

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Modulo ℓ distinction problems

Peiyi Cui, Thomas Lanard D and Hengfei Lu

Compositio Math. 160 (2024), 2285–2321.

 ${\rm doi:} 10.1112/S0010437X24007346$











Peiyi Cui, Thomas Lanard D and Hengfei Lu

Abstract

Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic different from 2 and residual characteristic p. This paper concerns the ℓ -modular representations of a connected reductive group G distinguished by a Galois involution, with ℓ an odd prime different from p. We start by proving a general theorem allowing to lift supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representations of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ distinguished by an arbitrary closed subgroup H to a distinguished supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation. Given a quadratic field extension E/Fand an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation π of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$, we verify the Jacquet conjecture in the modular setting that if the Langlands parameter ϕ_{π} is irreducible and conjugateselfdual, then π is either $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ -distinguished or $(\operatorname{GL}_n(F), \omega_{E/F})$ -distinguished (where $\omega_{E/F}$ is the quadratic character of F^{\times} associated to the quadratic field extension E/Fby the local class field theory), but not both, which extends one result of Sécherre to the case p = 2. We give another application of our lifting theorem for supercuspidal representations distinguished by a unitary involution, extending one result of Zou to p=2. After that, we give a complete classification of the $GL_2(F)$ -distinguished representations of $GL_2(E)$. Using this classification we discuss a modular version of the Prasad conjecture for PGL_2 . We show that the 'classical' Prasad conjecture fails in the modular setting. We propose a solution using non-nilpotent Weil–Deligne representations. Finally, we apply the restriction method of Anandavardhanan and Prasad to classify the $SL_2(F)$ -distinguished modular representations of $SL_2(E)$.

Contents

1	Introduction	2285
2	Notation	2290
3	Distinguished supercuspidal representations of GL_n	2291
4	The $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished representations	2299
5	The Prasad conjecture for ℓ -modular representations of PGL ₂	2303
6	The $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ -distinguished representations	2309
Acknowledgements		2319
References		2319

1. Introduction

Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic different from 2 and residual characteristic p and G the F-points of a reductive group defined over F. Let R be an algebraically closed

Received 4 October 2022, accepted in final form 22 March 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification 22E50 (primary).

Keywords: modular representation, distinction problem, Prasad's conjecture.

© The Author(s), 2024. The publishing rights in this article are licensed to Foundation Compositio Mathematica under an exclusive licence.

field of characteristic different from p. A representation π of a group G, with coefficients in R, is said to be *distinguished* with respect to a subgroup H of G if it admits a non-trivial H-invariant linear form. More generally, if χ is a character of H, we will say that π is (H, χ) -distinguished if there exists a non-trivial linear functional on the space of π on which H acts via χ , i.e. $f: \pi \to R$ such that

$$f(\pi(h)v) = \chi(h)f(v)$$

for all $h \in H$ and $v \in \pi$.

Distinguished representations are central objects in the study of the relative Langlands program. The distinction problem is closely related to the Langlands functorial conjectures and it should be possible to characterize the (H, χ) -distinguished representations as the images with respect to a functorial transfer to G from a third group G' in many cases. There exists a rich literature, such as [AP03, SaVe17, Lu18, Lu20], trying to classify all H-distinguished complex representations of G. Furthermore, when θ is the Galois involution of order 2 and $H = G^{\theta}$, Prasad [Pra15] constructs χ_H a quadratic character of H and gives a precise conjecture for the multiplicity dim_C Hom_H(π, χ_H) in terms of the enhanced Langlands parameter of π .

More recently, mathematicians have been interested in modular representations of *p*-adic groups, which are smooth representations with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$, with $\ell \neq p$. The theory of ℓ -modular representations has been initiated by Vignéras in [Vig96]. These works are motivated by a modular local Langlands program and studying congruences between automorphic forms (which have been used to prove many remarkable theorems of arithmetic geometry).

For modular representations, much remains to be done for the distinction problems. Sécherre and Venketasubramanian have examined the pair $(G, H) = (\operatorname{GL}_n(F), \operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(F))$ in [SéVe17]. Sécherre [Séc19] also investigated the pair $(\operatorname{GL}_n(E), \operatorname{GL}_n(F))$ for supercuspidal representations with p odd, where E is a quadratic extension of F. Ongoing work by Kurinczuk, Matringe and Sécherre aims at extending the results of the pair $(\operatorname{GL}_n(E), \operatorname{GL}_n(F))$ to all representations (see [KMS23]).

In this paper, we consider a quadratic field extension E/F of locally compact nonarchimedean local fields of characteristic different from 2 and residual characteristic p. Denote by q_F (respectively, q_E) the cardinality of the residue field of F (respectively, E). Let ℓ be an odd prime different from p. We are interested in the distinction problems for the pairs ($\operatorname{GL}_n(E), \operatorname{GL}_n(F)$) and ($\operatorname{SL}_2(E), \operatorname{SL}_2(F)$). We also give a modular version of the Prasad conjecture for PGL₂.

We would like to point out that the ongoing work [KMS23] of Kurinczuk, Matringe and Sécherre also gives a classification of all irreducible $GL_2(F)$ -distinguished representations of $GL_2(E)$ (at least for $p \neq 2$). However, our methods and theirs are completely different. They use the gamma factors and the epsilon factors whereas in this article we use Mackey theory.

1.1 Distinguished supercuspidal representations of GL_n

A $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ of a *p*-adic group *G* is said to be ℓ -integral if it admits a free $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}$ -submodule *L* of \tilde{V} , stable by *G*, such that $L \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell} = \tilde{V}$. If (π, V) is an irreducible ℓ -integral $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation and *L* is a $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}[G]$ -lattice of *V* of finite type, then the semi-simplification of $L \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ does not depend on the choice of *L* and is called the *reduction modulo* ℓ of π (we refer to [Vig96] for more details). If π is an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of *G*, an ℓ -integral irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation $\tilde{\pi}$ is called a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of π if its reduction modulo ℓ is π .

Our first result, discussed in $\S3$, is the following.

THEOREM 1.1 (Theorem 3.4). Let H be a closed subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$. Let π be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ which is H-distinguished. Then there exists a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift $\tilde{\pi}$ of π such that it is supercuspidal and distinguished by H.

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 is valid even for F of characteristic 2.

The proof depends on the type theory and the existence of a projective envelope for supercuspidal types. This is a very general result allowing us to transfer the problem from modular representations to complex representations. As an immediate consequence, we get the following.

COROLLARY 1.3 (Corollary 3.5). There is no supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{2n}(F)$ distinguished by $\operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(F)$.

We also give two other applications. The first is to study supercuspidal representations of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ distinguished by a Galois involution. For $\ell \neq 2$, we prove the *dichotomy theorem* and the *disjunction theorem*. Let $\omega_{E/F}$ be the quadratic character of F^{\times} associated to the field extension E/F by the local class field theory and let σ be the non-trivial *F*-automorphism of *E*. A representation π of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ is said σ -selfdual if $\pi^{\vee} \simeq \pi^{\sigma}$, where π^{\vee} is the contragredient representation of π .

THEOREM 1.4 (Theorem 3.14). Let π be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$. Then π is σ -selfdual if and only if it is distinguished or $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$. Moreover, π cannot be both distinguished and $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$.

For the complex representations, it is called the Jacquet conjecture proved by Kable in [Kab04]. We first show that one can lift σ -selfdual supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representations to σ -selfdual supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representations. This follows from the fact that since ℓ is odd, the number of inertial classes of such lifts is odd, hence has a fixed point under σ -duality. Then we prove that all these supercuspidal lifts share the same sign due to Schur's lemma. Combining with Theorem 1.1, this allows us to deduce the Jacquet conjecture for modular representations from complex representations.

Remark 1.5. When $p \neq 2$, the distinguished supercuspidal representations were studied by Sécherre in [Séc19] (with no restriction on ℓ). Our method is different from that used in [Séc19] and works for $\ell \neq 2$ but for any p. Therefore, combining the results in this paper and in [Séc19] gives the complete result for distinguished supercuspidal representations for all ℓ and p with $\ell \neq p$.

We also have a characterization using the local Langlands correspondence of Vignéras.

PROPOSITION 1.6 (Proposition 3.15). Let π be a σ -selfdual supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ and φ its Langlands parameter. Then π is distinguished (respectively, $\omega_{E/F}$ distinguished) by $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ if and only if φ_{π} is conjugate-orthogonal (respectively, conjugatesymplectic).

The last application, is for representations distinguished by a unitary involution. Let $\ell \neq 2$ and ς be a unitary involution of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$, that is $\varsigma(g) = \varepsilon \sigma({}^t g^{-1}) \varepsilon^{-1}$ where ε is a hermitian matrix in $M_n(E)$.

THEOREM 1.7 (Theorem 3.17). Let π be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ and ς a unitary involution of G. Then π is distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)^{\varsigma}$ if and only if $\pi^{\sigma} \simeq \pi$.

This extends the result of [Zou22] to the case p = 2 when F has characteristic zero.

1.2 Modular distinguished representations for $(GL_2(E), GL_2(F))$

In §4, we complete the classification of all representations of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. Let χ_1, χ_2 be two characters of E^{\times} . We use Mackey theory to show that the principal series

representation $\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ is distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ if and only if either $\chi_1 \chi_2^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi_1|_{F^{\times}} = \chi_2|_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ with $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$. (See Lemma 4.3 for more details.)

To get a complete classification, we are just missing irreducible subquotients of nonirreducible principal series. Denote by $\nu^{1/2}$ the unramified character of E^{\times} sending a uniformizer to $q_E^{1/2}$ where the square root $q_E^{1/2}$ of q_E is fixed. Let χ be a character of E^{\times} . When $q_E \not\equiv -1$ (mod ℓ), we denote by St_{χ} the twisted Steinberg representation, that is the unique generic irreducible subquotient of $\pi(\chi\nu^{-1/2},\chi\nu^{1/2})$. In the case $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, the generic irreducible subquotient of $\pi(\chi\nu^{-1/2},\chi\nu^{1/2})$ is the special representation, denoted by Sp_{χ} .

THEOREM 1.8 (Theorem 4.6). Let χ be a character of E^{\times} .

- (i) If $\ell \nmid q_E^2 1$, then St_{χ} is $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished if and only if $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$.
- (ii) If $\ell \mid q_E + 1$, then Sp_{χ} is $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished if and only if $\ell \mid q_F + 1$ and $\chi_{\mid F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$ or $\nu_{\mid F^{\times}}^{1/2}$.
- (iii) If $\ell \mid q_E 1$, then St_{χ} is $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished if and only if $\chi_{\mid F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$ or $\chi_{\mid F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ with $\ell \mid q_F 1$.

Remark 1.9. From Theorem 1.8, we would like to highlight the fact that we have in the modular case new phenomena that do not appear in the complex setting.

- When $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, the Steinberg representation St is both $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished and $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \omega_{E/F})$ -distinguished.
- When $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and E/F is unramified (that is, $q_F^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and so $q_F \not\equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and so $q_F \not\equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$) the special representation Sp is neither $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished nor $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \omega_{E/F})$ -distinguished. This has been mentioned by Sécherre in [Séc19, Remark 2.8].
- When $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and E/F is ramified (that is, $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$) the special representation Sp is $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \omega_{E/F})$ -distinguished and is also $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \nu_{|F^{\times}|}^{1/2})$ -distinguished.

1.3 The modulo ℓ Prasad conjecture

In § 5, we discuss the Prasad conjecture for modular representations. In [Pra15], Prasad proposed a conjecture for the multiplicity $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G(F)}(\pi, \chi_G)$ under the local Langlands conjecture, where G is a quasi-split reductive group defined over F, π is an irreducible smooth representation of G(E) lying in a generic L-packet and χ_G is a quadratic character depending on G and the quadratic extension E/F. Since the local Langlands correspondence for the ℓ -modular representations of G(F) has not been set up in general, except for $G = \operatorname{GL}_n$, we are concerned only with the simplest case where $G = \operatorname{PGL}_2$.

The modulo ℓ local Langlands correspondence for GL₂ has been defined by Vignéras in [Vig01a], and is a map $V : \operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{GL}_2(E)) \to \operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_E, \operatorname{GL}_2)$, where $\operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_E, \operatorname{GL}_2)$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of nilpotent semisimple Weil–Deligne representations. Let π be an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ with central character ω_{π} . Since det $V(\pi) = \omega_{\pi}$ as characters of W_E , it induces a map

$$PV : \operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{PGL}_2(E)) \to \operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2).$$

Using this correspondence, the Prasad conjecture is not valid for ℓ -modular representations (at least in the non-banal case). Actually, it does not work for any map $L : \operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{PGL}_2(E)) \to \operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)$ having the same semisimple part as PV (see § 5.2).

In order to propose a solution for ℓ -modular representations, we will consider nonnilpotent Weil–Deligne representations as in [KM21]. Let WDRep_R(W_E, GL₂) denote the set of isomorphism classes of semisimple Weil–Deligne representation of GL₂. Kurinczuk and Matringe define in [KM21] an equivalence relation ~ on WDRep_R(W_E, GL₂), and we denote by [WDRep_R(W_E, GL₂)] the quotient set.

Then we define an injection from $\operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)$ to $[\operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)]$ (which is not the trivial one in the non-banal case) in the following way. Let χ be a quadratic character of E^{\times} . If $\ell \mid q_E - 1$, we denote by $\Psi_{\operatorname{St},\chi} \in \operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)$, the Weil–Deligne representation $\Psi_{\operatorname{St},\chi} = (\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{-1/2}, N)$ with $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $\ell \mid q_E + 1$, let $\Psi_{\operatorname{Sp},\chi} := (\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{1/2}, 0)$. We define an injection

$$P: \operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2) \hookrightarrow [\operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)]$$

by

$$P(\Psi) = \begin{cases} \left[\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{-1/2}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \text{if } \ell \mid q_E - 1 \text{ and } \Psi = \Psi_{\operatorname{St},\chi}, \\ \left[\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{1/2}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \text{if } \ell \mid q_E + 1 \text{ and } \Psi = \Psi_{\operatorname{Sp},\chi}, \\ \left[\Psi \right] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we prove a modulo ℓ version of the Prasad conjecture, using our modified injection P.

THEOREM 1.10 (Theorem 5.14). Let π be an irreducible generic $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$. Then π is $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished by $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ if and only if there exists $\Psi_F \in \mathrm{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \mathrm{SL}_2)$ such that $\Psi_{F|W_E} \sim P \circ PV(\pi)$.

Remark 1.11. (i) In the banal case, i.e. $\ell \nmid q_E^2 - 1$, [WDRep_{$\overline{\mathbf{F}}_\ell$}(W_E , SL₂)] = Nilp_{$\overline{\mathbf{F}}_\ell$}(W_E , SL₂) and P is the trivial injection, so we found the 'classical' Prasad conjecture.

(ii) When $\ell \mid q_E + 1$, $\ell \mid q_F + 1$ and $\pi = \operatorname{Sp}_{\chi}$ for a quadratic character χ of E^{\times} such that $\chi_{\mid F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F} \nu_{\mid F^{\times}}^{1/2}$, then the representation Ψ_F is not the Langlands parameter of any representation of $\operatorname{PGL}_2(F)$ (nor it is in the image of P).

1.4 Modular distinguished representations for $(SL_2(E), SL_2(F))$

In the last part, § 6, we use our classification of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ and the restriction method of [AP03] to classify $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ -distinguished representations for $\operatorname{SL}_2(E)$ in the modular setting.

Let π be an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$. Denote by $\lg(\pi)$ the length of $\pi|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$ and by $\lg_+(\pi)$ the length of $\pi|_{\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)}$, where $\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$, consisting of elements whose determinants belong to $F^{\times}E^{\times 2}$. Let \mathfrak{p}_E be a uniformizer of E, and \mathfrak{o}_E be the ring of integers of E. We fix an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character ψ_0 of E, which is non-trivial on \mathfrak{o}_E and is trivial on both \mathfrak{p}_E and F.

For supercuspidal representations, we will adapt the methods of [AP03] to prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 1.12 (Theorem 6.14). Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ distinguished by $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$, and π^+ the unique irreducible component of $\pi|_{\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)}$ that is ψ_0 -generic. Then π^+ is distinguished by $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$. Furthermore, let τ be an irreducible component of $\pi|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$, distinguished by $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$. Then τ is an irreducible component of $\pi^+|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$.

THEOREM 1.13 (Theorem 6.15). Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$, and τ an irreducible component of $\pi|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$. Suppose τ is distinguished by $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$. Then,

dimHom_{SL2(F)}(
$$\tau$$
, **1**) =

$$\begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } \pi|_{\text{SL}_2(E)} \cong \tau, \\
1 & \text{if } \lg_+(\pi) = 2 \text{ and } \lg(\pi) = 4, \\
2 & \text{if } \lg_+(\pi) = \lg(\pi) = 2, \\
4 & \text{if } \lg_+(\pi) = \lg(\pi) = 4.
\end{cases}$$

The first case and the last case arise only when p = 2.

We also have a criterion for principal series representations. See Theorem 6.16 for more details.

Along the way, we prove the existence of a good lift for supercuspidal representations of $\operatorname{SL}_2(E)$. Let τ be an irreducible cuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{SL}_2(E)$, and $\tilde{\tau}$ an irreducible cuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{SL}_2(E)$, which is ℓ -integral. We say that $\tilde{\tau}$ is a good $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of τ , if the reduction modulo ℓ of $\tilde{\tau}$ is irreducible and isomorphic to τ . We prove in Proposition 6.7 that any supercuspidal representation of $\operatorname{SL}_2(E)$ always admits a good $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift.

2. Notation

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of locally compact non-archimedean local fields of characteristic different from 2 and residual characteristic p. Denote by q_F (respectively, q_E) the cardinality of the residue field of F (respectively, E). Let W_F be the Weil group of F. We denote by σ the non-trivial F-automorphism of E.

