

On generalized Nörlund methods of summability

Minoru Tanaka

The object of this paper is to establish some relations between two generalized Nörlund methods and also between two absolute generalized Nörlund methods. Our theorems obtained here generalize many known results, including McFadden's Theorems which state the inclusion relations between two absolute Nörlund methods, and results of Ikuko Kayashima.

1. Introduction

Let $p = \{p_n\}$ and $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$ be given sequences of real numbers such that

$$(p * \alpha)_n = \sum_{\rho=0}^n p_{n-\rho} \alpha_\rho \neq 0 \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Given a series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ with its partial sum s_n , if

$$(1.1) \quad t_n^{p, \alpha} = \frac{1}{(p * \alpha)_n} \sum_{\rho=0}^n p_{n-\rho} \alpha_\rho s_\rho \rightarrow s \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is said to be summable (\bar{N}, p, α) to s and we write

Received 3 October 1978. The author takes this opportunity of expressing his heartfelt thanks to Professors Hiroshi Hirokawa and Ikuko Kayashima for their kind encouragement and valuable suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s(N, p, \alpha)$ (see Borwein [1]). If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| t_n^{p, \alpha} - t_{n+1}^{p, \alpha} \right| < \infty$, the

series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is said to be summable $|N, p, \alpha|$ and we write

$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \in |N, p, \alpha|$. The method (N, p, α) reduces to the Nörlund method

(N, p) when $\alpha_n = 1$; to the method (\bar{N}, α) when $p_n = 1$. Let A and

B be two summability methods. If every series summable (A) to a finite sum is also summable (B) to the same sum, we write $A \subseteq B$. We shall say that B is totally stronger than A (written ' B t.s. A ') if, in

addition, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \pm\infty$ (A) implies $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \pm\infty$ (B) . If every series summable $|A|$ is also summable $|B|$, we write $|A| \subseteq |B|$. We shall say that a method A is absolutely regular if every absolutely convergent series is summable $|A|$.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate relations between the methods (N, p, α) and (N, q, β) , and to establish some conditions for $|N, p, \alpha| \subseteq |N, q, \beta|$. Our theorems obtained here generalize many known results. We state all the results in §2, and they are proved in §§4-6. In §3 we state some preliminary lemmas.

Throughout this paper we use the following notations. For sequences $\{p_n\}$, $\{q_n\}$, $\{\alpha_n\}$, and $\{\beta_n\}$,

$$(1.2) \quad (c * p)_n = 1 \quad (n = 0), \quad = 0 \quad (n \geq 1),$$

$$(1.3) \quad (k * p)_n = q_n \quad (n \geq 0),$$

$$(1.4) \quad K_p^n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n q_{n-\nu} c_{\nu-p} \beta_\nu / \alpha_\nu \quad (n \geq 0), \text{ when } \alpha_n \neq 0 \quad (n \geq 0).$$

We shall write $\{p_n\} \in M$, if

$$p_n > 0, \quad \frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} \leq \frac{p_{n+2}}{p_{n+1}} \leq 1 \quad (n \geq 0),$$

and also $\{p_n\} \in M(q)$, if

$$p_n > 0, \quad q_n > 0, \quad \frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} \leq \frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

We put $\Delta a_n = a_n - a_{n+1}$, $\Delta_n a_{n,k} = a_{nk} - a_{n+1,k}$, $a_{-1} = 0$. Capital letters C and H are to denote absolute constants, but are not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

2. Inclusion theorems

THEOREM 1. *If*

- (i) $\{p_n\} \in M$,
- (ii) $\{p_n\} \in M(q)$,
- (iii) $\alpha_n > 0, \beta_n > 0 \quad (n \geq 0)$,
- (iv) $\beta_n/\alpha_n \geq \beta_{n+1}/\alpha_{n+1} \quad (n \geq N)$, and
- (v) (N, q, β) is regular,

then (N, q, β) t.s. (N, p, α) .

The case $N = 0$ in condition (iv) is more precise than Das's Theorem ([4], Theorem 1, Case (A)). Putting $\alpha_n = \beta_n = 1 \quad (n \geq 0)$, $p_n = q_n = 1 \quad (n \geq 0)$, and $p_n = \beta_n = 1 \quad (n \geq 0)$ in this theorem, we may obtain theorems of Rhoades [13], [14], Lorch [10], and Kuttner and Rhoades [9], respectively.

THEOREM 2. *If*

- (i) $\{p_n\} \in M$,
- (ii) $\{q_n\} \in M(p)$,
- (iii) $\alpha_n > 0 \quad (n \geq 0)$,
- (iv) $p_n \leq Cq_n \quad (n \geq 0)$, and
- (v) (N, q, α) is regular,

then $(N, p, \alpha) \subseteq (N, q, \alpha)$.

The case in which $\alpha_n = 1 \quad (n \geq 0)$ is due to Borwein and Cass ([2],

Theorem 2). But their theorem is more precise than this.

THEOREM 3. *If*

- (i) $\{p_n\} \in M$,
- (ii) $\{q_n\} \in M(p)$,
- (iii) $\alpha_n > 0, \beta_n > 0 \ (n \geq 0)$;

and either

- (iv) $\beta_n/\alpha_n \geq \beta_{n+1}/\alpha_{n+1} \ (n \geq 0)$,
- (v) $p_n \leq Cq_n, (1 * \beta)_n \leq H(q * \beta)_n \ (n \geq 0)$,
- (vi) (N, q, β) is regular,

or

- (iv) $\beta_n/\alpha_n \leq \beta_{n+1}/\alpha_{n+1} \ (n \geq 0)$,
- (v) $\beta_n(p * \alpha)_n \leq C\alpha_n(q * \beta)_n \ (n \geq 0)$,
- (vi) $(p * \alpha)_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

then $(N, p, \alpha) \subseteq (N, q, \beta)$.

