

THE DOUBLE COVER RELATIVE TO A CONVEX DOMAIN AND THE RELATIVE ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITY

JAIGYOUNG CHOE

(Received 18 March 2004; revised 16 February 2005)

Communicated by K. Wysocki

Abstract

We prove that a domain Ω in the exterior of a convex domain C in a four-dimensional simply connected Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature satisfies the relative isoperimetric inequality $64\pi^2 \text{Vol}(\Omega)^3 \leq \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega \sim \partial C)^4$. Equality holds if and only if Ω is an Euclidean half ball and $\partial\Omega \sim \partial C$ is a hemisphere.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 49Q20, 58E35.

1. Introduction

The classical isoperimetric inequality states that if Ω is a domain in \mathbb{R}^n then

$$(1) \quad n^n \omega_n \text{Vol}(\Omega)^{n-1} \leq \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega)^n,$$

where ω_n represents the volume of a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Here equality holds if and only if Ω is a ball. One natural way to extend this optimal inequality is the following. Let \mathbb{H} be a half-space $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_n \geq 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n and let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{H} with $\partial\Omega \cap \partial\mathbb{H} \neq \emptyset$. If we define $\tilde{\Omega} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n) : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega\}$, then it follows from (1) that

$$n^n \omega_n \text{Vol}(\Omega \cup \tilde{\Omega})^{n-1} \leq \text{Vol}(\partial(\Omega \cup \tilde{\Omega}))^n.$$

Dividing this inequality by 2^n yields

$$\frac{1}{2} n^n \omega_n \text{Vol}(\Omega)^{n-1} \leq \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega \sim \partial\mathbb{H})^n.$$

Supported in part by KRF-2003-015-C00056 through RIBS-SNU.

© 2006 Australian Mathematical Society 1446-7887/06 \$A2.00 + 0.00

Motivated by this, one can ask the following question. Given a convex domain $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^n \sim C$ with $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C \neq \emptyset$, does Ω satisfy the relative isoperimetric inequality

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{2} n^n \omega_n \text{Vol}(\Omega)^{n-1} \leq \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega \sim \partial C)^n,$$

with equality holding if and only if Ω is a half-ball and $\partial\Omega \sim \partial C$ is a hemisphere?

In [1] Aubin conjectured that (1) should hold for a domain Ω in an n -dimensional simply connected Riemannian manifold M^n of nonpositive sectional curvature. This conjecture is still open except for the dimensions $n = 2, 3, 4$; these cases were proved by Weil [10], Kleiner [9], and Croke [7], respectively.

Extending Aubin’s conjecture, one can ask the following. Does (2) hold for a simply connected Riemannian manifold M^n of nonpositive sectional curvature, C a convex domain in M , and Ω a domain in $M \sim C$? Does equality hold if and only if Ω is a Euclidean half ball?

One can easily prove (2) in a two-dimensional M by considering the convex hull of Ω . Recently, the relative isoperimetric inequality in M^3 was proved in [6]. In this paper we prove the inequality in M^4 . However, in dimensions higher than four, the problem is still open. In Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , there are some partial results [8, 4] and, recently, a general result [5].

The key idea of this paper in the proof of (2) is that the concavity of $M \sim C$ conforms naturally to the negativity of the curvature of M . We employ Croke’s method [7] in this paper.

We would like to thank Rick Schoen for inviting us to have a valuable sabbatical year at Stanford University in 2002-2003.

2. Double cover of Ω relative to C

Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and SM the unit sphere bundle of M . A geodesic flow Φ_t on M satisfies

$$\gamma_v(t) = \pi \circ \Phi_t(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma'_v(t) = \Phi_t(v)$$

where γ_v denotes the geodesic with initial point $\pi(v)$ and initial velocity vector v , and π is the projection from SM onto M . Note that Φ_t takes SM to itself. Liouville proved that Φ_t preserves the canonical measure on SM , the local product of the Lebesgue measure on the unit tangent spheres with the Riemannian measure on M . From this theorem one obtains Santalo’s formula as follows.

Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a relatively compact domain. For $v \in SM$, we set

$$l(v) = \sup\{\tau : \gamma_v(t) \in \Omega, \quad \forall t \in (0, \tau)\},$$

that is, $\gamma_v(l(v))$ will be the first point on the geodesic to hit $\partial\Omega$. Denote by ν the inward unit normal vector field along $\partial\Omega$, and let $S^+\partial\Omega$ denote the set of inward pointing unit vectors along $\partial\Omega$, that is,

$$S^+\partial\Omega = \{u \in S\overline{\Omega}|_{\partial\Omega} : \langle u, \nu_{\pi(u)} \rangle > 0\}.$$

The measure du on $S^+\partial\Omega$ is the local product of the canonical measure on unit tangent hemispheres with the Riemannian measure on $\partial\Omega$.