Denote by $\omega_{E/F}$ the quadratic character of F^{\times} associated to the quadratic field extension E/F by the local class field theory. We may identify the characters of W_F and the characters of F^{\times} by the local class field theory. Let $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$ be the norm from E to F.

For $n \geq 1$, we denote by GL_n the general linear group, and by SL_n the special linear group. Denote the standard Borel subgroup of GL_n by B_n . Let π_i (i = 1, 2) be an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{n_i}(F)$. Denote by $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ the tensor product representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{n_1}(F) \times \operatorname{GL}_{n_2}(F)$. For a character χ of F^{\times} , we will also denote by χ the character $\chi \circ \det$ of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$. The trivial character will be denoted by **1**. Let ν_n be the character $g \mapsto |\det(g)|$ of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$.

Let H be a subgroup of G. Let π be an irreducible representation of G. We say that π is H-distinguished if $\operatorname{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$. In case that the subgroup H is clear, we say that π is distinguished sometimes.

In this article, ℓ is an odd prime number different from p (except for § 3.1, where $\ell = 2$ is also allowed).

Remark 2.1. This paper is written for F of characteristic different from 2. However, our main theorem, Theorem 3.4, which allows lifting ℓ -modular supercuspidal distinguished representations to ℓ -adic distinguished representations works without any assumption on the characteristic. Therefore, in § 3.1 only, no assumption on the characteristic of F will be made. After that, we always assume the characteristic to be different from 2 because we use results from the theory of complex representations which are only written with this assumption. For instance, the Jacquet conjecture follows from [Kab04], written in characteristic zero, but which also works for positive odd characteristic by [AKMSS21, Appendix A] (or [Jo23]).

3. Distinguished supercuspidal representations of GL_n

In this section, we study the distinguished supercuspidal representation of GL_n . First, we prove a lifting theorem for supercuspidal representations distinguished by an arbitrary closed subgroup H. This theorem allows us to study the supercuspidal representations of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ distinguished by a Galois involution, and extend the result of [Séc19] to the case p = 2. We also give an application to supercuspidal representations of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ distinguished by unitary groups.

3.1 Lifting of modular distinguished representation

In this section, $G = \operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ and H is an arbitrary closed subgroup of G. In this part only, F could be of any characteristic and we do not require the prime ℓ to be odd, just $\ell \neq p$. We want to prove that a supercuspidal H-distinguished $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation can be lifted to a supercuspidal H-distinguished $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation. This allows bringing the modular distinction problems to the complex setting. To do that, we use type theory and the existence of a projective envelope.

We start with a few lemmas. Let K be a finite field extension of F. We denote by ϖ_K (respectively, ϖ_F) a uniformizer of K (respectively, F). Let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of K, and for an integer $i \ge 1$, we denote by U_K^i the subgroup of \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} defined by $U_K^i := \{1 + \varpi_K^i u, u \in \mathcal{O}_K\}$. LEMMA 3.1. Let $i \ge 1$ and $x \in U_K^i$. Then $x^p \in U_K^{i+1}$.

Proof. Let us write $x = 1 + \varpi_K^i u$, with $u \in \mathcal{O}_K$. The binomial expansion gives us $x^p = 1 + p \varpi_K^i u + \varpi_K^{2i} u'$, with $u' \in \mathcal{O}_K$. But ϖ_K divides p in \mathcal{O}_K so $x^p \in U_K^{i+1}$.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then there exist two integers $m \ge 1$ and $s \ge 1$ such that $\varpi_K^m \in \varpi_F^s U_K^N$.

Proof. Let e be the ramification index of K over F. Then $\varpi_K^e = \varpi_F u$, with $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$. Now $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times}/U_K^1$ is isomorphic to k_K^{\times} the residue field of K of cardinal $q_K - 1$. Therefore, $x := u^{q_K - 1} \in U_K^1$ and from Lemma 3.1, $x^{p^N} \in U_K^N$. We get the result with $m = e(q_K - 1)p^N$ and $s = (q_K - 1)p^N$. \Box

Let $G := \operatorname{GL}_n(F)$. Let π be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of G. Let us write $\pi = \operatorname{c-Ind}_J^G(\Lambda)$ (compact induction), for an extended maximal simple type (J, Λ) (for the definition see § 3.1 [MS14] in which it is called 'un type simple maximal étendu'). Let J^0 be the unique maximal compact subgroup of J, J^1 its maximal normal pro-p-subgroup. There exists a field extension K of F, such that $J = K^{\times}J^0 = \langle \varpi_K, J^0 \rangle$. The restricted representation $\lambda := \Lambda|_{J^0}$ is irreducible, and the pair (J^0, λ) is a maximal simple type. In particular, we have an isomorphism $\lambda \cong \kappa \otimes \rho$, where κ is an irreducible representation of J^0 with some technical conditions and ρ is inflated from an irreducible supercuspidal representation of J^0/J^1 .

Since F^{\times} is central in J, ϖ_F acts on Λ as a scalar $\bar{\alpha} \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}^{\times}$. For $R = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ or $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}$ and $\beta \in R^{\times}$, we denote by $\operatorname{Rep}_R^{\beta}(J)$ the category¹ of smooth R-representations of J such that ϖ_F acts as the scalar β .

PROPOSITION 3.3. (i) The representation Λ admits a projective envelope $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ in Rep $_{\overline{\mathbf{r}}}^{\overline{\alpha}}(J)$.

(ii) Let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}$ be a lift of $\overline{\alpha}$. Then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) projective object \mathcal{P} in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}}^{\alpha}(J)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell} = \overline{\mathcal{P}}$.

Proof. (i) We apply a similar strategy as in [DS23, §4]. Let η be a character of J, trivial on J^0 such that $\eta(\varpi_F) = \bar{\alpha}$. Let $\Sigma := \Lambda \eta^{-1}$ such that ϖ_F acts trivially on Σ .

Since Σ is smooth and J^0 is compact, there exists an open subgroup H^2 of J^1 such that $H^2 \subset \ker(\Sigma_{|J^0})$ and J^0/H^2 is finite. Since H^2 is open in J^0 , there exists an integer $N \ge 1$ such

¹ The category $\operatorname{Rep}_{R}^{\beta}(J)$ is the subcategory of the category of smooth representations of J, which contains a full subcategory composed of smooth representations of J with a fixed central character on F^{\times} .

that $U_K^N \subset H^2$. By Lemma 3.2, there exist $m, n \ge 1$ such that $\varpi_K^m \in \varpi_F^s U_K^N$. We define a group Q by

$$Q = J/\langle H^2, \varpi_F \rangle.$$

The group Q is finite. Moreover, since $\varpi_K^m \in \varpi_F^s H^2$, we have that $\langle H^2, \varpi_K^m \rangle = \langle H^2, \varpi_F^s \rangle$. Therefore, Σ is trivial on $\langle H^2, \varpi_F \rangle$ and we can consider Σ as a representation of Q.

Since Q is a finite group, by [Ser77, Proposition 41] we can consider the projective envelope of Σ in the category of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}[Q]$ -modules, denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$. We can regard $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ as a representation of J by inflation. Let

$$Q_1 = J/\langle \varpi_F \rangle,$$

which is compact and Q is a quotient of Q_1 . Since H^2 is pro-p, a projective object of Q remains projective as a representation of Q_1 . Now we show that $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is projective in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}^1(J)$. It is due to the fact that $\operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}^1(J)$ is equivalent to the category of smooth $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representations of Q_1 . For arbitrary $\overline{\alpha}$, it follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}^1(J)$ and $\operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}^{\overline{\alpha}}(J)$ are equivalent via twisting η with each object in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}^1(J)$.

(ii) By [Ser77, Proposition 42], there is a unique projective $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}[Q]$ -module \mathcal{P} such that $\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell} = \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Like in the first part, \mathcal{P} can be lifted to a projective object in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}}^{\alpha}(J)$. If \mathcal{P}' is another projective in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}}^{\alpha}(J)$ with the same properties, then, by projectivity, any isomorphism from $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ to $\mathcal{P}' \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ can be lifted to an isomorphism from \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{P}' .

Using this projective envelope, we can prove the desired theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. Let F be a non-archimedean local field. Let H be a closed subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$. Let π be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ which is H-distinguished. Then there exists a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift $\tilde{\pi}$ of π such that it is supercuspidal and distinguished by H.

Proof. We write $\pi = \text{c-Ind}_J^G(\Lambda)$, for an extended maximal simple type (J, Λ) . We have $\Lambda \in \text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(J)$ for $\bar{\alpha} \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}^{\times}$. Let $\bar{\chi}$ be an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of F^{\times} such that $\bar{\chi}$ is trivial on \mathcal{O}_F^{\times} and $\bar{\chi}(\varpi_F) = \bar{\alpha}$. Frobenius reciprocity and Mackey formula give us

$$0 \neq \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\pi, \mathbf{1}) \simeq \prod_{g \in J \setminus G/H} \operatorname{Hom}_{J^{g} \cap H}(\Lambda^{g}, \mathbf{1}).$$

Thus, there exists a $g \in G$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{J^g \cap H}(\Lambda^g, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$. Let $H' := H^{g^{-1}}$ such that $J^g \cap H = (J \cap H')^g$. Therefore,

$$0 \neq \operatorname{Hom}_{J^g \cap H}(\Lambda^g, \mathbf{1}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{J \cap H'}(\Lambda, \mathbf{1}).$$

Define $I = \langle J \cap H', \varpi_F \rangle$ and so $\langle \varpi_F \rangle \subset I \subset J$. Applying Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{J\cap H'}(\Lambda, \mathbf{1}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{I}(\Lambda, \operatorname{Ind}_{J\cap H'}^{I} \mathbf{1}).$$

Let ϕ be a non-trivial element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{I}(\Lambda, \operatorname{Ind}_{J\cap H'}^{I} \mathbf{1})$. Let V be the representation space of Λ . Taking the restriction to $\langle \varpi_{F} \rangle$, we have

$$0 \neq \phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\langle \varpi_F \rangle}(\Lambda, \operatorname{Ind}^I_{J \cap H'} \mathbf{1}).$$

Since $\langle \varpi_F \rangle$ acts as the character $\bar{\chi}$ on Λ , $\phi(V)$ is contained in the $\bar{\chi}$ -socle of res^I_{$\langle \varpi_F \rangle$} Ind^I_{$J \cap H'$} **1**, which is the maximal subrepresentation where $\langle \varpi_F \rangle$ acts as a multiple of $\bar{\chi}$. Meanwhile,

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{J\cap H'}^{I} \mathbf{1} \subset \operatorname{Ind}_{\{1\}}^{\langle \varpi_F \rangle} \mathbf{1}.$$

2292

By Frobenius reciprocity, dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\langle \varpi_F \rangle}(\bar{\chi}, \operatorname{Ind}_{\{1\}}^{\langle \varpi_F \rangle} \mathbf{1}) = 1$. On the other hand, $J \cap H'$ acts trivially on $\operatorname{Ind}_{J \cap H'}^{I} \mathbf{1}$. Since Λ is $J \cap H'$ -distinguished, one can inflate $\bar{\chi}$ to a character of I, still denoted by $\bar{\chi}$. Then the $\bar{\chi}$ -socle of $\operatorname{Ind}_{J \cap H'}^{I} \mathbf{1}$ is equivalent to $\bar{\chi}$. Hence,

$$\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_I(\Lambda, \bar{\chi}) \neq 0$$

By Frobenius reciprocity and the fact that J/I is compact, we have

$$0 \neq \operatorname{Hom}_{I}(\Lambda, \bar{\chi}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{J}(\Lambda, \operatorname{c-Ind}_{I}^{J} \bar{\chi}), \tag{3.1}$$

where c-Ind_I^J $\bar{\chi} \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(J)$ is admissible.

Let α be a root of unity in $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}$ whose image (after modulo ℓ) in $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ is equal to $\bar{\alpha}$, and denote by χ a $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}$ -lift of $\overline{\chi}$ such that χ maps ϖ_F to α . Set $\widetilde{\chi} = \chi \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$. From Proposition 3.3, let $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ be the projective envelope of Λ in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}^{\overline{\alpha}}(J)$ and \mathcal{P} a projective object in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}}^{\alpha}(J)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell} = \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Set $\Lambda|_{J^0} = \kappa \otimes \rho$. Since ρ corresponds to a supercuspidal representation of J^0/J^1 , a similar argument to [Vig96, Chapitre III, 2.9] gives us the structure of the projective envelope. Let us give some more details. From the structure of the projective envelope for finite groups, we see that if δ is an irreducible integral $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation of J, then the multiplicity of δ in $\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ is equal to the multiplicity of Λ in $r_{\ell}(\delta)$ (see [DS23, Proposition 4.9]). Moreover, if Λ' is a subquotient of $\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$, then [DS23, Lemma 4.13] says that there exists δ an irreducible integral $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation of J such that its modulo ℓ reduction contains Λ and Λ' . We show that $\Lambda \cong \Lambda'$ by proving that δ is an extended maximal simple type and that $\Lambda = r_{\ell}(\delta)$. In fact, there is an irreducible component δ_0 of $\delta|_{J^0}$, such that $\kappa \otimes \rho$ is a subquotient of $r_{\ell}(\delta_0)$. Let $\tilde{\kappa}$ be a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of κ as in [Vig96, Chapitre III, 4.20]. Denote by $\tilde{\eta}$ the restriction $\tilde{\kappa}|_{J^1}$. Since the $\tilde{\eta}$ -isotypic part of δ_0 is non-trivial, by taking $\tilde{\kappa}$ -restriction as defined in [Vig01b, Definition 8.1 (c)], there is an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of J^0/J^1 such that $\delta_0 \cong \tilde{\kappa} \otimes \tilde{\rho}$. Then ρ is a subquotient of $r_{\ell}(\tilde{\rho})$. Since ρ is supercuspidal, by [Hel16, Proposition 5.7] we know $\tilde{\rho}$ is supercuspidal and $\rho = r_{\ell}(\tilde{\rho})$, which implies that δ_0 is a maximal simple type and $r_\ell(\delta_0) = \kappa \otimes \rho$. Hence, δ is an extended maximal simple type. We conclude that $r_{\ell}(\delta) = \Lambda$. Therefore, we have the following structure of \mathcal{P} :

- (i) $\overline{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ is indecomposable of finite length, with each irreducible component isomorphic to Λ ;
- (ii) let $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} := \mathcal{P} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ be a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of \mathcal{P} , then $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of extended maximal simple types, which are all the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lifts of Λ .

By part (i) and (3.1), we get that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}[J]}(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, \operatorname{c-Ind}_{I}^{J} \overline{\chi}) \neq 0.$$
(3.2)

By §I,9.3,(viii) of [Vig96], c-Ind_I^J χ is a $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}[J]$ -lattice of c-Ind_I^J $\tilde{\chi}$, and the reduction modulo- ℓ gives a surjective morphism from c-Ind_I^J χ to c-Ind_I^J $\bar{\chi}$. By the projectivity of \mathcal{P} , (3.2) implies that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_J(\mathcal{P}, \operatorname{c-Ind}_I^J \chi) \neq 0$$

Hence, by tensoring with $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ on both sides,

$$0 \neq \operatorname{Hom}_{J}(\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}, \operatorname{c-Ind}_{I}^{J} \tilde{\chi}) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{J}(\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}, \operatorname{Ind}_{J \cap H'}^{J} \mathbf{1}).$$
(3.3)

From part (ii) and (3.3) there exists a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lifts $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ of Λ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}[J]}(\widetilde{\Lambda}, \operatorname{Ind}_{J\cap H'}^{J} \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$. Hence, $\operatorname{Hom}_{J\cap H'}(\widetilde{\Lambda}, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$.

Let $\tilde{\pi} := \text{c-Ind}_J^G(\tilde{\Lambda})$, where $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -extended maximal simple type (the discussion before about δ shows that $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is an extended maximal simple type). Hence, $\tilde{\pi}$ is irreducible and supercuspidal. Since the reduction modulo ℓ commutes with c-Ind, we deduce that the representation $\tilde{\pi}$ is a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of π . We are left to prove that it is *H*-distinguished. As before

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{J^g \cap H}(\Lambda^g, \mathbf{1}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{J \cap H'}(\Lambda, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$$

and Mackey formula and Frobenius reciprocity give us that $\tilde{\pi}$ is *H*-distinguished.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4.

COROLLARY 3.5. When the characteristic of F is different from 2, there is no supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{2n}(F)$ distinguished by $\operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(F)$.

Proof. There is no complex supercuspidal representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{2n}(F)$ distinguished by $\operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(F)$. When the field F has characteristic zero, this follows from [HR90, Theorem 3.2.2]; and when the field F is of characteristic p with $p \neq 2$, it follows from [Pra19, Theorem 1] (see [Pra19, §8]). Therefore, the result follows from Theorem 3.4.

3.2 The Langlands correspondence modulo ℓ for GL_n

In the rest of this paper we will use the modulo ℓ local Langlands correspondence to study distinguished representations. We recall in this section some important results about it.

The first step to define a modulo ℓ local Langlands correspondence for GL_n is to define the correspondence for supercuspidal representations. The supercuspidal representations correspond to irreducible representations of the Weil group. This bijection is defined in the modulo ℓ case using the modulo ℓ reduction. This leads to the semisimple Langlands correspondence using the supercuspidal support of a smooth representation.

Let R be an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from p. We denote by $\operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{GL}_n(F))$ the set of isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible representations of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ over R and by $\operatorname{Scusp}_R(\operatorname{GL}_n(F))$ the subset of supercuspidal R-representations. Let $\operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{GL}_F) := \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{GL}_n(F))$ and $\operatorname{Scusp}_R(\operatorname{GL}_F) := \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \operatorname{Scusp}_R(\operatorname{GL}_n(F))$.

