

AN ADDENDUM TO IDEALS AND HIGHER DERIVATIONS IN COMMUTATIVE RINGS

WEI-EIHN KUAN

Let $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a finitely generated ring over a field k , and let $\mathfrak{S}_k(A)$ be the set of all k -higher derivations of A . In [1], we obtained some results on prime ideals in A which are differential under $\mathfrak{S}_k(A)$. In this Addendum similar results are proved for a complete local ring $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, a homomorphic image of the formal power series ring over a field k ; that is, algebraic varieties in [1] are replaced by algebroid varieties. We start with some technical remarks.

Remark 1. Let A' be a ring and $A \subset A'$ be a subring. Let $A'[[t]]$ be the ring of formal power series in t over A' . Let $d \in \text{Hom}(A, A'[[t]])$ such that for each $a \in A$, $d(a) = a + d_1(a)t + d_2(a)t^2 + d_3(a)t^3 + \dots + d_n(a)t^n + \dots$. Then $\{d_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$, where $d_0 = \text{id}_A$, is a higher derivation in $\mathfrak{S}(A, A')$. Conversely every higher derivation $\{d_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \in \mathfrak{S}(A, A')$ defines a ring homomorphism $d \in \text{Hom}(A, A'[[t]])$ such that $d(a) = a + d_1(a)t + \dots + d_n(a)t^n + \dots$ for each $a \in A$. Let $\mathfrak{A} \subset A$, $\mathfrak{A}' \subset A'$ be ideals such that $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{A}' \subset \mathfrak{A}'$. Consider A, A' as topological rings with the \mathfrak{A} -adic and \mathfrak{A}' -adic topologies respectively. It follows from [3, Lemma 1, p. 334] that $\{d_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \in \mathfrak{S}(A, A')$ is continuous, i.e., every d_i is a continuous map.

Remark 2. Let $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be a formal power series ring over a field k . Let $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ be a higher derivation in $\mathfrak{S}_k(k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]])$. Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$; then

$$\Delta_1(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \Delta_1(x_i)$$

and for $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) &= \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \Delta_i(x_j) \\ &\quad + B_i(x_1, \dots, x_n; \Delta_1(x_1), \dots, \Delta_{i-1}(x_n)) \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{ij} \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and

$$B_i \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]][\{\Delta_l(x_j) \mid l = 1, 2, \dots, i-1 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}].$$

Indeed let $\Delta : k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \Rightarrow k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]][[t]]$ be the ring homomorphism

Received December 15, 1975 and in revised form, September 10, 1976.

given rise by $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Then

$$\Delta_t(x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}) = \text{coefficient of } t^i \text{ in } (x_1 + \Delta_1(x_1)t + \dots)^{i_1} \dots (x_n + \Delta_1(x_n)t + \dots)^{i_n}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t(x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i_j}^{i_1 \dots i_n} \Delta_t(x_j) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l_k \leq i-1 \\ 1 \leq j_k \leq n}} a_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_n j_n}^{i_1 \dots i_n} \Delta_{l_1}(x_{j_1}) \Delta_{l_2}(x_{j_2}) + \dots \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq l_k \leq i-1}} a_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_{i-1} j_{i-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_n} \Delta_{l_1}(x_{j_1}) \dots \Delta_{l_{i-1}}(x_{j_{i-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

where

- $a_{i_j}^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ are monomials of degree $(i_1 + \dots + i_n) - 1$,
- $a_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_n j_n}^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ are monomials of degree $(i_1 + \dots + i_n) - 2$, and
- $a_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_{i-1} j_{i-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ are monomials of degree $(i_1 + \dots + i_n) - (i - 1)$.