The case in which $p_n = q_n = 1 \ (n \geq 0)$ is known as Riesz's Theorem (see Hardy [6], Theorem 14 with $n_0 = 0$).

In the following Theorems 4-7, we shall suppose that $\alpha_n \neq 0 \ (n \geq 0)$.

THEOREM 4. *A necessary and sufficient condition that $|N, p, \alpha| \subseteq |N, q, \beta|$ is*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{\rho=k}^n (p * \alpha)_\rho \left\{ \frac{K_\rho^n}{(q * \beta)_n} - \frac{K_\rho^{n-1}}{(q * \beta)_{n-1}} \right\} \right| \leq C \quad (k \geq 1).$$

The case in which $\alpha_n = \beta_n = 1 \ (n \geq 0)$ is Theorem (2.11) in McFadden [11].

THEOREM 5. *If the method (N, q, β) is absolutely regular, then a necessary and sufficient condition that $|N, p, \alpha| \subseteq |N, q, \beta|$ is*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_n \left\{ \sum_{\mu=k}^{n-1} \frac{\beta_{\mu}}{\alpha_{\mu}} q_{n-1-\mu} \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} (p * \alpha)_{\rho} \frac{c_{\mu-\rho}}{(q * \beta)_{n-1}} \right\} \right| \leq C \quad (k \geq 1) .$$

The case in which $p_n = q_n = 1 \quad (n \geq 0)$ is due to Dikshit [5].

THEOREM 6. *If*

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{\rho=0}^n \left| \frac{(p * \alpha)_{\rho}}{(q * \beta)_n} K_{\rho}^n \right| \leq C \quad (n \geq 0) ,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{\rho=k}^n (p * \alpha)_{\rho} \left\{ K_{\rho}^n / (q * \beta)_n - K_{\rho}^{n-1} / (q * \beta)_{n-1} \right\} \geq 0$$

($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$) ,

then $|N, p, \alpha| \subseteq |N, q, \beta|$.

The case in which $\alpha_n = \beta_n = 1 \quad (n \geq 0)$ is Theorem (2.12) in McFadden [11].

THEOREM 7. *If*

$$(2.5) \quad (p * \alpha)_n > 0 , \quad (q * \beta)_n > 0 \quad (n \geq 0) ,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{\rho=0}^n (p * \alpha)_{\rho} \left| K_{\rho}^n \right| \leq C (q * \beta)_n \quad (n \geq 0) ,$$

and either

$$(2.7) \quad K_{\rho}^n / (q * \beta)_n - K_{\rho}^{n+1} / (q * \beta)_{n+1} \geq 0 \quad (n - \rho \geq N) ,$$

or

$$(2.8) \quad K_{\rho}^n / (q * \beta)_n - K_{\rho}^{n+1} / (q * \beta)_{n+1} \leq 0 \quad (n - \rho \geq N) ,$$

then $|N, p, \alpha| \subseteq |N, q, \beta|$.

When $\alpha_n = \beta_n = 1 \quad (n \geq 0)$ in this theorem, the cases (2.7) and (2.8) are due to McFadden [11] and Kayashima [8], respectively.

In the following Theorems 8 to 11, we shall suppose that $p_n > 0$, $q_n > 0$, $\alpha_n > 0$, and $\beta_n > 0 \quad (n \geq 0)$.

THEOREM 8. *If*

$$(2.9) \quad (q * \beta)_n \leq (q * \beta)_{n+1} \quad (n \geq 0),$$

$$(2.10) \quad \beta_n \leq C\alpha_n, \quad (p * \alpha)_n \leq H(q * \beta)_n \quad (n \geq 0),$$

and either

$$(2.11) \quad k_\rho^n \geq 0, \quad k_\rho^n \geq k_\rho^{n+1} \quad (n - \rho \geq N),$$

or

$$(2.12) \quad k_\rho^n \leq 0, \quad k_\rho^n \leq k_\rho^{n+1} \quad (n - \rho \geq N),$$

then $|N, p, \alpha| \subseteq |N, q, \beta|$.

When $\alpha_n = \beta_n = 1$ ($n \geq 0$) in this theorem, the cases (2.11) and (2.12) are also due to McFadden [11] and Kayashima [8], respectively.

From these results we get the following theorems.

THEOREM 9. *If*

- (i) $\{p_n\} \in M$,
- (ii) $\Delta q_n > 0$ ($n \geq 0$),
- (iii) $q_0/p_0 = \beta_0/\alpha_0$,
- (iv) $(q * \beta)_n \leq (q * \beta)_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$),

and either

- A:
- (v) $\beta_n/\alpha_n \geq \beta_{n+1}/\alpha_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$),
 - (vi) $\Delta q_n/\Delta p_n \leq \Delta q_{n+1}/\Delta p_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$),
 - (vii) $\beta_n/\alpha_n \geq \Delta q_n/\Delta p_n$ ($n \geq 0$),

or

- B:
- (v) $\beta_n/\alpha_n \leq \beta_{n+1}/\alpha_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$),
 - (vi) $\Delta q_n/\Delta p_n \geq \Delta q_{n+1}/\Delta p_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$),
 - (vii) $\beta_n/\alpha_n \leq \Delta q_n/\Delta p_n$ ($n \geq 0$),
 - (viii) $(p * \alpha)_n \leq C(q * \beta)_n$ ($n \geq 0$),

then $|N, p, \alpha| \subseteq |N, q, \beta|$.