Let W_F be the Weil group of F. It is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$, where \overline{F} is a separable algebraic closure of F, generated by the inertia subgroup I_F and a geometric Frobenius element Frob. Its topology is such that I_F has the topology induced from $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$, I_F is open and the multiplication by Frob is a homeomorphism. Let $\operatorname{Irr}_R(W_F)(n)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible R-representations of W_F of dimension n. Finally, we denote by $\operatorname{Mod}_R(W_F)$ the set of isomorphism classes of semisimple smooth R-representations of W_F of finite dimension.

In [Vig01a] Vignéras defines a bijection using the modulo ℓ reduction of the local Langlands correspondence over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$.

THEOREM 3.6 [Vig01a, Corollary 2.5]. There exist unique Langlands bijections on $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$

$$\operatorname{Scusp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{s}}(\operatorname{GL}_{n}(F)) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{s}}(W_{F})(n)$$

which are compatible with modulo ℓ reduction.

Let $\mathrm{MScusp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(\mathrm{GL}_F)$ be the set of formal finite sums $\pi_1 + \cdots + \pi_r$ of elements of $\mathrm{Scusp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(\mathrm{GL}_F)$. The previous bijections induce a bijection

$$\mathrm{MScusp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(\mathrm{GL}_F) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F).$$

Let $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(\operatorname{GL}_n(F))$. Then π appears as a subquotient of the parabolic induction of a representation $\pi_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \pi_r$, where $\pi_i \in \operatorname{Scusp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(\operatorname{GL}_{n_i}(F))$ and $\sum n_i = n$. This defines a surjective

map with finite fibers, called the *supercuspidal support*,

$$sc: \operatorname{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(\operatorname{GL}_F) \to \operatorname{MScusp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(\operatorname{GL}_F)$$

by $sc(\pi) = \pi_1 + \dots + \pi_r$.

Combining the supercuspidal support and the previous bijections (see [Vig01a, Theorem 1.6]) we get a map, that we call the semisimple Langlands correspondence modulo ℓ ,

$$V_{ss}: \operatorname{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(\operatorname{GL}_F) \to \operatorname{Mod}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F).$$

The previous map V_{ss} is a surjection but not a bijection. To get a bijection one needs to introduce Weil–Deligne representations.

Let us start by recalling the definition of Weil–Deligne representations. Let $\nu: W_F \to R^{\times}$ be the unique character trivial on the inertia subgroup of W_F , sending a geometric Frobenius element to q_F^{-1} .

DEFINITION 3.7. We call a semisimple Weil–Deligne representation of GL_n over R a couple (φ, N) with:

- (i) $\varphi: W_F \to \operatorname{GL}_n(R)$ a smooth representation with image composed of semisimple elements;
- (ii) $N \in M_n(R)$ is a matrix such for all $w \in W_F$, $\varphi(w)N = \nu(w)N\varphi(w)$.

A morphism of Weil–Deligne representations from (φ, N) to (φ', N') is a morphism of W_F -representation $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{W_F}(\varphi, \varphi')$ such that $f \circ N = N' \circ f$. It is an isomorphism if f is an isomorphism of W_F -representations. We denote by $\operatorname{WDRep}_R(W_F, \operatorname{GL}_n)$ the set of isomorphism classes of semisimple Weil–Deligne representation of GL_n . When $R = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$, every N as above is nilpotent. However, this is not the case when $R = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$. Therefore, we denote by $\operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_F, \operatorname{GL}_n)$ the subset composed of the elements (ϕ, N) with N nilpotent.

THEOREM 3.8 [Vig01a, Theorem 1.8.2]. Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Mod}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F)$. The set consisting of $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(\operatorname{GL}_F)$ such that $V_{ss}(\pi) = \varphi$ is in bijection with the nilpotent endomorphisms N of π , up to conjugation by an isomorphism of φ , such that $\varphi(w)N = \nu(w)N\varphi(w)$, for all $w \in W_F$.

Hence, we get a bijection $\operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{GL}_F) \to \operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_F, \operatorname{GL})$. Vignéras defines the 'Langlands' bijection in [Vig01a, §1.8] using modulo ℓ reduction (in a non-naive way), and we call this bijection the V correspondence:

$$V : \operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{GL}_F) \to \operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_F, \operatorname{GL}).$$

3.3 Supercuspidal representations of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ distinguished by a Galois involution

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of locally compact non-archimedean local fields of characteristic different from 2 and residual characteristic p. In this section we are interested in $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ distinguished supercuspidal ℓ -modular representations of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$. A $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ -distinguished supercuspidal representation is σ -selfdual, that is $\pi^{\vee} \simeq \pi^{\sigma}$ (see [Séc19, Theorem 4.1]). Let us assume that $\ell \neq 2$ and let π be a σ -selfdual supercuspidal representation. The main goal of this section is to prove the *dichotomy theorem* that π is either distinguished or $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished, and the *disjunction theorem* that π cannot be both distinguished and $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished. We call it the Jacquet conjecture in the modular setting. For $p \neq 2$ this is proved in [Séc19, Theorem 10.8]. Using Theorem 3.4, when $\ell \neq 2$, we will give a different proof of this result that also works for p = 2 when F has characteristic zero.

Remark 3.9. In this section we assume $\ell \neq 2$. When $\ell = 2$, then $p \neq 2$ and the distinguished supercuspidal representations are studied in [Séc19]. Therefore, combining the results of this

section and of [Séc19] gives a complete result for supercuspidal distinguished representations for all ℓ and p with $\ell \neq p$ in characteristic zero.

With Theorem 3.4, we know that we can find a distinguished $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of a distinguished supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation whose Langlands parameter is conjugate-orthogonal in the sense of [GGP12, §7]. With the following lemma, we will show that all the σ -selfdual $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of a σ -selfdual supercuspidal representations are either all distinguished or all $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished.

LEMMA 3.10. Let π be a σ -selfdual irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$. Suppose that $\tilde{\pi}_1$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2$ are two σ -selfdual irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representations of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ such that both $\tilde{\pi}_i$ have modulo ℓ reduction isomorphic to π . Then $\tilde{\pi}_1$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2$ share the same sign, i.e. $\tilde{\pi}_1$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2$ are either conjugate-symplectic or conjugate-orthogonal at the same time, but not both.

Proof. Let us denote by φ (respectively, $\tilde{\varphi}_i$) the Langlands parameter of π (respectively, $\tilde{\pi}_i$). For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, since $\tilde{\pi}_2$ is σ -selfdual, $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ is either conjugate-symplectic or conjugate-orthogonal, and let us denote by B_i the associated bilinear form. We also denote by b_i the sign of B_i . Let us denote by V_i the space of $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ and by V the space of φ . The non-degenerate bilinear form $B_i : V_i \times V_i \to \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ induces an isomorphism of W_E representation $f_i : V_i^s \to V_i^{\vee}$, where $s \in W_F \setminus W_E$.

Since $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ is a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of φ , there exists an $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}$ -lattice L_i of V_i such that $L_i \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell} \simeq V_i$ and $L_i \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell} \simeq V$ (as W_E representations). Let M_i be the $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}$ -lattice of V_i such that f_i induces an isomorphism $L_i^s \to M_i^{\vee}$. The modulo ℓ reduction does not depend on the lattice, so we also have $M_i \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell} \simeq V_i$ and $M_i \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell} \simeq V$. Let $B_{i,\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}}$ be the restriction of B_i to $L_i \times M_i$. Its image is a $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}$ -lattice of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$. In addition, by the definition of L_i and M_i it is non-degenerate as a $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}$ -bilinear form. Therefore, its modulo ℓ reduction modulo ℓ , and is the sign of \overline{B}_i . Moreover, π is supercuspidal, so φ is irreducible. Schur's lemma implies that the sign of a bilinear form on V is unique. Therefore, $b_1 = b_2$ and this concludes the proof.

Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.10 imply the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.11. Let π be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) π is distinguished;
- (ii) there exists a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift $\tilde{\pi}$ of π which is distinguished.

Moreover, when these conditions are satisfied, then all the σ -selfdual $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lifts $\tilde{\pi}$ of π are distinguished.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is given by Theorem 3.4 applied with $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ and $H = \operatorname{GL}_n(F)$. The other direction (ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from an argument of reduction of invariant linear forms as in [KM20, Theorem 3.4].

To conclude, when F has characteristic zero, if π is distinguished, then all the σ -selfdual $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lifts of π are distinguished by Lemma 3.10. For F of positive characteristic, this follows from [Séc19, Theorem 10.11].

We can now prove the disjunction theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.12. Let π be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$. Then

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_n(F)}(\pi, \omega_{E/F}) = 0.$$

2296

Proof. We prove the result by contradiction. Let us assume that π is $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished. Let χ be a character of E^{\times} extending $\omega_{E/F}$. Let $\pi' := \pi(\chi^{-1} \circ \det)$. Then π' is a supercuspidal representation and is distinguished since π is $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished. Applying Theorem 3.4 to π' we find a lift $\tilde{\pi}$ of π which is $\tilde{\omega}_{E/F}$ -distinguished, where $\tilde{\omega}_{E/F}$ is the canonical ℓ -adic lift of $\omega_{E/F}$, that is the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -character of F^{\times} of kernel $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}(F^{\times})$.

With Theorem 3.4 we also have a distinguished supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of π . Thanks to Proposition 3.11, all σ -selfdual $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lifts are distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$. In particular, $\tilde{\pi}$ is $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ distinguished, which contradicts the fact that a σ -selfdual supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation cannot be both distinguished and $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished.

We are left to prove the dichotomy theorem. To do that, we need a theorem analogous to Theorem 3.4 but for σ -selfdual representations.

PROPOSITION 3.13. Let π be a σ -selfdual supercuspidal representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$. Then there exists a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift $\tilde{\pi}$ of π which is σ -selfdual.

Proof. Let us denote by δ the action of σ -duality on *R*-representations, that is $V^{\delta} = (V^{\sigma})^{\vee}$. A representation is σ -selfdual if and only if it is δ invariant.

Let $[\pi]$ be the inertial class of π . Let \mathcal{I} be the set of inertial classes of supercuspidal \mathbf{Q}_{ℓ} -lifts of π . This is a finite set of cardinal a power of ℓ (see [MS17, Proposition 1.3]). In particular, since $\ell \neq 2$, this cardinal is odd. The action of δ induces an action on inertial classes of representations and since π is δ -invariant, δ induces an action on \mathcal{I} . But the cardinal of \mathcal{I} is odd and δ is an involution, therefore there exists an inertial class which is δ -invariant, that is there exists $\tilde{\pi}$ a supercuspidal \mathbf{Q}_{ℓ} -lift of π such that $[\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}] = [\tilde{\pi}]$. Let $\tilde{\chi}$ be an unramified character such that $\tilde{\pi}^{\sigma} = \tilde{\pi}^{\vee} \otimes \tilde{\chi}$.

Since $\tilde{\chi}$ is unramified, it is trivial on the kernel of the norm map, that is $\tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\chi}^{\sigma}$. Therefore, there exists an unramified character $\tilde{\eta}$ such that $\tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\eta}\tilde{\eta}^{\sigma} = \tilde{\eta}^2$. Since $\tilde{\pi}$ is ℓ -integral, $\tilde{\chi}$ is also ℓ -integral and so is $\tilde{\eta}$. Let $\tilde{\pi}' := \tilde{\pi} \otimes \tilde{\eta}^{-1}$ such that $\tilde{\pi}'$ is σ -selfdual. Let us denote by $\pi' = \pi \otimes \eta^{-1}$ its reduction modulo ℓ where η is the reduction modulo ℓ of $\tilde{\eta}$. Since $\tilde{\pi}'$ is σ -selfdual so is π' . The representations π and $\pi' = \pi \otimes \eta^{-1}$ are both σ -selfdual. Thus $\pi = \pi \otimes \eta^{-2}$.

Let $X_u(\pi)$ be the set of unramified characters ξ such that $\pi = \pi \otimes \xi$. It is contained in the cyclic group of order n composed of the character ξ such that $\xi^n = 1$ and is characterized by its order $f(\pi)$. Let $o(\eta)$ be the order of η . We have $o(\eta) | 2f(\pi)$. Let $\tilde{\rho}$ be the canonical $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of η . In particular $o(\tilde{\rho}) = o(\eta) | 2f(\pi)$. Let $\tilde{\pi}'' := \tilde{\pi}' \otimes \tilde{\rho}$. It is a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of π . To finish the proof, we are left to prove that it is σ -selfdual. The representation $\tilde{\pi}'$ being σ -selfdual, we just need to prove that $\tilde{\pi}'' = \tilde{\pi}'' \otimes \tilde{\rho}^2$, that is that $o(\tilde{\rho}) | 2f(\tilde{\pi}')$. The explicit formulas for $f(\tilde{\pi}')$ and $f(\pi)$ show that $f(\tilde{\pi}') = f(\pi)\ell^k$ for some integer k (see, for instance, [MS14, Remark 3.21]). Thus $2f(\pi) | 2f(\tilde{\pi}')$ and so $o(\tilde{\rho}) | 2f(\tilde{\pi}')$. Therefore, $\tilde{\pi}''$ is σ -selfdual.

THEOREM 3.14. Let π be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$. Then π is σ -selfdual if and only if it is distinguished or $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$. Moreover, π cannot be both distinguished and $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$.

Proof. Assume that π is σ -selfdual. Then there exists a σ -selfdual lift $\tilde{\pi}$ by Proposition 3.13. From the dichotomy theorem in the complex case (see [Kab04] in characteristic zero and [AKMSS21, Theorem A.2] in positive characteristic, or [Jo23]), $\tilde{\pi}$ is either distinguished or $\tilde{\omega}_{E/F}$ -distinguished. Since the reduction modulo ℓ preserves distinction (see [KM20, Theorem 3.4]), it is also true for π . The disjunction is Proposition 3.12 and the reciprocity follows from Theorem 4.1.

PROPOSITION 3.15. Let π be a σ -selfdual supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ and φ_{π} its Langlands parameter. Then π is distinguished if and only if φ_{π} is conjugate-orthogonal and π is $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished if and only if φ_{π} is conjugate-symplectic.

Proof. A σ -selfdual supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation is either distinguished or $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished by Theorem 3.14. By Proposition 3.11 we can lift a distinguished representation to a distinguished representation. Hence, the result follows from the complex case in [Kab04] (the results of [Kab04] are still valid in positive characteristic by [AKMSS21, Appendix A] or [Jo23]).

3.4 Supercuspidal representations of $GL_n(E)$ distinguished by unitary groups

Another example of application of Theorem 3.4 is for supercuspidal representations distinguished by a unitary involution. Let $G = \operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ and assume $\ell \neq 2$. Let ε be an hermitian matrix in $M_n(E)$ ($\sigma({}^t\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$). We denote by ς the unitary involution on G defined by $\varsigma(g) = \varepsilon \sigma({}^tg^{-1})\varepsilon^{-1}$. In this section, we are interested in supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representations of G distinguished by G^{ς} . In particular, we are going to prove that a supercuspidal representation π of G is distinguished by G^{ς} if and only if it is σ -invariant. When $p \neq 2$, this is proved by Zou in [Zou22].

PROPOSITION 3.16. Let π be a σ -invariant supercuspidal representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$. Then there exists a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift $\tilde{\pi}$ of π which is σ -invariant.

Proof. We start with the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.13. The number of inertial classes of supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lifts of π is a power of ℓ , hence is odd. The involution σ permutes its elements, so there exists an inertial class $[\tilde{\pi}]$ which is σ -invariant. Let $\tilde{\chi}$ be an unramified character such that $\tilde{\pi}^{\sigma} = \tilde{\pi} \otimes \tilde{\chi}$.

Let us prove that $\tilde{\pi}$ is, in fact, σ -invariant. As in the proof of Proposition 3.13, let $X_u(\tilde{\pi})$ (respectively, $X_u(\pi)$) be the set of unramified $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -characters $\tilde{\xi}$ (respectively, unramified $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -characters ξ) such that $\tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\pi} \otimes \tilde{\xi}$ (respectively, $\pi = \pi \otimes \xi$). It is characterized by its order $f(\tilde{\pi})$ (respectively, $f(\pi)$). Let $o(\tilde{\chi})$ be the order of $\tilde{\chi}$. To finish the proof, we need to prove that $o(\tilde{\chi})$ divides $f(\tilde{\pi})$. Indeed, this implies that $\tilde{\chi} \in X_u(\tilde{\pi})$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{\sigma} \simeq \tilde{\pi} \otimes \tilde{\chi} \simeq \tilde{\pi}$.

Let χ be the modulo ℓ reduction of $\tilde{\chi}$ of order $o(\chi)$. By taking the modulo ℓ reduction we have that $\pi \simeq \pi \otimes \chi$, thus $\chi \in X_u(\pi)$ and $o(\chi) \mid f(\pi)$. In addition, there exists an integer $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $o(\tilde{\chi}) = o(\chi)\ell^a$. The explicit formulas for $f(\tilde{\pi})$ and $f(\pi)$ show that there exists an integer $b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(\tilde{\pi}) = f(\pi)\ell^b$ and $f(\pi)$ is prime to ℓ .

Since $\tilde{\chi}$ is unramified, it is trivial on the kernel of the norm map and $\tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\chi}^{\sigma}$. In particular, $\tilde{\pi} \simeq (\tilde{\pi} \otimes \tilde{\chi})^{\sigma} \simeq \tilde{\pi} \otimes \tilde{\chi}^2$ and $o(\tilde{\chi}) \mid 2f(\tilde{\pi})$. Since ℓ is odd, we get that $a \leq b$. At the end, $o(\chi) \mid f(\pi)$ and $\ell^a \mid \ell^b$ thus $o(\tilde{\chi}) \mid f(\tilde{\pi})$ and this finishes the proof.

THEOREM 3.17. Let π be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $G = \operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ and ς a unitary involution of G. Then π is distinguished by G^{ς} if and only if $\pi^{\sigma} \simeq \pi$.

