The Journal of Agricultural

[cambridge.org/ags](https://www.cambridge.org/ags)

Crops and Soils Research Paper

Cite this article: Figueiredo Moura da Silva EH, Cafaro La Menza N, Munareto GG, Zanon AJ, Santos Carvalho K, Marin FR (2023). Soybean seed protein concentration is limited by nitrogen supply in tropical and subtropical environments in Brazil. The Journal of Agricultural Science 161, 279-290. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859623000199) doi.org/10.1017/S0021859623000199

Received: 22 November 2022 Revised: 17 February 2023 Accepted: 22 February 2023 First published online: 2 March 2023

Keywords:

Irrigated; latitude; rainfed; seed quality; water deficit

Author for correspondence:

E. H. Figueiredo Moura da Silva, E-mail: ehfmsilva@alumni.usp.br

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press

Soybean seed protein concentration is limited by nitrogen supply in tropical and subtropical environments in Brazil

E. H. Figueiredo Moura da Silva¹ (D, N. Cafaro La Menza², G. G. Munareto³, A. J. Zanon³, K. Santos Carvalho⁴ and F. R. Marin¹

¹Luiz de Queiroz College of Agriculture (ESALQ), University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP 13418-900, Brazil; 2 Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68583-0915, USA; 3 Federal University of Santa Maria (UFSM), Santa Maria, RS 97105-900, Brazil and ⁴Federal Institute of Mato Grosso (IFMT), Sorriso, MT 78890-000, Brazil

Abstract

Soybean production contributes to ca. 60% of global plant-based protein used for food and feed. Brazil is the largest soybean producer and exporter, with 60% from tropical and 40% from subtropical environments. Nitrogen (N) can play an essential role in the storage of proteins in seeds; thus, it could be a key factor in increasing the quantity and quality of seeds in high-yielding soybean crops. Unlike in temperate environments, there is a gap of knowledge on whether soybean grown under tropical and subtropical climates are limited by N-fertilization to sustain the seed yield increase without detriments in seed protein concentration. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of N-fertilization on soybean seed yield, protein and oil concentrations in tropical and subtropical environments in Brazil, thus contributing to agricultural intensification procedures and food security studies. Two levels of N-fertilization (0 and 1000 ka/ha) were tested across 11 tropical or subtropical environments. The range of latitudes explored here was from 12°S to 29°S, representing the major soybean-producing regions in Brazil either under rainfed or irrigated conditions. We found that seed yield responses to Nfertilization were significant (in some environments under rainfed with an average increase of 7%) or not significant (in irrigated). Seed protein increases due to improved N-fertilization (on average 4% for irrigated and 12% for rainfed conditions) were much higher than previous reports from temperate environments. Regardless of N supply and water deficit, there was a trend of seed protein and oil concentration increasing toward lower latitudes.

Introduction

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] production provides a base for global food security because it is the most important source of vegetable protein, widely used in food and feed products (Beta and Isaak, [2016](#page-10-0); Smárason et al., [2019](#page-11-0); Parisi et al., [2020](#page-10-0); Wajid et al., [2020](#page-11-0)). Brazil is the largest soybean producer in the world, with over 41.5 million ha (based on the 2021/ 2022 harvest) in tropical or subtropical environments, it accounts for 36% of global soybean production (USDA, [2021\)](#page-11-0). It is estimated that the world's population will rise from 7.7 to 9.8 billion between 2017 and 2050 (United Nations, [2017](#page-11-0)); this will require a considerable increase in the quantity and quality (protein and oil concentration) of food production (United Nations, [2017](#page-11-0)). In this sense, with limited new land on which to expand and an emphasis on sustainable systems, increases in soybean production should come primarily from increased production per unit area, requiring sustainable agricultural intensification (Cassman and Grassini, [2020](#page-10-0); Marin et al., [2022\)](#page-10-0).

Seed protein and oil concentration play a significant role in determining the quality of soybean food products (Clarke and Wiseman, [2000](#page-10-0); Friedman and Brandon, [2001](#page-10-0); Li et al., [2021](#page-10-0)). Usually, the soybean quality is measured by the amount of oil extracted and final crude protein concentrations in the soybean meal (Singh and Koksel, [2021;](#page-11-0) Wen et al., [2021\)](#page-11-0). Some soybean importers currently offer premiums for soybean containing higher amounts of protein (Pathan et al., [2013](#page-10-0); William et al., [2020](#page-11-0); Lakkakula et al., [2021](#page-10-0)), while some countries exclude or apply penalties to soybeans from regions when quality requirements are not met. China, for instance, requires minimums of 33.5% of protein and 18% of oil concentration (Hertsgaard et al., [2019](#page-10-0)). Therefore, advances in our understanding of the effects of agricultural practices on soybean seed quality under tropical and subtropical environments can contribute to food security and agribusiness.

Soybean seed yield is linearly related to the total plant N uptake (Salvagiotti et al., [2008](#page-11-0); Tamagno et al., [2017](#page-11-0)). The soybean crop obtains N from the symbiotic fixation of atmospheric N_2 (SNF), soil N mineralization and N from irrigation or water table; not usually relying upon

N-fertilizer application (Herridge et al., [2008;](#page-10-0) Randall, et al., [2008](#page-11-0); Reis et al., [2021\)](#page-11-0). Soybeans derive between 25 and 75% of their total nitrogen from SNF process (Deibert et al., [1979](#page-10-0); Salvagiotti et al., [2008;](#page-11-0) Collino et al., [2015\)](#page-10-0). However, there has been a concern recently about whether SNF would be sufficient to meet the increased N needs for high-yielding soybean crops (Cafaro La Menza et al., [2017,](#page-10-0) [2019,](#page-10-0) [2020](#page-10-0); Basal and Szabó, [2020\)](#page-10-0). These studies were conducted under temperate environments and reported that N-fertilization could contribute to increasing soybean yield. Temperate soils are generally viewed as more favourable to crop production than tropical because of higher nutrient levels (Rosenzweig and Liverman, [1992](#page-11-0); Caubet et al., [2020\)](#page-10-0). In contrast, soils in humid tropics tend to be highly leached of nutrients due to high temperatures, intense rainfall and erosion that accelerate the decay of soil organic matter, resulting in a decreased C:N ratio (Rosenzweig and Liverman, [1992](#page-11-0); Alemayehu et al., [2018\)](#page-9-0). The N-fertilization on tropical soybean has been little explored in scientific papers.

