# NORM OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON THE BLOCH SPACE

## CHENGJI XIONG

The author estimates the semi-norm and norm of composition operators on the Block space; and obtains several necessary conditions for a composition operator to be isometric.

## 1. Introduction

Let **D** be the unit disk in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $H(\mathbf{D})$  be the set of all analytic functions on **D**. A function  $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$  is said to be a Bloch function if it satisfies

$$||f||_{\beta} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \left(1 - |z|^2\right) \left| f'(z) \right| < \infty.$$

The set of all Bloch functions is called the Bloch space, which becomes a Banach space [1] under the norm

$$||f||_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + ||f||_{\beta} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)|.$$

Let  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic mapping of **D** into itself. It is known that  $\varphi$  induces a bounded composition operator  $C_{\varphi}(f) = f \circ \varphi$  on the Bloch space ([4]). The semi-norm and norm of  $C_{\varphi}$  are defined as

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{\beta} = \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=1} \|f \circ \varphi\|_{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \|C_{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=1} \|f \circ \varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

respectively.

If  $||C_{\varphi}(f)||_{\mathcal{B}} = ||f||_{\mathcal{B}}$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $C_{\varphi}$  is called an isometric operator on the Bloch space.

On the Hardy space  $H^2$ , Littlewood [2] showed that

$$||C_{\varphi}||_{H^2} \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{1+|\varphi(0)|}{1-|\varphi(0)|}}.$$

Received 31st March, 2004

This research is supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China (NO. 10371069).

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/04 \$A2.00+0.00.

If  $\varphi$  is an inner function, Nordgren [3] showed that

$$||C_{\varphi}||_{H^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|}}.$$

Moreover,  $C_{\varphi}$  is isometric if and only if  $\varphi$  is an inner function with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . If  $\varphi(0) \neq 0$ , Shapiro [5] showed that

$$||C_{\varphi}||_{H^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|}}$$

if and only if  $\varphi$  is an inner function.

In this paper, we consider similar problems on the Bloch space. In Section 2, we estimate the semi-norm and norm of composition operators. In Section 3, we obtain several necessary conditions for a composition operator to be isometric. Finally, we propose a question.

# 2. Semi-norm and norm of Composition Operators

Denote

$$\tau_{\varphi}(z) = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} |\varphi'(z)|, \quad \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \tau_{\varphi}(z).$$

By Schwarz-Pick Lemma,  $\tau_{\varphi}(z) \leqslant 1$  and  $\tau_{\varphi}^{\infty} \leqslant 1$ .

Theorem 1. Let  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic mapping of D into itself, then the seminorm

$$||C_{\varphi}||_{\beta} = \sup_{\|f\|_{\beta}=1} \left(1-|z|^2\right) \left|f'(\varphi(z))\varphi'(z)\right| = \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty}.$$

PROOF: By the definition,

$$\begin{split} \|C_{\varphi}\|_{\beta} &= \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=1} \left(1 - |z|^{2}\right) \left| f'(\varphi(z))\varphi'(z) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=1} \left(1 - \left| \varphi(z) \right|^{2}\right) \left| f'(\varphi(z)) \right| \cdot \frac{1 - |z|^{2}}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^{2}} |\varphi'(z)| \leqslant \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, let

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad F(0) = 0, \quad z \in \mathbf{D},$$

then  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $||F||_{\mathcal{B}} = 1$  and  $(1 - |z|^2)|F'(z)| = 1$  for  $z \ge 0$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $z_0 \in \mathbf{D}$  such that  $\tau_{\varphi}(z_0) > \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty} - \varepsilon$ . Let  $\varphi(z_0) = e^{i\theta} |\varphi(z_0)|$ ,  $g(z) = F(e^{-i\theta}z)$ , then