Proof. When E has characteristic zero, since the modulo ℓ reduction preserves σ -invariance and the property of being distinguished for supercuspidal representations [KM20, Theorem 3.4], the result follows from the complex case (see [HM02, §4, Corollary] and [FLO12, Theorem 0.2]) by Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.16. For a field E of positive characteristic this follows from [Zou22, Theorem 1.1].

Remark 3.18. The implication, π distinguished by G^{ς} implies π -invariant, is also proved in [Zou22, Theorem 4.1] similarly.

4. The $GL_2(F)$ -distinguished representations

This section is devoted to the study of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished ℓ -modular representations of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$, with $\ell \neq 2$. The case of supercuspidal representations has been dealt with in §3.3. Using Mackey theory, we will describe non-supercuspidal distinguished representations, hence giving a complete classification of all $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished representations.

Before we start, let us recall a result of Sécherre about ℓ -modular $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished representations of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$. Denote by $\mathbf{1}_2$ the trivial character of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$.

THEOREM 4.1 [Séc19, Theorem 4.1]. Let π be a distinguished irreducible ℓ -modular representation of $GL_2(E)$. Then:

- (i) the central character of π is trivial on F^{\times} ;
- (ii) the contragredient representation π^{\vee} is distinguished;
- (iii) the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_2)$ has dimension 1;
- (iv) the representation π is σ -selfdual, that is $\pi^{\vee} \simeq \pi^{\sigma}$.

For the complex supercuspidal representations, it was proved by Hakim [Hak94] and Prasad [Pra92].

4.1 Non-supercuspidal representations

In \S 3.3, we studied when supercuspidal representations are distinguished. In this section, we will deal with non-supercuspidal representations including the principal series representations.

Let us start with an easy lemma that is needed for the rest of this section.

LEMMA 4.2. Let χ be a character of E^{\times} . Then $\chi\chi^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ if and only if $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$.

Proof. The condition $\chi\chi^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ is equivalent to $\chi_{|F^{\times}}$ being trivial on the norm group $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}(E^{\times})$. By the local class field theory the only two characters of F^{\times} trivial on $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}(E^{\times})$ are $\mathbf{1}$ and $\omega_{E/F}$.

Let χ_1, χ_2 be two characters of E^{\times} . Denote by $\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ the principal series representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ induced from (χ_1, χ_2) , that is, $\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ is the normalized parabolic induction of $\chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2$ from the standard Borel subgroup to $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$.

LEMMA 4.3. Let $\pi = \pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ be a principal series representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$. Then π is distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ if and only if either $\chi_1 \chi_2^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi_1|_{F^{\times}} = \chi_2|_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ with $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$. Moreover, when π is distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ we have

 $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_2) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \ell \mid q_F - 1, \chi_1 = \chi_2 \text{ and } \chi_1 \mid_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proof. Recall that $B_2(E)$ is the standard Borel subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$. Note that $\operatorname{GL}_2(E) = B_2(E) \operatorname{GL}_2(F) \sqcup B_2(E) \eta \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ with $\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta \\ 1 & -\delta \end{pmatrix}$ and $E = F[\delta]$. Denote by S(X) the Schwartz space of X consisting of locally constant functions defined on X. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to S(B_2(E)\eta \operatorname{GL}_2(F)) \to S(\operatorname{GL}_2(E)) \to S(B_2(E)\operatorname{GL}_2(F)) \to 0$$

of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -modules and π can be embedded inside $S(\operatorname{GL}_2(E))$. Due to the geometric lemma, one has a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{c-Ind}_{E^{\times}}^{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)} \chi_1 \chi_2^{\sigma} \to \pi \to \operatorname{Ind}_{B_2(F)}^{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)} \delta_{B_2(E)}^{1/2} \delta_{B_2(F)}^{-1/2} (\chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2) \to 0$$

with $\delta_{B_2(E)}^{1/2} = \delta_{B_2(F)}$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(-, \mathbf{1}_2)$,

 $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{F^{\times} \times F^{\times}}(\chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2, \mathbf{1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_2) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{E^{\times}}(\chi_1 \chi_2^{\sigma}, \mathbf{1}) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{F^{\times} \times F^{\times}}^1(\chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2, \mathbf{1}),$

where $\operatorname{Ext}_{F^{\times} \times F^{\times}}^{1}$ denotes the Ext functor in the category of the finite-dimensional representations of $F^{\times} \times F^{\times}$. Thanks to [DS23, Proposition 8.4] that $\operatorname{Ext}_{F^{\times}}^{1}(\chi, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$ if and only if χ is trivial, one has that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)}(\pi, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\chi_{1}\chi_{2}^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi_{1}|_{F^{\times}} = \chi_{2}|_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$. If $\chi_{1}\chi_{2}^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ and $\chi_{1}|_{F^{\times}} = \chi_{2}|_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$, then $\chi_{1} = \chi_{2}$. Thus, π is distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)$ if and only if either $\chi_{1}\chi_{2}^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi_{1}|_{F^{\times}} = \chi_{2}|_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ with $\chi_{1} \neq \chi_{2}$.

Now, let us assume that π is distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$, that is $\chi_1 \chi_2^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi_1|_{F^{\times}} = \chi_2|_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$.

If $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$, only one of the conditions $\chi_1 \chi_2^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi_1|_{F^{\times}} = \chi_2|_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ can be true. We then see from the previous exact sequence that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_2) = \operatorname{Hom}_{F^{\times} \times F^{\times}}(\chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2, \mathbf{1})$ or $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_2) = \operatorname{Hom}_{E^{\times}}(\chi_1 \chi_2^{\sigma}, \mathbf{1})$. Therefore,

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_2) = 1.$$

We are left to study the case $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ with $\chi_1|_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$. Let $\chi := \chi_1 = \chi_2$. Note that if $q_E \neq 1 \pmod{\ell}$ then $\pi(\chi, \chi)$ is irreducible. Thus, by Theorem 4.1, dim Hom_{GL2(F)} $(\pi(\chi, \chi), \mathbf{1}_2) = 1$. Thus, we assume that $q_E \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. We have two cases.

(i) Suppose $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$. In this case $(\nu^{-1/2})_{|F^{\times}} \neq \mathbf{1}$. The representation $\pi(\chi, \chi)$ is a direct sum of two irreducible representations: the character $(\chi \nu^{-1/2}) \circ \det$ and a twisted Steinberg $\operatorname{St}_{\chi \nu^{-1/2}}$ (see for instance [Vig89, Theorem 3]). As dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}((\chi \nu^{-1/2}) \circ \det, \mathbf{1}_2) = 0$ and

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{St}_{\gamma\nu^{-1/2}}, \mathbf{1}_2) \leq 1$$

by Theorem 4.1, we get that dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi(\chi,\chi),\mathbf{1}_2) = 1$.

(ii) Suppose $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. This time $(\nu^{-1/2})_{|F^{\times}|} = 1$. Therefore,

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi(\chi,\chi),\mathbf{1}_2) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(V(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}),\mathbf{1}_2)$$

where V(1, 1) denotes the non-normalized induction.

Recall that

$$\operatorname{GL}_2(E) = B_2(E) \operatorname{GL}_2(F) \sqcup B_2(E) \eta \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$$

where η represents the open orbit in double coset $B_2(E) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_2(E) / \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{c-Ind}_{E^{\times}}^{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)} \mathbf{1} \to V(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \to \operatorname{Ind}_{B_2(F)}^{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)} \delta_{B_2(E)}^{1/2} \delta_{B_2(F)}^{-1/2} \to 0.$$

Let f_1 be a linear functional defined on a subset consisting of functions in V(1, 1) supported on the closed orbit $B_2(E) \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ given by

$$f_1(\varphi) = \int_{B_2(F) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_2(F)} \varphi(x) \, dx$$

for $\varphi \in V(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$ supported on $B_2(E) \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. There is a natural extension of f_1 to the whole space $V(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$, still denoted by f_1 , due to the embedding

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{Ind}_{B_2(F)}^{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\delta_{B_2(F)}^{1/2}), \mathbf{1}_2) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(V(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1}_2).$$

Then f_1 gives a $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -invariant linear functional on π with support $B_2(E) \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. Note that there is a $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ -invariant distribution on $P^1(E) = B_2(E) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ if and only if

 $\delta_{B(E)} = \mathbf{1}$, i.e. $q_E \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ (see [Bou04, Chapter VII.6, Theorem 3]). Denote by $d\mu$ the $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ -invariant measure on $P^1(E)$ and so it is $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -invariant. Then the restriction of $d\mu$ on $P^1(F) = B_2(F) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ is zero since $P^1(F)$ has measure zero with respect to $d\mu$. Therefore $d\mu$ and f_1 generate two different $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -invariant linear functionals on $V(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$. Thus, dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(V(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1}_2) = 2$ (the dimension is bounded by 2 by the exact sequence at the beginning of the proof).

Let us come back to the criterion for being distinguished for irreducible non-supercuspidal representations. By Lemma 4.3, we have the result for all irreducible principal series. We refer to [Vig89] or [Vig96] for the following facts about $\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$. Note that $\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ is reducible if and only if $\chi_1 = \nu \chi_2$ or $\chi_2 = \nu \chi_1$. Hence, let χ be a character of E^{\times} and we are left to study the irreducible subquotients of $\pi(\chi \nu^{-1/2}, \chi \nu^{1/2})$. If $q_E \not\equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, then $\pi(\chi \nu^{-1/2}, \chi \nu^{1/2})$ has length 2 with irreducible subquotients χ and St_{χ} . If $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, the length is 3 and the subquotients are $\chi, \chi \nu_2$ and Sp_{χ} .

LEMMA 4.4. Let χ be a quadratic character of F^{\times} . Then $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)}(\mathbf{1}_{2}, \chi \circ \operatorname{det}) = 0$ where $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)}$ is the Ext functor in the category of the smooth representations of $\operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)$ with trivial central character.

Proof. Let M be an extension of $\mathbf{1}_2$ by $\chi \circ \det$ in the category of the smooth representations of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ with trivial central character. The representation M has the form

$$g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \chi(\det(g)) & \alpha(g) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $g \in \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. If $g_1, g_2 \in \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$, then $\alpha(g_1g_2) = \alpha(g_1) + \chi(\det(g_1))\alpha(g_2)$. Since M has trivial central character, $\alpha(z) = 0$ for every element z in the center of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. It is obvious that $\alpha(g) = 0$ for all g in $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ since $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ is a perfect group. Let $g \in \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ and $t = \det(g) \in F^{\times}$. By writing $g = g_1g_2$ with $g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g_2 = g_1^{-1}g \in \operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ we see that $\alpha(g) = \alpha(g_1)$. Let $\bar{\alpha} : F^{\times} \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ be defined by $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})$. Then for all $g \in \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$, $\alpha(g) = \bar{\alpha}(\det(g))$. Moreover, $\bar{\alpha}$ is a cocycle satisfying $\bar{\alpha}(t_1t_2) = \bar{\alpha}(t_1) + \chi(t_1)\bar{\alpha}(t_2)$, for $t_1, t_2 \in F^{\times}$.

Let $t_1, t_2 \in F^{\times}$. The equality $\bar{\alpha}(t_1t_2) = \bar{\alpha}(t_2t_1)$ gives us that $\bar{\alpha}(t_1) + \chi(t_1)\bar{\alpha}(t_2) = \bar{\alpha}(t_2) + \chi(t_2)\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$. If $\chi \neq \mathbf{1}$, then there exists t_1 such that $\chi(t_1) \neq \mathbf{1}$. Therefore, for all $t_2 \in F^{\times}$, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t_2) = c(\chi(t_2) - 1)$ where $c = \bar{\alpha}(t_1)/(\chi(t_1) - 1)$ is a constant. Thus, for all $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, $\alpha(g) = c \cdot (\chi(\det(g)) - 1)$ is a coboundary and the extension M splits.

We are left with the case $\chi = \mathbf{1}$. In this case $\bar{\alpha} : F^{\times} \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ is a morphism of group. Moreover, α is trivial on the center of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ so the morphism $\bar{\alpha}$ is trivial on $F^{\times 2}$ the subgroup of F^{\times} of square elements. Since $F^{\times}/F^{\times 2}$ is a 2-group and $\ell \neq 2$, we get that $\bar{\alpha}$ is trivial, and M also splits.

Remark 4.5. (i) If $\ell = 2$, then Lemma 4.4 does not hold any more.

(ii) If there is no restriction for the central character, one can easily find the non-trivial extension of $\mathbf{1}_2$ by $\mathbf{1}_2$, which is of the form

$$g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ln |\det(g)| \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where ln is the natural logarithm function defined on the multiplicative group consisting of positive real numbers.

THEOREM 4.6. Let χ be a character of E^{\times} .

(i) If $q_E^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, then St_{χ} is distinguished if and only if $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$.

- (ii) If $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, then Sp_{χ} is distinguished if and only if $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$ or $\nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$.
- (iii) If $q_E \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, then St_{χ} is distinguished if and only if $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$ or $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = 1$ with $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$.

Proof. Suppose that $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$. If Sp_{χ} is distinguished, then by Theorem 4.1(ii), Sp_{χ} has trivial central character and so $\chi^2_{|F^{\times}} = 1$. Thus, we may assume that $\chi^2_{|F^{\times}} = 1$. The principal series $\pi(\chi \nu^{1/2}, \chi \nu^{-1/2})$ has length 3. There are two exact sequences

$$0 \to J_{\chi} \to \pi(\chi \nu^{1/2}, \chi \nu^{-1/2}) \to \chi \circ \det \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to (\chi \circ \det)\nu_2 \to J_{\chi} \to \operatorname{Sp}_{\chi} \to 0$$

of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ -modules. Taking the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(-, \mathbf{1}_2)$, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\chi \circ \det, \mathbf{1}_2) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi(\chi \nu^{1/2}, \chi \nu^{-1/2}), \mathbf{1}_2)$$
$$\to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(J_{\chi}, \mathbf{1}_2) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\chi \circ \det, \mathbf{1}_2),$$

where $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)}$ is the Ext functor in the category of the smooth representations of $\operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)$ with trivial central character. By Lemma 4.4

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)}(\mathbf{1}_{2}, \chi \circ \operatorname{det}) = 0.$$

If $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi(\chi\nu^{1/2},\chi\nu^{-1/2}),\mathbf{1}_2)=0$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(J_{\chi},\mathbf{1}_2)=0$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{Sp}_{\chi},\mathbf{1}_2)=0$. Hence, Sp_{χ} is not distinguished.

Therefore, we can assume that $\pi(\chi\nu^{1/2},\chi\nu^{-1/2})$ is distinguished. By Lemma 4.3 we get that $\chi\chi^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ (that is $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$ by Lemma 4.2) or $\chi_{|F^{\times}}\nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2} = \chi_{|F^{\times}}\nu_{|F^{\times}}^{-1/2} = \mathbf{1}$ (which can only happen if $\nu_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$, that is if $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$). Moreover, in this case, Lemma 4.3 gives us

dim Hom_{GL₂(F)}(
$$\pi(\chi\nu^{1/2},\chi\nu^{-1/2}),\mathbf{1}_2) = 1.$$

We have three cases to study.

- Suppose $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$. In this case dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(J_{\chi}, \mathbf{1}_2) = 0$ and so Sp_{χ} is not distinguished.
- Suppose $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$. Now $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\chi \circ \det, \mathbf{1}_2) = 0$ and so $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(J_{\chi}, \mathbf{1}_2) = 1$. If $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, then $\nu_2|_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)} = \mathbf{1}_2$. Furthermore, the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{Sp}_{\chi}, \mathbf{1}_2) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(J_{\chi}, \mathbf{1}_2) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}((\chi \circ \det)\nu_2, \mathbf{1}_2) = 0$$

implies that dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{Sp}_{\chi}, \mathbf{1}_2) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(J_{\chi}, \mathbf{1}_2) = 1$. If $q_F^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, i.e. E/F is unramified, then $\nu_2|_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)} = \omega_{E/F}$. In this case dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}((\chi \circ \det)\nu_2, \mathbf{1}_2) = 1$ and so $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{Sp}, \omega_{E/F}) = 0$.

- Suppose $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$ and $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$. In the same way, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\chi \circ \det, \mathbf{1}_2) = 0$ and so dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(J_{\chi}, \mathbf{1}_2) = 1$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}((\chi \circ \det)\nu_2, \mathbf{1}_2) = 0$ we get that Sp_{χ} is distinguished.

Suppose $q_E \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. In this case $\pi(\chi\nu^{-1/2}, \chi\nu^{-1/2})$ is reducible and semisimple: $\pi(\chi\nu^{-1/2}, \chi\nu^{-1/2}) = (\chi \circ \det) \oplus \operatorname{St}_{\chi}$. If St_{χ} is distinguished, then so is $\pi(\chi\nu^{-1/2}, \chi\nu^{-1/2})$. By Lemma 4.3, $\chi\chi^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$ so $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$ (Lemma 4.2). If $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$, then dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\chi \circ \det, \mathbf{1}_2) = 0$. Thanks to Lemma 4.3 dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi(\chi\nu^{-1/2}, \chi\nu^{-1/2}), \mathbf{1}_2) \geq 1$ and so St_{χ} is distinguished. Now if $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = 1$, dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\chi \circ \det, \mathbf{1}_2) = 1$ and from Lemma 4.3

dim Hom_{GL₂(F)}(
$$\pi(\chi\nu^{-1/2},\chi\nu^{-1/2}),\mathbf{1}_2$$
) =

$$\begin{cases}
2, & \text{if } q_F \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}; \\
1, & \text{if } q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}.
\end{cases}$$

Thus, St_{χ} is distinguished if and only if $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$.

