

James MacGregor Burns, Williams College and 1976 Association President.

President Burns delivered an address entitled "Is This the Way to Choose Presidents?" and prefaced his remarks with the following statement on the dedication of the archives:

"I am pleased to present officially today the archives of the American Political Science Association to the Georgetown University Library. The Association's archives, which date back to the founding of the Association in 1903, record the history and development of the Association and the profession of political science. The Association is grateful to this distinguished University and especially its Library for its archiving of this material which will now be available to scholars."

---

### APSA Council Minutes

---

A meeting of the Council was held January 24-25, 1976, at the Washington Hilton Hotel, Washington, D.C.

Present: Benjamin R. Barber, James David Barber, Samuel H. Beer, Leonard Binder, James MacGregor Burns, James B. Christoph, Fred I. Greenstein, Herbert Jacob, M. Kent Jennings, Charles O. Jones, Evron M. Kirkpatrick, Dale Rogers Marshall, Betty A. Nesvold, Samuel C. Patterson, Frances Fox Piven, Nelson W. Polsby, Inez Smith Reid, James N. Rosenau, Mulford Q. Sibley, John E. Turner, Aaron Wildavsky.

### Committee Appointments

President Burns presented the Council with his proposed committee appointments. Patterson moved that the committee appointments be accepted. Binder offered a substitute motion that the role and function of each committee be discussed and the appointments to each committee be voted on separately. The Binder substitute motion was passed by a show of hands vote: 8 for, 5 opposed.

Greenstein moved to accept the appointments to the various awards committees. The motion was unanimously approved. President Burns' nominations to the Nominating Committee, Board of Trustees of the Trust and Development Fund, Administrative Committee, Rules Committee, Election Committee, Program Development Committee, Editorial Board of *PS*, Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom, Committee on Pre-Collegiate Education, Committee on the Status of Blacks in the Profession, Committee on the Status of Chicanos in the Profession, Committee on the Status of Women in the Profession, Committee of Department Chairpersons were discussed and voted on separately. All appointments were approved by a voice vote.

### Problems of Employment of Political Scientists

Sibley moved to alter the order of the agenda so that the items "Problems of Employment of Political Scientists" and "Problems of Federal Support for Political Science Research" would be considered early in the meeting. The motion was approved by a voice vote.

Kirkpatrick relayed to the Council Seymour Martin Lipset's support for continuing activity in this field and his suggestions for action. Following extensive discussion of past activities undertaken by the Association in this area as well as specific recommendations for the future, Sibley moved the appointment of a Committee on Employment Opportunities, composed of members of the Committee of Department Chairpersons and others who would represent various fields outside academic life, which will bring together all of the problems suggested during the Council discussion and recommend a proposal for action to the April meeting of the Council. The Council agreed to adopt the motion in principle, with details to be worked out by an ad hoc committee of the Council which would report back to the Council the following morning.

### Committee on Educational Policy and Programs

John C. Wahlke, Chairperson of the Committee on Educational Policy and Programs reported to the Council on the first meeting of his committee, and the areas in which they plan to direct their attention and attempt to formulate guidelines as to what should and should not be done, and in what way. He noted that the Committee published a report in the Winter, 1976, *PS* calling on members of the Association to communicate with the Committee on ideas. The Council commended Wahlke on the work of his committee.

### Federal Support of Political Science Research

David C. Leege, Program Director for Political Science at the National Science Foundation appeared to discuss the status of political



APSA Archives dedication at Georgetown University. L to R: Evron M. Kirkpatrick, APSA Executive Director; Donald G. Herzberg, Dean, Georgetown University Graduate School; James MacGregor Burns, APSA President; and Joseph Jeffs, Georgetown University Librarian.

science research at the National Science Foundation and other federal agencies. He referred to a full discussion of these issues in an article appearing in the Winter, 1976, *PS*. Leege made a number of suggestions about improving the relationship between the discipline and funding agencies and urged the Council to take action in this area.