The case in which $\alpha_n = \beta_n = 1$ ($n \geq 0$) is due to Kayashima [8].

THEOREM 10. *If*

- (i) $\{p_n\} \in M$, and
- (ii) $\beta_n/\alpha_n \geq \beta_{n+1}/\alpha_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$),

then $|N, p, \alpha| \subseteq |\bar{N}, \beta|$.

The cases in which $\alpha_n = \beta_n$ ($n \geq 0$) and $\alpha_n = 1$ ($n \geq 0$) are due to Das [3] and Kayashima [7], respectively.

THEOREM 11. *If either*

- A: (i) $q_n \leq q_{n+1}$, $q_{n+1}/q_n \geq q_{n+2}/q_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$),
- (ii) $\beta_n/\alpha_n \geq \beta_{n+1}/\alpha_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$),

or

- B: (i) $q_n \geq q_{n+1}$, $(q * \beta)_n \leq (q * \beta)_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$),
- (ii) $\beta_{n+1}(1 * \alpha)_n \leq C\alpha_{n+1}(q * \beta)_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$),

then $|\bar{N}, \alpha| \subseteq |N, q, \beta|$.

The cases $\beta_n = 1$ ($n \geq 0$) in Condition A, $\beta_n = 1$ ($n \geq 0$) in Condition B, and $q_n = 1$ ($n \geq 0$) in Condition B are due to Kayashima [7], Dikshit [5], and Sunouchi [15], respectively.

3. Preliminary lemmas

LEMMA 1. *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the method (N, p, α) to be regular are:*

- (i) $\sum_{\rho=0}^n |p_{n-\rho}\alpha_\rho| = o((p * \alpha)_n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) $p_{n-\rho}\alpha_\rho = o((p * \alpha)_n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $\rho \geq 0$.

This follows from Toeplitz's Theorem (see Hardy [6], Theorem 2). If

$\{p_n\}$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ are positive sequences, then condition (i) above is satisfied.

LEMMA 2. Let $\alpha_n \neq 0$ ($n \geq 0$). Then necessary and sufficient conditions that $(N, p, \alpha) \subseteq (N, q, \beta)$ are

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{\rho=0}^n (p * \alpha)_{\rho} K_{\rho}^n = O((q * \beta)_n),$$

$$(3.2) \quad K_{\rho}^n = o((p * \beta)_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for each } \rho \geq 0.$$

This is due to Das ([4], Lemma 1).

LEMMA 3. Let $p_n > 0$, $q_n > 0$, $\alpha_n > 0$, and $\beta_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Then necessary and sufficient conditions that (N, q, β) t.s. (N, p, α) are (3.2) and

$$(3.3) \quad K_{\rho}^n \geq 0 \quad (n \geq \rho \geq N).$$

Proof. After Das ([4], Lemma 1), given $t_n^{p, \alpha}$ and $t_n^{q, \beta}$ which are defined by (1.1), we get

$$t_n^{q, \beta} = \sum_{\rho=0}^n a_{n\rho} t_{\rho}^{p, \alpha},$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad a_{n\rho} = \begin{cases} \frac{(p * \alpha)_{\rho}}{(q * \beta)_n} K_{\rho}^n & (n \geq \rho), \\ 0 & (n < \rho). \end{cases}$$

If $s_n = 1$ ($n \geq 0$) in (1.1), then $t_n^{p, \alpha} = 1$, and also $t_n^{q, \beta} = 1$. Hence

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{\rho=0}^n a_{n\rho} = 1 \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Since the transformation defined by (3.4) is positive under our conditions, it is sufficient for the proof to show that this transformation is regular (see Hardy [6], Theorem 10). Hence, by Lemma 1, we need only show that (3.1) is satisfied. Now by (3.3) and (3.5) we have

$$\sum_{\rho=0}^n \left| (p * \alpha)_\rho k_\rho^n \right| \leq 2 \sum_{\rho=0}^{N-1} (p * \alpha)_\rho \left| k_\rho^n \right| + (q * \beta)_n ,$$

and by (3.2),

$$\sum_{\rho=0}^{N-1} (p * \alpha)_\rho \left| k_\rho^n \right| = O((q * \beta)_n) .$$

Hence we get

$$\sum_{\rho=0}^n \left| (p * \alpha)_\rho k_\rho^n \right| = O((p * \beta)_n) ,$$

which is (3.1).

Conversely the necessity of conditions (3.2) and (3.3) is immediately obtained from Hurwitz's Theorem (Hardy [6], Theorem 10) and from Lemma 2. Thus the proof is complete.

LEMMA 4. *If $\{p_n\} \in M$, then*

$$(3.6) \quad c_0 > 0, \quad c_n \leq 0 \quad (n \geq 1), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \geq 0 .$$

This lemma is due to Kaluza (see Hardy [6], Theorem 22).

LEMMA 5. *If $\{p_n\} \in M$, then*

$$(3.7) \quad k_n \geq 0 \quad (n \geq 0) \text{ whenever } \{p_n\} \in M(q) ,$$

or

$$(3.8) \quad k_0 > 0, \quad k_n \leq 0 \quad (n \geq 1) \text{ whenever } \{q_n\} \in M(p) .$$

Cases (3.7) and (3.8) are due to Hardy ([6], p. 69) and Borwein and Cass ([2], p. 102), respectively.