The last case is $q_E^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. We will do a similar argument as $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{St}_{\chi} \to \pi(\chi \nu^{1/2}, \chi \nu^{-1/2}) \to \chi \circ \det \to 0$$

of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ -modules. If St_{χ} is distinguished then so is $\pi(\chi\nu^{-1/2}, \chi\nu^{1/2})$, so $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$. Taking the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(-, \mathbf{1}_2)$, in the category of the smooth representations of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ with trivial central character, we have

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\chi \circ \det, \mathbf{1}_2) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi(\chi \nu^{1/2}, \chi \nu^{-1/2}), \mathbf{1}_2)$$
$$\to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{St}_{\chi}, \mathbf{1}_2) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\chi \circ \det, \mathbf{1}_2).$$

By Lemma 4.4 $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)}(\mathbf{1}_{2}, \chi \circ \operatorname{det}) = 0$. By Lemma 4.3 dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)}(\pi(\chi \nu^{1/2}, \chi \nu^{-1/2}), \mathbf{1}_{2}) = 1$. Therefore, St_{χ} is distinguished if and only if $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$.

Remark 4.7. It can be seen from Theorem 4.6 that there are in the modular case new phenomena that do not appear in the complex setting.

- When $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, the Steinberg representation St is both $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished and $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \omega_{E/F})$ -distinguished.
- When $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and E/F is unramified (that is, $q_F^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and so $q_F \not\equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$) the special representation Sp is neither $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished nor $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \omega_{E/F})$ -distinguished. This has been mentioned by Sécherre in [Séc19, Remark 2.8].
- When $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and E/F is ramified (and so $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$) the special representation Sp is $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \omega_{E/F})$ -distinguished and is also $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \nu_{|F^{\times}|}^{1/2})$ -distinguished.

5. The Prasad conjecture for ℓ -modular representations of PGL₂

In [Pra15], Prasad proposed a conjecture for the multiplicity dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{G(F)}(\pi, \chi_G)$ under the local Langlands conjecture, where G is a quasi-split reductive group defined over F, π is an irreducible smooth representation of G(E) lying inside a generic L-package and χ_G is a quadratic character depending on G and the quadratic extension E/F. Let us recall briefly the Prasad conjecture for GL_n , which has been verified for the complex representations due to the work of Flicker, Prasad, Kable, Matringe and so on.

THEOREM 5.1 (The Prasad conjecture for GL_n). Let π be a generic irreducible complex representation of $GL_n(E)$ with Langlands parameter ϕ_{π} . Let $\chi_G = \omega_{E/F}^{n+1}$. Let $U_{n,E/F}$ be the quasi-split unitary group. If $Hom_{GL_n(F)}(\pi, \chi_G)$ is non-zero, then:

- (i) $\pi^{\vee} \cong \pi^{\sigma}$;
- (ii) there exists a parameter $\tilde{\phi}$ of $U_{n,E/F}$ such that $\tilde{\phi}|_{WD_E} = \phi_{\pi}$;
- (iii) dim_C Hom_{GL_n(F)} $(\pi, \chi_G) = |F(\phi_{\pi})|$ where

$$F(\phi_{\pi}) := \left\{ \tilde{\phi} : WD_F \to {}^L U_{n,E/F} | \tilde{\phi} |_{WD_E} = \phi_{\pi} \right\}$$

and $|F(\phi_{\pi})|$ denotes its cardinality.

Conversely, if there exists a parameter $\tilde{\phi}$ of $U_{n,E/F}$ such that $\tilde{\phi}|_{WD_E} = \phi_{\pi}$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_n(F)}(\pi, \chi_G)$ is non-zero.

Since the local Langlands correspondence for the ℓ -modular representations of G(F) has not been set up in general, except for $G = \operatorname{GL}_n$, we are concerned only with the simplest case where $G = \operatorname{PGL}_2$.

THEOREM 5.2 (The Prasad conjecture for PGL₂). Let π be an irreducible generic complex representation of PGL₂(*E*) with Langlands parameter ϕ_{π} . Then Hom_{PGL₂(*F*)}($\pi, \omega_{E/F}$) is non-zero if and only if there exists a parameter $\tilde{\phi}$ of PGL₂(*F*) such that $\tilde{\phi}|_{WD_F} = \phi_{\pi}$.

First, we will show that the Prasad conjecture is not valid in the ℓ -modular setting (when ℓ is non-banal), i.e. there exists a parameter $\tilde{\phi}$ of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ such that $\tilde{\phi}|_{WD_F} = \phi_{\pi}$, however the generic representation π is not $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished by $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$. Then, we provide a potential solution. To do that we define a non-trivial injection P from nilpotent Weil–Deligne representations $\mathrm{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2)$ to equivalence classes of non-nilpotent one $[\mathrm{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2)]$. Composing the local Langlands correspondence of Vignéras PV with P gives us a modular version of the Prasad conjecture. That is, an irreducible generic $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation π of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ is $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished if and only if there exists $\Psi_F \in \mathrm{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \mathrm{SL}_2)$ such that $\Psi_{F|W_E} \sim P \circ PV(\pi)$.

5.1 The Langlands correspondence for PGL₂

First, we recall how to get a Langlands correspondence for PGL_2 using the correspondence for GL_2 .

Let $R = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ or $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$. We denote by $\mathrm{WDRep}_R(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2)$ the subset of $\mathrm{WDRep}_R(W_E, \mathrm{GL}_2)$ composed of the elements (φ, N) such that $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(R)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(N) = 0$. Let $\mathrm{Nilp}_R(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2) := \mathrm{WDRep}_R(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2) \cap \mathrm{Nilp}_R(W_E, \mathrm{GL}_2)$.

Remark 5.3. Let (φ_1, N_1) and (φ_2, N_2) be two semisimple Weil–Deligne representations with $\operatorname{Im}(\varphi_1) \subset \operatorname{SL}_2(R)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\varphi_2) \subset \operatorname{SL}_2(R)$. If (φ_1, N_1) and (φ_2, N_2) are isomorphic in GL₂, then they are also isomorphic in SL₂. Indeed, let $A \in \operatorname{GL}_2(R)$ such that $A^{-1}\varphi_1 A = \varphi_2$ and $A^{-1}N_1 A = N_2$. Since R is algebraically closed, let $\alpha \in R$ such that $\alpha^2 = \det(A)^{-1}$. Let $B := \alpha A$. Then $B \in \operatorname{SL}_2(R)$ and $B^{-1}\varphi_1 B = \varphi_2$, $B^{-1}N_1 B = N_2$.

Let L be any map

$$L: \operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{GL}_2(E)) \to \operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_E, \operatorname{GL}_2)$$

such that L sends the central character to the determinant (that is, if $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{GL}_2(E))$) and ω_{π} is the central character of π , then ω_{π} corresponds to det $(L(\pi))$ via local class field theory). Then L induces a map

$$PL : \operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{PGL}_2(E)) \to \operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)$$

making the following diagram commute

where the vertical map on the left-hand side is given by the projection $\operatorname{GL}_2(E) \to \operatorname{PGL}_2(E)$ and the vertical map on the right-hand side is the inclusion $\operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2) \subset \operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_E, \operatorname{GL}_2)$. In particular, since the V correspondence sends the central character to the determinant [KM21, Lemma 6.4], we get a map $PV : \operatorname{Irr}_R(\operatorname{PGL}_2(E)) \to \operatorname{Nilp}_R(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)$.

5.2 Problem with the modular Prasad Conjecture

We shall show that the Prasad conjecture does not hold for ℓ -modular representations with the V correspondence. One may think that it might work with a different choice of bijection in Theorem 3.8. We will show in this subsection that such kind of bijection does not exist; see Corollary 5.5.

Let L be a map

$$L : \operatorname{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(\operatorname{PGL}_2(E)) \to \operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)$$

such that the semisimple part is given by V_{ss} .

When $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, the image under L of the special representation Sp, has $\nu^{-1/2} \oplus \nu^{1/2}$ for the semisimple part.

LEMMA 5.4. Let *E* be a quadratic extension of *F*. Let us assume that $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$. Then there exists $\Phi_F \in \operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \operatorname{SL}_2)$ such that $\Phi_{F|W_E} = L(\operatorname{Sp})$.

Proof. There are three elements in $\operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)$ with semisimple part $\nu^{-1/2} \oplus \nu^{1/2} : (\nu^{-1/2} \oplus \nu^{1/2}, 0), (\nu^{-1/2} \oplus \nu^{1/2}, N)$ and $(\nu^{1/2} \oplus \nu^{3/2}, N)$, with $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let ν_F be a lift of ν . The following Weil–Deligne representations in $\operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \operatorname{SL}_2), (\nu_F^{-1/2} \oplus \nu_F^{1/2}, 0), (\nu_F^{-1/2} \oplus \nu_F^{1/2}, N)$ and $(\nu_F^{1/2} \oplus \nu_F^{3/2}, N)$ are respective lifts of the previous three elements of $\operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)$. Hence, one of them is a lift of $L(\operatorname{Sp})$.

COROLLARY 5.5. In the non-banal case, the Prasad conjecture is not true for any map L as above.

Proof. If $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and E/F is unramified, then by Theorem 4.6, the special representation Sp is not $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished. However, the semisimple part of L(Sp) is $\nu^{-1/2} \oplus \nu^{1/2}$. By Lemma 5.4 the Langlands parameter L(Sp) of Sp can be lifted to W_F .

5.3 Non-nilpotent Weil–Deligne representations

To fix the issue with the Prasad conjecture in the modular setting discussed in the previous paragraph, we want to modify the V correspondence. In [KM21], Kurinczuk and Matringe modify the local Langlands of Vignéras using non-nilpotent Weil–Deligne representations. We will do something similar to solve our problem.

Kurinczuk and Matringe [KM21, Definition 4.8] defined an equivalence relation ~ on WDRep_R(W_E , GL₂). We recall the definition here. Let (Φ , U) and (Φ' , U') be two Weil–Deligne representations (up to isomorphism) in WDRep_R(W_E , GL₂). Then we have the following.

(i) If (Φ, U) and (Φ', U') are indecomposable (as Weil–Deligne representations), we say that $(\Phi, U) \sim (\Phi', U')$ if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ such that

$$(\Phi', U') \simeq (\Phi, \lambda U).$$

(ii) In the general case, $(\Phi, U) \sim (\Phi', U')$ if one can decompose $(\Phi, U) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\Phi_i, U_i)$ and $(\Phi', U') = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\Phi'_i, U'_i)$ with indecomposable summands such that $(\Phi_i, U_i) \sim (\Phi'_i, U'_i)$.

We denote by $[(\Phi, U)]$ the equivalence class of (Φ, U) and by

$$[WDRep_R(W_E, GL_2)] := WDRep_R(W_E, GL_2)/\sim.$$

We define also an equivalence relation \sim on $\mathrm{WDRep}_R(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2)$ through the inclusion $\mathrm{WDRep}_R(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2) \subset \mathrm{WDRep}_R(W_E, \mathrm{GL}_2)$ and we use the notation $[\mathrm{WDRep}_R(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2)] := \mathrm{WDRep}_R(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2)/\sim$.

Let χ be a quadratic character of E^{\times} . If $\ell \mid q_E - 1$, we denote by $\Psi_{\mathrm{St},\chi} \in \mathrm{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2)$, the Weil–Deligne representation $\Psi_{\mathrm{St},\chi} = (\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{-1/2}, N)$ with $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. In addition, if $\ell \mid q_E + 1$, we denote by $\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp},\chi} \in \mathrm{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \mathrm{SL}_2)$, the Weil–Deligne representation $\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp},\chi} = (\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{1/2}, 0)$. We define an injection

by

$$P: \operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2) \hookrightarrow [\operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)]$$

$$P(\Psi) = \begin{cases} \left[\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{-1/2}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \text{if } \ell \mid q_E - 1 \text{ and } \Psi = \Psi_{\operatorname{St},\chi}, \\ \left[\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{1/2}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \text{if } \ell \mid q_E + 1 \text{ and } \Psi = \Psi_{\operatorname{Sp},\chi}, \\ \left[\Psi \right] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2) = [\operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)]$ due to [KM21, Proposition 4.11], P is clearly an injection.

Remark 5.6. (i) When ℓ is banal, that is $\ell \nmid q_E^2 - 1$, *P* is just the identity, as we have $[\text{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \text{SL}_2)] = \text{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \text{SL}_2).$

(ii) The map P is not exactly the CV map of [KM21]. The image is different for non-banal supercuspidal representation and the Steinberg representation when $\ell \mid q_E - 1$.

5.4 Lifting for non-irreducible Weil–Deligne representations

In §4.1 we have described which of the non-supercuspidal irreducible representations are distinguished. To prove the Prasad conjecture, we also need to inquire when Weil–Deligne representations of W_E can be lifted to W_F . This is what we do in this section.

We begin by giving a criterion to lift the semisimple part of a Weil–Deligne representation.

LEMMA 5.7. Let χ be a character of E^{\times} . Let $\Psi: W_E \to \operatorname{SL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$ defined by $\Psi = \chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi^{-1} \nu^{1/2}$. Then there exists a semisimple $\Psi_F: W_F \to \operatorname{SL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$ such that $\Psi_{F|W_E} = \Psi$ if and only if $\chi = \chi^{\sigma}$ or $\chi \neq \chi^{\sigma}$ and $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F} \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$.

Moreover, if $\chi = \chi^{\sigma}$ then $\Psi_F = \eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2}$, with η a character of F^{\times} such that $\chi = \eta \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$. In addition, if $\chi \neq \chi^{\sigma}$ and $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F} \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$, then $\Psi_F = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi \nu^{-1/2})$ (which is irreducible).

Proof. We have two cases if there is a lift to W_F : the two-dimensional representation of W_F is irreducible or it is the sum of two characters.

Let us first study when there is a lift which is the sum of two characters. In this case we can lift χ to W_F . This is equivalent to $\chi = \eta \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$ with η a character of F^{\times} also equivalent to $\chi = \chi^{\sigma}$. If this condition is satisfied, then the lift is $\Psi_F = \eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2}$.

Now we deal with the case where there is an irreducible lift. Then this lift must be $\Psi_F = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi\nu^{-1/2})$. Let $\mu = \chi\nu^{-1/2}$. The representation Ψ_F is irreducible if and only if $\mu \neq \mu^{\sigma}$ if and only if $\chi \neq \chi^{\sigma}$. Thus, let us assume that $\chi \neq \chi^{\sigma}$. In addition, if Ψ_F is a lift of Ψ , then $\mu^{\sigma} = \chi^{-1}\nu^{1/2}$, that is $\mu\mu^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1}$, or by Lemma 4.2, $\mu_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\omega_{E/F}$. If these conditions are satisfied, then $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\mu)$ is an irreducible lift of Ψ_F in $\operatorname{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$. We are left to prove at which condition it is in $\operatorname{SL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$. By the previous condition we already have $\mu\mu^{\sigma} = 1$. Thus, for $w \in W_E$,

 $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_F}^{W_F}(\mu)(w) \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}).$ Let $s \in W_F \setminus W_E$. Then

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\mu)(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu(s^2) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\mu)(s) \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$ if and only if $\mu(s^2) = -1$ if and only if $\mu_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$. This finishes the proof.

Remark 5.8. Note that, when χ is quadratic, the case where $\Psi_F = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi \nu^{-1/2})$ is irreducible can only happen when $q_E \equiv q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$. Indeed, we have $\chi^{\sigma} = \chi \nu$ and $\chi \neq \chi^{\sigma}$. Therefore, $\nu \neq \mathbf{1}$ and $q_E \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. In addition, since $\chi^{\sigma} = \chi \nu$, we get that $\nu_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ and so that $q_F^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. (mod ℓ). Hence, $q_E \equiv q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$.

Now we can examine when a Weil–Deligne representation (Ψ, N) can be lifted. We will choose N such that these representations correspond under $P \circ PV$ to an irreducible generic representation of PGL₂.

LEMMA 5.9. Let $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and χ be a quadratic character of E^{\times} . Let $\Psi \in [\operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)]$ defined by $\Psi = [\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{1/2}, N]$, with $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then there exists $\Psi_F \in \operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \operatorname{SL}_2)$ such that $\Psi_{F|W_E} \sim \Psi$ in $[\operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)]$ if and only if $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\omega_{E/F} \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$.

Proof. If we have a lift Ψ_F , then we also have a lift of the semisimple part of Ψ . By Lemma 5.7 this is possible only if $\chi = \chi^{\sigma}$ (which is equivalent by Lemma 4.2 to $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\omega_{E/F}$) or $\chi \neq \chi^{\sigma}$ and $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F} \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$.

If $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$, then by Lemma 5.7, the semisimple part of Ψ_F should be $\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2}$, with η a character of F^{\times} such that $\chi = \eta \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$. Note that $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ implies that $\eta^2 = \mathbf{1}$. If $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, then we can take $\Psi_F = [\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta \nu^{1/2}, N]$. If $q_F \not\equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, then $q_F^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ (*E* is a quadratic extension of *F*). In this case, $[\operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \operatorname{SL}_2)] = \operatorname{Nip}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \operatorname{SL}_2)$. Since *N* is not nilpotent, Ψ cannot be the restriction of an element of $\operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \operatorname{SL}_2)$.

If $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$, again by Lemma 5.7, the semisimple part of Ψ_F should be $\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2}$. This time $\eta^2 = \omega_{E/F} \neq \mathbf{1}$. Thus, the only Weil–Deligne representation $[\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2}, M]$ is with M = 0 and is not a lift of Ψ . Suppose $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F} \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$. We get that $\nu_{|F^{\times}} = 1$ so $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$. This time Lemma 5.7

Suppose $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F} \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$. We get that $\nu_{|F^{\times}} = 1$ so $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$. This time Lemma 5.7 tells us that the semisimple part of Ψ_F should be $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\mu)$, with $\mu = \chi \nu^{-1/2}$. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. First, let us show that $\Psi_F := (\operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\mu), M) \in \operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \operatorname{SL}_2)$. Let $s \in W_F \setminus W_E$. For $w \in W_E$, we get that $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\mu)(w) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(w) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^s(w) \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\mu^{\sigma} = \mu\nu$ we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu(w) & 0\\ 0 & \mu^s(w) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \nu(w) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu(w) & 0\\ 0 & \mu^s(w) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\mu(s^2) = -1$, we also have $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\mu)(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. As we are in the case where $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, the extension E/F is ramified. The element s must then be in the inertia subgroup $s \in I_F$ and therefore $\nu(s) = 1$. This gives us

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \nu(s) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have checked that $\Psi_F = (\operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\mu), M) \in \operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \operatorname{SL}_2)$. To finish the proof we need to show that $\Psi_{F|W_E} \sim \Psi$. We have $\Psi_{F|W_E} = (\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{1/2}, M)$. In addition, $(\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{1/2}, M) \sim \Psi$ by [KM21, Lemma 4.23].