$$||C_{\varphi}||_{\beta} \geqslant ||C_{\varphi}(g)||_{\beta} \geqslant \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \left(1 - |\varphi(z)|^{2}\right) \left|F'\left(e^{-i\theta}\varphi(z)\right)\right| \cdot \frac{1 - |z|^{2}}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^{2}} |\varphi'(z)|$$

$$\geqslant \left(1 - |\varphi(z_{0})|^{2}\right) \left|F'\left(e^{-i\theta}\varphi(z_{0})\right)\right| \cdot \frac{1 - |z_{0}|^{2}}{1 - |\varphi(z_{0})|^{2}} |\varphi'(z_{0})|$$

$$= \tau_{\varphi}(z_{0}) > \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty} - \varepsilon.$$

0

For the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ , we have  $\|C_{\varphi}\|_{\beta} \geqslant \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty}$ . The proof is completed.

COROLLARY 1. Let  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic mapping of D into itself, then

$$||C_{\varphi}||_{\mathcal{B}} \geqslant \max \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|} \right\}$$

PROOF: As in the proof of theorem 1, let  $\varphi(0) = e^{i\theta} |\varphi(0)|$ ,

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$
  $F(0) = 0$ ,

and  $g(z) = F(e^{-i\theta}z)$ . We have

$$||C_{\varphi}||_{B} \geqslant ||g(\varphi)||_{B} \geqslant |g(\varphi(0))| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|}$$

Taking f(z) = 1, then  $||C_{\varphi}(f)||_{\mathcal{B}} = 1$  and  $||C_{\varphi}||_{\mathcal{B}} \geqslant 1$ . The proof is completed.

LEMMA 1. If  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ , then

$$|f(z)| \le |f(0)| + \frac{||f||_{\mathcal{B}} - |f(0)|}{2} \log \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|}.$$

PROOF: By the definition,

$$||f||_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f'(z) \right| &\leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} - |f(0)|}{1 - |z|^2}, \\ \left| f(z) \right| &= \left| f(0) + \int_0^z f'(z) \, dz \right| \leq \left| f(0) \right| + \int_0^{|z|} \left| f'(z) \right| d|z| \\ &\leq \left| f(0) \right| + \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} - |f(0)|}{2} \log \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|}. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic mapping of **D** into itself, then

$$||C_{\varphi}||_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \max \left\{1, \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|} + \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty}\right\}.$$

In particular, if  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , then  $||C_{\varphi}||_{\mathcal{B}} = 1$ .

PROOF: Taking  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $||f||_{\mathcal{B}} = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| C_{\varphi}(f) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} &= \left| f(\varphi(0)) \right| + \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \left( 1 - |z|^2 \right) \left| f'(\varphi(z)) \right| \left| \varphi'(z) \right| \\ &= \left| f(\varphi(0)) \right| + \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \left( 1 - \left| \varphi(z) \right|^2 \right) \left| f'(\varphi(z)) \right| \cdot \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} |\varphi'(z)| \\ &\leq \left| f(\varphi(0)) \right| + \left( \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} - |f(0)| \right) \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty} \\ &= \left| f(\varphi(0)) \right| + \left( 1 - |f(0)| \right) \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Using lemma 1,

$$\left| f(\varphi(0)) \right| \le \left| f(0) \right| + \frac{1 - |f(0)|}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|}.$$

Notice that  $0 \le |f(0)| \le 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\varphi}(f)\|_{\mathcal{B}} &\leq |f(0)| + \Big(1 - |f(0)|\Big) \Big(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|} + \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty}\Big) \\ &\leq \max\Big\{1, \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|} + \tau_{\varphi}^{\infty}\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