The consistent increase in soybean yield and decrease in seed protein concentration often raise a debate on the need for extra N supply. In temperate environments, with maturity group (MG) ranging from I to IV, N-fertilization on soybean has been widely explored. For instance, Ray et al. [\(2006\)](#page-11-0) and Salvagiotti et al. ([2009](#page-11-0)) obtained seed yield increase between 130 and 438 kg/ha, while Cafaro La Menza et al. [\(2017,](#page-10-0) [2019](#page-10-0)) obtained seed yield increase around 600 kg/ha, and an average seed protein concentration increase of 0.9 g/100 g with N-fertilizer and under irrigated conditions. Further, Córdova et al. ([2020\)](#page-10-0) obtained soybean yield increase ranging from 300 to 1000 kg/ha, while in a recently published study, Pannecoucque et al. ([2022\)](#page-10-0) obtained an average increase in seed yield of 209 kg/ha and a decrease of 2.0 g/100 g of protein concentration, using seed inoculation and 35 kg N/ha at R1. Finally, Wesley et al. [\(1998](#page-11-0)) and Brar and Lawley ([2020\)](#page-10-0) reported non-significant N effects on seed yield and protein concentration. In tropical and subtropical environments (with MG ranging from V to VIII), efforts have been made by a few researchers to understand the soybean responses to N-fertilization. Cordeiro and Echer [\(2019](#page-10-0)) obtained 439 kg/ha increase in soybean yield (there is no information about protein concentration) when N-fertilization was combined with more efficient N-fixing bacteria rates under unfavourable environments, while Hungria *et al.* ([2006\)](#page-10-0) and Zilli *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-11-0) applied 200 kg N/ha and did not obtain any seed yield increase (there is no information about protein concentration).

The N limitation for soybean in temperate environments is driven by the yield level (larger limitation in high than lower yield levels) and indigenous soil N supply of the production environment (Cafaro La Menza et al., [2017,](#page-10-0) [2019\)](#page-10-0). Still, it is unclear if these drivers also apply to tropical and subtropical environments. Seed protein concentration reports in soybean studies with N-fertilization under tropical and subtropical environments are scarce, and there is comparatively less research (and without a consistent protocol) on soybean seed yield responses to N supply in tropical and subtropical than in temperate environments. Our scientific question is how N supply could improve soybean yields and protein concentration in tropical and subtropical conditions. Therefore, this research adopted consistent N-fertilization treatments applied to soybean grown in tropical and subtropical environments under irrigation or rainfed condition in Brazil to investigate whether N supply can significantly increase seed yield and/or seed protein or oil concentration (latitude range: 12°S to 29°S). We sought to test the hypothesis that soybean

seed yield and/or protein concentration are limited by N supply under tropical and subtropical conditions. Our goal was to evaluate the effects of N supply on soybean yield, and seed quality under rainfed and irrigated conditions and across 17 degrees of latitude range of tropical and subtropical environments in Brazil.

Materials and methods

Field experiments

We conducted 11 field experiments under rainfed or irrigated conditions with a two-way treatment (environment \times N-fertilization) structure in a randomized complete block design with four replicates. The experimental plot consisted of 12 rows, 9.0 m long, with a spacing of 0.5 m between rows and 0.04 m for planting depth. The environments were defined as the combination of locations, seasons, sowing dates and cultivars; with different crop management:

- (i) Sorriso (12°42′ S, 55°48′ W, 375 a.m.s.l), during two rainfed cropping seasons [2018/2019 (SO-1) and 2019/2020 (SO-2)], with climate classification of Aw (tropical savanna climate), conducted under conventional tillage on Dystrophic Red Yellow Ferrosol, where the crop before planting was an 8-year degraded pasture area for SO-1, and maize for SO-2;
- (ii) Piracicaba (22°42′ S, 47°30′ W, 546 a.m.s.l), during three rainfed and three irrigated cropping seasons [2017/2018 (PI-1), 2018/2019 (PI-2) and 2019/2020 (PI-3)] with a climate classification of Cwa (high-altitude tropical) (Koeppen, [1948\)](#page-10-0), conducted under conventional tillage on a Eutric Rhodic Ferralic Nitisol, the previous crop was maize (Zea mays L.) for P1-1 and PI-3, and wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) for PI-2, area with a 5-year history of soybean yield >4.5 Mg/ha;
- (iii) Cruz Alta (28°38′ S, 53°36′ W, 476 a.m.s.l), during one rainfed cropping season [2018/2019 (CA-1)], with a climate classification of Cfa (humid subtropical, with well-defined summer and winter seasons) (Koeppen, [1948\)](#page-10-0), conducted under no-tillage practices on Dystrophic Red Acrisol, the previous crop was wheat, area with a 28-year history of soybean yield >4.5 Mg/ha;
- (iv) Tupanciretã (28°48′ S, 53°48′ W, 466 a.m.s.l) during one rainfed and one irrigated cropping season [2019/2020 (TU-1)], with a climate classification of Cfa, conducted under no-tillage on Dystrophic Red, the previous crop was maize, area with a 26-year history of soybean yield >4.5 Mg/ha ([Table 1](#page-2-0) and [Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0).

The irrigation amounts applied in the experiments were determined by the potential evapotranspiration determined by the Priestley and Taylor ([1972](#page-11-0)) method; computed using the daily weather data measured with well-calibrated sensors from the on-site weather station (see [Table 1](#page-2-0) and Supplementary Figs S1–S5). The Priestley–Taylor method was applied under minimum advection conditions and using empirical parameter α = 1.26 (Pereira and Villa Nova, [1992;](#page-11-0) Figueiredo Moura da Silva et al., [2019](#page-10-0), [2021](#page-10-0), [2022\)](#page-10-0). The water amount required was applied with sprinkler irrigation by centre pivot sprinklers Senninger Model i-Wob-UP3 at Piracicaba, and centre pivot sprinklers Plona KS 1500 at Tupanciretã.

