

# **Behavioral and Brain Sciences**

An International Journal of Current Research  
and Theory with Open Peer Commentary

VOLUME 12, No. 2, 1989



**SWETS & ZEITLINGER B.V.**  
LISSE - THE NETHERLANDS - 1993

Reprinted with permission of Cambridge University Press

# Contents Volume 12:2 June 1989

<b>Gottlieb, G. L., Corcos, D. M. &amp; Agarwal, G. C. Strategies for the control of voluntary movements with one mechanical degree of freedom</b>	<b>189</b>
<b>Open Peer Commentary</b>	
Adamovich, S. V. & Feldman, A. G. The prerequisites for one-joint motor control theories	210
Bridgeman, B. Skeletal and oculomotor control systems compared	212
Bullock, D. Saturation is not an evolutionarily stable strategy	212
Burns, B. D. & Summers, J. J. Strategies and motor programs	214
Cordo, P. J., Horak, F. B. & Moore, S. P. On to real-life movements	214
Flanders, M. Pulses, bursts, and single-joint movements	215
Flash, T. Speed-insensitive and speed-sensitive strategies in multijoint movements	215
Ghez, C. & Gordon, J. Strategies are a means to an end	216
Gielen, C. C. A. M. & Denier van der Gon, J. J. If a particular strategy is used, what aspects of the movement are controlled?	218
Godaux, E. The strategy used to increase the amplitude of the movement varies with the muscle studied	219
Hallett, M. Experiment and reality	219
Hasan, Z. & Karst, G. M. Task variables and the saturation of the excitation pulse	219
Heuer, H. Movement strategies as points on equal-outcome curves	220
Hoffman, D. S. & Strick, P. L. Force requirements and patterns of muscle activity	221
Holding, D. H. Two ways to reduce motor programming load	224
Houk, J. C. Bursts of discharge recorded from the red nucleus may provide real measures of Gottlieb's excitation pulses	224
Kelso, J. A. S. Degrees of freedom, dynamical laws, and boundary conditions for discrete voluntary movement	225
Lacquaniti, F. Strategies for single-joint movements should also work for multijoint movements	225
Latash, M. L. Direct pattern-imposing control or dynamic regulation?	226
Loeb, G. E. Strategies for the control of studies of voluntary movements with one mechanical degree of freedom	227
MacKay, W. A. Braking may be more critical than acceleration	227
Neilson, P. D. EMG bursts, sampling, and strategy in movement control	228
Newell, K. M., van Emmerik, R. E. A. & McDonald, P. V. On simple movements and complex theories (and vice versa)	229
Partridge, L. D. At least two strategies	230
Ramos, C., Stark, L. & Hannaford, B. Time optimality, proprioception, and the triphasic EMG pattern	231
Teulings, H.-L. & Thomassen, A. J. W. M. Is handwriting a mixed strategy or a mixture of strategies?	232
Wallace, S. A. & Weeks, D. L. Initiating voluntary movements: Wrong theories for the wrong behavior?	233
Walter, C. B. Elementary conditions for elemental movement strategies	234
Wann, J. P., Nimmo-Smith, I. & Wing, A. M. Why are "strategies" sensitive? Smoothing the way for a raison d'être	235
Windhorst, U. What is adapted in strategy-governed movements?	236
<b>Authors' Response</b>	
Corcos, D. M., Gottlieb, G. L. & Agarwal, G. C. Does constraining movements constrain the development of movement theories?	237

<b>Krueger, L. E. Reconciling Fechner and Stevens: Toward a unified psychophysical law</b>	<b>251</b>
<b>Open Peer Commentary</b>	
Allik, J. Is a unified psychophysical law realistic?	267
Anderson, N. H. Integration psychophysics	268
Baird, J. C. The fickle measuring instrument	269
Birnbaum, M. H. To resolve Fechner versus Stevens: Settle the dispute concerning "ratios" and "differences"	270
Boynton, R. M. About assumptions and exponents	271
Brysbaert, M. & d'Ydewalle, G. Unifying psychophysics: And what if things are not so simple?	271
Dorfman, D. D. Jnds and ROCs	273
Geissler, H.-G. Psychophysical law: The need for more than one level of explanation	274
Gescheider, G. A. Are the power exponents of magnitude-estimation functions too high?	275
Hellman, R. P. Is Stevens's power law valid?	276
Indow, T. Psychophysics: On the possibility of another approach	276
Laming, D. Experimental evidence for Fechner's and Stevens's laws	277
Lukas, J. On various ways of establishing a psychophysical function empirically	281
Macmillan, N. A., Braida, L. D. & Durlach, N. I. Psychophysical laws: A call for deregulation	282
Marks, L. E. G and S go fishing	282
McGill, W. J. Rubber scales and partial quantification	283
Murray, D. J. Nineteenth-century attempts to decide between psychophysical laws	284
Norwich, K. H. The Fechner-Stevens law is the law of transmission of information	285
Parker, S. Psychophysical law: Some doubts about unification	286