To deal with  $\tau_{\varphi}^{\infty}$ , we need several concepts which can be found in [6]. Let  $w \in T$  =  $\partial \mathbf{D}$  and  $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$ . The Stolz angle at w, denote by  $S(w, \alpha)$ , is the region between two straight lines in  $\mathbf{D}$  that meet at w with angle  $2\alpha$  and are symmetric about the radius to w. For  $f \in H(\mathbf{D})$ ,  $w \in T$  and a constant  $L \in \mathbb{C}$ , then

$$\angle \lim_{r \to w} = L$$

means that  $f(z) \to L$  as  $z \to w$  through any Stolz angle at w. In this case, we say L is the angular limit of f at w. Suppose  $\varphi$  is a holomorphic mapping of  $\mathbf D$  into itself and  $w \in T$ . If there exists a constant  $\eta \in T$  such that

$$\angle \lim_{z \to w} \frac{\varphi(z) - \eta}{z - w}$$

exists finitely, then we say this limit is the angle derivative of  $\varphi$  at w and denote it by  $\varphi'(w)$ .

We need the following.

THE JULIA-CARATHÉODORY THEOREM. ([6].) Suppose  $\varphi$  is a holomorphic self-map of D, and  $w \in T$ . Then the following three statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $\liminf_{z\to w} \frac{1-|\varphi(z)|}{1-|z|} = \delta < \infty;$
- (2)  $\angle \lim_{z \to w} \frac{\eta \varphi(z)}{w z}$  exists for some  $(\eta \in T)$ ;
- (3)  $\angle \lim_{z \to w} \varphi'(z)$  exists, and  $\lim_{z \to w} \angle \varphi(z) = \eta$ .

Moreover, if one of the above conditions holds, then  $\delta > 0$ , the  $\eta$  in (1) and (2) are the same, and the limit in (2) coincides with that of the derivative in (3), with both equal  $\overline{w}\eta\delta$ .

**LEMMA 2.** Suppose  $\varphi$  is a holomorphic self-map of D and has an angle derivative at some point of  $T = \partial D$ . Then

$$\tau_{\varphi}^{\infty} = 1.$$

П

PROOF: By Theorems 1, 2 and the Schwarz-Pick lemma, we need only prove  $\tau_{\varphi}^{\infty} \geqslant 1$ . Assume that  $\varphi$  has an angle derivative  $\mu$  at the point  $w \in T$ . Using the Julia-Carathéodory theorem for  $\varphi$ , then there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $\eta \in T$  such that  $\mu = \angle \lim_{z \to w} \varphi'(z) = \overline{w} \eta \delta$  and

$$\liminf_{z\to w}\frac{1-|\varphi(z)|}{1-|z|}=\delta.$$

Hence

$$\angle \lim_{z \to w} \tau_{\varphi} = \angle \lim_{z \to w} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| = 1$$

and  $||\tau_{\varphi}||_{\infty} \geqslant 1$ . The proof is completed.

By Theorem 1, 2, Corollary of the Theorem 1 and the Lemma 2, we have following.

COROLLARY 2. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a holomorphic self-map of D and has an angle derivative at some point of  $T = \partial D$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \|C_{\varphi}\|_{\beta} &= 1, \\ \max \Big\{ 1, \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|} \Big\} \leqslant \|C_{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leqslant 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|}. \end{split}$$

Moveover, for any  $a \in [0, 1)$ , the left equality of the above inequality can hols for  $\varphi(z) = a$  and the right for  $\varphi(z) = (a - z)/(1 - \overline{a}z)$ .

# 3. ISOMETRIC COMPOSITION OPERATORS

**LEMMA 3.** If the composition operator  $C_{\varphi}$  is isometric on the Bloch space then  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 1$ .