LEMMA 5.10. Let $q_E \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ and χ be a quadratic character of E^{\times} . Let $\Psi \in [\text{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \text{SL}_2)]$ defined by $\Psi = [\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{-1/2}, N]$, with $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then there exists $\Psi_F \in \text{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \text{SL}_2)$ such that $\Psi_{F|W_E} \sim \Psi$ if and only if $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ and $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.7 the semisimple part of Ψ can be lifted to W_F if and only if $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\omega_{E/F} (\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F} \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$ implies that $\chi = \chi^{\sigma}$). Moreover, this lift is $\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2}$, with η a character of F^{\times} such that $\chi = \eta \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$.

If $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$, then $\eta^2 = \mathbf{1}$. We can take $\Psi_F = (\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta \nu^{1/2}, N)$ (since $\nu_F^2 = \mathbf{1}$) and $\Psi_{F|W_E} \sim \Psi$.

If $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}$, this time $\eta^2 = \omega_{E/F} \neq \mathbf{1}$. If $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, then $\nu_F = \omega_{E/F}$. In this case, $\eta^{-1} = \eta \nu_F$. Thus, $\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2} = \eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta \nu^{-1/2}$. The only Weil–Deligne representation with this semisimple part is $(\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta \nu^{-1/2}, 0)$ which is not a lift of Ψ . If $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ let $\Psi_F := (\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix})$. We are left to prove that $\Psi_{F|W_E} \sim \Psi$. Let us remark that N is diagonalizable. Therefore, $(\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{-1/2}, N)$ is isomorphic to $(\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{-1/2}, N')$ with N' = diag(1, -1).

Hence, $\Psi_{F|W_F} \sim \Psi$ and we get the result.

LEMMA 5.11. Let $q_E^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ and χ be a quadratic character of E^{\times} . Let $\Psi \in [\operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \operatorname{SL}_2)]$ defined by $\Psi = [\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{1/2}, N]$, with $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then there exists $\Psi_F \in \operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \operatorname{SL}_2)$ such that $\Psi_{F|W_F} \sim \Psi$ if and only if $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.7 we can lift the semisimple part if and only if $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\omega_{E/F}$ and this lift is $\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2}$, with η a character of F^{\times} such that $\chi = \eta \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$. If $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$, then $\eta^2 = \mathbf{1}$. We can take $\Psi_F = (\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta \nu^{1/2}, N)$ and $\Psi_{F|W_E} \sim \Psi$. If $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F}, \eta^2 = \omega_{E/F} \neq \mathbf{1}$. If $(\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2}, M)$ is a Weil–Deligne representation, then M = 0 and this is not a lift of Ψ .

LEMMA 5.12. Let χ be a character of E^{\times} . Let $\Psi \in [\text{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_E, \text{SL}_2)]$ defined by $\Psi = [\chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi^{-1} \nu^{1/2}, N]$, with N = 0. Then there exists $\Psi_F \in \text{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \text{SL}_2)$ such that $\Psi_{F|W_E} \sim \Psi$ if and only if $\chi = \chi^{\sigma}$ or $\chi \neq \chi^{\sigma}$ and $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F} \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$.

Proof. If $\chi = \chi^{\sigma}$, take η a character of F^{\times} such that $\chi = \eta \circ Nm_{E/F}$. Then $\Psi_F = [\eta \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \eta^{-1} \nu^{1/2}, N]$ is a lift. In addition, if $\chi \neq \chi^{\sigma}$, Lemma 5.7 tells us that if there is a lift, then $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F} \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$. In this case, we can take $\Psi_F = [\operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi \nu^{-1/2}), N]$.

5.5 A modulo ℓ Prasad conjecture for PGL₂

Now we can gather together all the results of the previous sections to prove a 'modified' Prasad conjecture for PGL_2 .

Let PV be the correspondence induced by the Vignéras correspondence

 $PV : \operatorname{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{e}}(\operatorname{PGL}_{2}(E)) \to \operatorname{Nilp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{e}}(W_{E}, \operatorname{SL}_{2})$

Let us start with the supercuspidal representations.

PROPOSITION 5.13. Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$. Then π is $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished if and only if its Langlands parameter $PV(\pi)$ can be lifted to W_F .

Proof. Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\operatorname{PGL}_2(E)$ over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$. Let $\varphi := PV(\pi)$ be the Langlands parameter of W_E associated to π . By Proposition 3.11 π is $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished if and only if there exists $\tilde{\pi}$ a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of π which is supercuspidal and $\tilde{\omega}_{E/F}$ -distinguished. By Theorem 5.2, this happens if and only if the Langlands parameter $\tilde{\varphi}$ of $\tilde{\pi}$, can be extended to W_F . From the definition of the modulo ℓ Langlands correspondence, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of φ . Thus, $\tilde{\varphi}$ can be extended to W_F .

Now let us examine the irreducible generic representations of $PGL_2(E)$. Let π be such a representation and denote by $PV(\pi) = (\Psi, N)$ its Langlands parameter. We can classify the irreducible generic representations as follows:

- (i) The representation π is supercuspidal. In this case, Ψ is irreducible and N = 0.
- (ii) The representation π is an irreducible principal series. Here $\pi = \pi(\chi \nu^{-1/2}, \chi^{-1}\nu^{1/2})$ with $\chi^2 \neq \mathbf{1}$. We have $\Psi = \chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi^{-1}\nu^{1/2}$ and N = 0
- (iii) Suppose that π is the unique generic subquotient of a reducible principal series. Let χ be a quadratic character of E^{\times} such that π is a subquotient of $\pi(\chi\nu^{-1/2},\chi\nu^{1/2})$.
 - (a) If $q_E \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, then $\pi = \operatorname{St}_{\chi}$. In this case, $\Psi = \chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{1/2}$ and $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
 - (b) If $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, this time $\pi = \operatorname{Sp}_{\chi}$, $\Psi = \chi \nu^{-1/2} \oplus \chi \nu^{1/2}$ and N = 0.

We can summarize the previous results of this article to prove the Prasad conjecture in the modular case.

THEOREM 5.14. Let π be an irreducible generic representation of $\operatorname{PGL}_2(E)$ over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$. Then π is $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished if and only if there exists $\Psi_F \in \operatorname{WDRep}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}}(W_F, \operatorname{SL}_2)$ such that $\Psi_{F|W_E} \sim P \circ PV(\pi)$.

Proof. For supercuspidal representations, the result follows from Proposition 5.13. For irreducible principal series representations it follows from Lemmas 4.3 and 5.12. In addition, for the Steinberg representations or the special representations, it follows from Theorem 4.6 and Lemmas 5.9, 5.10 and 5.11 (depending on the order of q_E modulo ℓ).

Remark 5.15. When $q_E \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, $q_F \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ and χ is a quadratic character of E^{\times} such that $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \omega_{E/F} \nu_{|F^{\times}}^{1/2}$. We have proved that $P \circ PV(\operatorname{Sp}_{\chi})$ admits a lift to W_F which is $\Psi_F = (\operatorname{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi \nu^{-1/2}), M)$ with $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The semisimple part of Ψ_F is irreducible and M is non-zero, and so this lift is not the Langlands parameter of any representation of $\operatorname{PGL}_2(F)$ (nor it is in the image of P).

6. The $SL_2(F)$ -distinguished representations

In this section, assuming $\ell \neq 2$, we classify all the representations of $SL_2(E)$ distinguished by $SL_2(F)$. For supercuspidal representations, we will use the restriction method of [AP03]. Similar to the case $GL_2(E)$, we will deal with principal series representations using Mackey theory.

6.1 Modulo ℓ representations of SL₂

We start by recalling some general facts about modulo ℓ representations of SL₂.

Recall that E/F is a quadratic extension of locally compact non-archimedean local fields of characteristic different from 2. Let \mathfrak{o}_E be the ring of integers of E, and \mathfrak{p}_E be the maximal ideal of \mathfrak{o}_E . Let π be an irreducible cuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$. Thanks to

[Cui20, Proposition 2.35], we have that the restricted representation $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_n(E)}$ is semisimple with finite length and multiplicity-free. Denote by $\lg(\pi)$ the length of $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_n(E)}$. Let

$$Y(\pi) = \{\chi : \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell} \text{-character of } E^{\times}, \pi \otimes \chi \circ \det \cong \pi \}.$$

We call $Y(\pi)$ the twist isomorphism set of π . By Corollary 3.8 of [Cui20], the cardinality $|Y(\pi)|$ is an integer prime to ℓ , and by Proposition 2.37 of [Cui20], $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_n(F)}$ is multiplicity-free. Hence we deduce from part 3 of Corollary 3.8 of [Cui20] that

$$|Y(\pi)| = \lg(\pi)_{\ell'},$$
(6.1)

where for any positive integer m, we denote by $m_{\ell'}$ the largest divisor of m which is coprime to ℓ .

LEMMA 6.1. When n = 2, we have

 $|Y(\pi)| = \lg(\pi).$

Proof. By (6.1), it is sufficient to prove that the length $\lg(\pi)$ is coprime to ℓ . Let $Z_{E^{\times}}$ be the center of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$. The length of $\pi|_{Z_{E^{\times}} \operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$ is equal to the length $\lg(\pi)$, which is a divisor of the index $[\operatorname{GL}_2(E) : Z_{E^{\times}} \operatorname{SL}_2(E)]$, and the latter is equal to $[E^{\times} : E^{\times 2}]$, where $E^{\times 2}$ consists of the elements of the form x^2 for $x \in E^{\times}$. By Corollary 5.8 of [Neu99], when the characteristic of E is different from 2, the index $[E^{\times} : E^{\times 2}]$ is equal to 2^{2+a} where a is given by $2\mathfrak{o}_E = \mathfrak{p}_E^a$. Hence, $\lg(\pi)$ is a power of 2, and we have the desired identity under our assumption $\ell \neq 2$. \Box

DEFINITION 6.2. Define $\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)$ to be a subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$, consisting of matrices whose determinant belongs to $F^{\times}E^{\times 2}$, where $E^{\times 2}$ consists of the elements of the form x^2 for $x \in E^{\times}$. We have $\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E) = Z_{E^{\times}} \operatorname{SL}_2(E) \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ where $Z_{E^{\times}}$ denotes the center of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$.

LEMMA 6.3. Let $\lg_+(\pi)$ be the length of $\pi|_{\operatorname{GL}^+_2(E)}$, and

$$Y_+(\pi) = \{ \chi : \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell} \text{-character of } E^{\times}, \pi \otimes \chi \circ \det \cong \pi, \ \chi|_{F^{\times}} = 1 \}.$$

Then we have

$$\lg_+(\pi) = |Y_+(\pi)|.$$

Proof. Since the direct components of $\pi|_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}^{+}(E)}$ are $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(E)$ -conjugate, they share the same length after restricted to $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(E)$. We have that $\lg_{+}(\pi)$ divides $\lg(\pi)$, which is coprime to ℓ by Lemma 6.1. Hence, the Clifford theory in ℓ -modular setting (see [Cui20, Corollary 3.8]) is the same as the complex setting in our case. Meanwhile, since $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(E)}$ is multiplicity-free, the restriction $\pi|_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}^{+}(E)}$ is multiplicity-free. Then the Clifford theory gives the desired equation $\lg_{+}(\pi) = |Y_{+}(\pi)|$.

To compute the length $\lg(\pi)$ we will need to use the local Langlands correspondence.

6.2 Local Langlands for supercuspidal representations of SL₂

In this section, we use the local Langlands correspondence for GL_2 to define a correspondence modulo ℓ for supercuspidal representations of SL_2 . As in the complex case, for SL_2 , this correspondence is not a bijection, we give a description of the *L*-packet.

Let τ be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$. Let π be a *lift* to $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ i.e. $\tau \subset \pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$. To π we associate by the local Langlands correspondence of Vignéras its Langlands parameter $\varphi_{\pi} : W_E \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$. Let γ be the projection $\gamma : \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$. Then we define $\varphi_{\tau} : W_E \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$ by $\varphi_{\tau} := \gamma \circ \varphi_{\pi}$. The parameter φ_{τ} does not depend on the choice of the lift π since two lifts differ by a character and so are their Langlands parameters.

Let $\varphi : W_E \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_\ell)$ be the parameter of a representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$. Denote by $S_{\varphi} := C_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_\ell)}(\varphi(W_E))$ the centralizer in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_\ell)$ of the image of φ .

PROPOSITION 6.4. Let τ (respectively, π) be a supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$ (respectively, $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$) and $\tau \subset \pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$S_{\varphi_{\tau}} \simeq Y(\pi).$$

Proof. We follow the strategy of [GK82, Theorem 4.3]. To simplify the notation here, we will simply denote φ_{τ} by φ . From the definition of φ , we have that $\varphi = \gamma \circ \varphi_{\pi}$. Let $s \in S_{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{s} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$ such that $\gamma(\tilde{s}) = s$. We define a function $\chi_s : W_E \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$ by

$$\chi_s(w) := \tilde{s}\varphi_\pi(w)\tilde{s}^{-1}\varphi_\pi(w)^{-1} \quad \text{for } w \in W_F.$$

This definition is independent of the choice of \tilde{s} . Moreover, since $\gamma(\chi_s(w)) = 1$, $\chi_s(w)$ is a scalar times the identity. We will denote this scalar again $\chi_s(w)$. Hence, we have

$$\tilde{s}\varphi_{\pi}(w)\tilde{s}^{-1} = \chi_s(w)\varphi_{\pi}(w)$$

Let $w_1, w_2 \in W_E$. Then

$$\chi_{s}(w_{1}w_{2})\varphi_{\pi}(w_{1}w_{2}) = \tilde{s}\varphi_{\pi}(w_{1}w_{2})\tilde{s}^{-1} = \tilde{s}\varphi_{\pi}(w_{1})\varphi_{\pi}(w_{2})\tilde{s}^{-1}$$
$$= \tilde{s}\varphi_{\pi}(w_{1})\tilde{s}^{-1}\tilde{s}\varphi_{\pi}(w_{2})\tilde{s}^{-1}$$
$$= \chi_{s}(w_{1})\varphi_{\pi}(w_{1})\chi_{s}(w_{2})\varphi_{\pi}(w_{2})$$
$$= \chi_{s}(w_{1})\chi_{s}(w_{2})\varphi_{\pi}(w_{1})\varphi_{\pi}(w_{2}) = \chi_{s}(w_{1})\chi_{s}(w_{2})\varphi_{\pi}(w_{1}w_{2}).$$

Thus, $\chi_s(w_1w_2) = \chi_s(w_1)\chi_s(w_2)$ and χ_s is a character.

Since $\varphi_{\pi} \simeq \chi_s \varphi_{\pi}$ we have $\pi \simeq \pi \otimes (\chi_s \circ \det)$ and $\chi_s \in Y(\pi)$. This defines a morphism $S_{\varphi} \to Y(\pi), s \mapsto \chi_s$.

This morphism is surjective. Indeed, if $\omega \in Y(\pi)$, then $\pi \simeq \pi \otimes (\omega \circ \det)$ and, thus, $\varphi_{\pi} \simeq \omega \varphi_{\pi}$. If \tilde{A} implements the equivalence, then $\tilde{A}\varphi_{\pi}(w)\tilde{A}^{-1} = \omega(w)\varphi_{\pi}(w)$. Let $A := \gamma(\tilde{A})$. Then $A \in S_{\varphi}$ and $\omega = \chi_A$.

We are left to prove that $S_{\varphi} \to Y(\pi)$ is injective. Let $s \in S_{\varphi}$ such that $\chi_s = 1$. This implies that \tilde{s} centralizes the image of φ_{π} . Since φ_{π} is irreducible, Schur's lemma tells us that \tilde{s} is a scalar. Hence, s = 1 and we have the injectivity.

6.3 Explicit computation of the length

By Proposition 6.4, we compute the length $\lg(\pi)$ by considering the cardinality of $S_{\varphi_{\tau}}$. The method in [She79] can be generalised to the case when ℓ is positive, based on which we also obtain the existence of good lift.

DEFINITION 6.5. Let τ be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$, and $\tilde{\tau}$ an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$, which is ℓ -integral. We say:

 $-\tilde{\tau}$ is a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of τ , if τ is a subquotient of the reduction modulo ℓ of $\tilde{\tau}$;

 $-\tilde{\tau}$ is a good $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of τ , if the reduction modulo ℓ of $\tilde{\tau}$ is irreducible and isomorphic to τ .

For the case of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$, an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(E)$ always has a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift, and every $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift is a good $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift. The latter property is not true for $\operatorname{SL}_2(E)$. However, we will show the existence of a good $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{SL}_2(E)$.

Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ with Langlands parameter φ_{π} , and $\tau \subset \pi|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$. We say φ_{π} is *dihedral* if the image of W_E is of the form $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_K}^{W_E} \theta$ in $\operatorname{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$

where K/E is a quadratic field extension, W_K is the Weil group of K and θ is a character of W_K which is not invariant under the $\operatorname{Gal}(K/E)$ -action. We say φ_{π} is *tetrahedral* (respectively, *octahedral*) if the image of W_E under the map $W_E \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}) \to \operatorname{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell})$ is the alternating group A_4 (respectively, the symmetric group S_4). (See [BH06, §42] for more details.)