Patterson moved that the Council create a Committee on Research Support consisting of a chairperson and four committee members appointed for a term of two years by the President with the advice and consent of the Council. At least two committee members shall be members of the APSA Council. The purposes of the Committee on Research Support are: (1) to monitor support for research in political science provided by the National Science Foundation, the National Endowment for the Humanities, other government agencies (NIH, NIMH, etc.); (2) to more effectively mobilize members of the APSA to get research support by stimulating more adequate justification of support for political research; (3) to maintain communication among political scientists and with funding agencies, congressional committees and key congressmen; (4) to make recommendations to the APSA Council regarding policies and programs to improve support for research in political science. regarding policies and programs to improve support for research in political science.

Sibley moved that the Council approve the committee in principle and that details concerning memberships and budgeting of the committee be worked out the following day. The Sibley motion was approved by a voice vote.

### FY 1975-76 Budget

Kirkpatrick advised the Council that it appears the Association will operate at a deficit again this year. He mentioned that while the dues increase proposed by the Council last year had been ratified by the membership in mail ballot, there was no effective date in the proposal and that the earliest date the office could implement the dues increase was April 1. Patterson moved that the effective date of the dues increase be April 1, 1976. The motion was approved by voice vote.

### Processing Fee for Manuscripts Submitted to APSR

The Council considered a letter from John G. Kessel, Editor of the *American Journal of Political Science*, requesting that the Council approve a \$10 processing fee for each manuscript submitted to the *APSR*, on the following grounds:

"a) the fee would be low enough that it would not stand in the way of an author who wanted to submit his work for review,

"b) it would spread some of the costs of the editorial office equitably among the authors who make use of the office to have their manuscripts sent out for evaluation,

"c) in view of the number of manuscripts submitted, the fee would bring in enough revenue to make it worthwhile, and

"d) all of the foregoing would apply if most leading political science journals instituted the fee at the same time to avoid the problem of competition between 'free' journals and those charging the processing fee."

Jacob moved for adoption of the Kessel proposal. Greenstein moved an amendment that the submission fee be pro-rated based on income. Polsby pointed out that, although he agreed with Greenstein's amendment in principle, it would be administratively difficult. The Greenstein amendment failed by a show of hands vote. Rosenau offered an amendment to the Jacob motion that the Association would impose a \$10 processing fee contingent on the provision of such a fee by the journals of the regional associations. Jacob accepted the amendment. The motion, as amended, failed by a voice vote.

### APSR Backlog

The Council had before it a memorandum prepared by the Executive Director which discussed the backlog situation of the *Review*, specifically, that at the end of 1975 (after publication of the December, 1975, *Review*), the *APSR* editor will have on hand 70 articles that have been selected for publication but not printed. Assuming that (a) *Review* printing costs are kept within the budget (thus limiting the number of pages) and assuming (b) that ten articles are printed in each issue (a fair estimate—based on past experience), it will take seven issues of the *Review* to publish the backlog. On this basis, Nelson Polsby's editorship will run through the September issue of 1977. That is, the *Review* will be publishing articles selected under Polsby's editorship through that issue and Charles Jones, who is now selecting articles, cannot publish any of them before the December issue of 1977.

Binder moved that the Council recommend to the outgoing editor and the incoming editor that they accept the procedure now being experimented with by other associations as outlined in the memorandum from the Executive Director as follows:

"a) Proceed with the March issue (perhaps the June issue as well) on the present basis.

"b) The June or September (1976) issue could then print abbreviated versions of the backlog articles. These would not be short abstracts but would be three to five *Review* pages or approximately 12 to 20 double spaced typewritten pages.

"c) Full versions of the papers would be supplied to all members requesting them after reading the abbreviated versions. Psychology is now in the fifth yearly volume of such a system but they print only one paragraph abstracts and then sell the full versions for \$3.00 each.

"d) If there is a backlog of 60 articles after publication of the March *Review*, it would take, at most, 300 pages of the June *Review* to print the abbreviated versions. This would involve no extra cost.