Table 1. Description of field experiments conducted in Piracicaba, Sorriso, Cruz Alta and Tupanciretã

| Sites | Environment | Cultivar (MG) | Season | Sowing date | Growing season ^a (days) | Sowing density (plant/m^2) | Rainfall (mm) | Irrigation (mm) |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|--------------------------|
| Sorriso | $SO-1$ | TMG7063 (7.0) | 2018/19 | Nov 20 | 98 | 30 | 903 | $\overline{}$ |
| | $SO-2$ | NS701 (7.0) | 2019/20 | Nov 01 | 100 | 30 | 893 | $\overline{}$ |
| Piracicaba | $PI-1$ | TMG7062 (7.0) | 2017/18 | Dec 26 | 96 | 28 | 632 | 50 |
| | $PI-2$ | TMG7062 | 2018/19 | Nov 09 | 100 | 28 | 692 | 260 |
| | $PI-3$ | TMG7062 | 2019/20 | Nov 27 | 103 | 28 | 830 | 150 |
| Cruz Alta | $CA-1$ | 65i65RSF (6.5) | 2018/19 | Nov 28 | 123 | 35 | 923 | $\overline{}$ |
| Tupanciretã | $TU-1$ | 65i65RSF (6.5) | 2019/20 | Dec 03 | 118 | 35 | 352 | 270 |

MG, maturity group.

^aTime between VE (emergence) and R7 (beginning of maturity), using phenological stages proposed by Fehr and Caviness [\(1977\)](#page-10-0).

Fig. 1. Soybean harvest area distribution in Brazil. Data source: Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, [2021\)](#page-10-0). Black stars indicate field experiments.

N-fertilizer treatments were defined as (i) no N-fertilizer (0N), where the soybean only relied on indigenous soil N sources (inorganic soil N, mineralized N and SNF); and (ii) with N-fertilizer (1000N). The total amount of 1000 kg N/ha fertilizer was calculated using a soybean yield target of 8945 kg/ha; this value was based on the maximum soybean yield documented in Brazil,

until the season 2016/2017, in contest areas in Brazil (CESB, [2017](#page-10-0) and later reported by Battisti et al., [2018](#page-10-0)). The N-fertilizer source was urea (46-0-0) applied on the surface. It is noteworthy that our objective was not to replicate the management of soybean contest areas, we only sought a maximum yield seed reference to compute supplementing N-fertilizer on soybeans.

We assumed that the crop accumulates 79 kg N/ha in its aboveground biomass per each additional 1000 kg of seed yield (Salvagiotti et al., [2008](#page-11-0); Tamagno et al., [2017](#page-11-0)), with an extra 40% of N applied to compensate for N losses through ammonia volatilization (Cantarella et al., [2018\)](#page-10-0), and another 1.5% N applied to compensate N losses by leaching and runoff (Libardi and Reichardt, [1978;](#page-10-0) Oliveira et al., [2007\)](#page-10-0). The urea

amount applied was split into five applications during the cropping season following crop N demand reported by Thies et al. ([1995\)](#page-11-0) and Bender et al. [\(2015](#page-10-0)): (i) 10% in second-node (V2); (ii) 10% in fourth-node (V4); (iii) 20% in full bloom stage (R2); (iv) 30% in beginning pod stage (R3); (v) 30% in beginning seed stage (R5). For both treatments, the seeds were inoculated with Bradyrhizobium elkanii (strains SEMIA 587 and

Fig. 2. Seed yield under irrigated or rainfed conditions, with zero (ON) or 1000 kg N/ha (1000N). For experiments conducted in Piracicaba (PI) under three seasons, Sorriso (SO) under two seasons, Cruz Alta (CA) under one season, and Tupanciretã (TU) under one season. The experiments were shown from the locations of low latitude (left) to high latitude (right). Means followed by different letters, uppercase among environments and lowercase between N-treatment for each environment, differ by Tukey test $(P < 0.05)$.

SEMIA 5019) at a concentration of 5×10^9 CFU/ml (colonyforming units).

Macronutrient fertilization was computed based on surface and subsurface soil analysis. Soil nutrient initial levels among all experiments were as follows: 0.9–3.1% of organic matter (Walkey–Black method), $0.7-27.0$ mg $P/dm³$ (Melich-1 method), 0.2–5.4 K mmol_c/dm³ (Melich-1 method), 4.0–19 Mg mmol_c/ $dm³$ (extracted by calcium acetate), 10.6–44.2 Ca mmol_c/dm³ (extracted by calcium acetate), 3.0–15.0 mg S/kg (turbidimetry method) (see Supplementary Table S1). The soil fertilization sought to reach: $P > 26$ mg/m³, K > 2.5 mmol_c/dm³, Mg > 13 mmol/dm³, Ca > 26 mmol_c/dm³, S > 15 mmol/dm³. The soybean micronutrients were supplied via foliar fertilization based on the crop cycle and extraction and export of micronutrients (see Supplementary Table S2).

Water deficit was computed as the difference between water input (precipitation and/or irrigation) and computed potential evapotranspiration. Phenological stages, according to Fehr and Caviness ([1977\)](#page-10-0), were tracked every 5 days in all experiments and recorded dates of emergence (VE), beginning flowering (R1), beginning pod (R3), beginning seed (R5) and physiological maturity (R7) ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)), when 50% of the plants were at each stage (Fehr and Caviness, [1977\)](#page-10-0). Field measurements for each experiment included (i) seed yield (kg/ha), (ii) seed protein concentration (Kjeldahl method) and (iii) seed oil concentration (Goldfish method). The seed yield, seed protein and oil concentration values were expressed at 0.13 kg $H₂O/kg$ seed. Seed yield, seed protein and oil concentration samples were collected (hand-harvested) on three centre rows (9 m^2) of the experimental plot for each treatment and replication of each season.

Bartlett test (Milliken and Johnson, [2009\)](#page-10-0) was applied to investigate the homogeneity of variances, and no significant differences were observed $(P > 0.05)$ for all experiments. Once the homogeneity of within-environment variances was obtained, we conducted the combined analysis of variance to determine the effect of environments and N treatments on seed yield, seed protein and oil concentration; and the means were compared by the Tukey test considering the significance level of 5% (agricolae package, Team R Core, <https:/www.r-project.org>). The N-fertilizer and environment were considered a fixed effect, and blocks were considered a random effect. Linear regression was used to estimate the relation: (i) between seed protein or oil concentration and water deficit; and (ii) between seed protein or oil concentration and latitude.