Since the measure dv on SM is invariant with respect to the geodesic flow Φ_t , integration on $S\Omega$ can be performed by summing up the one-dimensional integrals along all geodesics in Ω starting from $\partial\Omega$. This is the gist of Santalo’s formula

$$\int_{S\Omega} f(v) dv = \int_{S^+\partial\Omega} \langle u, \nu_{\pi(u)} \rangle du \int_0^{l(u)} f(\Phi_t u) dt.$$

For a proof, see [3, pages 231–232].

A characteristic of the relative isoperimetric inequality is that it does not count the volume of $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$. In other words, $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$ is not considered to be part of the boundary of Ω . This motivates us to consider the *gluing* of Ω with itself along $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$. More precisely, let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be two replicas of Ω , let \approx be the equivalence relation which identifies the two points of $\partial\Omega_1$ and $\partial\Omega_2$ that correspond to a point of $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$, and define $\Omega^* = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 / \approx$. Let us call Ω^* the *double cover of Ω relative to C* . Obviously, Ω^* is a smooth manifold if ∂C is smooth. Its boundary $\partial\Omega^*$ is the double cover of $\partial\Omega \sim \partial C$.

Although the metric of Ω^* is smooth away from ∂C , it is just continuous on $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$. Being a Riemannian manifold, Ω^* has geodesics. When a geodesic of Ω^* moves from Ω_1 to Ω_2 , or the other way around, it bounces off C at $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$ just as a light ray is reflected by a mirror. Given a point p off ∂C and $v \in M_p$ there exists a unique geodesic γ_v starting from p in the direction of v . However, if p is in $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$ and v is tangent to ∂C then there are three geodesics γ_v on Ω^* since there are two identical geodesics γ_v on Ω_1 and Ω_2 , and the third is the geodesic of ∂C in v direction.

Nonuniqueness of geodesics is due to the nonsmoothness of the metric of Ω^* along ∂C . Since the metric is only continuous, the Christoffel symbols Γ^i_{jk} are discontinuous at $p \in \partial C$ and so the sectional curvature can be infinite at p if ∂C is strictly convex. Still, the Jacobi field J is well defined. J is smooth away from ∂C and continuous along ∂C . Because of nonuniqueness of geodesic, the geodesic flow Φ_t on Ω^* along a geodesic path γ is not well defined when γ is tangent to ∂C . However, it is well defined and smooth almost everywhere. In particular, it is not difficult to see that Φ_t is measure preserving along γ when γ is transversal to ∂C . This is because even though the metric of Ω^* is not smooth at $p \in \gamma \cap \partial C$, Φ_t is measure preserving both up to p and after p . Therefore we still have Santalo’s formula on

the C^0 Riemannian manifold Ω^*

$$\int_{S\Omega^*} f(v) dv = \int_{S^+\partial\Omega^*} u_\nu du \int_0^{l(u)} f(\Phi_t u) dt,$$

where $u_\nu := \langle u, \nu_{\pi(u)} \rangle$. Hence letting $f(v) \equiv 1$ gives the following.

LEMMA 2.1. $\text{Vol}(\Omega^*) = (1/n\omega_n) \int_{S^+\partial\Omega^*} l(u)u_\nu du.$

Recall that Ω^* is a double cover of Ω and $\partial\Omega^*$ is a double cover of $\partial\Omega \sim \partial C$. Therefore the relative isoperimetric inequality (2) for $\Omega \subset M$ will follow if we can prove the classical isoperimetric inequality for Ω^* ,

(3) $n^n \omega_n \text{Vol}(\Omega^*)^{n-1} \leq \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega^*)^n.$

For the following lemma let us write $\text{ant } u := -\gamma'_u(l(u))$. See [7] for its proof.

LEMMA 2.2. *For an integrable function g on $S^+\partial\Omega^*$,*

$$\int_{S^+\partial\Omega^*} g(u)u_\nu du = \int_{S^+\partial\Omega^*} g(\text{ant } u)u_\nu du.$$

3. Concavity vs negativity of curvature

Suppose that M is a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold, C is a convex domain in M , and $D \subset M$ is a domain in the exterior of C . Then the Gaussian curvature of D^* along $\partial D \cap \partial C$ can be $-\infty$. For example, let $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ and $D = \{(x, y) : 1 < x^2 + y^2 < 2\}$. Then the integral of the Gaussian curvature of D^* along $\partial D \cap \partial C$ equals -4π . This follows from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem applied to the annulus D^* .

Thus the concavity of D along $\partial D \cap \partial C$ implies the negativity of curvature on $D^* \cap \partial C$. However, this is not the case for a domain Ω in M^n , $n \geq 3$. The sectional curvature of Ω^* along the section of ∂C is even positive. However, if M is simply connected and nonpositively curved, Ω^* still enjoys properties of a negatively curved manifold: (i) the volume of a geodesic ball in Ω^* grows as in a negatively curved manifold; and (ii) two rays emanating from a point never intersect each other. First we need the following.