"e) The cost, therefore, of this alternative would be the cost of supplying the full versions. This involves estimating the number of requests and the cost per request. Estimates of this have been made and it seems likely that the cost would not exceed \$25,000."

James David Barber suggested the possibility of publishing the articles now backlogged for the *Review* in one volume as APSR Annals and selling them. Turner suggested that authors provide the APSR with master copies that are immediately printable. Following further discussion, Binder withdrew his motion. Polsby requested the Executive Director to explore the cost and feasibility of the Turner and Barber suggestions. It was agreed that Polsby and Jones would consider various possibilities and report back to the April meeting of the Council.

### Bicentennial Era Proposal

President Burns, on behalf of the Program Development Committee, moved that the Council:

- 1) establish a committee on the Bicentennial Era, for the period 1976-1989, to include nine persons, three to be appointed for three years; three to be appointed for four years and three to be appointed for five years. Subsequent appointments to the committee would be for three year terms. All persons will be eligible for reappointment.

- 2) authorize the committee to plan an evaluation/assessment of American political institutions and processes that will be carried out by commissions of inquiry. The number and subject areas and membership of the commissions of inquiry will be established by the committee.

- 3) assign the Bicentennial Era Committee responsibility for preparing the full proposal for Council approval.

- 4) approve a request to the Trust and Development Fund for \$5,000 as support for the committee to meet to prepare the proposal.

- 5) expect an annual report from the committee on its past activities and future plans.

Following discussion, Binder offered a substitute motion to return the proposal to the Program Development Committee and ask for greater specificity. Sibley moved an amendment to the Binder substitute motion that the Council endorse the principle of the general direction of the proposal for a Bicentennial Period without any commitment at this point to specifics but instructing the Program Development Committee to bring in specific proposals. The Sibley amendment was passed by a show of hands vote: 15 for, 4 opposed. The Binder

substitute motion, as amended, was passed by a voice vote.

### Federal Research Contract Provisions

Kirkpatrick presented the Council with a letter from Harmon Ziegler concerning a contract for research on educational policy-making from the National Institute of Education containing the following clauses:

"Any presentation of any statistical or analytical material or reports based on information obtained by the studies covered by this contract will be subject to review by the Project Officer before publication or dissemination for accuracy of factual data and interpretation. Written approval of the NIE Contracting Officer is required prior to such presentation."

"Neither the Contractor nor any representative of the Contractor, shall make any statement which could be construed as an evaluation of the Project or of Project activities without written approval of the Project Officer and NIE Contracting Officer. . . ."

Reid moved that the Council go on record as opposing any grant contract language which infringes First Amendment rights and/or rights of academic freedom. The Council further requests its Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom to investigate this matter and make necessary recommendations to the Council. The motion was unanimously approved.

### Undergraduate Education, NSF Funding

Kirkpatrick reviewed with the Council the actions of the September meeting of the Council concerning a Steering Committee on Undergraduate Education to advise on the administration of the NSF grant in this field (see Council minutes, *PS*, Winter, 1976, pp. 33-34). He advised the Council that NSF wanted the Steering Committee to be inter-disciplinary, and that they would approve Paul Peterson from the list proposed by the Council at its September meeting if the Council would approve the appointment of Seymour Martin Lipset of Stanford (political science and sociology) and Charles Edward Lindbloom of Yale (political science and economics). Jacob moved approval of the proposed appointments. The motion was unanimously approved.

### Annual Meeting Program Format

Kirkpatrick proposed that, in order to cut expenses for the Annual Meeting, the program be printed in a tabloid format. There was no objection.

### Committee on the Status of Women

Walter Beach of the National Office staff presented the following request from the Committee on the Status of Women in the Profession:

"The Committee on the Status of Women in the Profession requests authority to affiliate with the Federation of Organizations for Professional Women, a tax exempt organization created in 1972 to enhance the status of professional women. The cost of affiliation would be \$100 a year in dues which would be charged to the budget of the Committee on the Status of Women in the Profession."

Patterson moved that the Council approve the request. The motion was unanimously approved.

