

ON A THEOREM OF ARHANGEL'SKIĬ CONCERNING LINDELÖF p -SPACES

R. E. HODEL

1. Introduction. In [4] Arhangel'skiĭ proved the remarkable result that every regular space which is hereditarily a Lindelöf p -space has a countable base. As a consequence of the main theorem in this paper, we obtain an analogue of Arhangel'skiĭ's result, namely that every regular space which is hereditarily an \aleph_1 -compact strong Σ -space has a countable net. Under the assumption of the generalized continuum hypothesis (GCH), the main theorem also yields an affirmative answer to Problem 2 in Arhangel'skiĭ's paper.

In § 3 we introduce and study a new cardinal function called the *discreteness character* of a space. The definition is based on a property first studied by Aquaro in [1], and for the class of T_1 spaces it extends the concept of \aleph_1 -compactness to higher cardinals. (In general the discreteness character and the cellularity of a space are not related; however, the two functions agree hereditarily.) The main theorem is proved in § 4, and Arhangel'skiĭ's problem is discussed in § 5.

Throughout this paper m and n denote cardinal numbers; m^+ is the smallest cardinal greater than m ; σ , τ , and ρ denote ordinal numbers; and $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of the set A . Unless otherwise stated, no separation axioms are assumed. However, paracompact spaces are always Hausdorff and regular spaces are always T_1 .

2. Definitions and known results. We let w , L , h , d , z , c , s and ψ denote the following standard cardinal functions: weight, Lindelöf degree, height (= her. L), density, width (= her. d), cellularity, spread (= her. c), and pseudo-character. (For definitions, see Juhász [12].)

The *metrizability degree* of a space X , denoted $m(X)$, is $\aleph_0 \cdot m$, where m is the smallest cardinal such that X has a base which is the union of m discrete collections. See [10] for a study of this cardinal function. For any T_1 space X let $F(X) = \aleph_0 \cdot m$, where m is the smallest cardinal such that every open subset of X is the union of $\leq m$ closed sets. Note that $F(X) \leq h(X)$ for any regular space X and $\psi(X) \leq F(X)$ whenever X is T_1 . A T_1 space X is *perfect* if $F(X) = \aleph_0$; i.e., every open set is a countable union of closed sets.

In [9] the concept of a p -space [2] was extended to higher cardinals as follows. A collection $\{\mathcal{G}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ of open covers of a space X is a *plumbing for X* if the following holds: if $p \in G_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ for all α in A , then

Received October 30, 1973 and in revised form, April 8, 1974.

- (a) $C^*(p) = \bigcap \{\bar{G}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ is compact;
- (b) $\{\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} \bar{G}_\alpha : F \text{ a finite subset of } A\}$ is a “base” for $C^*(p)$ in the sense that given any open set R containing $C^*(p)$, there is a finite subset F of A such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} \bar{G}_\alpha \subseteq R$.

(See [9] for a proof that every regular space has a plumbing.) For a regular space X , the *plumbing degree* of X , denoted $p(X)$, is $\aleph_0 \cdot m$, where m is the smallest cardinal such that X has a plumbing $\{\mathcal{G}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ with $|A| = m$. The definition of a plumbing for X is based on an internal characterization of p -spaces given by Burke [5], and from Burke’s theorem it follows that a Tychonoff space X is a p -space if and only if $p(X) = \aleph_0$. For any regular space X we write $pp(X) = \sup\{p(Y) : Y \subseteq X\}$.

According to Arhangel’skiĭ [3] a collection \mathcal{N} of subsets of a space X is a *net* if given any point p in X and any neighborhood R of p , there is some N in \mathcal{N} such that $p \in N \subseteq R$. The *net weight* of a space X , denoted $n(X)$, is $\aleph_0 \cdot m$, where m is the smallest cardinal such that X has a net of cardinality m . It is easy to check that $d(X) \leq n(X)$, $L(X) \leq n(X)$, and $n(X) \leq w(X)$. A space X has a countable net if and only if $n(X) = \aleph_0$.

Let X be a set, and let \mathcal{S} be a cover of X . The cover \mathcal{S} is said to be *separating* if given any two distinct points p and q in X , there is some S in \mathcal{S} such that $p \in S, q \notin S$. For p in X , the *order of p with respect to \mathcal{S}* , denoted $\text{ord}(p, \mathcal{S})$, is the cardinality of the set $\{S \text{ in } \mathcal{S} : p \in S\}$.

