Original Article



Estimating *Clostridioides difficile* infection-associated readmission rates: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Taryn A. Eubank PharmD^{1,*} ⁽ⁱ⁾, Kittiya Jantarathaneewat PharmD^{2,*} ^(j), Jinhee Jo PharmD¹ ^(j) and

Kevin W. Garey PharmD, MS¹ (1)

¹Department of Pharmacy Practice and Translational Research, University of Houston College of Pharmacy, Houston, TX, USA and ²Center of Excellence in Pharmacy Practice and Management Research, Faculty of Pharmacy, Thammasat University, Pathum Thani, Thailand

Abstract

Background: The economic burden of *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) is considerable and mostly associated with a high frequency of hospitalizations. Numerous publications have demonstrated that CDI is associated with a higher risk of hospital readmission, but not always a specific rate or attributable to disease recurrence.

Methods: In this systematic review, we describe the incidence of 30-day CDI-associated readmission rates and the effect of active interventions. Three search engines were utilized for the literature search, and a total of 9 studies were included in this review. Hospital readmission proportions from interventional and observational studies were analyzed through meta-analysis with random effects.

Results: Two thousand five hundred and twenty-one articles were identified. After screening full-text articles, 9 eligible articles published between 2002 and 2023 met the inclusion criteria. In total, 132,862 CDI patients were evaluated. Thirty-day CDI-associated readmissions were defined as either an ICD9/10 code indicating CDI admission with a prior admission within the past 30 days (n = 4) or a medical chart evaluation of signs and symptoms consistent with CDI (diarrhea) along with a positive diagnostic test (n = 5) with a prior hospitalization for CDI within the past 30 days. Meta-analysis of observational studies estimated 30-day CDI readmissions were 6% (95% CI, 5%–7%). Three studies evaluated the effect of active interventions to reduce CDI-associated 30-day readmission rates. Two of 3 interventions reduced the likelihood of CDI-associated 30-day readmissions.

Conclusions: This systematic review identified a 6% rate of 30-day CDI-associated hospital readmission. Antimicrobial stewardship efforts and the use of specific therapeutics were shown to reduce these rates.

(Received 8 January 2024; accepted 4 May 2024; electronically published 27 May 2024)

Introduction

The national burden of *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) is approximately 460,000 cases per year in the United States with approximately half of cases attributed to healthcare-associated infection.¹ Worldwide, CDI is the leading cause of infectious gastroenteritis in hospitalized patients.^{2–4} In addition to significant morbidity and mortality, the high disease incidence increases healthcare costs especially in hospitalized patients with cost estimates ranging from 1 billion to several billion dollars annually.⁵ Healthcare costs, especially hospital readmissions, are increased by a high CDI recurrence rate that occurs in 15%–25% of patients given vancomycin, the most commonly used guideline-recommended antibiotic.⁶ However, no national healthcare policies are in use to reduce CDI-associated readmissions. A 30-day readmission rate has been used since the 1980s to inform hospital reimbursement models using the US Medicare inpatient prospective payment system.⁷ In

Corresponding author: Kevin W. Garey; Email: kgarey@uh.edu

*Both authors contributed equally.

Cite this article: Eubank TA, Jantarathaneewat K, Jo J, Garey KW. Estimating *Clostridioides difficile* infection-associated readmission rates: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2024. 45: 1197–1201, doi: 10.1017/ ice.2024.94 this model, hospitals received a predefined payment rate based on diagnosis-related groups which persists to this day. Thirty-day readmissions have also been shown to increase mortality rates measured at 2 years postdischarge.⁸ The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services also apply financial penalties to reduce hospital readmissions for select conditions to incentivize hospitals to use strategies that minimize the risk of hospital readmissions due to the disease state.9 These same incentives could be applied to CDI-associated readmissions. Infection control and pharmacologic strategies exist to minimize the risk of recurrent CDI; however, no policy incentives encourage using anti-CDI recurrence strategies. CDI in hospitalized patients is associated with a higher risk of hospital readmission, but a systematic review of the literature has not been performed. The purpose of this systematic review is to summarize 30-day CDI-associated readmission rates and discuss potential interventions utilized.

Methods

Literature search strategy and study selection

A literature search was conducted in PubMed, ScienceDirect, and EMBASE from their inception date through May 2023. Search

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America.



terms utilized were "*Clostridium difficile*" or "*Clostridioides difficile*" and "hospital readmissions." A filter for the English language was applied. Included studies reported CDI-associated 30-day readmission or rehospitalization. Articles categorized as case reports, case series, letters, editorials, meta-analyses, commentaries, review articles, and conference abstracts were excluded. The title and abstract were screened for eligibility and data extraction was done by 2 researchers by methods previously reported according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidance.¹⁰ Identified manuscripts were further subdivided as observational or interventional to assess prevention efforts for CDI-related readmissions.