PROPOSITION 6.6. Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$.

- (i) When φ_{π} is dihedral, the length $\lg(\pi)$ is 2 or 4.
- (ii) When φ_{π} is not dihedral, then it must be tetrahedral or octahedral, and the length $\lg(\pi)$ is 1.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of π . Then the length $\lg(\tilde{\pi})$ divides the length $\lg(\pi)$. Suppose $|Y(\pi)| \neq 1$. Then there exists an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character χ of E^{\times} such that $\pi \otimes \chi \circ \det \cong \pi$. By local class field theory, we identify χ with an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of W_E such that $\varphi_{\pi \otimes \chi \circ \det} \cong \chi \varphi_{\pi} \cong \varphi_{\pi}$. Considering the central character, we have that χ has order 2. Then there exists a quadratic field extension K/E such that $\ker(\chi) = W_K$, which, by Clifford theory implies that $\varphi_{\pi}|_{W_K}$ is a direct sum of two characters of W_K . Hence $\varphi_{\pi} = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_K}^{W_E} \theta$ where W_K is the Weil group of K and θ is an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of W_K . Let s be the non-trivial element in $\operatorname{Gal}(K/E)$. By a same computation as in [She79, §11, part (ii)], we deduce that if θ/θ^s has order two, then $|S_{\varphi_{\tau}}| = 4$. When $(\theta/\theta^s)^2 \neq \mathbf{1}$, then $|S_{\varphi_{\tau}}| = 2$. When $\theta \cong \theta^s$ then φ_{π} is reducible which is not an L-parameter of an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$. Then we obtain the result by applying Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.4. On the other hand, let $\mu_{K/E}$ be the unique non-trivial character on $\operatorname{Gal}(K/E)$, then we have $\mu_{K/E} \otimes \varphi_{\pi} \cong \varphi_{\pi}$, hence $|Y(\pi)| \neq 1$. In other words, we show that φ_{π} is dihedral if and only if $|Y(\pi)| \neq 1$.

Suppose that φ_{π} is not dihedral, then $|Y(\pi)| = 1$, which implies that p = 2 by [BH06, §42]. In this case, the image of a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift $\tilde{\pi}$ under the projection from $\operatorname{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell})$ to $\operatorname{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell})$ is either isomorphic to S_4 or A_4 . For the second case, since any two subgroups of $\operatorname{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell})$ being isomorphic to A_4 are conjugate to each other, we can choose $\varphi_{\tilde{\pi}}$ such that its image in $\operatorname{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell})$ will be $N \rtimes C$, where

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pm 1 \\ \pm 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad C = \left\{ I, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\sqrt{-1} & \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Since $\ell \neq 2$, after reduction modulo ℓ the image of φ_{π} is isomorphic to $N \rtimes C \cong S_4$ as well. For the case of S_4 , it is isomorphic to $\langle N \rtimes C, \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$. We repeat the similar argument to the case of A_4 . This finishes the proof.

PROPOSITION 6.7. Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of π . Let τ be an irreducible component of $\pi|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ an irreducible component of $\tilde{\pi}|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$.

- (i) Suppose that φ_{π} is tetrahedral or octahedral. Then $\tilde{\pi}|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)} \cong \tilde{\tau}$ is a good $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of τ .
- (ii) Suppose that φ_{π} is dihedral.
 - (a) If the cardinality of $S_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}}$ is 4, then the reduction modulo ℓ of $\tilde{\tau}$ is irreducible. In particular, there exists an irreducible component $\tilde{\tau}' \subset \pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$ which is a good $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of τ .
 - (b) If the cardinality of $S_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}}$ is 2, then the reduction modulo ℓ of $\tilde{\tau}$ may be reducible. If it is irreducible, there exists an irreducible component $\tilde{\tau}' \subset \pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$ which is a good $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of τ . If it is reducible, then there exists another $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift $\tilde{\pi}'$ of π , such that the cardinality of $S_{\varphi_{\tilde{\pi}'}}$ is 4, and there exists an irreducible component $\tilde{\tau}' \subset \tilde{\pi}'|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}$ which is a good $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of τ .

- *Proof.* (i) We have that $\varphi_{\tilde{\pi}}$ is tetrahedral or octahedral, hence the reduction modulo ℓ of $\tilde{\pi}|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$ is irreducible and isomorphic to τ by Proposition 6.6(ii).
- (ii) We have the following.
 - (a) We first assume that the cardinality of $S_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}}$ is 4. We switch the order of restriction to $SL_2(E)$ and reduction modulo ℓ . Then by Proposition 6.6(i), we have $\lg(\pi) = \lg(\tilde{\pi})$. Hence, every irreducible component of $\tilde{\pi}|_{SL_2}$ is ℓ -integral and its reduction modulo ℓ is irreducible. The unicity of Jordan-Hölder components implies the existence of a good $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift $\tilde{\tau}$.
 - (b) Now we assume that the cardinality of $S_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}}$ is 2. Then there exists a field extension K/Eand an ℓ -integral $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -quasicharacter $\tilde{\theta}$ of K^{\times} , such that $\varphi_{\tilde{\pi}} \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K}}^{W_{E}} \tilde{\theta}$, and $(\tilde{\theta}^{s}/\tilde{\theta})^{2} \neq \mathbf{1}$, where $\operatorname{Gal}(K/E) = \langle s \rangle$. Let θ be the reduction modulo ℓ of $\tilde{\theta}$. Then $\varphi_{\pi} \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K}}^{W_{E}} \theta$. Suppose $(\theta^{s}/\theta)^{2} \neq \mathbf{1}$. Then the cardinality of $S_{\varphi_{\tau}}$ is 2 as well. In this case, we apply the same argument as for case (a), and deduce the existence of a good lift. If $(\theta^{s}/\theta)^{2} = \mathbf{1}$, the cardinality of $S_{\varphi_{\tau}}$ is 4, which implies that the length of the reduction modulo ℓ of each irreducible component in $\tilde{\pi}|_{\operatorname{SL}_{2}}$ is 2, hence none of them is a good lift of τ . Now fix a group embedding from $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}^{\times}$ to $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{\ell}^{\times}$ by sending an element of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}^{\times}$ to its Teichmuller representative, which gives a natural $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -lift of θ , denoted by $\tilde{\theta}_{0}$. We deduce that $(\tilde{\theta}_{0}^{s}/\tilde{\theta}_{0})^{2} = \mathbf{1}$. Let $\tilde{\pi}'$ be the irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{2}(E)$ corresponding to $\varphi_{0} = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K}}^{W_{E}} \tilde{\theta}_{0}$, which is ℓ -integral with reduction modulo ℓ isomorphic to π , and the cardinality of $Y(\tilde{\pi}')$ is 4. We apply the argument for case (a) to finish the proof. \Box

6.4 Representations of $GL_2(E)$ distinguished by $SL_2(F)$

In the remainder of this paper, we follow the restriction method of [AP03] since the main strategy of [AP03] works for $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representations as well. However, in the modular setting, some modifications are still needed in the proofs. For convenience, we state the results which are required for further use.

For an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation π of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$, we denote by $X(\pi)$ the following set

$$X(\pi) = \{\chi : \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell} \text{-character of } F^{\times}, \pi \text{ is } (\mathrm{GL}_2(F), \chi) \text{-distinguished} \}$$

PROPOSITION 6.8 [AP03, Proposition 4.1]. Let π be an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$. Then

 $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\pi, \mathbf{1}) = |X(\pi)|.$

Proof. Assume that π is $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ -distinguished. Let $Z_{F^{\times}}$ be the center of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ and $Z'_{F^{\times}}$ be the center of $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$. The group $Z'_{F^{\times}}$ is the kernel of determinant that maps $Z_{F^{\times}}$ into F^{\times} . The central character ω_{π} of π is trivial on $Z'_{F^{\times}}$ and so there exists a character χ_F of F^{\times} such that $\chi^2_F = \omega_{\pi}|_{Z_{F^{\times}}}$. Since each smooth $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of F^{\times} can be extended to a smooth $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of E^{\times} , we obtain that after twisting by a smooth $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of E^{\times} , the central character ω_{π} of π is trivial on $Z_{F^{\times}}$. On the other hand, if π is ($\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \chi$)-distinguished for an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character χ of F^{\times} , then π is $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ -distinguished, and ω_{π} is trivial on $Z_{F^{\times}}$ after twisting by a smooth $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of E^{\times} as explained above. We obtain the fact that if ω_{π} is never trivial on $Z_{F^{\times}}$ after twisting by a smooth $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of E^{\times} , then dim Hom_{SL2(F)}($\pi, 1$) = $|X(\pi)| = 0$.

Now assume that the central character ω_{π} is trivial on $Z_{F^{\times}}$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.1 of [AP03], we consider the $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\pi, \mathbf{1})$, which has a $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -module structure and $F^{\times} \cdot \operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ acts trivially. Since $F^{\times}/F^{\times 2}$ is a finite abelian group whose order is a power of 2, our assumption that $\ell \neq 2$ implies that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\pi, \mathbf{1})$ can decompose into a direct sum of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -characters of $F^{\times}/F^{\times 2}$, hence a direct sum of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -characters of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. In particular, from

the definition, for an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character χ of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ which appears in the direct sum above, we have that π is χ -distinguished with respect to $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. Due to Theorem 4.1(iii), the direct sum above is multiplicity-free as $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. Hence, we obtain the desired equation.

6.5 Supercuspidal case

This subsection focuses on the distinction problems for supercuspidal representations.

Denote by U the subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ consisting of the upper triangular matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $U \cong E$. Fix an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character ψ_0 of E, which is non-trivial on \mathfrak{o}_E and is trivial on both \mathfrak{p}_E and F. Let π be an irreducible infinite-dimensional $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$. Then π is ψ_0 -generic, and π has a unique Whittaker model $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_0)$.

LEMMA 6.9. Let π_0 be an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)$ which is of infinite dimension and distinguished by $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$. Then it has a Whittaker model with respect to ψ_0 .

Proof. A similar method as in [AP03, Lemma 3.1] can be applied here. We repeat the proof for the convenience of the reader. We add some preliminaries at first.

Let π be an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ such that $\pi|_{\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)} \supset \pi_0$. Up to twisting by an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of F^{\times} on π_0 , we can assume that π_0 is distinguished with respect to $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. Thus, is π . Recall that ψ_0 is a fixed additive $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of E which is trivial on F, and denote by $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_0)$ the ψ_0 -Whittaker model of π . Let $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_0)$. By [KM20, §8.2] we know that the unique $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -invariant linear form can be realized as an integration form

$$f(W) = \int_{F^{\times}} W\bigg(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bigg) d^{\times} a,$$

where $d^{\times}a$ is a Haar measure on F^{\times} , which is non-zero (in [KM20] this integration form is denoted by \mathcal{P}_{π}) and $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -invariant. There is a unique irreducible component of the restricted representation $\pi|_{\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)}$ that is ψ_0 -generic. Let π_1 be a non- ψ_0 -generic irreducible component of $\mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi_0)|_{\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)}$, and $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi,\psi_0)$ a function belonging to π_1 . Given $g \in \operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)$, W(g) must be zero. Otherwise, it will induce a non-trivial morphism from π_1 to the space of ψ_0 -Whittaker functions on $\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)$, which contradicts the assumption that π_1 is not ψ_0 -generic. Therefore, the $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -invariant linear form can be non-zero only on the ψ_0 -generic part of $\pi|_{\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)}$, and we conclude that π_0 is ψ_0 -generic.

LEMMA 6.10. Let π be an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(E)$. The restricted representation $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$ is semisimple with finite length and all irreducible components are conjugate to each other under the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. Hence, the dimension dim $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}(\tau, \mathbf{1})$ is independent of the choice of irreducible component τ of $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$.

Proof. The proof of [AP03, Lemma 3.2] works for $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representations as well.

PROPOSITION 6.11. Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$, which is distinguished with respect to $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$. Then we have

$$|X(\pi)| = |Y_+(\pi)|.$$

Assume further that π is distinguished with respect to $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. Then composition with the norm map $N_{E/F}$ induces a bijection from $X(\pi)$ to $Y_+(\pi)$.

Proof. The strategy of [AP03, Proposition 4.2] can be applied, and we write the proof here for the convenience of the reader. By Proposition 6.8, after twisting π by an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character of E^{\times} , we assume that π is distinguished with respect to $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. As in the proof of [AP03, Proposition 4.2], we give a bijection from $X(\pi)$ to $Y_+(\pi)$ given by the composition with the norm map.

Recall that $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$ is the norm map from E^{\times} to F^{\times} and $\chi \in X(\pi)$. Define a map

$$\mathcal{N}: X(\pi) \to Y_+(\pi)$$

via $\chi \mapsto \chi \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$. Indeed, let $\chi \in X(\pi)$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ be a character of E^{\times} such that $\tilde{\chi}|_{F^{\times}} = \chi$. By Theorem 4.1, π and $\pi \otimes \tilde{\chi}^{-1}$ are σ -selfdual. Therefore, $\pi \simeq \pi \otimes \chi \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$ and $\chi \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} \in Y_{+}(\pi)$.

Conversely, let $\mu \in Y_+(\pi)$. Since $\mu^2 = \mathbf{1}$ and $\mu|_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$, by Hilbert's theorem 90, it is trivial on the kernel of $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$. Hence, there exists an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -character η of F^{\times} , such that $\mu \cong \eta \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$. Let $\tilde{\eta}$ be an extension of η to E^{\times} . Then $\mu \cong \tilde{\eta} \cdot \tilde{\eta}^{\sigma}$. Since π is distinguished with respect to $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$, we have

$$(\pi \otimes \tilde{\eta})^{\vee} \cong (\pi \otimes \tilde{\eta})^{\sigma}.$$

On the other hand, let $Z_{F^{\times}}$ be the center of $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. Then $\omega_{\pi \otimes \tilde{\eta}}|_{Z_{F^{\times}}}$ is trivial where $\omega_{\pi \otimes \tilde{\eta}}$ is the central character of $\pi \otimes \tilde{\eta}$. By Theorem 3.14, $\pi \otimes \tilde{\eta}$ is either distinguished or $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ but not both. We map μ to η or $\eta \otimes \omega_{E/F}$ accordingly. This gives a map from $Y_+(\pi)$ to $X(\pi)$ which is the inverse of \mathcal{N} . Hence, we complete the proof.

COROLLARY 6.12. Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$, distinguished by $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$. The number of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ -invariant linear functionals on π is equal to the length of $\pi|_{\mathrm{GL}^+_2(E)}$ and both are $\lg_+(\pi)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6.3, Propositions 6.8 and 6.11.

Recall that $\lg(\pi)$ is the length of $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$ and $\lg_+(\pi)$ is the length of $\pi|_{\mathrm{GL}^+(E)}$.

PROPOSITION 6.13. Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ such that $\lg(\pi)$ is different from 1. Suppose that π is distinguished by $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$. Then $\lg_+(\pi)$ is different from 1, and the only irreducible component of $\pi|_{\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)}$ which is distinguished by $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ is the one that is ψ_0 -generic (see the beginning of § 6.5 for the definition of ψ_0).

Proof. The proof of Proposition 4.4 of [AP03] can be applied, and we write the proof here for completeness. Suppose that $\lg_+(\pi) = 1$ and denote $\pi|_{\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)}$ by π^+ . Under this assumption, we have that $\pi^+|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$ is not irreducible. By Lemma 6.10 we have that the number of $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ -invariant linear forms is strictly bigger than one. However, by Corollary 6.12, there is only one $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ -invariant linear form, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\lg_+(\pi) \neq 1$, and the result follows from Lemmas 6.9 and 6.10.

THEOREM 6.14. Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ distinguished by $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$, and π^+ the unique irreducible component of $\pi|_{\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)}$ that is ψ_0 -generic. Then π^+ is distinguished by $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$. Furthermore, let τ be an irreducible component of $\pi|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$, distinguished by $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$. Then τ is an irreducible component of $\pi^+|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 6.9 and 6.10 directly.

THEOREM 6.15. Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ and τ and irreducible component of $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$. Suppose τ is distinguished by $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$. Then,

dimHom_{SL₂(F)}(
$$\tau$$
, **1**) =

$$\begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } \pi|_{\text{SL}_2(E)} \cong \tau, \\
1 & \text{if } \lg_+(\pi) = 2 \text{ and } \lg(\pi) = 4, \\
2 & \text{if } \lg_+(\pi) = \lg(\pi) = 2, \\
4 & \text{if } \lg_+(\pi) = \lg(\pi) = 4.
\end{cases}$$

The first case and the last case arises only when p = 2.

Proof. Let $\pi^+ \subset \pi|_{\mathrm{GL}^+_2(E)}$ be irreducible and ψ_0 -generic. Let $\lg(\pi)'$ be the length of $\pi^+|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$. Since the components of $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$ are $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ -conjugate, we deduce that $\lg(\pi)' = \lg(\pi)/\lg_+(\pi)$. By Lemma 6.10, Corollary 6.12 and Theorem 6.14, we summarize that dimHom_{SL₂(F)}(π , 1) = $\lg_{\pm}(\pi)$, and

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\tau, \mathbf{1}) = \lg_+(\pi) / \lg(\pi)' = \lg_+(\pi)^2 / \lg(\pi).$$

We obtain the result by a direct computation.

When p is odd, $E^{\times}/F^{\times}E^{\times 2}$ is of order 2. Since $\lg_{+}(\pi) = |Y_{+}(\pi)|$ and the latter is a subset of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -characters of $E^{\times}/F^{\times}E^{\times 2}$, the case $\lg_{+}(\pi) = 4$ can exist only when p is equal to 2.

If $\pi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(E)}$ is irreducible which implies that π is primitive, then p = 2.

6.6 The principal series representations

In this subsection, we will use Mackey Theory to prove the following theorem, following [Lu18].

