfloor - 1) \dots (N - \lfloor (1+\epsilon)N/2 \rfloor + 1)}{(\lfloor N/2 \rfloor + 1)(\lfloor N/2 \rfloor + 2) \dots \lfloor (1+\epsilon)N/2 \rfloor} \\ &< n! N 2^N e^{-\epsilon^2 N/4}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality of (3) follows from a simple computation using the fact that $1 - x < e^{-x}$ for $0 < x < 1$. But for all sufficiently large n the last quantity in (3) is easily seen to be less than 2^N , the total number of tournaments with n nodes. Hence, there must be at least one tournament T_n which does not contain any set of more than $(1 + \epsilon)N/2$ consistent arcs. This proves (2), by definition. With a more careful analysis of inequality (3) this argument actually implies that

$$(4) \quad f(n) < 1/2 \binom{n}{2} + (1/2 + o(1)) (n^3 \log n)^{1/2} .$$

It would be desirable to obtain a better estimate for $f(n)$.

The argument employed in the preceding paragraph illustrates the usefulness of probabilistic methods in extremal problems in graph theory, for while we can easily infer the existence of a tournament with a certain required property we are unable to give an explicit construction actually exhibiting such a tournament in general.

4. A more general problem. Let $G(n, m)$ denote an incomplete tournament, or oriented graph, with n nodes and m arcs. Let $f(n, m)$ denote the greatest integer k such that every incomplete tournament $G(n, m)$ contains a set of at least k consistent arcs. If it is assumed that $n \log n/m \rightarrow 0$ as n and m tend to infinity then it can be shown, by arguments similar to those used above, that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n, m)/m = 1/2 .$$

REFERENCE

1. M. G. Kendall and B. Babington Smith, On the method of paired comparisons, *Biometrika*, 31 (1939) 324-345.

University College London