Patient consent statement

This study does not include factors necessitating patient consent.

Data analysis

Studies on data of patients with CDI-associated 30-day readmission rates were evaluated. The perspective of 30-day readmissions was categorized as readmission evaluation to the same hospital only, the hospital health system only, or any readmission. Summary estimates of 30-day readmission rates were extracted and verified by a second reviewer. Study quality was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Assessment Scale (NOS).¹¹ The meta-analysis was done using the metaprop program in R version 4.3.1 and RStudio 2023.09.0.¹² Summary estimates were calculated using a random effects model.

Results

Two thousand five hundred and twenty-one articles were identified from the 3 search engines with 448 excluded due to duplication. After screening full-text articles, 9 eligible articles met the inclusion criteria.^{13–21} Seven articles reported data from the United States, 1 was Canadian, and the remaining was an international study that recruited patients from 30 countries. Most studies were observational (n = 6) or quasi-experimental (n = 2). Studies were either single site (n = 4) or multicenter (n = 5). The publication date range was 2002-2023. In total, 132,862 CDI patients were evaluated. The PRISMA flowchart is shown in Figure 1. Study quality NOS scores ranged from 5 to 8 (median: 6). Most (n = 7) studied both community- or healthcare-onset CDI with either primary or recurrent CDI being evaluated for all studies. Patients with CDI were either identified by ICD9/10 codes (n = 4) or a CDI diagnostic test with signs and symptoms (n = 5). In meta-analysis, high heterogeneity was observed in interventional $(I^2 = 84\%)$ and observational $(I^2 = 94\%)$ studies (P < .01, each).

CDI-associated readmission

Thirty-day CDI-associated readmissions were defined as either an ICD9/10 code indicating a CDI admission with a prior admission and CDI diagnosis within the past 30 days (n = 4) or a medical chart evaluation of signs and symptoms consistent with CDI (diarrhea) along with a positive diagnostic test (n = 5) with a prior hospitalization for CDI within the past 30 days. All studies were done in adults with 1 study studying only adults greater than or equal to 65 years. Thirty-day CDI-associated readmission

rates ranged from 5.4% to 12.7% (Table 1). Meta-analysis of observational studies (Figure 2) estimated 30-day CDI-associated readmissions were 6% (95% CI, 5%–7%).

Prevention of CDI-associated readmission

Three studies evaluated the effect of active interventions to reduce CDI-associated 30-day readmission rates (Figure 2). Studies were either quasi-experimental (n = 2) or as part of a randomized controlled trial comparing bezlotoxumab to placebo (n = 1). Baseline or placebo rates ranged from 6% to 13%, and 2 of 3 interventions reduced the likelihood of CDI-associated 30-day readmissions (range: 2%–5%)

Conclusion

CDI affects patient mortality and morbidity and increases hospitalization costs.^{5,22} The 2017 estimates for CDI were approximately 462,000 cases of which 235,000 were healthcareassociated making rehospitalizations a significant CDI healthcare cost.¹ Despite studies investigating CDI-associated readmission rates, a systematic review and meta-analysis have not been performed to calculate estimated rates or investigate prevention strategies. Our study identified an overall rate of 30-day CDIassociated readmission of 7% (95% CI, 5%-8%). These results were consistent between differing study designs, locations, years, and other study characteristics. This study was unable to distinguish between polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based and other testing for C. difficile infection. PCR testing does not differentiate colonization from infection, and thus, it is quite possible that a portion of CDI readmission cases may have been colonized with C. difficile and admitted for other reasons. A critical review of hospitalization and CDI costs estimated attributable costs for CDI that ranged from \$6,774 to \$12,212 (2014 USD) for CDI requiring hospital admission.²³ Readmission rates have been shown to affect hospital financial performance in other disease states.²⁴ In addition to cost containment, readmissions are often considered an easily available measure of quality of care.²⁵ However, distinguishing between planned and unplanned readmissions can be difficult, and accurate data coding can be problematic. This is especially important for CDI in which antibiotics given after hospital discharge can also precipitate dysbiosis of the gut microbiome increasing the risk of CDI. A comprehensive case for economic cost benefits to develop strategies to prevent recurrences was not identified in our review. Nonetheless, in this systematic review, both antimicrobial stewardship strategies in general and the use of therapies known to reduce the likelihood of CDI recurrence (bezlotoxumab) were effective at reducing hospital readmissions.^{13,19} The interventional studies had above-average readmission rates at baseline. Whether interventions in hospitals with an average rate of 6% would be as effective will require further study. Study quality for identified manuscripts was adequate although we did not personally contact authors for NOS scores but rather judged based on information provided. The 2 nationwide studies were conducted during overlapping periods leaving a small possibility of duplication of patients. Patients in these studies were identified by ICD9/10 codes which may not be entirely reliable. The antimicrobial stewardship bundle included appropriate CDI antimicrobial therapy based on local guidelines, discontinuation of acid suppressive therapy if not indicated, and discontinuation of other unneeded



Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection.

antimicrobials.¹³ These data demonstrate that 30-day CDIassociated readmissions are common and modifiable. With a high incidence of disease and the costs of hospitalization, hospital budget holders should invest in strategies that can prevent CDI or recurrent CDI and scale it to invest globally to reduce rates. This would help allocate hospital beds for patients in most need and help allocate resources to CDI patients identified with a high risk of hospital readmission.