For a T_1 space X , the *point separating weight* of X , denoted $psw(X)$, is $\aleph_0 \cdot m$, where m is the smallest cardinal such that X has a separating open cover \mathcal{S} with $\text{ord}(p, \mathcal{S}) \leq m$ for all p in X . Note that $psw(X) \leq n(X)$, and that $psw(X) = \aleph_0$ if and only if X has a point-countable separating open cover. (See [15; 18].) In [9] it is proved that $w(X) = L(X) \cdot p(X) \cdot psw(X)$ for any regular space X .

For any space X the *point weight* of X , denoted $pw(X)$, is $\aleph_0 \cdot m$, where m is the smallest cardinal such that X has a base \mathcal{B} with $\text{ord}(p, \mathcal{B}) \leq m$ for all p in X . Clearly $pw(X) \leq w(X)$ for any space X , $psw(X) \leq pw(X)$ whenever X is T_1 , and $pw(X) = \aleph_0$ if and only if X has a point-countable base.

3. The discreteness character. The *discreteness character* of a space X , denoted $\Delta(X)$, is $\aleph_0 \cdot m$, where

$$m = \sup\{|\mathcal{F}| : \mathcal{F} \text{ is a discrete collection of non-empty closed sets in } X\}.$$

We also write $\Delta\Delta(X) = \sup\{\Delta(Y) : Y \subseteq X\}$. Note that for any space X , $\Delta(X) \leq L(X)$, $\Delta\Delta(X) = s(X)$, and $w(X) = m(X) \cdot \Delta(X)$. As for characterizations and other basic properties of $\Delta(X)$, we have the following propositions.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let X be a T_1 space, let n be an infinite cardinal.*

- (1) $\Delta(X) = \aleph_0 \cdot \sup\{|\mathcal{F}| : \mathcal{F} \text{ is a locally finite collection of non-empty closed sets in } X\}$;
- (2) $\Delta(X) = \aleph_0 \cdot \sup\{|Y| : Y \subseteq X \text{ and every subset of } Y \text{ is closed in } X\}$;
- (3) $\Delta(X) \leq n$ if and only if every subset of X of cardinality $> n$ has a limit point.

Proof. We prove (1) only. Let $\Delta^*(X)$ denote the right hand side of (1). Clearly $\Delta(X) \leq \Delta^*(X)$. Assume, then, that $\Delta(X) = m$, and let us show that $\Delta^*(X) \leq m$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ be a locally finite collection of closed sets in X such that $F_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all α in A and $F_\alpha \neq F_\beta$ whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$. Suppose $|A| > m$. For each α in A pick $x_\alpha \in F_\alpha$. By Zorn's lemma, there is a subset B of A which is maximal with respect to the property that if α and β are any two distinct elements of B , then $x_\alpha \neq x_\beta$. By the maximality of B , the point finiteness of \mathcal{F} , and the assumption that $|A| > m$, one can conclude that $|B| > m$. Then $\{\{x_\alpha\} : \alpha \text{ in } B\}$ is a discrete collection of non-empty closed sets in X such that $|B| > m$ and $\{x_\alpha\} \neq \{x_\beta\}$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. This contradicts $\Delta(X) = m$. Hence $|A| \leq m$, from which it follows that $\Delta^*(X) \leq m$.

Remark 3.2. Recall that a space is \aleph_1 -compact if every uncountable subset has a limit point. By the above proposition, a T_1 -space X is \aleph_1 -compact if and only if $\Delta(X) = \aleph_0$. In addition, a space X satisfies property (*) in [1] if and only if $\Delta(X) = \aleph_0$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let X be a T_1 -space. Then $s(X) \leq \Delta(X) \cdot F(X)$. In particular, every perfect T_1 \aleph_1 -compact space hereditarily satisfies the countable chain condition.*

Proof. Let $\Delta(X) \cdot F(X) = m$, let D be a discrete subspace of X , and let us show that $|D| \leq m$. For each p in D let V_p be an open neighborhood of p such that $V_p \cap (D - \{p\}) = \emptyset$, and let $W = \cup\{V_p : p \text{ in } D\}$. Now W is open and $F(X) \leq m$, so $W = \cup\{H_\sigma : 0 \leq \sigma < m\}$, where each H_σ is a closed set. For each $\sigma < m$ let $K_\sigma = H_\sigma \cap D$, and note that $D = \cup\{K_\sigma : 0 \leq \sigma < m\}$. The proof is complete if we can show that $|K_\sigma| \leq m$ for each $\sigma < m$. So let $\sigma < m$ be fixed. Now $\mathcal{F} = \{\{x\} : x \text{ in } K_\sigma\}$ is a discrete collection of closed sets in X . (Let $p \in X$. If $p \notin H_\sigma$, then $(X - H_\sigma)$ is a neighborhood of p which misses all elements of \mathcal{F} . If $p \in H_\sigma$, then $p \in W$ and so there exists q in D such that $p \in V_q$. Thus V_q is a neighborhood of p which intersects at most one element of \mathcal{F} .) Since $\Delta(X) \leq m$, it follows that $|K_\sigma| \leq m$.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let X be a T_1 -space. Then $|X| \leq 2^{\Delta(X) \cdot F(X)}$.*

