

PD213 An Unmet Health-Related Needs Evidence Database For Informing Policy And Innovation Decisions In Health Care

Irina Cleemput (irina.cleemput@kce.fgov.be), Muriel Levy, Claudia Schönborn, Mats De Jaeger, Laurence Kohn, Rani Claerman, Robby De Pauw and Charline Maertens de Noordhout

Introduction: As there is no standard definition of unmet patient or societal needs, the Needs Examination, Evaluation, Dissemination (NEED) project defined criteria for assessing these needs. This study describes the creation of an exploitable evidence database on unmet health-related patient and societal needs for various health conditions, and how this can be used in decision-making.

Methods: The NEED framework defines explicit dimensions (patient, societal, and future needs), domains (health, healthcare, and social needs), and criteria, along with specific measurable indicators, to identify unmet health-related patient and societal needs for various health conditions. For each indicator, information sources were sought, including both quantitative and qualitative evidence. For some indicators, guidance on evidence generation was developed. Possible uses of the NEED database were discussed with national and international panels of experts, stakeholders, and decision-makers.

Results: Data sources were identified for some but not all patient and societal needs criteria. They included existing databases (e.g., the Global Burden of Disease database and administrative databases), literature, and primary data collection. A standardized methodology was defined for primary data collection (quantitative and qualitative). The NEED evidence database can be used by researchers, research funders, regulators, health technology assessment agencies, policy-makers, patients, healthcare providers, etcetera for prioritizing areas of research as well as—thanks to the level of detail provided in the database—to assess the extent to which proposed “solutions” meet the most pressing unmet needs of patients or society.

Conclusions: Once operational, an unmet patient and societal needs evidence database, anchored to an explicit framework, can serve different stakeholders and decision-makers for various purposes. It collects evidence on unmet health-related patient and societal needs for various health conditions, given the current standard of care, independent of any new product or service, and therefore supports a needs-driven healthcare innovation and policy system.

PD214 Appraisal Of Reimbursement Thresholds For Medicines In Brazil’s Private Health System

Marcus Carvalho Borin (marcusborin@gmail.com), Carina Rejane Martins, Daniel Pitchon dos Reis, Geraldo Jose Coelho Ribeiro, Julia Teixeira Tupinambas, Karina de Castro Zocrato, Lelia Maria de Almeida Carvalho, Marcela Pinto de Freitas, Maria da Gloria Cruvinel Horta, Mariana Michel Barbosa, Mariza Cristina Torres Talim, Sergio Adriano Loureiro Bersan and Silvana Marcia Bruschi Kelles

Introduction: Brazil’s public health system serves most of the population, but 25 percent of citizens rely on private health insurance. The National Regulatory Agency for Private Health Insurance and Plans (ANS) regulates private medicine reimbursements, which diverge from the public sector threshold. In 2022, the National Committee for Health Technology Incorporation (CONITEC) set a willingness-to-pay benchmark of BRL40,000 (USD8,215) per quality-adjusted life-year. The ANS has no such benchmark, highlighting a pivotal gap in economic evaluations for private health care.

Methods: This quantitative study investigated the Incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICER) for reimbursed medicines in Brazil’s private health sector, comparing them with CONITEC’s benchmarks and international thresholds. Data were extracted from industry reimbursement submissions to the ANS and analyzed for statistical disparity and policy implications.

Results: Preliminary findings found an ICER peak of BRL619,900 (USD127,220) per quality-adjusted life-year for talazoparib, which is used to treat certain advanced breast cancers. This contrasted sharply with CONITEC’s established threshold, indicating a critical need to evaluate ANS policies.

Conclusions: Early results indicate that the ICERs for some medicines surpass CONITEC’s willingness-to-pay limit, suggesting that the ANS should consider establishing a defined cost-effectiveness threshold. This is imperative to harmonize with global standards and maintain sustainable health financing.