Thus, if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$, where $\{i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n\}$ is monotone increasing.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \Delta_t(x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}) \\ &= \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_{i_j}^{i_1 \dots i_n} \Delta_t(x_j) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l_k \leq i-1 \\ 1 \leq j_k \leq n}} b_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_n j_n}^{i_1 \dots i_n} \Delta_{l_1}(x_{j_1}) \Delta_{l_2}(x_{j_2}) + \dots \\ &+ \left. \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l_k \leq i-1 \\ 1 \leq j_k \leq n}} b_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_{i-1} j_{i-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_n} \Delta_{l_1}(x_{j_1}) \dots \Delta_{l_{i-1}}(x_{j_{i-1}}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i_j} \Delta_t(x_j) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l_k \leq i-1 \\ 1 \leq j_k \leq n}} A_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_n j_n} \Delta_{l_1}(x_{j_1}) \Delta_{l_2}(x_{j_2}) + \dots \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l_k \leq i-1 \\ 1 \leq j_k \leq n}} A_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_{i-1} j_{i-1}} \Delta_{l_1}(x_{j_1}) \dots \Delta_{l_{i-1}}(x_{j_{i-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{i_j}, \dots, A_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_{i-1} j_{i-1}} \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$.

Remark 3. Let $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be a complete local ring over a field k . Let $\{\delta_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ be a higher derivation in $\mathfrak{S}_k(k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]])$. Then for $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, $\delta_i f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i_j} \delta_i(x_j) + B_i$ where

$$A_{i_j} \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$$

and $B_i \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]\{[\delta_l(x_j) | l = 1, 2, \dots, (i - 1); j = 1, 2, \dots, n]\}$. Let

$k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ be the formal power series ring. Let π be the canonical surjection from $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ to $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ with \mathfrak{A} as its kernel. Then δ can be lifted to a higher derivation $\Delta = \{\Delta_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ of $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ such that \mathfrak{A} is differential under Δ . In fact let $\Delta_i: k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ be a k -linear map such that $\Delta_0(f(X)) = f(X)$ for all $f(X) \in k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$, $\pi(\Delta_i(X_j)) = \delta_i(x_j)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ subjected to Leibnitz's rule. Then $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is a higher derivation: $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$. Since the (X_1, \dots, X_n) -adic completion of $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ is $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ therefore it follows from [2, Proposition 2, p. 41] that $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ can be extended to $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$. Moreover $\Delta_i(\mathfrak{A}) \subset \mathfrak{A}$. Since

$$\Delta_i(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} \Delta_i(X_j) + B_i \text{ where } A_{ij} \in k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$$

and $B_i \in k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]][\{\Delta_i(X_j) | l = 1, 2, \dots, i - 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}]$, it follows that $\delta_i(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \pi(\Delta_i f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \sum \pi(A_{ij}) \cdot \pi \Delta_i(X_j) + \pi(B_i) = A_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \delta_i(x_j) + B_i(x_1, \dots, x_n; \delta_1(x_1), \dots, \delta_{i-1}(x_n))$ where $A_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and $B_i(x_1, \dots, x_n; \delta_1(x_1), \dots, \delta_{i-1}(x_n)) \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]][\{\delta_l(x_j) | l = 1, 2, \dots, i - 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}]$.

LEMMA 2'. Let $\mathfrak{D} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be a complete local ring over a field k , let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in \mathfrak{D} , and let $\mathfrak{N} = \{x \in \mathfrak{D} | xr = 0 \text{ for some } r \in \mathfrak{D} - \mathfrak{p}\}$. If $\delta = \{\delta_i\} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{p})$, then for every positive integer m , there exist $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N} - \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{N}$ such that $\{\delta, k_1\delta_1, \dots, k_m\delta_m\}$ is a higher derivation of rank m from $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$ to itself.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N} = k[[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n]] = k[[\bar{x}]]$ where $\bar{x}_i = x_i + \mathfrak{N}$. By the definition of localization, $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$ is a subring of $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let m be a positive integer. For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $\delta_j(\bar{x}_i) \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, say $\delta_j(\bar{x}_i) = u_{ij}(\bar{x})/v_{ij}(\bar{x})$. Let $d_j = \prod_{i=1}^n v_{ij}(\bar{x})$, then $d_j \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N} - \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{N}$. Set $t_j = d_1^j d_2^j \dots d_{j-1}^j d_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we claim that, as additive group homomorphisms $\{t_j \delta_j\}_{j=0}^m \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N})$. Suffice to check $t_j \delta_j(f) \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$. Let $f \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$, and let $f = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \bar{x}_1^{i_1} \dots \bar{x}_n^{i_n}$, $a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \in k$, $t_j \delta_j(f) = t_j(\sum_{i=1}^n A_{ji} \delta_j(\bar{x}_i) + B_j)$ where $A_{ji} \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$ and $B_j \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}[\{\delta_i(\bar{x}_i) | i = 1, 2, \dots, n, l = 1, 2, \dots, j - 1\}]$. Thus $t_j A_{ji} \delta_j(\bar{x}_i) \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$. Since $B_j = \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$ -linear combination of power products of $\delta_i(\bar{x}_i)$ involving at most j of $\delta_i(x_i)$ counting repeated ones for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n; l = 1, 2, \dots, j - 1$. Thus $t_j B_j = d_1^j d_2^j \dots d_{j-1}^j d_j B_j \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$ also. Hence $t_j \delta_j(f) \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$. Now set $k_i = (t_1^m \dots t_{m-1}^m t_m)^i$, $\{\delta_0, k_1 \delta_1, \dots, k_m \delta_m\} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N})$.