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose that M is simply connected and nonpositively curved, $C \subset M$ is a convex domain and a domain $\Omega \subset M$ lies in the exterior of C . Suppose that $\sigma : [0, l] \rightarrow \Omega^*$ is a geodesic segment passing through $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$ at $\sigma(a)$ transversally. Then $\sigma(t) \notin \partial\Omega \cap \partial C$ for any $t \neq a$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\sigma \subset \Omega$ hits $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$ when $t = b$. Since M is simply connected and nonpositively curved, σ is the unique geodesic from $\sigma(a)$ to $\sigma(b)$. By the convexity of C , $\sigma(a, b)$ lies in C , which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.1 implies that a geodesic which moves from Ω_1 to Ω_2 transversally crossing $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$ never comes back to Ω_1 . This partially proves property (ii) mentioned above.

Let dx be the volume form of M^n , du_p the volume form of the unit sphere in M_p , and (u, r) the polar coordinates about $p \in M$. Then $dx = h(u, r)du_p dr$ for some positive function $h(u, r)$. If M has nonpositive sectional curvature then $h(u, r) \geq r^{n-1}$ with equality if and only if the sectional curvatures of all sections containing γ'_u are 0 (see [2, Section 11.10]).

LEMMA 3.2. (a) *Let M, C, Ω be as in Lemma 3.1. Then $h(u, r) \geq r^{n-1}$ on Ω^* , with equality for every p if and only if Ω^* is flat.*

(b) *Two rays in Ω^* emanating from a point and transversal to $\partial\Omega \cap \partial C$ never intersect each other.*

PROOF. (a) We have only to consider the case when the geodesic realizing r hits ∂C transversally. Fix $p \in \Omega^*$ and let S be a 2-dimensional surface in Ω^* consisting of geodesics emanating from p . Let $J(t)$ be the Jacobi field along a geodesic $\gamma(t)$ from $p = \gamma(0)$ with $J(0) = 0$, $|J'(0)| = 1$, and $J'(0) \perp \gamma'(0)$. J satisfies the Jacobi equation

$$(4) \quad J'' + R(\gamma', J)\gamma' = 0,$$

where R is the Riemann curvature tensor of S . However, this equation is not well defined because $R = -\infty$ when γ hits ∂C . So let us consider J' instead of J'' . Equation (4) implies that $|J'(t)|$ is nondecreasing as a function of t away from ∂C . When γ hits ∂C , $|J'|$ is discontinuous. The point is that $|J'|$ jumps up on ∂C . This is where the convexity of C plays a key role. Hence $|J'|$ can be said to be nondecreasing everywhere. Therefore

$$|J'(t)| \geq |J'(0)| = 1,$$

and hence

$$(5) \quad |J(t)| \geq t.$$

This inequality implies that the exponential map $\exp : \Omega_p^* \rightarrow \Omega^*$ is length increasing (nondecreasing, to be precise). Now let us show that \exp is volume increasing. Suppose that $d \exp(u_i) = v_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and that v_i are orthogonal to each

other and $v_i \perp \gamma'$. Let U and V be $(n - 1)$ -dimensional parallelepipeds generated by u_i and v_i , respectively. Then

$$\text{Vol}(U) \leq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |u_i| \leq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |v_i| = \text{Vol}(V).$$

Hence \exp is volume increasing and it follows that $h(u, r) \geq r^{n-1}$.

If equality holds at every p , then $\text{Vol}(U) = \text{Vol}(V)$ and so $|u_i| = |v_i|$ and u_i are pairwise orthogonal. Thus \exp is an isometry and Ω^* is flat.

(b) We see from (5) that \exp has nonsingular differential and hence it is a local diffeomorphism. Therefore the exponential map is one-to-one. \square

LEMMA 3.3.

$$\int_{S^+ \partial \Omega^*} \frac{l(u)^{n-1}}{(\text{ant } u)_v} du \leq \text{Vol}(\partial \Omega^*)^2$$

with equality if and only if Ω is flat and convex.

