

CORRESPONDENCE

TO THE EDITOR OF *Philosophy*

SIR,

While, of course, I accept unreservedly Professor Hartshorne's restatement of his own principles in your January issue, I regret that I still fail to find these clearly presented in *Beyond Humanism*. This, however, will add materially to the interest of his *Vision of God*.

I am sorry, too, that he feels my comments to be "dogmatic"; but this is due purely to review limitations, so that I still believe his arguments to be "weighty," though not "conclusive." Nor do I think it useful to count heads with regard to Determinism, however eminent they may be. But since one may cite, against those he names, Einstein and Planck, Rutherford and Lodge, it is perfectly obvious that the issue will long remain open, so that all one can do is to risk being wrong in excellent company.

Yours faithfully,
J. E. TURNER.

THE UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL.
February 7, 1939.