Results

The higher N supply in the 1000N treatment significantly increased the seed yield by 277 kg/ha (7%) and the seed protein concentration by 4.3 g/100 g (12%) in tropical and subtropical environments in Brazil under rainfed conditions (Table 2, [Figs](#page-3-0) [2](#page-3-0) and [3](#page-5-0)). However, the magnitude of the response varied according to the environment from −300 kg/ha (−7%) to 596 kg/ha $(+20%)$ for seed yield and from 2.2 g/100 g $(6%)$ to 6.2 g/100 g (16%) for protein. In the case of irrigated experiments, the increased N supply in the 1000N treatment did not affect the seed yield but increased seed protein concentration by 1.6 g/100 g (4%) (Table 2, [Figs 2](#page-3-0) and [3\)](#page-5-0). Furthermore, the magnitude of responses in seed oil concentration due to N supply also varied

Table 2. Analysis of variance for effects of environments, nitrogen (N)-fertilization treatment and interaction between environments × N-fertilization in randomized complete block design structure in experiments with rainfed conditions

0.F., degrees of freedom; F, F-statistic; MS, mean square.
Statistical significance was indicated by: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Fig. 3. Seed protein concentration under irrigated or rainfed conditions, with zero (ON) or 1000 kg N/ha (1000N). For experiments conducted in Piracicaba (PI) under three seasons, Sorriso (SO) under two seasons, Cruz Alta (CA) under one season and Tupanciretã (TU) under one season. The experiments were shown from the locations of low latitude (left) to high latitude (right). Means followed by different letters, uppercase among environments and lowercase between N-treatment for each environment, differ by Tukey test ($P < 0.05$).

across irrigated and rainfed conditions from −1.2 g/100 g (−8%) to 1.8 g/100 g (+11%). While seed yield responses to 1000N were significant (in some environments under rainfed) or not significant (in irrigated), the seed protein concentration consistently increased across both water availability conditions.

We observed that 1000N treatment only promoted a significant seed yield increase at 476 kg/ha for PI-1, 596 kg/ha for

PI-2, 627 kg/ha for SO-1 and 452 kg/ha for CA-1 [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). The increase in N supply through the 1000N treatment provided statistically significant gains in seed protein concentration under irrigated and rainfed conditions $(P < 0.001$; [Table 2;](#page-4-0) Fig. 3). The average seed protein concentration was 41.0 g/100 g for 1000N and 39.4 g/100 g for 0N treatment under irrigated condition and 40.5 g/100 g for 1000N and 36.2 g/100 g for 0N under rainfed

Fig. 4. Seed oil concentration under irrigated or rainfed conditions, with zero (0N) or 1000 kg N/ha (1000N). For experiments conducted in Piracicaba (PI) under three seasons, Sorriso (SO) under two seasons, Cruz Alta (CA) under one season and Tupanciretã (TU) under one season. The experiments were shown from the locations of low latitude (left) to high latitude (right). Means followed by different letters, uppercase among environments and lowercase between N-treatment for each environment, differ by Tukey test ($P < 0.05$).

conditions. The average seed protein concentration increased in the 1000N compared to the 0N treatment on 1.6 g/100 g in irrigated experiments and 4.3 g/100 g in rainfed experiments. However, the magnitude of the treatment response varied from 2.2 to 6.5 g/100 g in rainfed experiments.

For experiments conducted under irrigated environments, the seed oil concentration ranged from 14.1 to 18.7 g/100 g (Fig. 4). The N treatments provided no statistically significant results for seed oil concentration under irrigated conditions. Under rainfed conditions, seed oil concentrations ranged from 14.8 to 20.0 g/ 100 g (Fig. 4). The response to N treatments on seed oil concentration was unclear. The average seed oil concentration obtained in rainfed environments was 17.8% with 0N and 17.7% with 1000N.

Fig. 5. Variation (Δ) of seed protein concentration (%) between 1000N and 0N treatments (1000N minus 0N) with water deficit across all rainfed conditions (close circles) in this study (total of seven environments). The Δ of seed protein concentration in irrigated environments is also shown (open circles). Water deficit was calculated as the difference between water input (precipitation and/or irrigation) and potential evapotranspiration using Priestley–Taylor method. A linear regression adjusted to the rainfed environments indicates the increase in Δ of seed protein concentration due to N supply with increasing water deficit.

There was a positive linear relationship between the seed protein concentration difference $((1000N - 0N)/0N \times 100)$ and the water deficit, with $R^2 = 0.84$ (Fig. 5). The relative response in seed protein concentration due to N supply increased by 4% for every 100 mm of water deficit. For seed oil concentration, the water deficit did not explain the variability.

We also found that a large portion of the variability in seed protein and oil concentration across environments under rainfed or irrigated conditions was related to the latitude in which the experiments were conducted (Figs $6(a)$ and (b) (b) (b)). It is important to note that the relationship was established using only four observations representing the sites. The higher seed protein and oil concentration values occurred in lower latitudes (12°S). The soybean harvested across Southern Brazil experiments showed consistently lower seed protein and oil concentrations than Northern Brazil. The magnitude of the decrease in seed protein concentration with latitude was slightly higher than in oil $(-0.34 \times v. -0.20 \times)$.

Discussion

Unlike in temperate environments, soybean seed yield failed to respond to increases in N supply in most tropical and subtropical environments evaluated in this study. Salvagiotti et al. ([2009\)](#page-11-0) and Cafaro La Menza et al. ([2017](#page-10-0), [2019,](#page-10-0) [2020\)](#page-10-0) documented the

existence of N limitation in highly productive environments in trials in Argentina and the USA, with N-fertilization under irrigated conditions. Their findings revealed the potentiality of soybean seed yield increases with ample N supply in temperate environments with a yield level of >4.5 Mg/ha (based on the yield history of the area). In our areas with yield level >4.5 Mg/ ha, the seed yield responded to the 1000N treatment only in PI-3 (rainfed conditions) and Cruz Alta. In these sites, we sowed cultivars with MGs between 6.2 and 6.5, which are much longer MGs than the ones used in previous studies in temperate zones (MGs between 0.0 and 3.8). For PI-1, PI-2 and TU-1, the indigenous soil N sources were sufficient to reach the same seed yield level as soybeans with N-fertilizer. Still, the yield target of 8945 kg/ha was not reached in any experiment. It means that the sowing date may have been a limiting factor in reaching higher yield; because for the Southern Hemisphere, sowing between late September and mid-October may be more ideal for the soybean crop to reach its peak of development (between R5 and R6) in December (greatest solar energy availability) (Zanon et al., [2016;](#page-11-0) Tagliapietra et al., [2021\)](#page-11-0). Therefore, soybean could have accumulated more carbohydrates and acquire N via fixation or fertilization.