In conclusion, this systematic review identified a 6% rate of 30-day CDI-associated hospital readmission. Interventions including antimicrobial stewardship efforts and the use of bezlotoxumab were shown to reduce these rates.

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

Study	Geographic location	Study time frame	Study design	Age	CDI identification	Intervention	CDI readmission rate	Readmission evaluation perspective	NOS score
Miller et al (2002) ¹⁸	Canada	1997	Obs, MC	Adult	EIA or cytotoxin B	Observation	7%	Hospital only	6
Collins et al (2015) ¹⁵	United States	2009–2011	Obs, MC	Adult ≥65 years	ICD9/10	Observation	5.32%	Universal readmissions	6
Eiland et al (2015) ¹⁶	United States	2011-2013	Obs, Sng	Adult	PCR	Fidaxomicin	6.90%	Hospital only	8
Chopra et al (2015) ¹⁴	United States	2012	Obs, Sng	Adult	ICD9/10	Observation	7.80%	Health system	6
Brumley et al (2016) ¹³	United States	2013-2014	QE, Sng	Adult	PCR	ASP for CDI management	6%	Hospital only	6
Prabhu et al (2017) ¹⁹	International (30 countries)	2011-2015	RCT, MC	Adult	PCR or EIA	Bezlotoxumab vs placebo	11.20%	Universal readmissions	6
Verheyen et al (2019) ²¹	United States	2013	Obs, MC	Adult	ICD9/10	Observation	5.70%	Universal readmissions	6
Sharma et al (2021) ²⁰	United States	2017	Obs, MC	Adult	ICD9/10	Observation	6.90%	Universal readmissions	5
McDaniel et al (2023) ¹⁷	United States	2016-2020	QE, Sng	Adult	GDH followed by toxin EIA or PCR	CDI treatment pathway	12.70%	Hospital only	6

Obs, observational study; MC, multicenter study; Sng, single-site study; RCT, randomized controlled trial; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; GDH, glutamate dehydrogenase; EIA, enzyme immunoassay; ASP, antimicrobial stewardship program; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Assessment Scale.

Study	Study Type	Treatment		Proportion	95%-CI
Interventional Brumley et al (2016) Brumley et al (2016) McDaniel et al (2023) McDaniel et al (2023) Prabhu et al (2017) Prabhu et al (2017) Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 84\%$, p	Interventional Interventional Interventional Interventional Interventional Interventional	SOC Antimicrobial stewardship SOC CDI treatment pathway Placebo Bezlotoxumab		0.06 0.02 0.13 0.05 0.11 0.05 0.07	[0.03; 0.13] [0.00; 0.09] [0.09; 0.18] [0.02; 0.09] [0.09; 0.14] [0.04; 0.07] [0.04; 0.12]
Observational Chopra et al (2015) Collins et al (2015) Eiland et al (2015) Miller et al (2002) Sharma et al (2021) Verheyen et al (2019) Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 94\%$, p Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 91\%$, p	Observational Observational Observational Observational Observational Observational < 0.01	SOC SOC Fidaxomicin SOC SOC SOC	0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.1 0.12 0	0.08 0.05 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.07 1	[0.06; 0.10] [0.05; 0.06] [0.03; 0.17] [0.04; 0.11] [0.07; 0.07] [0.05; 0.06] [0.05; 0.08]

Figure 2. Forest plot for 30-day CDI-associated hospital readmission. Note: CDI, Clostridioides difficile infection.

Author contributions. Conceptualization: K. W. G., T. A. E., K. J., and J. J. Methodology: K. W. G., T. A. E., K. J., and J. J. Investigation: K. W. G., T. A. E., K. J., and J. J. Visualization: K. W. G., T. A. E., K. J., and J. J. Funding acquisition: K. W. G.
Project administration: K. W. G.
Supervision: K. W. G.
Writing—original draft: T. A. E., K. J., and J. J. Writing—review and editing: K. W. G., T. A. E., K. J., and J. J.

Financial support. This work was supported, in part, by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), T32 AI141349 and R01AI139261.

