

and tardive dyskinesia than SGAs, whereas SGAs generally cause more weight gain and cardiometabolic adverse effects.

Objectives: Aim of this observational study was to describe the socio-demographic and clinical features of the patients receiving new AP treatment and the features of the pharmacological treatment itself in “real world” context. Furthermore, we aimed to compare socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects who were prescribed either FGAs or SGAs.

Methods: Data were collected on the latest new AP prescriptions issued across different settings (two psychiatric wards; five out-patients clinics; and one rehabilitation community) belonging to ASST Fatebenefratelli Sacco (located in Milan) in reverse chronological order from May 2023.

Socio-demographic and clinical variables of the subjects who received new AP treatment were collected through medical records. We compared age, age at onset, age at first pharmacological treatment, duration of illness, duration of untreated illness, treatment duration, number of hospitalization and admissions to Day Hospital services, involuntary commitments and suicidal attempts in patients who received either FGAs or SGAs. Chi-square was used for qualitative variables and t-test for quantitative variables. Data were collected anonymously and analyzed using SPSS v.27.

Results: The sample included 155 new AP prescriptions, out of which 29.2% were formulated in the psychiatric wards, 66.9% in the outpatient clinics and 3.9% in the rehabilitative community. Mean age of the subjects was 41.1 ± 16.9 years, 53.2% were male.

The most represented diagnoses were psychotic disorders (32.2%), personality disorders (24.8%), bipolar disorder (16.1%) and depressive disorder (12.8%).

90.7% of new AP prescriptions were SGAs. The most prescribed were aripiprazole (30.5%), quetiapine (21.2%) and olanzapine (15.2%); while the most prescribed FGAs were haloperidol (5.3%), zuclopenthixol (2%) and chlorpromazine (1.3%). 26.2% of the prescriptions were in monotherapy and 83.8% were for oral administration.

The reasons for introduction were partial or absent response to previous treatments (52.3%), disease onset (23.5%), non-compliance (8.3%), adverse effects to previous treatments (6.8%) or other (9.1%). Patients treated with FGA had a longer duration of untreated illness ($p < 0.001$) and a greater number of lifetime hospitalizations ($p < 0.001$) and involuntary commitments ($p = 0.002$).

Conclusions: Patients treated with SGAs have a shorter duration of untreated illness and also lower chance of lifetime hospitalization and involuntary commitment.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPV0500

Change in risk status of psychiatric patients admitted to Crisis and Home Treatment Team: an evaluation in the UK

U. Raja*, A. Misra and N. Kar

Black Country Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Wolverhampton, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.1188

Introduction: The Crisis and Home Treatment Teams (CRHT) in psychiatry manages patients with risk to self and others in the community. The number of patents under CRHT who attempt or die of suicide is high in the UK (Hunt et al BJPsy Bull. 2016;40:172-4). The CRHT is an option to help support patients in managing their risk using various interventions and also aim to prevent admission to acute psychiatric wards where possible.

Objectives: We intended to study the change in risk to self and others and the factors associated with it during the intervention from a CRHT taking care of adult patients in the West Midlands region of England.

Methods: The study was conducted as a service evaluation of patients admitted under the CRHT. Data was collected from the case records, for 100 patients for whom details were available. Risk to self and others were checked, along with overall risk as red (highest risk), amber (intermediate risk) and green (low risk). Demographic and clinical information was collected and the data quality was checked.

Results: There were 46 male and 54 female patients in the study, with mean age of 40.4 ± 12.4 and 40.2 ± 12.8 Years respectively (not significant). They were comparable in number of diagnoses (mean 1.2 each) and number of days (22.2 ± 13.1 v 20.2 ± 17.8) in CRHT respectively. There was no significant association of risk with gender (56.3% females and 44.2% of males), being on benefits or type of accommodation the service users live at. Similarly, there was no significant difference of risk of self-harm based on ethnicity; it was noted that 61.2% of patients of British White ethnicity had a risk of self on admission compared to 41.7% Black and ethnic minority patients. On admission, 89% of patients were categorised as red, amber 8% and 1% green; which changed to 18%, 2% and 77% respectively (missing data was not included, so percentages do not add up to 100%). The risk to self was present in 46% on admission and 18% on discharge ($p < 0.005$); and in 14% this risk continued without change. The risk to others on admission was recorded in 12% which was at 1% on the point of discharge ($p < 0.05$). Eight people had both risk to self and others. In 15 patients the risk continued to remain in red category, while in two patients it changed from amber to red.

Conclusions: The risk levels for patients admitted under the CRHT improved. The majority with overall high risk changed to majority presenting as low risk on discharge. The percentage of patients portraying a risk to self and others also decreased from admission to discharge. Although there was considerable decrease in risk, a proportion of patients did not have any change, or even an increase in their risk, which highlights need for additional risk management strategy for these patients in CRHT.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPV0501

The Sense of Resilience of health care professionals in Latvia measured by Antonovsky's Sense of Coherence Scale

D. Janovskis^{1*} and R. Eglitis²

¹Department of Medicine, MD Resident and ²Department of Psychology, Mag. Psych., LU (Latvian University), Riga, Latvia

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.1189