<b>Poulton, E. C.</b> Uncertain size of exponent when judging without familiar units	286	<b>Ward, L. M.</b> Option 4: Forswear <i>the</i> psychophysical law	295
<b>Rule, S. J.</b> Magnitude scales, category scales, and number scales	288	<b>Warren, R. M.</b> Sensory magnitudes and their physical correlates	296
<b>Scheerer, E.</b> Conjuring Fechner's spirit	288	<b>Wasserman, G. S. &amp; Wang-Bennett, L. T.</b> Unity and diversity of neurelectric and psychophysical functions: The invariance question	297
<b>Schneider, B.</b> Is there really only one representation for stimulus intensity?	290	<b>Weiss, D. J.</b> Psychophysics and metaphysics	298
<b>Shepard, R. N.</b> On the origin and function of the psychophysical transformation	290		
<b>Teghtsoonian, R. &amp; Teghtsoonian, M.</b> Unified psychophysics: Wouldn't it be lovely? . . .	292		
<b>Treisman, M.</b> Sensory scaling: Unanswered questions	293		
<b>Wagner, M.</b> Fantasies in psychophysical scaling: Do category estimates reflect the true psychophysical scale?	294	<b>Author's Response</b>	
		<b>Krueger, L. E.</b> Psychophysical law: Keep it simple	299
<b>Lightfoot, D.</b> The child's trigger experience: Degree-0 learnability	321		
<b>Open Peer Commentary</b>			
<b>Baker, C. L.</b> Some observations on degree of learnability	334	<b>Lasnik, H.</b> The nature of triggering data	349
<b>Buckingham, H. W.</b> On triggers	335	<b>Lorch, M. P.</b> The true nature of the linguistic trigger	350
<b>Cinque, G.</b> Parameter setting in "instantaneous" and real-time acquisition	336	<b>McCawley, J. D.</b> INFL', Spec, and other fabulous beasts	350
<b>Clark, R.</b> Causality and parameter setting	337	<b>Morgan, J. L.</b> Learnability considerations and the nature of trigger experiences in language acquisition	352
<b>Freidin, R. &amp; Quicoli, A. C.</b> Zero-stimulation for parameter setting	338	<b>Neale, S.</b> On <i>one</i> as an anaphor	353
<b>Grimshaw, A. D.</b> Infinitely nested Chinese "black boxes": Linguists and the search for Universal (innate) Grammar	339	<b>O'Grady, W.</b> Two perspectives on learnability	354
<b>Grimshaw, J. &amp; Pinker, S.</b> Positive and negative evidence in language acquisition	341	<b>Rizzi, L.</b> On the format for parameters	355
<b>Grodzinsky, Y.</b> The language learner: A trigger-happy kid?	342	<b>Schlesinger, I. M.</b> Language acquisition: Dubious assumptions and a specious explanatory principle	356
<b>Haider, H.</b> Language acquisition: What triggers what?	343	<b>Snow, C. E. &amp; Tomasello, M.</b> Data on language input: Incomprehensible omission indeed!	357
<b>Harris, R.</b> Degree-0 explanation	344	<b>Stabler, Jr., E. P.</b> What's a trigger?	358
<b>Joshi, A. K.</b> A possible mathematical specification of "degree-0" or "degree-0 plus a little" learnability	345	<b>Vincent, N.</b> Observing obsolescence	360
<b>Koster, J.</b> Does Universal Grammar exist?	347	<b>Wasow, T.</b> Why degree-0?	361
<b>Kroch, A.</b> Language learning and language change	348	<b>Wilkins, W.</b> Why degree-0?	362
		<b>Williams, E.</b> Linguistic variation and learnability	363
<b>Author's Response</b>			
<b>Lightfoot, D.</b> Matching parameters to simple triggers	364		

## Continuing Commentary

<b>On Macphail, E. M. (1987) The comparative psychology of intelligence. BBS 10:645–95.</b>	377
---	-----

### Author's Response

<b>Macphail, E. M.</b> Comparative psychology: A steady-state universe	377
--	-----