In our experiments, the SNF could have been indirectly affected in the few cases where increased seed protein also accompanied seed yield response to N-fertilization, for instance in SO-1.

Fig. 6. Average of seed protein (a) and oil concentration (b) (g/100 g) for experiments conducted under rainfed or irrigated conditions across different latitudes. Each average includes the four replicates of the 0N and 1000N treatments. Linear regression was adjusted to each relationship between seed protein and oil to latitude.

This environment was limited for SNF for two reasons: firstly, although the seeds were inoculated with B. elkanii, the soil probably had a poor inoculum of N-fixing bacteria because, before planting, it was a degraded pasture area with no prior soybean crop history (Cordeiro and Echer, [2019\)](#page-10-0). Secondly, a low initial soil phosphorus content (see Supplementary Table S1) may reduce root nodulation (Hungria et al., [2006](#page-10-0); Pavanelli and Araújo, [2009](#page-11-0)). Although the soil was fertilized with phosphorus, it cannot be certain that the element was available in the early stages of inoculation. Consistent with our results, Cordeiro and Echer [\(2019\)](#page-10-0) obtained an increase of 439 kg/ha (22%) in the soybean yield using N-fertilizer (50 kg/ha) in the first year of sowing in areas following degraded pasture.

During the cropping season at PI-2, there were water-deficit periods between R3 and R6 [critical period for N accumulation and seed number determination (Rotundo et al., [2012](#page-11-0); Monzon et al., [2021](#page-10-0); Egli, [2017\)](#page-10-0)], the accumulated rainfall was only 54.3 mm, with 97.8% of rainfall concentration on 77 and 84 DAP

[\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0); Supplementary Fig. S1-b). Water stress affects the survival and synthesis of leghaemoglobin and nodule function (Sprent, [1971](#page-11-0); Patterson and Hudak, [1996;](#page-11-0) Santachiara et al., [2019](#page-11-0)). Similarly, several studies have shown that N uptake and assimilation from the soil are less sensitive to temporary water deficits than SNF (Purcell and King, [1996;](#page-11-0) Purcell et al., [2004](#page-11-0); Ray et al., [2006](#page-11-0)). However, it is still unclear how much yield loss can be consistently captured with an economically feasible N-fertilizer amount. Also unknown, is which type, timing and placement of the N-fertilizer would maximize yield response and minimize N losses in rainfed environments that usually experience diverse drought conditions (amount and timing of water deficit).

N-fertilization on soybean could impact seed composition despite not necessarily affecting seed yields (Assefa et al., [2019](#page-10-0)). We found an average potential increase of $1.6 \text{ g}/100 \text{ g}$ (4%) in soybean seed protein concentration due to N-fertilizer application under experiments conducted under irrigated conditions. This potential increase was ca. 60% higher than the average of 0.9 g/100 g documented by Cafaro La Menza et al. [\(2017](#page-10-0), [2019,](#page-10-0) [2020\)](#page-10-0) with N-fertilization under irrigated conditions in temperate environments. Yet, in our experiments conducted under rainfed conditions, we found a larger potential response to N-fertilizer in terms of seed protein concentration (4.3 g/100 g). This potential response was twofold higher than the 2.0 g/100 g documented by Bosaz et al. [\(2021\)](#page-10-0) under rainfed conditions in a temperate environment.

Different from results obtained for seed protein concentration, our findings showed unclear relation between N supply and seed oil concentration: (1) no response in all experiments under irrigated conditions and PI-2 under rainfed conditions, (2) negative response in SO-1, SO-2, PI-1 and TU-1 under rainfed conditions, and (3) positive response in PI-3 and CA-1 under rainfed conditions. In a meta-analysis study, Rotundo and Westgate ([2009\)](#page-11-0) reported that water stress decreased seed oil concentration dramatically for soybean grown in the USA. However, their results were not consistent with ours in subtropical and tropical environments in which the water deficit did not consistently affect seed oil concentration. The seed oil and protein concentration can be affected by genotype, environment, management and season in a complex and still unclear way (Rotundo and Westgate, [2009](#page-11-0); Rotundo et al., [2016;](#page-11-0) Assefa et al., [2019;](#page-10-0) Bosaz et al., [2021](#page-10-0); Grassini et al., [2021](#page-10-0)). Unlike protein, the interaction between N supply, latitudes and water deficit for seed oil did not follow a clear pattern in tropical and subtropical environments.

Our findings highlighted that N supply is a critical factor in tropical and subtropical soybean systems to increase the seed protein concentration and that the protein gap due to N supply ismuch larger in tropical and subtropical than in temperate environments. Indeed, more research efforts are needed to diagnose nutrient limitations in tropical and subtropical environments and test N-fertilization timing, rates, placement and fertilizer types for an economical and environmentally sound response (Rotundo et al., [2022\)](#page-11-0). At the cropping system level, increasing indigenous soil N supply with cover crops or different crop rotations and developing novel bacteria strains with improved SNF performance and survival in the soil will be needed to close the N gap for seed yield and protein in soybean.

Yet, our findings also revealed that the soybean harvested in lower latitudes showed consistently higher seed protein and oil concentrations than in higher latitudes within tropical and subtropical environments. It should be noted that we used only four points to establish this relationship, so this trend needs to be confirmed with more data in tropical conditions. In a meta-analysis, Assefa et al. ([2019](#page-10-0)) reported a reduction in seed oil and increases in soybean protein concentration in higher latitudes for soybean sowed in the USA. In the same way, Rotundo et al. [\(2016](#page-11-0)) documented higher protein concentrations in lower latitudes in the northern hemisphere. Thakur and Hurburgh ([2007](#page-11-0)) compared the quality of samples from different locations (in the USA and Argentina) and documented that Brazilian soybean had the highest seed oil and protein concentration. The reason for this higher protein concentration may be related to genetic breeding (flowering-time control and latitudinal adaptation, see Lu et al., [2017](#page-10-0)) inherent to cultivars recommended for lowlatitude regions. Yet, this has not enough been elucidated by science, more studies are required to advance our understanding of interactions between soybean protein and latitude.