THEOREM 3'. Let $\mathfrak{D} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be a complete local ring over a field k , let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in \mathfrak{D} . If \mathfrak{p} is differential under all k -higher derivation of finite rank m for all m , then $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is differential under all k -higher derivations of finite or infinite rank.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{N} be the kernel of the canonical surjection $\mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and let $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N} = k[[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n]]$, $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$ is a subring of $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{q}_i$ be a pri-

mary decomposition of the zero ideal in \mathfrak{D} . Suppose $q_{it} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and $q_i \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$ for $i > t$. Then $\mathfrak{N} = \bigcap_{i=1}^t q_i$.

Suppose $\mathfrak{pD}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not differential under $\mathfrak{S}_k(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}})$, then there exists a higher derivation $\{\delta_i\} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $\delta_m(\mathfrak{pD}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \not\subset \mathfrak{pD}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $m \geq 1$. Suppose m is the least index such that $\delta_m(\mathfrak{pD}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \not\subset \mathfrak{pD}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. $\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and is of rank m . It follows from Lemma 2', there exists $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N} - \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{N}$ such that $\{t_i \delta_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \mathfrak{S}_k(\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N})$. For $j < m$, $t_j \delta_j(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{N}) \subset \mathfrak{pD}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{N}$ and $\delta_m(\mathfrak{pD}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \not\subset \mathfrak{pD}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ yields $t_m \delta_m(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{N}) \not\subset \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{N}$. Let $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N} = k[[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n]]$ and $\bar{\pi}$ be the canonical surjection from the formal power series ring $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ to $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$. $\{t_0 \delta_0, \dots, t_m \delta_m\}$, can be lifted to

$$\{\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m\} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]),$$

according to Remark 3. Let \mathfrak{N}' be the pre-image in $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ of \mathfrak{N} . Then \mathfrak{N}' is $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -differential, i.e. $\Delta_i(\mathfrak{N}') \subset \mathfrak{N}'$. Let \mathfrak{p}' and q_i' be the pre-image of \mathfrak{p} and q_i in $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ respectively. Then $\mathfrak{N}' = q_1' \cap \dots \cap q_s'$. Let $d \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^s q_i') - \mathfrak{p}'$, $\{d^i \Delta_i\}_{i=0}^m$ is a higher derivation on $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$. Let $\mathfrak{N}'' = \bigcap_{i=1}^s q_i'$. Then $\mathfrak{N}' \subset \mathfrak{N}''$ and $d^i \Delta_i(\mathfrak{N}') \subset d^i \cdot \mathfrak{N}' \subset (q_1' \cap \dots \cap q_s') \cap (q_{i+1}' \cap \dots \cap q_s') = \mathfrak{N}''$. Hence \mathfrak{N}'' is $\{d^i \Delta_i\}$ -differential. Thus $\{d^i \Delta_i\}_{i=1}^m$ induces a higher derivation $\{d^i \Delta_i\}_{i=1}^m$ on $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/\mathfrak{N}'' = \mathfrak{D}$. Since $d_m \delta_m(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{N}) \not\subset \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{N}$, therefore $\Delta_m(\mathfrak{p}') \not\subset \mathfrak{p}'$. Thus $d^m \Delta_m(\mathfrak{p}) \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$, i.e. \mathfrak{p} is not $\{d^i \Delta_i\}_{i=1}^m$ -differential, a contradiction to the hypothesis.