LEMMA 6. *Let $y_n = \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} a_{n\rho} x_\rho \quad (n \geq 0)$. Then necessary and sufficient conditions that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\Delta y_n| < \infty$ whenever $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\Delta x_n| < \infty$ are*

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} a_{n\rho} \text{ converges for all } n \geq 0 ,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{\rho=k}^{\infty} (a_{n\rho} - a_{n-1,\rho}) \right| \leq C \quad (k \geq 0) .$$

This is due to Mears [12] and Sunouchi [15], independently.

LEMMA 7. *If*

- (i) $p_n > 0, \alpha_n > 0 \quad (n \geq 0),$
- (ii) $(p * \alpha)_n \leq (p * \alpha)_{n+1} \quad (n \geq 0),$ and
- (iii) $p_n \geq p_{n+1} \quad (n \geq N),$

then the method (N, p, α) is absolutely regular.

Proof. We show that the conditions of Lemma 6 are satisfied with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n\rho} &= p_{n-\rho} \alpha_{\rho} / (p * \alpha)_n \quad (n \geq \rho) \\ &= 0 \quad (n < \rho) . \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.9) holds. Hence it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{\rho=k}^n \left\{ \frac{p_{n-\rho} \alpha_{\rho}}{(p * \alpha)_n} - \frac{p_{n-1-\rho} \alpha_{\rho}}{(p * \alpha)_{n-1}} \right\} \right| \leq C \quad (k \geq 1) .$$

Now by using our conditions we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_k^n &= \sum_{\rho=k}^n \left\{ \frac{p_{n-\rho} \alpha_{\rho}}{(p * \alpha)_n} - \frac{p_{n-1-\rho} \alpha_{\rho}}{(p * \alpha)_{n-1}} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{\rho} \left\{ \frac{p_{n-1-\rho}}{(p * \alpha)_{n-1}} - \frac{p_{n-\rho}}{(p * \alpha)_n} \right\} \\ &\geq 0 \quad (n \geq N+k, k \geq 1) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |I_k^n| &= \sum_{n=k}^{N+k-1} |I_k^n| + \sum_{n=N+k}^{\infty} I_k^n \\ &\leq 2N + 1 \quad (k \geq 1) . \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 7.

The case in which $\alpha_n = 1 \quad (n \geq 0)$ is due to Mears [12].

4. Proof of Theorems 1-3

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1. For the proof it is sufficient to show that conditions (3.2) and (3.3) are satisfied. Now by Abel's transformation, we have

$$(4.1.1) \quad K_{\rho}^n = \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{n-1} \Delta \left(\frac{\beta_{\nu}}{\alpha_{\nu}} \right) \sum_{\mu=\rho}^{\nu} q_{n-\mu} c_{\mu-\rho} + \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} k_{n-\rho} .$$

Then using (3.6), and by condition (iv),

$$\sum_{\nu=\rho}^{n-1} \Delta \left(\frac{\beta_{\nu}}{\alpha_{\nu}} \right) \sum_{\mu=\rho}^{\nu} q_{n-\mu} c_{\mu-\rho} \geq 0 \quad (\rho \geq N) ,$$

and also, by (3.7), $k_{n-\rho} \geq 0$ ($n \geq \rho$). Hence, from (4.1.1), we get condition (3.3). Next, also by (iv) and using (3.6),

$$K_{\rho}^n \leq c_0 \frac{\beta_{\rho}}{\alpha_{\rho}} q_{n-\rho} \quad (\rho \geq N) .$$

On the other hand, for $0 \leq \rho \leq N-1$,

$$\left| K_{\rho}^n \right| \leq c_0 q_{n-\rho} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{N-1} \left| \Delta \left(\frac{\beta_{\nu}}{\alpha_{\nu}} \right) \right| + \frac{\beta_N}{\alpha_N} \right\} .$$

Therefore, by use of Lemma 1 (ii), we obtain condition (3.2).

This completes the proof.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2. We show that the conditions of Lemma 2 of the case $\alpha_n = \beta_n$ ($n \geq 0$) are satisfied. Now by (i), (ii), and (iv), using (3.8),

$$(4.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\rho=0}^n p_{\rho} |k_{n-\rho}| &= 2k_0 p_n - q_n \\ &= o(q_n) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (iv), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\rho=0}^n |(p * \alpha)_{\rho} k_{n-\rho}| &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_{\nu} \left(\sum_{\rho=\nu}^n p_{\rho-\nu} |k_{n-\rho}| \right) \\ &= o((q * \alpha)_n) , \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.1), because $K_\rho^n = k_{n-\rho}$ ($n \geq \rho$) when $\alpha_n = \beta_n$ ($n \geq 0$).

Next, from (4.2.1) for fixed $\rho \geq 0$,

$$k_{n-\rho} = o(q_{n-\rho}).$$

Therefore, by use of Lemma 1 (ii), we can obtain condition (3.2), and thus the proof is complete.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 3. Case I. Let conditions A hold. By Abel's transformation, we have

$$K_\rho^n = \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{n-1} \Delta_\nu \left(q_{n-\nu} \sum_{\mu=\rho}^{\nu} c_{\mu-\rho} \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} \right) + q_0 \sum_{\mu=\rho}^n c_{\mu-\rho} \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu}.$$

Then, under our conditions and by use of (3.6),

$$\sum_{\nu=\rho}^{n-1} \Delta_\nu q_{n-\nu} \left(\sum_{\mu=\rho}^{\nu} c_{\mu-\rho} \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} \right) \leq 0 \quad (n > \rho),$$

$$q_0 \sum_{\mu=\rho}^n c_{\mu-\rho} \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} \geq 0 \quad (n > \rho).$$

