

## CORRIGENDUM

## The syntactic constraint on English auxiliary contraction – CORRIGENDUM

Richard Hudson <sup>(D)</sup>, Nikolas Gisborne <sup>(D)</sup>, Thomas Hikaru Clark <sup>(D)</sup>, Eva Duran Eppler <sup>(D)</sup>, Willem Hollmann <sup>(D)</sup>, Andrew Rosta and Graeme Trousdale <sup>(D)</sup>

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022226725000131 Published online by Cambridge University Press: 28 March 2025

The authors regret the inclusion of two errors in the above article. The two errors concern the inclusion of HVC on page 29, which currently read as follows:

- 1. In short, the historical development of AC and the HVC may have been partly motivated by Haspelmath's 'expectation sensitivity', the speaker's desire to guide the hearer through the syntactic structure (Haspelmath 2023).
- 2. On the other hand, the FVC also has a cost for the speaker because AC has a second function: signalling informality. When the valent is missing, the speaker has to use the full form of the auxiliary, despite its associations with formal writing.

In both these sentences this should say 'the FVC' instead of 'HVC'. The authors apologize for these errors and wish to correct them through this notice.

**Cite this article:** Hudson, Richard, Gisborne, Nikolas, Clark, Thomas Hikaru, Eppler, Eva Duran, Hollmann, Willem, Rosta, Andrew and Trousdale, Graeme. 2025. "The syntactic constraint on English auxiliary contraction – CORRIGENDUM." *Journal of Linguistics*. doi:10.1017/S0022226725000167

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.