Let k be a field X_1, \dots, X_n indeterminates over k , $\Sigma = k((X_1, \dots, X_n)) =$ quotient field of $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$. Let $u = \{u_{ij} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ and t be indeterminates over Σ . The mapping $q : k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]][u][[t]]$ defined by the substitution $X_i \rightarrow X_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{ij} t^j$ is a continuous k -homomorphism. Let

$$a \in k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]], a = \sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} q(a) &= \sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \left(X_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{1j} t^j \right)^{i_1} \dots \left(X_n + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{nj} t^j \right)^{i_n} \\ &= \sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n} + q_1(a)t + \dots + q_j(a)t^j + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $q_j(a) \in k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]][u]$. Set $q_0 =$ identity map on $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$. Then, by Remark 1, $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]], k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]][u])$, and q_j 's are continuous for all j . By Remark 2, $q_j(k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]) \subset k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]][\{u_{il} | l = 1, 2, \dots, j-1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}]$. Let $\mathfrak{D} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be a complete local domain over a field k , $\Sigma = k((x_1, \dots, x_n))$ its quotient field. Let $\bar{u} = \{\bar{u}_{ij} \in \Sigma | i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ be a collection of elements in Σ . Let $u_j = \{u_{il} | l = 1, 2, \dots, j; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let $\bar{u}_j = \{\bar{u}_{il} | l = 1, 2, \dots, j; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let

$$\pi^{(j)} : k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]][u_j] \rightarrow k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]][\bar{u}_j] \subset \Sigma$$

be the canonical k -homomorphism such that $\pi^{(j)}(X_i) = x_i$ and $\pi^{(j)}(u_{it}) =$

\bar{u}_{li} for $l = 1, 2, \dots, j$, and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$. We say $\bar{u} = \{\bar{u}_{ij}\}$ is a set of solutions of $q_j(f) = 0$ if and only if $\pi^{(j)}(q_j(f)) = 0$. The notations $\pi^{(j)}, q_j$ are to be used in the following.

LEMMA 3'. Let $\mathfrak{D} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be a complete local domain over a field k , Σ its quotient field. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (f_1, \dots, f_r) \subset k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ be the kernel of the canonical homomorphism $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$. If $\delta = \{\delta_i\} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(\Sigma, \Sigma)$ then the set $\{\bar{u}_{ij} \in \Sigma | \bar{u}_{ij} = \delta_j(x_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ is a set of solutions of the equation

$$(3') \quad q_j(f_m) = 0, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, r, j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty.$$

Conversely, if a subset $\{\bar{u}_{ij} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ of Σ is a family of solutions of (3'), then there is a higher derivation $\delta = \{\delta_j\} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(\Sigma, \Sigma)$ such that $\delta_j(x_i) = \bar{u}_{ij}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$.

Proof: $f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Since $\delta = \{\delta_j\} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(\Sigma, \Sigma)$, $\delta_j(f_m) = 0$. By Remark 2, $0 = \delta_i(f_m) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{mji}(x_1, \dots, x_n)\delta_j(x_i) + B_m$ where $A_{mji}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and $B_m \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]][[\{\delta_l(x_i) | l = 1, 2, \dots, i - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}]] \subset \Sigma$. Therefore $\{\delta_j(x_i) | i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ solves the system $q_j(f_m) = 0$ in Σ .

Conversely, if $\{\bar{u}_{ij} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\} \subset \Sigma$ form a family of solutions to the system $q_j(f_m) = 0$. Then we can find a $\delta = \{\delta_j\} \in \mathfrak{S}(\Sigma, \Sigma)$ such that $\delta_j(x_i) = \bar{u}_{ij}$ as follows: For $g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$, and for $j \geq 1$, set $\delta_j(g(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \pi^{(j)}(q_j(g(X_1, \dots, X_n)))$, where $\pi^{(j)}, q_j$ are the same as defined in the preceding. δ_j is well defined and $\{\delta_j\} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]], \Sigma)$ and $\delta_j(x_i) = \bar{u}_{ij}$. By [2, Lemma 2, p. 35], $\{\delta_j\}$ can be extended to Σ .