Proof. Hajnal and Juhász [8] have proved that $|X| \leq 2^{s(X) \cdot \psi(X)}$ for any T_1 space X . Since $s(X) \leq \Delta(X) \cdot F(X)$ and $\psi(X) \leq F(X)$, it follows that $|X| \leq 2^{\Delta(X) \cdot F(X)}$.

Remark 3.5. Suppose X is a perfect T_1 \aleph_1 -compact space. Then by Corollary 3.4, $|X| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$. This result generalizes a theorem of Stephenson [20].

Before proving our next result, we need a generalization of a lemma due to Aquaro [1]. No doubt this generalization is well known, and should be considered folklore by now. However, for the sake of completeness we sketch a proof.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let X be a topological space with $\Delta(X) \leq m$, and let \mathcal{V} be an open cover of X such that $\text{ord}(p, \mathcal{V}) \leq m$ for all p in X . Then there is a subcover of \mathcal{V} of cardinality $\leq m$.*

Proof. Suppose no subcollection of \mathcal{V} of cardinality $\leq m$ covers X . By Zorn's lemma, there is a subset M of X which is maximal with respect to the property that if p and q are distinct elements of M , then $q \notin \text{st}(p, \mathcal{V})$. By the maximality of M , the hypothesis that $\text{ord}(p, \mathcal{V}) \leq m$ for all p in X , and the assumption that no subcollection of \mathcal{V} of cardinality $\leq m$ covers X , one can show that $|M| > m$. Now $\mathcal{F} = \{\{p\}^- : p \text{ in } M\}$ is a discrete collection of closed sets in X . For, let $x \in X$, and let V be some element of \mathcal{V} which contains x . Suppose $V \cap \{p\}^- \neq \emptyset$ and $V \cap \{q\}^- \neq \emptyset$, where p and q are distinct elements of M . Since V is open, $p \in V$ and $q \in V$, so $q \in \text{st}(p, \mathcal{V})$, a contradiction. Thus \mathcal{F} is a discrete collection of closed sets in X with $|\mathcal{F}| > m$, a contradiction of $\Delta(X) \leq m$.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let X be a regular space. Then $w(X) = p(X) \cdot \Delta(X) \cdot pw(X)$. In particular, every regular \aleph_1 -compact p -space with a point-countable base has a countable base.*

Proof. Clearly $p(X) \cdot \Delta(X) \cdot pw(X) \leq w(X)$. Assume, then, that $p(X) \cdot \Delta(X) \cdot pw(X) = m$, and let us show that $w(X) \leq m$. Since $pw(X) \leq m$, X has the property that every open cover has an open refinement \mathcal{V} such that $\text{ord}(p, \mathcal{V}) \leq m$ for all p in X . It follows from Lemma 3.6 that $L(X) \leq m$. Since $w(X) \leq p(X) \cdot L(X) \cdot psw(X)$ (see [9]), we conclude that $w(X) \leq m$.

Problem 3.8. Does every regular \aleph_1 -compact p -space with a point-countable separating open cover have a countable base?

4. The main theorem. We begin by introducing a cardinal function called the Σ -degree. This function extends the concept of a strong Σ -space (see [17]) to higher cardinality. Recall that for X a set, $p \in X$, and \mathcal{F} a cover of X , $C(p, \mathcal{F}) = \bigcap \{F \in \mathcal{F} : p \in F\}$. A collection $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ of locally finite closed covers of a space X is a *strong Σ -net* for X if the following hold for each p in X :

- (a) $C(p) = \bigcap \{C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ is compact;
 - (b) $\{C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ is a "base" for $C(p)$ in the sense that given any open set R containing $C(p)$, there exists α in A such that $C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) \subset R$.
- Before defining the Σ -degree we need the following existence result.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let X be a regular space. Then X has a strong Σ -net $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ with $|A| \leq n(X)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{N_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ be a net for X with $|A| \leq n(X)$. For each α in A let $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \{\bar{N}_\alpha, X\}$. Then, as is easy to check, $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ is a strong Σ -net for X .