Hence, by (3.5), we have

$$(4.3.1) \quad \sum_{\rho=0}^n (p * \alpha)_\rho |K_\rho^n| = 2q_0 \sum_{\rho=0}^n (p * \alpha)_\rho \sum_{\mu=\rho}^n c_{\mu-\rho} \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} - (q * \beta)_n.$$

Now, by (1.2),

$$(4.3.2) \quad (p * \alpha * c)_n = \alpha_n \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Hence, by A (v), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\rho=0}^n (p * \alpha)_\rho \sum_{\mu=\rho}^n c_{\mu-\rho} \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} &= \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\mu} (p * \alpha)_\rho c_{\mu-\rho} \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} (p * \alpha * c)_\mu \\ &= (1 * \beta)_n \\ &\leq H(q * \beta)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (4.3.1), we obtain condition (3.3). Next, by use of (3.6), we have

$$K_{\rho}^n \leq c_0 q_{n-\rho} \frac{\beta_{\rho}}{\alpha_{\rho}} .$$

On the other hand, by A (iv),

$$K_{\rho}^n \leq k_{n-\rho} \frac{\beta_{\rho}}{\alpha_{\rho}} .$$

Since conditions (i), (ii), and (iv) of Theorem 2 are fulfilled, (4.2.1) holds. Hence we can obtain, by A (iv) and by use of Lemma 1 (ii), condition (3.2). Thus the desired conclusion of Case I follows from Lemma 2.

CASE II. Let conditions B hold. Using (3.6) and (3.8), we have, by B (iv),

$$(4.3.3) \quad K_{\rho}^n \leq \frac{\beta_{\rho}}{\alpha_{\rho}} k_{n-\rho} \leq 0 \quad (n \geq \rho) .$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\rho=0}^n (p * \alpha)_{\rho} |K_{\rho}^n| &= 2(p * \alpha)_n q_0 c_0 \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} - \sum_{\rho=0}^n (p * \alpha)_{\rho} K_{\rho}^n \\ &= 2q_0 c_0 \frac{\beta_n (p * \alpha)_n}{\alpha_n (q * \beta)_n} (q * \beta)_n - (q * \beta)_n . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by B (v), we obtain condition (3.1). Next, by Abel's transformation, we have, for $n > \rho$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\rho}^n &= \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{n-1} \Delta_{\nu} \left(q_{n-\nu} \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{\alpha_{\nu}} \right) \left(\sum_{\mu=\rho}^{\nu} c_{\mu-\rho} \right) + \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} q_0 \left(\sum_{\mu=\rho}^n c_{\mu-\rho} \right) \\ &= g(n, \rho) + h(n, \rho) , \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Then by B (iv), and since $\{q_n\}$ is non-increasing,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\nu} \left(q_{n-\nu} \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{\alpha_{\nu}} \right) &= q_{n-\nu} \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{\alpha_{\nu}} - q_{n-1-\nu} \frac{\beta_{\nu+1}}{\alpha_{\nu+1}} \\ &\leq 0 \quad (n > \nu) . \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.6), we see that

$$g(n, \rho) = \sum_{\nu=\rho}^n \left(q_{n-\nu} \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{\alpha_{\nu}} \right) \left(\sum_{\mu=\rho}^{\nu} c_{\mu-\rho} \right) \leq 0 ,$$

$$h(n, \rho) = \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} q_0 \left(\sum_{\mu=\rho}^n c_{\mu-\rho} \right) \geq 0 .$$

Hence we get, from (4.3.3),

$$g(n, \rho) \leq k_\rho^n \leq 0 \quad (n > \rho) .$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} g(n, \rho) &\geq \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{n-1} \Delta_\nu \left(q_{n-\nu} \frac{\beta_\nu}{\alpha_\nu} \right) c_0 \\ &\geq -c_0 q_0 \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} , \end{aligned}$$

and so we obtain, by B (v) and B (vi), for fixed $\rho \geq 0$,

$$\frac{g(n, \rho)}{(q * \beta)_n} \geq (-c_0 q_0) \frac{\beta_n (p * \alpha)_n}{\alpha_n (q * \beta)_n} \frac{1}{(p * \alpha)_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

Therefore we can get condition (3.2). Thus the desired conclusion of Case II also follows.

Thus the proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

5. Proof of Theorems 4-8

5.1. Proof of Theorem 4. Let $a_{n\rho}$ be given by (3.4). Then by (3.5) we have (3.9). Condition (2.1) is the same as (3.10). Hence we can get the required result from Lemma 6.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 5. We show that condition (2.1) is satisfied. Now by (4.3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\rho=k}^n (p * \alpha)_\rho k_\rho^n \\ &= \left(\sum_{\rho=0}^n - \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} \right) \\ &= (p * \beta)_n - \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} q_{n-\mu} (p * \alpha * c)_\mu + \sum_{\mu=n}^n \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} q_{n-\mu} \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} (p * \alpha)_\rho c_{\mu-\rho} \right\} \\ &= (q * \beta)_n - \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \beta_\mu q_{n-\mu} + \sum_{\mu=n}^n \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} q_{n-\mu} \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} (p * \alpha)_\rho c_{\mu-\rho} \right\} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{\rho=k}^n (p * \alpha)_\rho \left\{ \frac{K_\rho^n}{(p * \beta)_n} - \frac{K_\rho^{n-1}}{(p * \beta)_{n-1}} \right\} = \left\{ \Delta_n \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \beta_\mu q_{n-1-\mu} \right) / ((q * \beta)_{n-1}) \right\} + \left\{ \Delta_n \left(\sum_{\mu=k}^{n-1} \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} q_{n-1-\mu} \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} (p * \alpha)_\rho e_{\mu-\rho} / (q * \beta)_{n-1} \right) \right\} .$$