Although the purpose of this study was not to test N-fertilization on soybean to make recommendations, it is important to clarify that N-fertilization applications of that

magnitude can generate substantial volatilization losses that can exceed 40% (Cantarella et al., [2018\)](#page-10-0). These results alerted us to a considerable chance of increasing environmental losses under subtropical and tropical conditions by high rates of the volatilization of N-NH₃ associated with urea application. Hence, this heavy fertilization practice (1000N) is not consistent with the sustainable intensification principles and is not cost-effective or environmentally appropriate – farmers should not consider the application of 1000 kg N/ha on soybean in tropical environments. Thus, improvements in N-management on soybean might increase protein production in Brazil and contribute to the global plant-based protein production for a growing population. However, our findings indicated that improvements in N-management on soybean might increase protein production in Brazil and contribute to the global plant-based protein production for a growing population.

Conclusions

Eleven soybean experiments were conducted under tropical and subtropical environments in Brazil with rainfed or irrigated conditions combined with N-fertilization treatment (0 or 1000 kg N/ha). The N-fertilization under subtropical and tropical conditions resulted in significant (in some environments under rainfed) or no soybean seed yield effect (under irrigation) and inconsistent seed oil concentration responses. However, our findings showed that the ample N supply in the 1000N treatment consistently increased seed protein concentration in the tropical and subtropical environments evaluated, and its magnitude was larger than what was previously reported for temperate environments. Finally, in lower latitude soybean regions of Brazil, the seed protein and oil concentration were higher than in higher latitudes.

This paper expands upon the work of the first author's PhD thesis.

Acknowledgements. We thank Daniel Medeiros from BASF Brazil for fungicides, insecticides, and herbicides donations for all experimental areas and Leonardo Gorgen from Yara Brazil for fertilizer donations for all experimental areas.

Author contributions. E. H. Figueiredo Moura da Silva conceived and designed the study, conducted data gathering in Piracicaba-SP, performed statistical analyses, wrote and edited the article. N. Cafaro La Menza wrote and revised the article. G. G. Munareto conducted data gathering in Cruz Alta-RS and Tupanciretã-RS. A. J. Zanon revised the article. K. Santos Carvalho conducted data gathering in Sorriso-MT. F. R. Marin conceived and designed the study, and revised the article.

Financial support. This research was supported by the Research Foundation of the State of São Paulo (FAPESP 2015/25702-3; 2017/23468-9; 2019/18303-6, 2017/20925-0, 2017/50445-0, 2021/00720-0, 2022/02396-8), Brazilian Research Council (CNPq, 301424/2015-2, 300916/2018-3, 401662/2016-0 and 425174/2018-2) and Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) – Ministry of Education of Brazil.

Conflict of interest. None.

Ethical standards. Not applicable.

References

Alemayehu D, Zerihun A and Solomon B (2018) Limitations and strategies to enhance biological nitrogen fixation in sub-humid tropics of Western Ethiopia. Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and Sustainable Development 10, 122–131.

- Assefa Y, Purcell LC, Salmeron M, Naeve S, Casteel SN, Kovács P, Archontoulis S, Licht M, Below F, Kandel H, Lindsey LE, Gaska J, Conley S, Shapiro C, Orlowski JM, Golden BR, Kaur G, Sigh M, Thelen K, Laurenz R, Davidson D and Ciampitti IA (2019) Assessing variation in US soybean seed composition (protein and oil). Frontiers in Plant Science 10, 298.
- Basal O and Szabó A (2020) The combined effect of drought stress and nitrogen fertilization on soybean. Agronomy 10, 384.
- Battisti R, Sentelhas PC, Pascoalino JAL, Sako H, de Sá Dantas JP and Moraes MF (2018) Soybean yield gap in the areas of yield contest in Brazil. International Journal of Plant Production 12, 159–168.
- Bender RR, Haegele JW and Below FE (2015) Nutrient uptake, partitioning, and remobilization in modern soybean varieties. Agronomy Journal 107, 563–573.
- Beta T and Isaak C (2016) Grain production and consumption: overview. In Wrigley C, Corke H, Seetharaman K and Faubion J (eds), Encyclopedia of Food Grains – the World of Food Grains, Vol. 1. Oxford, UK: Elsevier, pp. 349–428.
- Bosaz LB, Borrás L, Gerde JA, Santachiara G and Rotundo JL (2021) Late season N fertilization effects on soybean seed protein and biological N2 fixation. Agronomy Journal 113, 5076–5086.
- Brar N and Lawley Y (2020) Short-season soybean yield and protein unresponsive to starter nitrogen-fertilizer. Agronomy Journal 112, 5012–5023.
- Cafaro La Menza N, Monzon JP, Specht JE and Grassini P (2017) Is soybean yield limited by nitrogen supply?. Field Crops Research 213, 204–212.
- Cafaro La Menza NC, Monzon JP, Specht JE, Lindquist JL, Arkebauer TJ, Graef G and Grassini P (2019) Nitrogen limitation in high-yield soybean: seed yield, N accumulation, and N-use efficiency. Field Crops Research 237, 74–81.
- Cafaro La Menza NC, Monzon JP, Lindquist JL, Arkebauer TJ, Knops JM, Unkovich M, Specht JE and Grassini P (2020) Insufficient nitrogen supply from symbiotic fixation reduces seasonal crop growth and nitrogen mobilization to seed in highly productive soybean crops. Plant, Cell & Environment 43, 1958–1972.
- Cantarella H, Otto R, Soares JR and de Brito Silva AG (2018) Agronomic efficiency of NBPT as a urease inhibitor: a review. Journal of Advanced Research 13, 19–27.
- Cassman KG and Grassini P (2020) A global perspective on sustainable intensification research. Nature Sustainability 3, 262–268.
- Caubet M, Cornu S, Saby NP and Meunier JD (2020) Agriculture increases the bioavailability of silicon, a beneficial element for crop, in temperate soils. Scientific Reports 10, 1–11.
- CESB (2017) National challenge of maximum productivity. Brazilian Soybean Strategic Committee. Sorocaba: CESB. Available at [http://www.cesbrasil.org.](http://www.cesbrasil.org.br/desafio-da-soja) [br/desafio-da-soja](http://www.cesbrasil.org.br/desafio-da-soja) (Accessed 10 November 2017).
- Clarke EJ and Wiseman J (2000) Developments in plant breeding for improved nutritional quality of soya beans I. Protein and amino acid content. The Journal of Agricultural Science 134, 111-124.
- Collino DJ, Salvagiotti F, Perticari A, Piccinetti C, Ovando G, Urquiaga S and Racca RW (2015) Biological nitrogen fixation in soybean in Argentina: relationships with crop, soil, and meteorological factors. Plant and Soil 392, 239–252.
- Cordeiro CFS and Echer FR (2019) Interactive effects of nitrogen-fixing bacteria inoculation and nitrogen fertilization on soybean yield in unfavorable edaphoclimatic environments. Scientific Reports 9, 1–11.
- Córdova SC, Archontoulis SV and Licht MA (2020) Soybean profitability and yield component response to nitrogen fertilizer in Iowa. Agrosystems, Geosciences & Environment 3, e20092.
- Deibert EJ, Bijeriego M and Olson RA (1979) Utilization of 15N fertilizer by nodulating and non-nodulating soybean isolines 1. Agronomy Journal 71, 717–723.
- Egli DB (2017) Crop growth rate and the establishment of sink size: a comparison of maize and soybean. Journal of Crop Improvement 33, 346–362, 2019.
- Fehr W and Caviness C (1977) Stages of soybean development. In Special Report 80. Ames: Cooperative Extension Service Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station Iowa State University of Science and Technology, pp. 4–10.
- Figueiredo Moura da Silva EH, Gonçalves AO, Pereira RA, Fattori Júnior IM, Sobenko LR and Marin FR (2019) Soybean irrigation requirements