The Σ -degree of a regular space X , denoted $\Sigma(X)$, is $\aleph_0 \cdot m$, where m is the smallest cardinal such that X has a strong Σ -net $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ with $|A| = m$. By the above proposition, $\Sigma(X) \leq n(X)$. Note that a regular space X is a

strong Σ -space if and only if $\Sigma(X) = \aleph_0$ (see [15; 17]). We also define $\Sigma\Sigma(X) = \sup\{\Sigma(Y) : Y \subseteq X\}$ for any regular space X .

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem in this paper, namely that $n(X) \leq \Delta\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma\Sigma(X)$ for any regular space X . The basic idea behind the proof is the same as that developed by Arhangel'skiĭ in [4], and can be briefly described as follows. Assume $\Delta\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma\Sigma(X) = m$. First divide X into two subspaces X_1 and X_2 in such a way that every compact subset of X_i ($i = 1, 2$) has cardinality $\leq m$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that $n(X_i) \leq m$, $i = 1, 2$. This is accomplished by showing that X_i is the union of $\leq m$ subspaces, each of which has net weight $\leq m$.

The proof of the main theorem (4.9) requires several propositions. The first of these makes use of the following set-theoretic result of Miščenko (see [7; 16]).

LEMMA (Miščenko). *Let X be a set, let m be an infinite cardinal, let \mathcal{S} be a collection of subsets of X such that $\text{ord}(p, \mathcal{S}) \leq m$ for all p in X , and let H be a subset of X . Then the cardinality of the set of all finite minimal covers of H by elements of \mathcal{S} does not exceed m .*

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let X be a regular space. Then $n(X) = \Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma(X) \cdot \text{psw}(X)$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that $\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma(X) \cdot \text{psw}(X) \leq n(X)$. Suppose, then, that $\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma(X) \cdot \text{psw}(X) = m$, and let us construct a net \mathcal{N} for X with $|\mathcal{N}| \leq m$. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ be a strong Σ -net for X with $|A| \leq m$. Since $\Delta(X) \leq m$, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that $|\mathcal{F}_\alpha| \leq m$ for each α in A . Let \mathcal{H} be all finite intersections of elements of $\cup\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$, and note that $|\mathcal{H}| \leq m$. Let \mathcal{S} be a separating open cover of X such that $\text{ord}(p, \mathcal{S}) \leq m$ for all p in X . We may assume that $X \in \mathcal{S}$, and hence for any subset H of X there is at least one finite minimal cover of H by elements of \mathcal{S} , namely $\{X\}$.

First we prove that $|\mathcal{S}| \leq m$. For each H in \mathcal{H} let $\{\mathcal{S}(H, \sigma) : 0 \leq \sigma < n_H \leq m\}$ be all finite minimal covers of H by elements of \mathcal{S} (use Miščenko's lemma), and let

$$\mathcal{S}' = \cup\{\mathcal{S}(H, \sigma) : H \in \mathcal{H}, 0 \leq \sigma < n_H\}.$$

We are going to show that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}'$, from which it follows that $|\mathcal{S}| \leq m$. Let $S_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, and let $p \in S_0$. Recall that $C(p) = \cap\{C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ is compact. Obtain a finite subcollection \mathcal{S}_0 of \mathcal{S} which covers $C(p)$ and has these properties: (1) $S_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0$; (2) if $S \in \mathcal{S}_0$ and $S \neq S_0$, then $p \notin S$. Choose α in A such that $H = C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) \subseteq \cup\mathcal{S}_0$. Let \mathcal{S}_1 be a minimal subcollection of \mathcal{S}_0 which covers H , and note that $S_0 \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Now $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}(H, \sigma)$ for some $\sigma < n_H$, so $S_0 \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Now let

$$\mathcal{N} = \{H - W : H \in \mathcal{H}, W = \emptyset \text{ or } W \text{ a finite union of elements of } \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Then $|\mathcal{N}| \leq m$, and so the proof is complete if we can show \mathcal{N} a net for X . Let $p \in X$, let R be an open neighborhood of p . Let $Z = C(p) - R$, and note