PROOF. Let dA be the volume form of $\partial \Omega^*$. If we denote $B = \exp\{tu : t = l(u)\}$, then $B \subset \partial \Omega^*$ and $dA|_B = h(u, l(u))/(\text{ant } u)_v du_p$. Write $S^+_p \partial \Omega^* = \pi^{-1}\{p\}$ for $\pi : S^+ \partial \Omega^* \rightarrow \partial \Omega^*$. Then the map $\varphi : S^+_p \partial \Omega^* \rightarrow \partial \Omega^*$ defined by $\varphi(u) = \exp(l(u)u)$ is a one-to-one map by Lemma 3.2 (b). This is another place where the convexity of C is critically used. Therefore we have

$$\int_{S^+_p \partial \Omega^*} \frac{h(u, l(u))}{(\text{ant } u)_v} du_p = \text{Vol}(B) \leq \text{Vol}(\partial \Omega^*).$$

Note that $\text{Vol}(B) = \text{Vol}(\partial \Omega^*)$ if and only if $\partial \Omega^*$ is star-shaped from p . Integrating over $p \in \partial \Omega^*$ yields

$$\int_{S^+ \partial \Omega^*} \frac{h(u, l(u))}{(\text{ant } u)_v} du \leq \text{Vol}(\partial \Omega^*)^2$$

with equality if and only if Ω is convex. Thus Lemma 3.2 (a) completes the proof. \square

See [7] for the proof of the following.

LEMMA 3.4.

$$\int_{S^+ \partial \Omega^*} (\text{ant } u)_v^{1/(n-2)} u_v^{(n-1)/(n-2)} du \leq \alpha_n \text{Vol}(\partial \Omega^*)$$

where

$$\alpha_n = (n - 1)\omega_{n-1} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{n/(n-2)} t \sin^{n-2} t dt.$$

Equality holds if and only if $(\text{ant } u)_v = u_v$ everywhere.

4. Theorem

We are now ready to prove the relative isoperimetric inequality for $\Omega \subset M \sim C$.

THEOREM. *Let M be a four-dimensional simply connected Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature. If $C \subset M$ is a convex domain and Ω is a domain in $M \sim C$, then Ω satisfies*

$$64 \pi^2 \text{Vol}(\Omega)^3 \leq \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega \sim \partial C)^4.$$

Equality holds if and only if Ω is a Euclidean half-ball and $\partial\Omega \sim \partial C$ is a hemisphere.

PROOF. We will first prove the classical isoperimetric inequality (3) for Ω^* .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(\Omega^*) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{S^+ \partial\Omega^*} l(u) u_\nu \, du \quad (\text{Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{S^+ \partial\Omega^*} \frac{l(u)}{(\text{ant } u)_\nu^{1/3}} (\text{ant } u)_\nu^{1/3} u_\nu \, du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\int_{S^+ \partial\Omega^*} \frac{l(u)^3}{(\text{ant } u)_\nu} \, du \right)^{1/3} \left(\int_{S^+ \partial\Omega^*} (\text{ant } u)_\nu^{1/2} u_\nu^{3/2} \, du \right)^{2/3} \quad (\text{H\"older}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega^*)^{2/3} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} \right)^{2/3} \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega^*)^{2/3}. \quad (\text{Lemmas 3.3–3.4}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$128 \pi^2 \text{Vol}(\Omega^*)^3 \leq \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega^*)^4.$$

Dividing this inequality by 2^4 gives the desired relative isoperimetric inequality for Ω .

Equality holds only if we have equalities in Lemmas 3.3–3.4 as well as in the H\"older inequality. Hence equality holds only if Ω is flat and convex, $(\text{ant } u)_\nu = u_\nu$, and $l(u) = d u_\nu$ for some constant $d > 0$. Therefore Ω^* is an Euclidean ball of diameter d . □

References

- [1] T. Aubin, ‘Probl\emes isop\erim\etriques et espaces de Sobolev’, *J. Differential Geom.* **11** (1976), 573–598.
- [2] R. Bishop and R. Crittenden, *Geometry of manifolds*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 15 (Academic Press, London, 1964).
- [3] I. Chavel, *Riemannian geometry: A modern introduction*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 108 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).

- [4] J. Choe, 'Relative isoperimetric inequality for domains outside a convex set', *Arch. Inequal. Appl.* **1** (2003), 241–250.
- [5] J. Choe, M. Ghomi and M. Ritoré, 'The relative isoperimetric inequality outside a convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n ', to appear in *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*.
- [6] J. Choe and M. Ritoré, 'The relative isoperimetric inequality in Cartan-Hadamard 3-manifolds', preprint.
- [7] C. Croke, 'A sharp four dimensional isoperimetric inequality', *Comment. Math. Helv.* **59** (1984), 187–192.
- [8] I. Kim, 'An optimal relative isoperimetric inequality in concave cylindrical domains in \mathbb{R}^n ', *J. Inequal. Appl.* **1** (2000), 97–102.
- [9] B. Kleiner, 'An isoperimetric comparison theorem', *Invent. Math.* **108** (1992), 37–47.
- [10] A. Weil, 'Sur les surfaces a courbure negative', *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris* **182** (1926), 1069–1071.

Department of Mathematics
Seoul National University
Seoul 151-742
Korea
URL: www.math.snu.ac.kr/~choe
e-mail: choe@math.snu.ac.kr