### Committee on the Status of Chicanos

Beach presented the Council with a report from the Committee on the Status of Chicanos in the Profession (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes).

Jacob moved that the Committee's recommendation that a Chicano Graduate Fellowship program should be established which would offer at least one funded fellowship and nominate five others as fellows without stipend be referred to the Administrative Committee for discussion in connection with the budget. The motion was unanimously approved.

Sibley moved that the Committee's recommendation that an award for the best essay or work on Chicano politics should be established by the Association to be presented at the 1977 meeting be referred to the Program Development Committee, and that the Program Development Committee be requested to work with the Committee on the Status of Chicanos on securing outside funds for such an award. The motion was unanimously approved.

Sibley moved that the Committee's request for a symposium on Chicano politics, or approaches to the study of it, should be sponsored and published in the *APSR* be referred to the Program Development Committee. The motion was unanimously approved.

The Committee also expressed their concern about the lack of Chicano representation on the Council. Consistent with the request of the Committee, Dale Rogers Marshall was asked to serve as an informal liaison with the Committee on the Status of Chicanos. She agreed to do so.

### Problems on Employment of Political Scientists

Beer, on behalf of the ad hoc committee which had met Saturday night (composed of Beer, Burns, James David Barber, Marshall, Jacob, and Thomas Mann of the office staff) moved that: In light of the serious employment problems facing political scientists and the desirability of helping political scientists obtain non-academic positions, the Council hereby authorizes the National Office staff to proceed immediately with the following actions:

- 1) Prepare a brochure for graduate students and experienced faculty that discusses non-academic employment opportunities and application procedures. Funding will be provided

through the Departmental Services Program.

- 2) Arrange a workshop at the 1976 Annual Meeting (and subsequent Annual Meetings) in which representatives from the public and private sectors as well as the public interest world will be invited to discuss non-academic employment opportunities and application procedures. Special efforts will also be made to have such organizations register as employers at the Annual Meeting placement service. (Similar efforts should be made at regional and state meetings.)

- 3) Use *DEA NEWS* to publicize various innovations in graduate training that have led to successful non-academic placement experiences.

- 4) Use *PS* to feature success stories of political scientists pursuing successful careers outside of academic institutions.

- 5) Distribute the Editorial on Placement that appears in the Winter, 1976, *PS* to chairpersons of all Ph.D. departments with a cover letter informing them of the Council's concerns and actions.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Marshall, on behalf of the ad hoc committee, moved that: In addition, in order to develop more long range responses to the employment problem, the chairperson of the Department Chairpersons' Committee should be asked to designate two members of his Committee to meet with two members of the Council. This ad hoc group, following consultation with appropriate individuals employed outside academic institutions, will prepare proposals for the September Council meeting in the following employment-related areas:

- 1) modifications in graduate curricula,
- 2) public visibility of the social sciences to increase the demand for social scientists outside academia,
- 3) professional-level internships,
- 4) part-time employment,
- 5) part-time retirement,
- 6) implications of shrinking academic market and movement into non-academic careers for the nature and health of the discipline,
- 7) other relevant areas deemed appropriate.

The motion was unanimously approved.

### Report of the Managing Editor-Elect of the *APSR*

Jones advised the Council that he has selected two Co-Book Review Editors, Paul Allen Beck and Robert S. Walters, both of Pittsburgh. He informed the Council that he will present his Editorial Board at the April meeting.

## **Election Committee Report**

Turner reported to the Council on the procedures followed by the Election Committee for the recent election and recommended, on behalf of the Committee, that the Council drop the present procedure for a candidates' meeting at the Annual Meeting and that as a replacement the Council experiment with a new format. A candidates' meeting would be scheduled early in the convention (for example, at noon of the first day) and be cosponsored by all groups nominating or endorsing candidates and by the Election Committee, which will be in charge of the meeting. The cosponsoring groups will advertise the session in their own listings in the program and will publicize the meeting to their own constituencies in newsletters, etc. The cosponsoring groups will also be responsible for seeing to it that the candidates who are of interest to them are invited by letter to attend the session. Later in the day, at a scheduled time, the various groups can meet to make any final decisions on nominations, endorsements, or recommendations they wish. The Committee's recommendation was unanimously approved.