that Z is compact. (Recall that $C(p) = \bigcap \{C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ and is compact.) We may assume that $Z \neq \emptyset$, since the case $Z = \emptyset$ is trivial. Let \mathcal{S}_0 be a finite subcollection of \mathcal{S} which covers Z such that $p \notin \bigcup \mathcal{S}_0 = W$. Now $C(p) \subseteq R \cup W$, so there exists α in A such that $C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) \subseteq R \cup W$. Then $N = C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) - W$ is an element of \mathcal{N} such that $p \in N \subseteq R$.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let X be a regular space. Then $w(X) = \Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma(X) \cdot pw(X)$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma(X) \cdot pw(X) = m$. Then by the above result, $n(X) = m$, from which it follows that $d(X) \leq m$. Let D be a dense subset of X with $|D| \leq m$, let \mathcal{B} be a base for X such that $\text{ord}(p, \mathcal{B}) \leq m$ for all p in X and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathcal{B} = \{B \text{ in } \mathcal{B} : B \cap D \neq \emptyset\}$, from which it easily follows that $|\mathcal{B}| \leq m$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let X be a regular space. Then $L(X) \leq \Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma(X)$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma(X) = m$, and let $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ be a strong Σ -net for X with $|A| \leq m$. Since $\Delta(X) \leq m$, it follows that $|\mathcal{F}_\alpha| \leq m$ for all α in A . Let \mathcal{H} be all finite intersections of elements of $\bigcup \{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$, and note that $|\mathcal{H}| \leq m$.

Now let \mathcal{V} be an open cover of X , and let us show that there is a subcover of \mathcal{V} of cardinality $\leq m$. Let \mathcal{H}_0 be all elements of \mathcal{H} which are contained in a finite union of elements of \mathcal{V} . Clearly $|\mathcal{H}_0| \leq m$, and so if we can show that \mathcal{H}_0 covers X , it easily follows that a subcollection of \mathcal{V} of cardinality $\leq m$ covers X . Let $p \in X$. Now $C(p)$ is compact, and \mathcal{V} covers $C(p)$, so there is a finite subcollection \mathcal{V}_0 of \mathcal{V} such that $C(p) \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}_0 = W$. Choose α in A such that $C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) \subseteq W$. Then $C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha)$ is an element of \mathcal{H} which is contained in a finite union of elements of \mathcal{V} ; i.e., $C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Since $p \in C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha)$, the proof is complete.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let X be a regular space. Then $z(X) \leq \Delta\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma\Sigma(X)$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma\Sigma(X) = m$. First note, by Proposition 4.4, that $h(X) \leq m$ and hence $\psi(X) \leq m$. For simplicity we show $d(X) \leq m$. (A similar argument can be used to show $z(X) \leq m$.) The technique we use is due to Ponomarev [19]. Suppose $d(X) > m$. Then there is a subset $Y = \{x_\sigma : 0 \leq \sigma < m^+\}$ of X such that for all $\sigma < m^+$, $x_\sigma \notin \{x_\tau : 0 \leq \tau < \sigma\}$. For each $\sigma < m^+$ let $\{V(\sigma, \rho) : 0 \leq \rho < m\}$ be a collection of open neighborhoods of x_σ such that $\bigcap \{V(\sigma, \rho) : 0 \leq \rho < m\} = \{x_\sigma\}$ and $V(\sigma, \rho) \cap \{x_\tau : 0 \leq \tau < \sigma\} = \emptyset$ for all $\rho < m$. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{V(\sigma, \rho) \cap Y : 0 \leq \sigma < m^+, 0 \leq \rho < m\}.$$

Then \mathcal{S} is a separating open cover of Y such that $\text{ord}(x_\sigma, \mathcal{S}) \leq m$ for all $\sigma < m^+$. Now $\Delta(Y) \cdot \Sigma(Y) \leq m$, and so by Proposition 4.2 we have $n(Y) \leq m$. This is a contradiction.

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Let X be a regular space, let $\Delta\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma\Sigma(X) \leq m$. Then the number of compact subsets of X is $\leq 2^m$.*

Proof. First, $h(X) \leq m$, and so every closed subset of X is the intersection of $\leq m$ open sets. By Proposition 4.5, $d(X) \leq m$, and so by a well known result $w(X) \leq 2^m$. (See [12, p. 10].) Let \mathcal{B} be a base for X with $|\mathcal{B}| \leq 2^m$, let \mathcal{V} be all finite unions of elements of \mathcal{B} , and let \mathcal{W} be all intersections of $\leq m$ elements of \mathcal{V} . Note that $|\mathcal{W}| \leq 2^m$. Now let K be any compact subset of X , and let us show that $K \in \mathcal{W}$. First, $K = \bigcap \{U_\sigma : 0 \leq \sigma < m\}$, where each U_σ is an open set. For each $\sigma < m$ there exists V_σ in \mathcal{V} such that $K \subseteq V_\sigma \subseteq U_\sigma$. Hence K is the intersection of $\leq m$ elements of \mathcal{V} , and so $K \in \mathcal{W}$.

The following set-theoretic lemma extends the result found in [13, Chapter 3, § 40, Lemma 2]. The proof is similar and so is omitted.