THEOREM 6.16. Let $I(\chi)$ be a principal series representation of $SL_2(E)$.

- (i) Let τ be an irreducible principal series representation of $SL_2(E)$.
 - (a) If $\tau = I(\chi)$ with $\chi|_{F^{\times}} = 1$ and $\chi \neq 1$, then dim Hom_{SL2(F)} $(\tau, 1) = 1$.
 - (b) If $\tau = I(\chi)$ with $\chi^{\sigma} = \chi$, then dim Hom_{SL2(F)} $(\tau, \mathbf{1}) = 2$.
- (ii) Let τ be an irreducible subrepresentation of $I(\chi)$ distinguished by $SL_2(F)$ with $q_F \neq 1$ (mod ℓ).
 - (a) If $\chi = \nu^{\pm 1}$ and $\ell \nmid q_E^2 1$, then dim Hom_{SL2(F)} $(\tau, \mathbf{1}) = 1$. (b) If $\chi^2 = \mathbf{1}, \chi = \chi_F \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} \neq \mathbf{1}$ and $\ell \nmid q_E^2 1$, then

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\tau, \mathbf{1}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \chi_F^2 = \mathbf{1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \chi_F^2 = \omega_{E/F}. \end{cases}$$

- (c) If $\ell \mid q_E + 1$ and $\chi = \nu$, then τ is the trivial character and dim Hom_{SL2}(F)($\tau, \mathbf{1}$) = 1. In this case, there are two cuspidal (not supercuspidal) representations inside the Jordan–Holder series of $I(\nu)$ which are not distinguished by $SL_2(F)$ if E/F is unramified. If E/F is ramified, then only one of two cuspidal representations is distinguished by $SL_2(F)$ with multiplicity two.
- (d) If $\ell \mid q_E 1$ and $\ell \mid q_F + 1$, then

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\tau, \mathbf{1}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \tau \text{ is the Steinberg representation,} \\ 3 & \text{if } \chi = \chi_F \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} \neq \mathbf{1} \text{ with } \chi_F^2 = \mathbf{1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \chi = \chi_F \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} \text{ with } \chi_F^2 = \omega_{E/F}. \end{cases}$$

Let B(E) be the standard Borel subgroup of $SL_2(E)$ and $B(E) \setminus SL_2(E) \cong P^1(E)$. Recall that there are two F-rational $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -orbits in $P^1(E)$ which are $P^1(F)$ and $P^1(E)$ – $P^{1}(F)$. Moreover, the open orbit $P^{1}(E) - P^{1}(F)$ is isomorphic to $E^{\times} \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{2}(F)$. There is an exact sequence

$$1 \to E^1 \backslash \operatorname{SL}_2(F) \to E^{\times} \backslash \operatorname{GL}_2(F) \to \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} E^{\times} \backslash F^{\times} \to 1,$$

where $E^1 = \{e \in E^{\times} : \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}(e) = 1\}$. Thus, $P^1(E)$ decomposes into three *F*-rational $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ -orbits: one closed orbit $P^1(F)$ and two open orbits corresponding to $F^{\times}/\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}E^{\times} = \{\pm 1\}$.

LEMMA 6.17. Let $I(\chi)$ be a principal series representation of $SL_2(E)$. Then $Hom_{SL_2(F)}(I(\chi), \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$ if and only if either $\chi|_{F^{\times}} = \mathbf{1}$ or $\chi|_{E^1} = \mathbf{1}$.

Proof. Applying Mackey theory, one has an exact sequence

 $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{F^{\times}}(\chi, \mathbf{1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_{2}(F)}(I(\chi), \mathbf{1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{E^{1}}(\chi, \mathbf{1}) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E^{1}}(\chi^{-1}, \mathbf{1}) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{F^{\times}}^{1}(\chi, \mathbf{1})$

of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ -vector spaces. If $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(I(\chi), \mathbf{1})$ is non-zero, then either $\chi|_{F^{\times}}$ or $\chi|_{E^1}$ is trivial. Conversely, it suffices to show that $\chi|_{F^{\times}} \neq \mathbf{1}$ and $\chi|_{E^1} = \mathbf{1}$ imply $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(I(\chi), \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$. Note that $\operatorname{Ext}_{F^{\times}}^1(\chi, \mathbf{1}) = 0$ if and only if $\chi|_{F^{\times}}$ is non-trivial; see [DS23, Proposition 8.4]. By the exact sequence, one has

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(I(\chi), \mathbf{1}) = 2 \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{E^1}(\chi, \mathbf{1})$$

when $\chi|_{F^{\times}} \neq \mathbf{1}$. This finishes the proof.

LEMMA 6.18. Suppose that $\ell \nmid q_F - 1$. Assume that π (respectively, τ) is a principal series representation of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ (respectively, $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$) and $\tau \subset \pi|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$. Set $\pi|_{\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)} = \bigoplus_i \pi_i$. Then $\tau \subset \pi_0|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$ if and only if π_0 is ψ_0 -generic where ψ_0 is a non-degenerate character on E/F.

Proof. Suppose that $\pi = \pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ with $\chi_1\chi_2$ trivial on F^{\times} . Then the $GL_2(F)$ -invariant linear functional on π , up to a constant, is given by

$$f(W) = \int_{F^{\times}} W\bigg(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bigg) d^{\times} a,$$

where W is the unique right $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_E)$ -invariant ψ_0 -Whittaker function on π . Since there is a unique ψ_0 -Whittaker functional on the space of π , exactly one constituent of the restriction of π to $\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)$ is ψ_0 -generic. Thus, the $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -invariant functional can be non-zero only on the ψ_0 -generic part of the restriction of π to $\operatorname{GL}_2^+(E)$. Therefore, $\tau \subset \pi_0|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$, i.e. π_0 is $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ -distinguished if and only if π_0 is ψ_0 -generic.

Remark 6.19. Let ϖ_F denote the uniformizer of the ring \mathfrak{o}_F of integers of F. Matringe pointed out that when $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, π is distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ but

$$\int_{F^{\times}} W\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) da = \frac{Vol(\mathcal{O}_F^{\times})}{(1 - \chi_1(\varpi_F))(1 - \chi_2(\varpi_F))} = 0$$

Thus, this method does not work when we try to determine the multiplicity for the irreducible constituent of $I(\chi)$ with $\chi^2 = \mathbf{1}$ when $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 6.16.

Proof of Theorem 6.16. (i) Note that if $\chi \neq \nu^{\pm 1}$ and $\chi^2 \neq \mathbf{1}$, then $I(\chi)$ is irreducible. Then it follows from Lemma 6.17 except that $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ and $\ell \nmid q_E - 1$. It is enough to show that dim Hom_{SL₂(F)}($I(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1}$) = 2 when $\ell \nmid q_E - 1$. Note that $\pi(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$ is both GL₂(F)-distinguished and (GL₂(F), $\omega_{E/F}$)-distinguished with multiplicity one. Thus, dim Hom_{SL₂(F)}($I(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1}$) = 2 by Proposition 6.8.

(ii) If τ is a trivial character, then dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\tau, \mathbf{1}) = 1$. Let τ be an infinite-dimensional subrepresentation of $I(\chi)$.

(a) If $\chi = \nu^{-1}$, then τ is the trivial character. If $\chi = \nu$ and τ is the Steinberg representation St, then there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{St} \to I(\nu) \to \mathbf{1} \to 0$$

of $SL_2(E)$ -representations. Taking the functor $Hom_{SL_2(F)}(-, 1)$, one has an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(I(\nu),\mathbf{1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{St},\mathbf{1}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}).$$

Note that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\operatorname{SL}_{2}(F)}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}) = 0$. Thanks to Lemma 6.17, we have

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(I(\nu), \mathbf{1}) = 2.$$

Therefore, dim Hom_{SL₂(F)}(St, 1) = 2 - 1 = 1.

(b) If $\chi = \chi_F \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$ with $\chi_F^2 = \mathbf{1}$, then the irreducible principal series representation $\pi(\mathbf{1}, \chi)$ is distinguished by $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$. Furthermore,

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi(\mathbf{1},\chi),\chi_F) = 1 = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F)}(\pi(\mathbf{1},\chi),\chi_F\omega_{E/F})$$

There is only one subrepresentation in $I(\chi)$ which is (U, ψ_0) -generic, which implies that only one constituent in $I(\chi)$ is distinguished by $SL_2(F)$. Thus dim $Hom_{SL_2(F)}(\tau, \mathbf{1}) = 3$.

If $\chi = \chi_F \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}$ with $\chi_F^2 = \omega_{E/F}$, then $\pi(\mathbf{1}, \chi)$ is both $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \chi_F)$ -distinguished and $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \chi_F^{-1})$ -distinguished. Thus,

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(I(\chi), \mathbf{1}) = 2.$$

Note that two constituents in $I(\chi)$ are (U, ψ_0) -generic. Thus, each one in $I(\chi)$ is distinguished by $SL_2(F)$ with multiplicity one.

(c) This case is trivial.

If $\ell \mid q_E + 1$ and τ is a cuspidal non-supercuspidal representation of $\operatorname{SL}_2(E)$, then there is a special representation Sp of $\operatorname{GL}_2(E)$ such that $\tau \subset \operatorname{Sp}|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$. If E/F is unramified, then Sp is neither $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished nor $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \omega_{E/F})$ -distinguished. (See [Séc19, Remark 2.8].) Thus, dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{Sp}|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}, \mathbf{1}) = 0$ by Proposition 6.8. If E/F is ramified, then Sp is not $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ -distinguished but $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \omega_{E/F})$ -distinguished. It is also $(\operatorname{GL}_2(F), \nu|_{F^{\times}}^{1/2})$ -distinguished; see Theorem 4.6(ii). Due to Proposition 6.8, dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{Sp}|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}, \mathbf{1}) = 2$. Note that there is only one constituent in $\operatorname{Sp}|_{\operatorname{SL}_2(E)}$ which is (U, ψ_0) -generic, denoted by τ . Thus,

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\tau, \mathbf{1}) = 2.$$

This finishes the proof.

(d) If $\ell \mid q_E - 1$ and $\ell \mid q_F + 1$, then E/F is an unramified field extension. In this case, $I(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \oplus \text{St.}$ Thanks to Theorem 4.6(iii),

 $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(F)}(\operatorname{St}, \mathbf{1}) = 2.$

If $\tau \subset I(\chi_F \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{E/F})$ with $\chi_F^2 = \omega_{E/F}$ or **1**, this case is similar to case (b).

Remark 6.20. If $\ell \mid q_F - 1$, one can still prove that St is distinguished by $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ with multiplicity two due to Theorem 4.6 and that the constituents in $I(\chi_F \circ \mathrm{Nm}_{E/F})$ with $\chi_F^2 = \omega_{E/F}$ are both distinguished by $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ with multiplicity one. But we cannot determine the situation for $\tau \subset I(\chi_F \circ \mathrm{Nm}_{E/F})$ with $\chi_F^2 = \mathbf{1}$.

Acknowledgements

We warmly thank Vincent Sécherre for his remarks and comments which made it possible, in particular, to generalize the results from GL_2 to GL_n . We also thank Nadir Matringe and Alberto Mínguez for useful discussions. We thank an anonymous referee for his useful comments and corrections. The main results were obtained and the paper was written when we were working together at the University of Vienna as postdocs. We would like to thank the University of Vienna for providing such nice working environment.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST None.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The work of T.L. was partially supported by the ERC, grant agreement 101001159. H.L. was partially supported by NSFC 12301031.

JOURNAL INFORMATION

Compositio Mathematica is owned by the Foundation Compositio Mathematica and published by the London Mathematical Society in partnership with Cambridge University Press. All surplus income from the publication of *Compositio Mathematica* is returned to mathematics and higher education through the charitable activities of the Foundation, the London Mathematical Society and Cambridge University Press.

References

AKMSS21	U. K. Anandavardhanan, R. Kurinczuk, N. Matringe, V. Sécherre and S. Stevens, <i>Galois self-dual cuspidal types and Asai local factors</i> , J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 23 (2021), 3129–3191.	
AP03	U. K. Anandavardhanan and D. Prasad, <i>Distinguished representations for</i> SL(2), Math. Res. Lett. 10 (2003), 867–878.	
Bou04	N. Bourbaki, <i>Integration. II. Chapters 7–9</i> , Elements of Mathematics (Berlin) (Springer, Berlin, 2004). Translated from the 1963 and 1969 French originals by Sterling K. Berberian.	
BH06	C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, <i>The local Langlands conjecture for</i> GL(2), Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 338 (Springer, Berlin, 2006).	
Cui20	P. Cui, Modulo ℓ -representations of p-adic groups $SL_n(F)$: maximal simple k-types, Preprint (2020), arXiv:2012.07492.	
DS23	B. Drevon and V. Sécherre, Décomposition en blocs de la catégorie des représentations ℓ -modulaires lisses de longueur finie de $\operatorname{GL}_m(D)$, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 73 (2023), 2411–2468.	
FLO12	B. Feigon, E. Lapid and O. Offen, On representations distinguished by unitary groups, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 115 (2012), 185–323.	
GGP12	W. T. Gan, B. H. Gross and D. Prasad, Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups, in Sur les Conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I, Astérisque, vol. 346 (Société mathématique de France, 2012), 1–109.	
GK82	S. S. Gelbart and A. W. Knapp, <i>L-indistinguishability and R groups for the special linear group</i> , Adv. Math. 43 (1982), 101–121.	
Hak94	J. Hakim, Character relations for distinguished representations, Amer. J. Math. 116 (1994), 1153–1202.	

- HM02 J. Hakim and F. Murnaghan, Globalization of distinguished supercuspidal representations of GL(n), Canad. Math. Bull. **45** (2002), 220–230.
- Hel16 D. Helm, The Bernstein center of the category of smooth $W(k)GL_n(F)$ -modules, Forum Math. Sigma 4 (2016), e11.
- HR90 M. J. Heumos and S. Rallis, Symplectic-Whittaker models for Gl_n, Pacific J. Math. 146 (1990), 247–279.
- Jo23 Y. Jo, The local exterior square and Asai L-functions for GL(n) in odd characteristic, Pacific J. Math. **322** (2023), 301–340.
- Kab04 A. C. Kable, Asai L-functions and Jacquet's conjecture, Amer. J. Math. 126 (2004), 789–820.
- KM20 R. Kurinczuk and N. Matringe, Characterisation of the poles of the *l*-modular Asai L-factor, Bull. Soc. Math. France **148** (2020), 481–514.
- KM21 R. Kurinczuk and N. Matringe, The *l*-modular local langlands correspondence and local constants, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **20** (2021), 1585–1635.
- KMS23 R. Kurinczuk, N. Matringe and V. Sécherre, Cuspidal ℓ -modular representations of $GL_n(F)$ distinguished by a Galois involution, Preprint (2023), arXiv:2310.15820.
- Lu18 H. Lu, Theta correspondence and the Prasad conjecture for SL(2), Pacific J. Math. **295** (2018), 477–498.
- Lu20 H. Lu, *The Prasad conjectures for* GSp₄ and PGSp₄, Algebra Number Theory **14** (2020), 2417–2480.
- MS14 A. Mínguez and V. Sécherre, Types modulo ℓ pour les formes intérieures de GL_n sur un corps local non archimédien, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **109** (2014), 823–891, with an appendix by Vincent Sécherre et Shaun Stevens.
- MS17 A. Mínguez and V. Sécherre, Correspondance de Jacquet-Langlands locale et congruences modulo l, Invent. Math. 208 (2017), 553–631.
- Neu99 J. Neukirch, Algebraic number theory, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 322 (Springer, Berlin, 1999). Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.
- Pra92 D. Prasad, Invariant forms for representations of GL₂ over a local field, Amer. J. Math. 114 (1992), 1317–1363.
- Pra15 D. Prasad, A 'relative' local Langlands correspondence, Preprint (2015), arXiv:1512.04347.
- Pra19 D. Prasad, Generic representations for symmetric spaces, Adv. Math. 348 (2019), 378–411.
- SaVe17 Y. Sakellaridis and A. Venkatesh, Periods and harmonic analysis on spherical varieties, Astérisque 396 (2017).
- Séc19 V. Sécherre, Supercuspidal representations of GL_n(F) distinguished by a Galois involution, Algebra Number Theory 13 (2019), 1677–1733.
- SéVel7 V. Sécherre and C. G. Venketasubramanian, Modular representations of GL(n) distinguished by GL(n-1) over a p-adic field, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2017** (2017), 4435–4492.
- Ser77 J.-P. Serre, Linear representations of finite groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 42 (Springer, New York-Heidelberg, 1977). Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott.
- She79 D. Shelstad, Notes on L-indistinguishability (based on a lecture of R. P. Langlands), in Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. XXXIII (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1979), 193–203.
- Vig89 M.-F. Vignéras, Représentations modulaires de GL(2, F) en caractéristique l, F corps p-adique, $p \neq l$, Compos. Math. **72** (1989), 33–66.

Vig96 M.-F. Vignéras, Représentations l-modulaires d'un groupe réductif p-adique avec l≠p, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 137 (Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1996).
Vig01a M.-F. Vignéras, Correspondance de Langlands semi-simple pour GL(n, F) modulo l≠p, Invent. Math. 144 (2001), 177–223.
Vig01b M.-F. Vignéras, Irreducible modular representations of a reductive p-adic group and simple modules for Hecke algebras, in European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000), Progress in Mathematics, vol. 201 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001), 117–133.
Zou22 J. Zou, Supercuspidal representations of GL_n(F) distinguished by a unitary involution, Bull. Soc. Math. France 150 (2022), 393–458.

Peiyi Cui peiyi.cuimath@gmail.com

Morningside Center of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Zhongguancun East Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100190, PR China

Thomas Lanard thomas.lanard@uvsq.fr

CNRS, Laboratoire de mathématiques de Versailles, Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, 78000 Versailles, France

Hengfei Lu luhengfei@buaa.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beihang University, 9 Nansan Street, Shahe Higher Education Park, Changping, Beijing 102206, PR China