## **Proposed Committee on the Status of Asians**

The Council received a request from Chun-tu Hsueh that the Association establish a Committee on the Status of Asians. Jacob moved that the Council deny the request for the establishment of a Committee on the Status of Asians, but that the Council express the view that it is important for the Association to be responsive to interests and concerns of Asian political scientists, should publish professional notices in *PS*, make space available at Annual Meetings for meetings of Asian political scientists, and provide such other services as it is able to do. The motion was unanimously approved.

## **Committee on Research Support**

Patterson moved that \$2,500 be allocated out of the existing budget for the work of the Committee on Research Support which was approved earlier in the meeting. The motion was unanimously approved.

## **Program Committee Report**

Benjamin Barber, Co-Chairperson of the Program Committee, reported to the Council on the program being prepared for the 1976 Annual Meeting.

## **Publication on Women in Politics**

Marshall informed the Council that at the Presentation of Awards at the 1975 Annual Meeting, Jo Freeman offered to donate her \$1,000 prize for a special issue of the *APSR* devoted to women in politics. Marshall moved to refer this issue to the Committee on the Status of Women and ask them to explore with Jo Freeman and the Eagleton Institute on the American Woman and Politics the possibility of some type of publication on women in politics. Kirkpatrick noted that the Council previously

gave the Committee on the Status of Women the authority to undertake publication of a volume provided an outside publisher or adequate funding could be secured. The Marshall motion was unanimously approved.

## **Grievance Procedures**

Beer moved that the Council re-affirms its commitment to grievance procedures that will effectively protect women political scientists against discrimination based on sex; recognizes the value of current grievance procedures and requests the Committee on the Status of Women to review those procedures with a view to seeing how they can be strengthened and improved.

Piven moved an amendment that the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom also consider the problem in its broader scope. Beer accepted the amendment. The motion, as amended, was unanimously approved.

## **Role of the Administrative Committee**

Piven, on behalf of Binder, moved that: It is the understanding of the Council that the role of the Administrative Committee is restricted to assisting the President in the preparation of the agenda, in recommending the membership of committees, and acting on minor matters or emergency financial matters in the interval between Council meetings. The President may avail himself of the advice of the Administrative Committee in developing policy recommendations, but the Administrative Committee shall not recommend to the Council how it shall vote, nor in any way to interfere with the normal deliberative process.

Patterson moved as a substitute motion that the Council rescind the action of the September Council meeting that the Administrative Committee not make recommendations on policy questions to the Council.

The Patterson substitute motion was defeated by a voice vote.

The Binder motion was defeated 4-11 by a roll call vote, as follows: For: Burns, Marshall, Piven, Sibley; Against: James David Barber, Beer, Christoph, Greenstein, Jennings, Nesvold, Patterson, Polsby, Rosenau, Turner, Wildavsky.

It was the sense of the meeting that the Administrative Committee should not stand between Association committees and the Council but should exercise its responsibilities as defined in the By-Laws of the Association:

- a) Call Council meetings in addition to those called by the Council itself whenever in the Committee's judgment such a meeting is desirable.
- b) Prepare the agenda for each Council meeting.
- c) Prepare the agenda for the Annual Business Meeting.

d) Recommend the annual budget for action by the Council.

e) Make special appropriations from the contingency funds under the conditions stated in Chapter 1, 11.3. (Chapter 1, 11.3. The Administrative Committee is authorized to make internal adjustments in the annual budget and special appropriations from the contingency fund authorized in the annual budget. It shall report on such adjustments and appropriations to the next Council meeting. The Executive Director shall be responsible for disbursing funds within the terms set by the Administrative Committee.)

f) On behalf of the Council, dispose of policy issues deemed of insufficient weight to require decisions by the Council.

g) On its own initiative or as directed by the Council, from time to time commission reviews and evaluations of Association programs and relationships with other organizations.

h) On the recommendation of the President, establish ad hoc advisory committees, advise and consent to the appointment of their members, and fund them by appropriations from the contingency fund.