Now a condition of the absolute regularity of the method (N, q, β) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=k}^\infty \left| \Delta_n \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \beta_\mu q_{n-1-\mu} \right) / ((q * \beta)_{n-1}) \right| \leq C \quad (k \geq 1) .$$

Hence condition (2.1) is equivalent to (2.2). Thus the required conclusion follows from Lemma 4.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 6. Let $a_{n\rho}$ be given by (3.4), and $k \geq 1$. Then under our conditions we have, for $m > k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=k}^m \left| \sum_{\rho=k}^n (a_{n\rho} - a_{n-1,\rho}) \right| &= \sum_{\rho=k}^m \sum_{n=\rho}^m (a_{n\rho} - a_{n-1,\rho}) \\ &\leq \sum_{\rho=0}^m |a_{m\rho}| \leq C . \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get (2.1) when $m \rightarrow \infty$, and thus the proof is complete.

5.4. Proof of Theorem 7. Let $a_{n\rho}$ be given by (3.4), and $k \geq 1$. Now, for $m \geq N+k$,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4.1) \quad \sum_{n=k}^m \left| \sum_{\rho=k}^n (a_{n\rho} - a_{n-1,\rho}) \right| &= \left(\sum_{n=k}^{N+k-1} - \sum_{n=N+k}^m \right) \\ &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4.2) \quad \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{n=k}^{N+k-1} \left| \sum_{\rho=k}^n (a_{n\rho} - a_{n-1,\rho}) \right| \\ &\leq 2NC . \end{aligned}$$

CASE I. Let (2.5), (2.6), and (2.7) hold. When $n \geq N+k$ and $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, it is clear that $n - \rho - 1 > N$, and so by (2.5) and

from (2.6) we get

$$\sum_{\rho=k}^n (a_{n\rho} - a_{n-1,\rho}) = \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} (p * \alpha)_{\rho} \left\{ \frac{K_{\rho}^{n-1}}{(q*\beta)_{n-1}} - \frac{K_{\rho}^n}{(q*\beta)_n} \right\} \geq 0 .$$

Hence, by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4.3) \quad \Sigma_2 &= \sum_{n=N+k}^m \sum_{\rho=k}^n (a_{n\rho} - a_{n-1,\rho}) \\ &\leq \sum_{\rho=0}^m |a_{m\rho}| + \sum_{\rho=0}^{N+k-1} |a_{+k-1,\rho}| \\ &\leq 2C . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, applying (5.4.2) and (5.4.3) to (5.4.1), we can obtain (2.1).

CASE II. Let (2.5), (2.6), and (2.8) hold. Similarly we have, by (2.8) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= \sum_{n=N-1}^m (-1) \sum_{\rho=k}^n (a_{n\rho} - a_{n-1,\rho}) \\ &\leq \sum_{\rho=0}^{N+k-1} |a_{+k-1,\rho}| + \sum_{\rho=0}^m |a_{m\rho}| \\ &\leq 2C . \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can also obtain (2.1). The statements of Theorem 7 thus follow from Theorem 4.

5.5. Proof of Theorem 8. We shall show that the conditions of Theorem 7 are fulfilled for each case.

CASE I. Let (2.9), (2.10), and (2.11) hold. Condition (2.5) is clear. Let $n \geq N$; then we have, by (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5.1) \quad \sum_{\rho=0}^n \frac{(p*\alpha)_{\rho}}{(q*\beta)_n} |K_{\rho}^n| &= \left(\sum_{\rho=0}^{n-N} + \sum_{\rho=n-N+1}^n \right) \frac{(p*\alpha)_{\rho}}{(q*\beta)_n} |K_{\rho}^n| \\ &\leq 1 + 2 \max_{n-N+1 \leq \rho \leq n} \left\{ |K_{\rho}^n| \right\} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{\rho=n-N+1}^n \frac{(p*\alpha)_{\rho}}{(q*\beta)_n} \right\} . \end{aligned}$$

Here, by (2.9) and (2.10),

$$\sum_{\rho=n-N+1}^n \frac{(p*\alpha)_\rho}{(q*\beta)_n} \leq \sum_{\rho=n-N+1}^n \frac{(p*\alpha)_\rho}{(q*\beta)_\rho} \leq NH .$$

On the other hand, when $n-\rho+1 \leq \rho \leq N-1$, then $0 \leq n-\rho \leq N-1$, and so, by (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned} |K_\rho^n| &\leq \sum_{\mu=\rho}^n \frac{\beta_\mu}{\alpha_\mu} q_{n-\mu} |c_{\mu-\rho}| \\ &\leq c \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} q_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |c_j| \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\max_{n-N+1 \leq \rho \leq n} \left\{ |K_\rho^n| \right\} \leq C < \infty .$$

Therefore from (5.5.1) we can obtain condition (2.6). Also by (2.9) and (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{K_\rho^n}{(q*\beta)_n} - \frac{K_\rho^{n+1}}{(q*\beta)_{n+1}} &\geq \frac{1}{(q*\beta)_n} \left(K_\rho^n - K_\rho^{n+1} \right) \\ &\geq 0 \quad (n-\rho \geq N) , \end{aligned}$$

which is (2.7).

CASE II. Let (2.9), (2.10), and (2.12) hold. The proof of this case follows in a similar manner as above, and so it may be omitted. Finally suppose that $K_\rho^n \geq 0$ and $K_\rho^n \geq K_\rho^{n+1}$ ($n-\rho \geq 0$). Then we have

$$\sum_{\rho=0}^n \frac{(p*\alpha)_\rho}{(q*\beta)_n} |K_\rho^n| = 1 \quad (n \geq 0) ,$$

and hence the desired result immediately follows.