and canopy-atmosphere coupling in Southern Brazil. Agricultural Water Management 218, 1–7.

- Figueiredo Moura da Silva EH, Boote KJ, Hoogenboom G, Gonçalves AO, Junior ASA and Marin FR (2021) Performance of the CSM-CROPGRO-soybean in simulating soybean growth and development and the soil water balance for a tropical environment. Agricultural Water Management 252, 106929.
- Figueiredo Moura da Silva EH, Hoogenboom G, Boote KJ, Gonçalves AO and Marin FR (2022) Predicting soybean evapotranspiration and crop water productivity for a tropical environment using the CSM-CROPGRO-Soybean model. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 323, 109075.
- Friedman M and Brandon DL (2001) Nutritional and health benefits of soy proteins. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 49, 1069-1086.
- Grassini P, Cafaro La Menza N, Rattalino Edreira JI, Monzón JP, Tenorio FA and Specht JE (2021) Soybean. In Sandras VO and Calderini DF (eds), Crop Histories for Major Crops. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Elsevier, pp. 283–319.
- Herridge DF, Peoples MB and Boddey RM (2008) Global inputs of biological nitrogen fixation in agricultural systems. Plant and Soil 311, 1–18.
- Hertsgaard DJ, Wilson WW and Dahl B (2019) Costs and risks of testing and blending for essential amino acids in soybeans. Agribusiness 35, 265–280.
- Hungria M, Franchini JC, Campo RJ, Crispino CC, Moraes JZ, Sibaldelli RN, Mendes I and Arihara J (2006) Nitrogen nutrition of soybean in Brazil: contributions of biological N2 fixation and N fertilizer to grain yield. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 86, 927–939.
- Köppen W (1948) Climatología: Com un estudio de los climas de la tierra. México: Fondo de Cultura Econômica.
- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (2021) Área plantada, área colhida, quantidade produzida e rendimento médio de soja. Brasília: IBGE. Available at <https://sidra.ibge.gov.br/> (Accessed 11 November 2022).
- Lakkakula P, Bullock DW and Wilson WW (2021) Asymmetric information and blockchains in soybean commodity markets. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy 44, 273–298.
- Li C, Gu X, Wu Z, Qin T, Guo L, Wang T, Zhang L and Jiang G (2021) Assessing the effects of elevated ozone on physiology, growth, yield and quality of soybean in the past 40 years: a meta-analysis. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 208, 111644.
- Libardi PL and Reichardt K (1978) Destino de uréia aplicada a um solo tropical. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo 2, 40–44.
- Lu S, Zhao X, Hu Y, Liu S, Nan H, Li X, Fang C, Cao D, Kong L, Su F, Zhang F, Li S, Wang Z, Yuan X, Cober ER, Weller J, Liu B, Hou X, Tian Z and Kong F (2017) Natural variation at the soybean J locus improves adaptation to the tropics and enhances yield. Nature Genetics 49, 773–779.
- Marin FR, Zanon AJ, Monzon JP, Andrade JF, Figueiredo Moura da Silva EH, Richter GL, Antolin LAS, Ribeiro BSMR, Ribas GG, Battisti R, Heinemann AB and Grassini P (2022) Protecting the Amazon forest and reducing global warming via agricultural intensification. Nature Sustainability 5, 1018–1026.
- Milliken GA and Johnson DE (2009) Analysis of messy data volume 1: designed experiments. New York, USA: CRC Press.
- Monzon JP, La Menza NC, Cerrudo A, Canepa M, Edreira JIR, Specht J, Andrade FH and Grassini P (2021) Critical period for seed number determination in soybean as determined by crop growth rate, duration, and dry matter accumulation. Field Crops Research 261, 108016.
- Oliveira PPA, Trivelin PCO and Oliveira WSD (2007) Balanço do nitrogênio (15N) da uréia nos componentes de uma pastagem de capim-marandu sob recuperação em diferentes épocas de calagem. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 36, 1982–1989.
- Pannecoucque J, Goormachtigh S, Ceusters N, Bodé S, Boeckx P and Roldán-Ruiz I (2022) Soybean response and profitability upon inoculation and nitrogen fertilisation in Belgium. European Journal of Agronomy 132, 126390.
- Parisi G, Tulli F, Fortina R, Marino R, Bani P, Dalle Zotte A, Angelis A, Piccolo G, Pinotti L, Schiavone A, Terova G, Prandini A, Gasco L, Roncarati A and Danieli PP (2020) Protein hunger of the feed sector: the alternatives offered by the plant world. Italian Journal of Animal Science 19, 1204–1225.
- Pathan SM, Vuong T, Clark K, Lee JD, Shannon JG, Roberts CA, Ellersieck JWB, Cregan PB, Hyten DL, Nguyen HT and Sleper DA (2013) Genetic

mapping and confirmation of quantitative trait loci for seed protein and oil contents and seed weight in soybean. Crop Science 53, 765–774.