LEMMA 4.7. *Let X be a set, let m be an infinite cardinal, and let $\{K_\sigma : 0 \leq \sigma < n \leq m\}$ be a collection of subsets of X such that $|K_\sigma| = m$ for all $\sigma < n$. Then there is a subset Z of X such that $Z \cap K_\sigma \neq \emptyset$ and $(X - Z) \cap K_\sigma \neq \emptyset$ for all $\sigma < n$.*

PROPOSITION 4.8. *Let m be an infinite cardinal, let X be a regular space such that $\Delta\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma\Sigma(X) \leq m$, and assume that every compact subset of X has cardinality $\leq m$. Then $n(X) \leq m$.*

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ be a strong Σ -net for X with $|A| \leq m$, and let \mathcal{H} be all finite intersections of elements of $\cup\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$. As noted before, $|\mathcal{H}| \leq m$. By Proposition 4.4, $h(X) \leq m$, and so every closed subset of X is the intersection of $\leq m$ open sets. For each H in \mathcal{H} let $\{W(H, \sigma) : 0 \leq \sigma < m\}$ be a collection of open sets such that $H = \bigcap \{W(H, \sigma) : 0 \leq \sigma < m\}$, and let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{X - H : H \text{ in } \mathcal{H}\} \cup \{W(H, \sigma) : H \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, 0 \leq \sigma < m\}.$$

Note that $|\mathcal{S}| \leq m$.

The idea of the proof is to express X as the union of $\leq m$ subspaces, say $X = \cup\{Y_\tau : 0 \leq \tau < m\}$, in such a way that \mathcal{S} , when relativized to each Y_τ , is a separating open cover. Suppose, for a moment, that this is accomplished. Then for each $\tau < m$, $\Delta(Y_\tau) \cdot \Sigma(Y_\tau) \cdot psw(Y_\tau) \leq m$, and so by Proposition 4.2 $n(Y_\tau) \leq m$. It then follows that $n(X) \leq m$.

The proof is complete if we can construct the required subspaces of X . Define a relation \sim on X as follows: $p \sim q$ if and only if $p \in C(q, \mathcal{H})$ and $q \in C(p, \mathcal{H})$. Now \sim is an equivalence relation on X , so there is a cover $\{E_t : t \text{ in } T\}$ of X by non-empty sets such that (1) $E_s \cap E_t = \emptyset$ whenever s and t are distinct elements of T ; (2) for any two points p and q in X , $\{p, q\} \subseteq E_t$ if and only if $p \sim q$. Now for each t in T , $|E_t| \leq m$. (Let p be any point of E_t . Then E_t is a subset of the compact set $C(p) = \bigcap \{C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$, and $|C(p)| \leq m$ by hypothesis.) Let $E_t = \{x(t, \tau) : 0 \leq \tau < m\}$, and for each $\tau < m$ let $Y_\tau = \{x(t, \tau) : t \text{ in } T\}$. Note that $X = \cup\{Y_\tau : 0 \leq \tau < m\}$, and so it remains to show that \mathcal{S} is a separating open cover of each Y_τ . Let $\tau < m$ be fixed, and let p and q be distinct points of Y_τ . Then there exist s, t in T , $s \neq t$, such that $p = x(s, \tau)$ and $q = x(t, \tau)$. Since $s \neq t$, it follows that $p \sim q$ is

false, and hence $p \notin C(q, \mathcal{H})$ or $q \notin C(p, \mathcal{H})$. First suppose $p \notin C(q, \mathcal{H})$. Then there exists H in \mathcal{H} such that $q \in H, p \notin H$. Then $(X - H)$ is an element of \mathcal{S} which contains p and not q . Next suppose $q \notin C(p, \mathcal{H})$. Then there exists H in \mathcal{H} such that $p \in H, q \notin H$. Since $q \notin H$, there exists $\sigma < m$ such that $q \notin W(H, \sigma)$. Hence $W(H, \sigma)$ is an element of \mathcal{S} which contains p and not q .

THEOREM 4.9. *Assume GCH. Then $n(X) = \Delta\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma\Sigma(X)$ for any regular space X .*

Proof. Clearly $\Delta\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma\Sigma(X) \leq n(X)$. Assume, then, that $\Delta\Delta(X) \cdot \Sigma\Sigma(X) = m$, and let us show $n(X) \leq m$. By Proposition 4.6, the number of compact subsets of X is $\leq 2^m$. Note also that $|X| \leq 2^m$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\sigma : 0 \leq \sigma < n \leq 2^m\}$ be all compact subsets of X of cardinality 2^m . By Lemma 4.7, there is a subset Z of X such that $Z \cap K_\sigma \neq \emptyset$ and $(X - Z) \cap K_\sigma \neq \emptyset$ for all $\sigma < n$.