Kirkpatrick reminded members of the Council that the Administrative Committee is required by the By-Laws to deliver to each member of the Council at least one week prior to each Council meeting a written report of its actions taken under (e) and (h) above, and that the Council shall question and discuss any items in this report it chooses, and no action taken by the Administrative Committee under (e), (f) and (h) above shall become Association policy if specifically disapproved by the Council.

The meeting was adjourned.

Fred I. Greenstein, Secretary

With the support of grants from the Canadian Department of External Affairs and the U.S. Department of State, each Program hosted for a delegation from its counterpart a five-day visit to its capital city. The Canadian interns journeyed to Washington, D.C. during the week of March 1-5 for a series of meetings with officials from the Canadian Embassy, State Department, White House and various news media, as well as numerous members and staff in the House and Senate. A delegation of Congressional Fellows returned the visit to Ottawa on April 12-16. Meetings arranged by the Canadians included discussions with many members of Parliament, Ministry officials and American Embassy representatives. Mr. Alistair Fraser, Clerk of the House of Commons, was especially helpful in facilitating the American visit.

Previous exchanges between the two programs occurred in 1973 and 1975. Participants in the 1975 exchange are listed below:

**Canadian Parliamentary Interns**

- |                       |                   |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Gwenyth Andrews       | Bruce MacNaughton |
| Maureen Boyd          | John McNee        |
| Nicole Bruneau        | Gregory Nash      |
| Danny Butler          | Dianne Pothier    |
| Jeanne-Pierre Gaboury | Leslie Seidle     |
| Peter Harder          |                   |

**U.S. Congressional Fellows**

- |                      |                       |
|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Jalalluddin Ahmad    | Saeed Osman Malick    |
| Daniel B. Davis      | Abdul Kayoum Mansour  |
| Chester W. Douglass  | Robert B. Mauney      |
| Thomas J. Fischer    | Joseph V. Montville   |
| Rodger S. Gabrielson | Edward O'Connell, Jr. |
| Fred Holborn (SAIS)  | Ned A. Ostenso        |
| Jean B. Intermaggio  | Kathleen P. Rees      |
| Henry C. Kenski, Jr. | Peter D. Rosenberg    |
| Eugene Kinlow        | Edward M. Sacchet     |
| Katherine A. Layton  | Carolyn Y. Thompson   |
| Beverly C. Lovelady  | A. James Tinker       |

**Canadian Parliamentary Intern and U.S. Congressional Fellow Exchange**

A highly successful exchange between the Canadian Parliament and the United States Congress was recently completed by legislative interns serving in programs sponsored by their respective national political science associations.

The Parliamentary Intern Program, under the sponsorship of the Canadian Political Science Association; and the Congressional Fellowship Program, of the American Political Science Association, are both designed to provide young scholars, journalists and (in the case of the U.S. Program) federal civil servants a practical educational setting for improving their understanding of the legislative process. Interns and Fellows serve as legislative aides in the Parliament and Congress, in addition to participating in numerous seminars during the course of a year.

**APSA Investments and Securities**

As of May 31, 1976, the Association's investments and securities were as follows:

Bonds	Number	Matures
Alabama Power	10 M	9/1/95
AT&T	46 M	4/1/85
AT&T	30 M	4/1/2000
Columbia Gas Systems	10 M	10/1/90
Ford Motor Credit Co.	15 M	1/15/83
Ohio Bell	25 M	2/1/2006
Public Service Electric & Fidelity	10 M	9/1/95
Tenneco	10 M	9/1/85
Stocks		Shares
American Airlines		15
American Greeting Corporation		1500
Avon		235
Capitol Holding Corporation		1500
Dart Industries, Inc.		787
Eastman Kodak		300
Enviroteck Corporation		1300
Citicorp		850