Thus our theorem is proved.

6. Proof of Theorems 9-11

6.1. Proof of Theorem 9. CASE I. Let conditions A hold. In this case we shall show that the conditions of Theorem 8 with $N = 0$ are fulfilled. Then under our hypotheses it suffices to show that $K_\rho^n \geq 0$ and

$K_\rho^n \geq K_\rho^{n+1}$ ($n-\rho \geq 0$). Since $\{p_n\} \in M$, (3.6) holds, and so by A (v), we have

$$K_\rho^n \geq \frac{\beta}{\alpha_\rho} k_{n-\rho}.$$

Then by A (v) and A (vii) we get $q_0/p_0 \geq \Delta q_n/\Delta p_n$ ($n \geq 0$), and thus we can obtain $k_n \geq 0$ ($n \geq 0$) (see Kayashima [8]). Hence

$$K_\rho^n \geq 0 \quad (n-\rho \geq 0).$$

On the other hand, by A (vi) and A (vii), and since $c_{n+1-\rho} \leq 0$ ($n-\rho \geq 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} K_\rho^n - K_\rho^{n+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-\rho} \frac{\beta_{\rho+k}}{\alpha_{\rho+k}} c_k \Delta_n^q q_{n-\rho-k} - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} c_{n+1-\rho} q_0 \\ &\geq \frac{\beta_\rho}{\alpha_\rho} \Delta_n^q q_{n-\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{n-\rho} c_k \frac{\Delta_n^q q_{n-\rho-k}}{\Delta_n^q q_{n-\rho}} - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} c_{n+1-\rho} q_0 \\ &\geq \frac{\beta_\rho}{\alpha_\rho} \frac{\Delta_n^q q_{n-\rho}}{\Delta_n^p p_{n-\rho}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-\rho} c_k \Delta_n^p p_{n-\rho-k} - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} c_{n+1-\rho} q_0 \\ &\geq \frac{\beta_\rho}{\alpha_\rho} \frac{\Delta_n^q q_{n-\rho}}{\Delta_n^p p_{n-\rho}} p_0 c_{n+1-\rho} - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} c_{n+1-\rho} q_0 \\ &\geq (-c_{n+1-\rho}) q_0 \left(\frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_n^q q_{n-\rho}}{\Delta_n^p p_{n-\rho}} \right) \\ &\geq 0 \quad (n-\rho \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the conclusion.

CASE II. Let conditions B hold. Similarly it suffices to show that (2.12) is satisfied with $N = 1$. Now by B (vi) and B (vii) we have $q_0/p_0 \leq \Delta q_n/\Delta p_n$ ($n \geq 0$), and also we can get $k_n \leq 0$ ($n \geq 1$) (see Kayashima [8]). Therefore

$$K_\rho^n \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha_\rho} k_{n-\rho} \leq 0 \quad (n-\rho \geq 1).$$

Next, by B (vi) and B (vii), we get in a similar manner,

$$K_{\rho}^n - K_{\rho}^{n+1} \leq (-e_{n+1-\rho}) q_0 \left\{ \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_n q_{n-\rho}}{\Delta_n^{\rho} n-\rho} \right\} \leq 0 \quad (n-\rho \geq 1),$$

which is (2.12). This completes the proof of Theorem 9.

6.2. Proof of Theorem 10. It suffices to show that the conditions of Theorem 8 are fulfilled with $N = 0$ and $q_n = 1$ ($n \geq 0$). Then (2.9) is obvious. Now by (i) and (ii), and by use of (3.6), we have, for $n-\rho \geq 0$,

$$K_{\rho}^n = \sum_{\mu=\rho}^n \frac{\beta_{\mu}}{\alpha_{\mu}} c_{\mu-\rho} \geq \frac{\beta_{\rho}}{\alpha_{\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-\rho} c_i \right) \geq 0,$$

$$K_{\rho}^n - K_{\rho}^{n+1} = \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} (-e_{n+1-\rho}) \geq 0.$$

Thus the proof is complete.

6.3. Proof of Theorem 11. CASE I. Let conditions A hold. We show that the conditions of Theorem 6 with $p_n = 1$ ($n \geq 0$) are satisfied. Now by (1.3) we get

$$(6.3.1) \quad c_0 = 1, \quad c_1 = -1, \quad c_n = 0 \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Let $a_{n\rho}$ be given by (3.4); then, by A (i) and A (ii),

$$a_{n\rho} = \frac{(1*\alpha)_{\rho}}{(q*\beta)_n} \Delta_{\rho} \left(\frac{\beta_{\rho}}{\alpha_{\rho}} q_{n-\rho} \right) \geq 0 \quad (n-\rho \geq 0).$$