PROOF: Let  $\varphi(0) = a$  and

$$\psi(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\overline{a}z}.$$

By the Schwarz-Pick lemma, we have

$$||\psi||_{B} = |a| + ||\psi||_{B} = |a| + 1$$

and

$$\left\|C_{\varphi}(\psi)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \left|\psi\left(\varphi(0)\right)\right| + \sup_{z \in D} \left(1 - \left|\varphi(z)\right|^{2}\right) \left|\psi'\left(\varphi(z)\right)\right| \frac{1 - |z|^{2}}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^{2}} \left|\varphi'(z)\right| \leqslant 1.$$

If  $C_{\varphi}$  is isometric, then a=0 and  $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}=1$ . The lemma is proved.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that the composition operator  $C_{\varphi}$  is isometric on the Bloch space. Then

- (1)  $\varphi'$  is bounded on  $\mathbf{D} \Longrightarrow \varphi(z) = e^{i\theta}z$ .
- (2)  $\varphi$  is univalent on  $\mathbf{D} \Longrightarrow \varphi(z) = e^{i\theta}z$ .
- (3) If  $\varphi(z) \neq e^{i\theta}z$ , then for every  $a \in \mathbf{D}$  there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  such that  $|z_n| \to 1$  and  $\varphi(z_n) \to a$ .

PROOF: (1) For the isometry of  $C_{\varphi}$ , we know  $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 1$  and  $\varphi(0) = 0$  by Lemma 3, hence

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbf{D}}\left(1-\left|\varphi(z)\right|^{2}\right)\cdot\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}\left|\varphi'(z)\right|=1.$$

If  $\varphi(z) \neq e^{i\theta}z$ , by the Schwarz-pick lemma, we have  $\tau_{\varphi}(z) < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbf{D}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  such that  $|z_n| \to 1$ ,  $|\varphi(z_n)| \to 0$  and  $\tau_{\varphi}(z_n) \to 1$ . Hence

$$\left|\varphi'(z_n)\right| > \frac{1-|\varphi(z_n)|^2}{2(1-|z_n|^2)} \to \infty$$

for the sufficient large n. This contradicts the fact that that  $\varphi'$  is bounded on D.

- (2) Assuming that  $\varphi$  is univalent, we know  $\varphi(0) = 0$  by lemma 3. Then there exists a  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|\varphi(z_n)| > \varepsilon$  for any sequence  $|z_n| \to 1$  with the sufficient large n. If  $\varphi(z) \neq e^{i\theta}z$ , by the proof of (1), we have a contradiction.
- (3) For any  $a \in \mathbf{D}$ , we take  $\psi(z) = (a-z)/(1-\overline{a}z)$ . By the Schwarz-Pick lemma and the lemma 3, we have

$$|a| + \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \left( 1 - |\varphi(z)|^2 \right) |\psi'(\varphi(z))| \cdot \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} |\varphi'(z)| = |a| + 1,$$
  
$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \left( 1 - |\psi(\varphi(z))|^2 \right) \cdot \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} |\varphi'(z)| = 1.$$

Then there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  such that  $|z_n| \to 1$  and  $|\psi(\varphi(z_n))| \to 0$ , so  $\varphi(z_n) \to a$ .

The proof is completed.

This theorem suggests the following question.

QUESTION. Does there exist a function  $\varphi$  other than  $e^{i\theta}z$  such that  $C_{\varphi}$  is isometric on the Bloch space?

#### REFERENCES

- J.M. Anderson, J. Clunie and Ch. Pomerenke, 'On Bloch functions and normal functions',
   J. Reine Angew. Math. 270 (1974), 12-37.
- [2] J.E. Littlewood, 'On inequalities in the theory of functions', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 23 (1925), 481-519.
- [3] E.A. Nordgren, 'Composition operators', Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968), 442-449.
- [4] K. Madigan and A. Matheson, 'Compact composition operators on Bloch space', Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 2679-2687.

- [5] J.H. Shapiro, 'What do composition operators know about inner functions?', Monatshefte für Mathematik 130 (2000), 57-70.
- [6] J.H. Shapiro, Composition operators and classical function theory (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993), pp. 55-60.

Department of Mathematics Shantou University Shantou Guangdong 515063 Peoples Republic of China Department of Mathematics
Huaiyin Teacher's College
Huaiyin
Jiangsu 223001
Peoples Republic of China
e-mail: chengji\_xiong@yahoo.com