Let us show that $n(Z) \leq m$. A similar argument establishes $n(X - Z) \leq m$, from which it follows that $n(X) \leq m$. To show $n(Z) \leq m$, it suffices, by Proposition 4.8, to show that every compact subset of Z has cardinality $\leq m$. So let K be a compact subset of Z , but suppose $|K| > m$. Now $K \subseteq X$ and $|X| \leq 2^m$ so $|K| \leq 2^m$. By GCH, we conclude that $|K| = 2^m$. Now K is a compact subset of X , so $K = K_\sigma$ for some $\sigma < n$. But then $K \cap (X - Z) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. Hence we have $|K| \leq m$, and the proof is complete.

Remark 4.10. Consider the above proof for the special case $m = \aleph_0$. It is well known (see [12, p. 33]) that every compact Hausdorff space in which every point is a G_δ has cardinality $\leq \aleph_0$ or 2^{\aleph_0} . Consequently the continuum hypothesis is not needed to prove that $|K| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ under the assumption $|K| > \aleph_0$. This leads to the following corollary of 4.9.

COROLLARY 4.11. *Let X be a regular space which is hereditarily an \aleph_1 -compact strong Σ -space. Then X has a countable net.*

COROLLARY 4.12. *Let X be a regular space. Suppose that X is hereditarily a strong Σ -space and hereditarily satisfies the countable chain condition. Then X has a countable net.*

COROLLARY 4.13. *Let X be a regular space which is hereditarily a Lindelöf Σ -space. Then X has a countable net.*

5. Arhangel'skiĭ's Problem. In [4] Arhangel'skiĭ proved that every regular space which is hereditarily a paracompact p -space and satisfies the countable chain condition has a countable base. He then asked if this result can be generalized in the following natural way.

Problem [4]. Let X be a regular space which is hereditarily a paracompact p -space. Is it true that $c(X) = w(X)$?

In this section we show that the answer is "yes" under the assumption of

GCH. We begin by extending to higher cardinality Arhangel'skiĭ's result that a regular space has a countable base if it is hereditarily a Lindelöf p -space.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let X be a regular space, let $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ be a collection of locally finite closed covers of X with $|A| \leq m$, and let Γ be all finite subsets of A . Assume the following hold for each p in X :*

- (a) $C(p) = \bigcap \{C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ is compact;
- (b) $\{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \gamma} C(p, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) : \gamma \text{ in } \Gamma\}$ is a "base" for $C(p)$.

Then $\Sigma(X) \leq m$.

Proof. For each γ in Γ let $\mathcal{H}_\gamma = \bigwedge \{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } \gamma\}$. Then each \mathcal{H}_γ is a locally finite closed cover of X , and it is easy to check that $\{\mathcal{H}_\gamma : \gamma \text{ in } \Gamma\}$ is a strong Σ -net for X .

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let X be a regular space. Then $\Sigma(X) \leq L(X) \cdot p(X)$.*

Proof. Let $L(X) \cdot p(X) = m$. Then there is a pluming $\{\mathcal{G}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$ for X such that $|A| \leq m$ and $|\mathcal{G}_\alpha| \leq m$ for all α in A . Let \mathcal{H} be all finite intersections of elements of $\bigcup \{\mathcal{G}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\}$, and note that $|\mathcal{H}| \leq m$. For each H in \mathcal{H} let $\mathcal{F}(H) = \{\bar{H}, X\}$. By the lemma above, the proof is complete if we can show that for each p in X :

- (a) $C(p) = \bigcap \{C(p, \mathcal{F}(H)) : H \text{ in } \mathcal{H}\}$ is compact;

(b) if W is open and $C(p) \subseteq W$, then there exist H_0, H_1, \dots, H_k in \mathcal{H} such that $\bigcap_{i=0}^k C(p, \mathcal{F}(H_i)) \subseteq W$.