The following theorem shows that simple algebroid sub-varieties of an algebroid variety yield non-differential ideals.

THEOREM 4'. Let $\mathfrak{D} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be a complete local ring containing a field k . Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{D}$ be a non-minimal prime ideal such that $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a regular local ring. Then $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not differential under $\mathfrak{S}_k(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{N} be the kernel of the canonical homomorphism $\mathfrak{D} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completion of $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then it is well known from [5, Corollary, p. 307] that $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}} = K[[t_1, \dots, t_r]]$, a formal power series ring over a field K with $K \cong \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume K contains k . It follows from Lemma 3', by taking $\bar{u} = \{\bar{u}_{ij} | \bar{u}_{11} = 1$ and $\bar{u}_{ij} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$, and noting that \mathfrak{A} in the Lemma 3' is the zero ideal, that there exists a higher derivation $\{\delta_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \in \mathfrak{S}_K(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $\delta_j(t_i) = \bar{u}_{ij}$. Let $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N} = k[[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n]]$, we may assume $t_1 \in \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{N}$. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (f_1, \dots, f_s)k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ be the kernel of the canonical homomorphism $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \Rightarrow k[[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n]]$. Then the system $\sum f_m'(\bar{x})\delta_1(x_i) = 0$, $\sum t_1'(\bar{x})\delta_1(x_i) - 1 = 0$ where $f_m' = \partial f_m / \partial X_i, t_1' = \partial t_1(X) / \partial X_i$ with $t_1(X)$

being a representative of t_1 in $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$, and for each $j = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ the linear system $\sum_{j=1}^n A_{mji} d_j(\bar{x}_i) + B_m = 0$ where $m = 1, 2, \dots, s$, $A_{mji} \in k[[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n]]$ and

$$B_m \in k[[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n]][\{\delta_i(\bar{x}_i) | l = 1, 2, \dots, (j-1), i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}]$$

have solution set $= \{\delta_j(x_i) | i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ in $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus by [4, Lemma p. 39], the linear system $q_1(f_m) = 0$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, s$ and $q_1(t_1(X)) - 1 = 0$, and for each $i = 2, \dots, \infty$ the linear system $q_j(f_m) = 0$ $m = 1, 2, \dots, s$ have solutions set $\bar{u} = \{\bar{u}_{ij} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus it follows from Lemma 3' that there is a higher derivation $\{\delta'_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{R}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}})$, such that $\delta'_j(t_1) = 1$. Extending $\{\delta'_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ we have thus a higher derivation $\{\delta'_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathfrak{S}_k(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $\delta'_1(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \not\subset \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

I would like to thank the referee for his comments.

Added in proof. W. C. Brown and the author have noted that Example 3 in [1] is incorrect. A correct example is as follows: Let k denote a field of characteristic two. Set $\mathcal{O} = k[[X]]$, the power series ring in one indeterminate X over k . We can define a higher derivative $D = \{\delta_i\}$ on \mathcal{O} by setting $\delta_i(X) = 1$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then $\delta_2(X^2) = 1$, but there exists no subring $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}$ such that X^2 is analytically independent over \mathcal{O}_1 and $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1[[X^2]]$.

Thus, the conjecture mentioned in [1] before Example 3 is false even for regular local rings.

REFERENCES

1. W. Brown and W. Kuan, *Ideals and higher derivations in commutative rings*, Can. J. Math. 24 (1972), 400–415.
2. N. Heerema, *Convergent higher derivations on local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (1968), 31–44.
3. W. Kuan, *A note on a lemma of Zariski and higher derivations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1974), 333–340.
4. A. Seidenberg, *Differential ideals in rings of finitely generated type*, Amer. Jour. Math. 89 (1967), 22–42.
5. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. 2 (New York, 1960).

*Michigan State University,
East Lansing, Michigan*