Competing interests. K. W. G. received research grant support from Acurx Pharmaceuticals and Paratek Pharmaceuticals. All other authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

- Guh AY, Mu Y, Winston LG, et al. Trends in U.S. burden of Clostridioides difficile infection and outcomes. N Engl J Med 2020;382:1320–1330.
- Olsen MA, Stwalley D, Demont C, Dubberke ER. Clostridium difficile infection increases acute and chronic morbidity and mortality. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2019;40:65–71.
- 3. Mitchell BG, Gardner A. Mortality and *Clostridium difficile* infection: a review. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2012;1:20.
- Feuerstadt P, Theriault N, Tillotson G. The burden of CDI in the United States: a multifactorial challenge. *BMC Infect Dis* 2023;23:132.
- Ghantoji SS, Sail K, Lairson DR, DuPont HL, Garey KW. Economic healthcare costs of *Clostridium difficile* infection: a systematic review. *J Hosp Infect* 2010;74:309–318.
- Dubberke ER, Gerding DN, Kelly CP, et al. Efficacy of bezlotoxumab in participants receiving metronidazole, vancomycin, or fidaxomicin for treatment of *Clostridioides (Clostridium) difficile* infection. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020;7:ofaa157.
- Guterman S, Dobson A. Impact of the Medicare prospective payment system for hospitals. *Health Care Financ Rev* 1986;7:97–114.
- Shaw JA, Stiliannoudakis S, Qaiser R, Layman E, Sima A, Ali A. Thirty-day hospital readmissions: a predictor of higher all-cause mortality for up to two years. *Cureus* 2020;12:e9308.
- Rinne ST, Castaneda J, Lindenauer PK, Cleary PD, Paz HL, Gomez JL. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease readmissions and other measures of hospital quality. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017;196:47–55.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Rev Esp Cardiol* (*Engl Ed*) 2021;74:790–799.
- Lo CK, Mertz D, Loeb M. Newcastle-Ottawa scale: comparing reviewers' to authors' assessments. BMC Med Res Methodol 2014;14:45.

- 12. R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
- Brumley PE, Malani AN, Kabara JJ, Pisani J, Collins CD. Effect of an antimicrobial stewardship bundle for patients with *Clostridium difficile* infection. J Antimicrob Chemother 2016;71:836–840.
- 14. Chopra T, Neelakanta A, Dombecki C, et al. Burden of Clostridium difficile infection on hospital readmissions and its potential impact under the Hospital Readmission Reduction Program. Am J Infect Control 2015;43: 314–317.
- Collins CE, Ayturk MD, Anderson FA, Jr., Santry HP. Predictors and outcomes of readmission for *Clostridium difficile* in a national sample of Medicare beneficiaries. J Gastrointest Surg 2015;19:88–99.
- Eiland EH, 3rd, Sawyer AJ, Massie NL. Fidaxomicin use and clinical outcomes for *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea. *Infect Dis Clin Pract* (*Baltim Md*) 2015;23:32–35.
- McDaniel LF, White MN, Obi EN, et al. Clinical and economic outcomes after implementation of a fidaxomicin treatment optimization and access pathway at a US hospital system. *Infect Dis Ther* 2023;12:95–107.
- Miller MA, Hyland M, Ofner-Agostini M, Gourdeau M, Ishak M, Canadian Hospital Epidemiology Committee. Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance P. Morbidity, mortality, and healthcare burden of nosocomial *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea in Canadian hospitals. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2002;23:137–140.
- 19. Prabhu VS, Cornely OA, Golan Y, *et al.* Thirty-day readmissions in hospitalized patients who received bezlotoxumab With antibacterial drug treatment for *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017;65: 1218–1221.
- Sharma S, Weissman S, Walradt T, et al. Readmission, healthcare consumption, and mortality in *Clostridioides difficile* infection hospitalizations: a nationwide cohort study. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2021;36: 2629–2635.
- Verheyen E, Dalapathi V, Arora S, et al. High 30-day readmission rates associated with Clostridium difficile infection. Am J Infect Control 2019; 47:922–927.
- Hengel RL, Schroeder CP, Jo J, et al. Recurrent Clostridioides difficile infection worsens anxiety-related patient-reported quality of life. J Patient Rep Outcomes 2022;6:49.
- Gabriel L, Beriot-Mathiot A. Hospitalization stay and costs attributable to *Clostridium difficile* infection: a critical review. *J Hosp Infect* 2014; 88:12–21.
- Upadhyay S, Stephenson AL, Smith DG. Readmission rates and their impact on hospital financial performance: a study of Washington hospitals. *Inquiry* 2019;56:46958019860386.
- 25. Fischer C, Lingsma HF, Marang-van de Mheen PJ, Kringos DS, Klazinga NS, Steyerberg EW. Is the readmission rate a valid quality indicator? A review of the evidence. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e112282.