First note that $C(p, \mathcal{F}(H)) = \bar{H}$ whenever $p \in \bar{H}$, and that $C(p) = \bigcap \{\bar{H} : H \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, p \in \bar{H}\}$. For each α in A choose G_α in \mathcal{G}_α such that $p \in G_\alpha$. Now $\bigcap \{\bar{G}_\alpha : \alpha \text{ in } A\} = C^*(p)$ is compact and contains $C(p)$, so (a) is proved. To prove (b), let W be an open set with $C(p) \subseteq W$. Set $Z = C^*(p) - W$. Now Z is compact, and $\{X - \bar{H} : H \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, p \in \bar{H}\}$ covers Z , so there exist H_1, \dots, H_k in \mathcal{H} with $p \in \bar{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, such that $Z \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k (X - \bar{H}_i) = V$. Let $R = V \cup W$. Then R is an open set containing $C^*(p)$, so there is a finite subset F of A such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} \bar{G}_\alpha \subseteq R$. Let $H_0 = \bigcap_{\alpha \in F} G_\alpha$. Note that $H_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $p \in \bar{H}_0$. For $i = 0, 1, \dots, k, C(p, \mathcal{F}(H_i)) = \bar{H}_i$, and since $\bigcap_{i=0}^k \bar{H}_i \subseteq W$, the proof of (b) is complete.

THEOREM 5.3. *Assume GCH. Then $w(X) = h(X) \cdot pp(X)$ for any regular space X .*

Proof. Let $h(X) \cdot pp(X) = m$, and let us show $w(X) \leq m$. By the previous result $\Sigma\Sigma(X) \leq m$, and since $\Delta\Delta(X) \leq h(X) \leq m$, it follows from Theorem 4.9 that $n(X) \leq m$. Clearly $psw(X) \leq n(X)$ for any regular space X , and so we have $w(X) = L(X) \cdot p(X) \cdot psw(X) \leq m$. (See [9].)

Remark 5.4. Consider the above proof for $m = \aleph_0$. In this case we can use Corollary 4.11 instead of Theorem 4.9, thereby avoiding the continuum hypothesis. Thus we obtain Arhangel'skiĭ's result that every regular space which is hereditarily a Lindelöf p -space has a countable base.

COROLLARY 5.5. *Assume GCH. If X is a hereditarily paracompact space, then $w(X) = c(X) \cdot pp(X)$. In particular, if X is hereditarily a paracompact p -space, then $w(X) = c(X)$.*

Proof. Let $c(X) \cdot pp(X) = m$, and let us show $w(X) \leq m$. By the above theorem, it suffices to show $h(X) \leq m$. So let $Y \subseteq X$ and let us show $L(Y) \leq m$. We may assume that Y is open. Let \mathcal{V} be an open cover of Y . Now Y is paracompact, so \mathcal{V} has a σ -disjoint open refinement $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_k$ (see [14]). Since $c(X) \leq m$, it follows that $|\mathcal{W}_k| \leq m$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and so $|\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_k| \leq m$. It easily follows that \mathcal{V} has a subcollection of cardinality $\leq m$ which covers Y .

REFERENCES

1. G. Aquaro, *Point-countable open coverings in countably compact spaces*, General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra II (Academia, Prague, 1966), 39–41.
2. A. V. Arhangel'skii, *On a class of spaces containing all metric and all locally bicompat spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 2 (1970), 1–39.
3. ———, *An addition theorem for the weight of spaces lying in bicompacta*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 126 (1959), 239–241.
4. ———, *On hereditary properties*, General Topology and Appl. 3 (1973), 39–46.
5. D. K. Burke, *On p -spaces and $w\Delta$ -spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 35 (1970), 285–296.
6. W. W. Comfort, *A survey of cardinal invariants*, General Topology and Appl. 1 (1971), 163–200.
7. V. V. Filippov, *On feathered paracompacta*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 9 (1968), 161–164.
8. A. Hajnal and I. Juhász, *Discrete subspaces of topological spaces*, Indag. Math. 29 (1967), 343–356.
9. R. E. Hodel, *On the weight of a topological space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1974), 470–474.
10. ———, *Extensions of metrization theorems to higher cardinality* (to appear in Fund. Math.).
11. V. Holsztyński, *Hausdorff spaces of minimal weight*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 7 (1966), 667–668.
12. I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions in topology* (Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, 1971).
13. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 1 (Academic Press, New York, 1966).
14. E. Michael, *A note on paracompact spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 831–838.
15. ———, *On Nagami's Σ -spaces and some related matters*, Proceedings of the Washington State University Conference on General Topology (1970), 13–19.
16. A. S. Miščenko, *Spaces with point-countable bases*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 3 (1962), 855–858.
17. K. Nagami, *Σ -spaces*, Fund. Math. 65 (1969), 169–192.
18. J. Nagata, *A note on Filippov's theorem*, Proc. Japan Acad. 45 (1969), 30–33.
19. V. I. Ponomarev, *Metrizability of a finally compact p -space with a point-countable base*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 8 (1967), 765–768.
20. R. M. Stephenson, Jr., *Discrete subsets of perfectly normal spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1972), 605–608.

*Duke University,
Durham, North Carolina*