Thus we have, immediately, condition (2.3). Hence it is sufficient to show that condition (2.3) holds. By Abel's transformation, we have

$$\sum_{\rho=k}^n a_{n\rho} = \left\{ \sum_{\rho=0}^{n-k} \beta_{n-\rho} q_{\rho} \right\} / ((q * \beta)_n) + \frac{(1*\alpha)_{k-1} \beta_k q_{n-k}}{\alpha_k (q*\beta)_n}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^n &= \sum_{\rho=k}^n \{a_{n\rho} a_{n-1,\rho}\} \\
 &= \left\{ \left[\sum_{\rho=0}^{n-k} \beta_{n-\rho} q_\rho \right] / ((q * \beta)_n) - \left[\sum_{\rho=0}^{n-1-k} \beta_{n-1-\rho} q_\rho \right] / ((q * \beta)_{n-1}) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\beta_k (1 * \alpha)_{k-1}}{\alpha_k} \left\{ \frac{q_{n-k}}{(q * \beta)_n} - \frac{q_{n-1-k}}{(q * \beta)_{n-1}} \right\} \\
 &= I_1^n + I_2^n, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{q_{n+1}/q_n\}$ is non-increasing,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\sum_{\rho=0}^{n-k} \beta_{n-\rho} q_\rho \right) (q * \beta)_{n-1} - (q * \beta)_n \left(\sum_{\rho=0}^{n-1-k} \beta_{n-1-\rho} q_\rho \right) \\
 &= \beta_n q_0 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} q_{n-1-j} \beta_j \right) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \beta_k \beta_j (q_{n-i} q_{n-1-j} - q_{n-j} q_{n-1-i}) \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain $I_1^n \geq 0$. Thus if $I_2^n \geq 0$, then it is clear that

$I^n \geq 0$. On the other hand suppose that $I_2^n < 0$. Then, since $\{q_n\}$ is non-decreasing and $\{\beta_n/\alpha_n\}$ is non-increasing, we get, for $0 \leq m \leq k$,

$$\alpha_m \frac{\beta_k}{\alpha_k} \left\{ \frac{q_{n-k}}{(q * \beta)_n} - \frac{q_{n-1-k}}{(q * \beta)_{n-1}} \right\} \geq \beta_m \left\{ \frac{q_{n-m}}{(q * \beta)_n} - \frac{q_{n-1-m}}{(q * \beta)_{n-1}} \right\}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2^n &= \frac{(1 * \alpha)_{k-1} \beta_k}{\alpha_k} \left\{ \frac{q_{n-k}}{(q * \beta)_n} - \frac{q_{n-1-k}}{(q * \beta)_{n-1}} \right\} \\
 &\geq \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \frac{\beta_m q_{n-m}}{(q * \beta)_n} - \frac{\beta_m q_{n-1-m}}{(q * \beta)_{n-1}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^n &\geq \left\{ \left[\sum_{\rho=0}^{n-k} \beta_{n-\rho} q_\rho \right] / ((q * \beta)_n) - \left[\sum_{\rho=0}^{n-1-k} \beta_{n-1-\rho} q_\rho \right] / ((q * \beta)_{n-1}) \right\} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \frac{\beta_m q_{n-m}}{(q * \beta)_n} - \frac{\beta_m q_{n-1-m}}{(q * \beta)_{n-1}} \right\} \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the required conclusion follows.

CASE II. Let conditions B hold. By Lemma 6 we see that (N, q, β) is absolutely regular. Hence it is sufficient to show that condition (2.2) of Theorem 5 is satisfied with $p_n = 1$ ($n \geq 0$). Now, by use of (6.3.1) and by B (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_n \left\{ \sum_{\mu=k}^{n-1} \frac{\beta_{\mu}}{\alpha_{\mu}} q_{n-1-\mu} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\mu} (p * \alpha)_{\rho} c_{\mu-\rho} / (p * \beta)_{n-1} \right\} \right| \\ = \frac{\beta_k}{\alpha_k} (1 * \alpha)_{k-1} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{q_{n-1-k}}{(q * \beta)_{n-1}} - \frac{q_{n-k}}{(q * \beta)_n} \right\} + \frac{q_0}{(q * \beta)_n} \\ \leq 2q_0 \frac{\beta_k (1 * \alpha)_{k-1}}{\alpha_k (q * \beta)_k} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from hypothesis B (ii) that condition (2.2) is satisfied.

Thus the proof of Theorem 11 is complete.

References

[1] D. Borwein, "On products of sequences", *J. London Math. Soc.* 33 (1958), 352-357.

[2] D. Borwein and F.P. Cass, "Strong Nörlund summability", *Math. Z.* 103 (1968), 94-111.

[3] G. Das, "On some methods of summability", *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* 17 (1966), 244-256.

[4] G. Das, "On some methods of summability (II)", *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* 19 (1968), 417-431.

[5] G.D. Dikshit, "On inclusion relation between Riesz and Nörlund means", *Indian J. Math.* 7 (1965), 73-81.

[6] G.H. Hardy, *Divergent series* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949).

[7] Ikuko Kayashima, "On relations between Nörlund and Riesz means", *Pacific J. Math.* 49 (1973), 391-396.

[8] Ikuko Kayashima, "Inclusion theorems for absolute Nörlund summability", submitted.

- [9] Brian Kuttner and B.E. Rhoades, "Relations between (N, p_n) and (\overline{N}, p_n) summability", *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **16** (1968), 109-116.
- [10] Lee Lorch, "Supplement to a theorem of Cesàro", *Scripta Math.* **23** (1957), 163-165.
- [11] Leonard McFadden, "Absolute Nörlund summability", *Duke Math. J.* **9** (1942), 168-207.
- [12] Florence M. Mears, "Absolute regularity and Nörlund mean", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **38** (1937), 594-601.
- [13] B.E. Rhoades, "On the total inclusion for Nörlund methods of summability", *Math. Z.* **96** (1967), 183-188.
- [14] Billy E. Rhoades, "On the total inclusion for Nörlund methods of summability. II", *Math. Z.* **113** (1970), 171-172.
- [15] Gen-ichirô Sunouchi, "Notes on Fourier analysis (XVIII): absolute summability of series with constant terms", *Tôhoku Math. J.* (2) **1** (1949), 57-65.

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Chiba University,
Chiba,
Japan.