- Patterson RP and Hudak CM (1996) Drought-avoidant soybean germplasm maintains nitrogen-fixation capacity under water stress. In Elkan GH and Upchurch RG (eds), Current Issues in Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 39–43.
- Pavanelli LE and Araújo FF (2009) Fixação biológica de nitrogênio em soja em solos arenosos cultivados com pastagem e culturas anuais do Oeste Paulista. Biosciences Journal 25, 21–29.
- Pereira AR and Villa Nova NA (1992) Analysis of the Priestley-Taylor parameter. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 61, 1–9.
- Priestley CHB and Taylor RJ (1972) On the assessment of surface heat flux and evaporation using large-scale parameters. Monthly Weather Review 100, 81–92.
- Purcell LC and King CA (1996) Drought and nitrogen source effects on nitrogen nutrition, seed growth, and yield in soybean. Journal of Plant Nutrition 19, 969–993.
- Purcell LC, Serraj R, Sinclair TR and De A (2004) Soybean N2 fixation estimates, ureide concentration, and yield responses to drought. Crop Science 44, 484–492.
- Randall GW, Delgado JA and Schepers JS (2008) Nitrogen management to protect water resources. Nitrogen in Agricultural Systems 49, 911–945.
- Ray JD, Heatherly LG and Fritschi FB (2006) Influence of large amounts of nitrogen on nonirrigated and irrigated soybean. Crop Science 46, 52–60.
- Reis AFB, Rosso LM, Purcell LC, Naeve S, Casteel SN, Kovacs P, Archontoulis S, Davidson D and Ciampitti IA (2021) Environmental factors associated with nitrogen fixation prediction in soybean. Frontiers in Plant Science 12, 1013.
- Rosenzweig C and Liverman D (1992) Predicted effects of climate change on agriculture: a comparison of temperate and tropical regions. Global Climate Change: Implications, Challenges, and Mitigation Measures, 342–361.
- Rotundo JL and Westgate ME (2009) Meta-analysis of environmental effects on soybean seed composition. Field Crops Research 110, 147–156.
- Rotundo JL, Borrás L, De Bruin J and Pedersen P (2012) Physiological strategies for seed number determination in soybean: biomass accumulation, partitioning and seed set efficiency. Field Crops Research 135, 58–66.
- Rotundo JL, Miller Garvin JE and Naeve SL (2016) Regional and temporal variation in soybean seed protein and oil across the United States. Crop Science 56, 797–808.
- Rotundo JL, Rech R, Cardoso MM, Fang Y, Tang T, Olson N, Pyrik B, Conrad G, Borras L, Mihura E and Messina CD (2022) Development of a decisionmaking application for optimum soybean and maize fertilization strategies in Mato Grosso. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 193, 106659.
- Salvagiotti F, Cassman KG, Specht JE, Walters DT, Weiss A and Dobermann A (2008) Nitrogen uptake, fixation and response to fertilizer N in soybeans: a review. Field Crops Research 108, 1–13.
- Salvagiotti F, Specht JE, Cassman KG, Walters DT, Weiss A and Dobermann A (2009) Growth and nitrogen fixation in high-yielding soybean: impact of nitrogen fertilization. Agronomy Journal 101, 958–970.
- Santachiara G, Salvagiotti F and Rotundo JL (2019) Nutritional and environmental effects on biological nitrogen fixation in soybean: a meta-analysis. Field Crops Research 240, 106–115.
- Singh R and Koksel F (2021) Effects of particle size distribution and processing conditions on the techno-functional properties of extruded soybean meal. LWT 152, 112321.
- Smárason BÖ, Alriksson B and Jóhannsson R (2019) Safe and sustainable protein sources from the forest industry – the case of fish feed. Trends in Food Science & Technology 84, 12–14.
- Sprent JI (1971) Effects of water stress on nitrogen fixation in root nodules. Plant and Soil 35, 225–228.
- Tagliapietra EL, Zanon AJ, Streck NA, Balest DS, Rosa SL, Bexaira KP, Richter GL, Ribas GG and Silva MR (2021) Biophysical and management factors causing yield gap in soybean in the subtropics of Brazil. Agronomy Journal 1, 1.
- Tamagno S, Balboa GR, Assefa Y, Kovács P, Casteel SN, Salvagiotti F, García FO, Stewart WM and Ciampitti IA (2017) Nutrient partitioning and stoichiometry in soybean: a synthesis-analysis. Field Crops Research 200, 18–27.
- Thakur M and Hurburgh CR (2007) Quality of US soybean meal compared to the quality of soybean meal from other origins. Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society 84, 835–843.
- Thies JE, Singleton PW and Bohlool BB (1995) Phenology, growth, and yield of field-grown soybean and bush bean as a function of varying modes of N nutrition. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 27, 575–583.
- United Nations (2017) World Population Projected to Reach 9.8 Billion in 2050. New York: UN. Available online from: [htps://www.un.org/develop](https://htps://www.un.org/development/desa/en/news/population/world-population-prospectS-SO017.html)[ment/desa/en/news/population/world-population-prospectS-SO017.html](https://htps://www.un.org/development/desa/en/news/population/world-population-prospectS-SO017.html) (Accessed 5 April 2017).
- USDA (2021) World Agricultural Production. December 2020 WASDE report. Washington: U.S. Department of Agriculture. Available at [https://www.](https://www.usda.gov/oce/commodity/wasde) [usda.gov/oce/commodity/wasde](https://www.usda.gov/oce/commodity/wasde) (Accessed 16 April 2022).
- Wajid ALI, Ahmad MM, İftakhar F, Qureshi M and Ceyhan A (2020) Nutritive potentials of soybean and its significance for humans health and animal production: a review. Eurasian Journal of Food Science and Technology 4, 41–53.
- Wen Y, Liu A, Meng C, Li Z and He P (2021) Quantification of lectin in soybeans and soy products by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography B, 122987.
- Wesley TL, Lamond RE, Martin VL and Duncan SR (1998) Effects of lateseason nitrogen fertilizer on irrigated soybean yield and composition. Journal of Production Agriculture 11, 331–336.
- William W, Dahl B and Hertsgaard D (2020) Soybean quality differentials, blending, testing and spatial arbitrage. Journal of Commodity Markets 18, 100095.
- Zanon AJ, Streck NA and Grassini P (2016) Climate and management factors influence soybean yield potential in a subtropical environment. Agronomy Journal 108, 1447–1454.
- Zilli JÉ, Pacheco RS, Gianluppi V, Smiderle OJ, Urquiaga S and Hungria M (2021) Biological N_2 fixation and yield performance of soybean inoculated with Bradyrhizobium. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 119, 323–336.