

DETERMINATION OF $[n\theta]$ BY ITS SEQUENCE OF DIFFERENCES

BY

A. S. FRAENKEL, M. MUSHKIN, AND U. TASSA

ABSTRACT. For any real number θ , let $f_\theta(n) = [(n+1)\theta] - [n\theta] - [\theta]$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x . A method is given for computing f_θ from its first few terms. A similar method is given for computing the characteristic function $g_\theta(n)$ of $[n\theta]$. The given methods converge rapidly, and generalize previous results of Bernoulli, Markoff, and Stolarsky. Note that either of the sequences f_θ and g_θ determines the sequence $[n\theta]$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

1. Introduction. Johann Bernoulli and later André Markoff determined the values of a sequence of the form $[n\theta]$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$; θ any irrational) by means of the differences $f(n) = f_\theta(n) = [(n+1)\theta] - [n\theta] - [\theta]$, where $[x]$ is the integer part of x . Thus $f_\theta(n) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all n . They did this by using the partial quotients a_1, a_2, \dots of the simple continued fraction expansion of $\theta = [0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$, when $0 < \theta < 1$. See [22].

In this note we shall compute these differences for any real number θ by using the denominators q_i of the convergents p_i/q_i of θ . Since the q_i grow exponentially faster than the partial quotients, convergence is that much faster. A related result is obtained for the characteristic function

$$g(n) = g_\theta(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists an integer } m \text{ satisfying } n = [m\theta] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. Main results. If $C = (c_1, c_2, \dots)$ is an infinite sequence, we denote its first t elements by $(C, t) = (c_1, \dots, c_t)$. By $(C, t)^\infty$ we denote the infinite concatenation of (C, t) with itself, namely $(C, t)^\infty = (c_1, \dots, c_t, c_1, \dots, c_t, \dots)$. Let $C^j = (c_1^j, c_2^j, \dots)$ be a family of sequences ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). We say that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C^j = C$, if for every i there exists $j(i)$ such that $c_i^j = c_i$ for all $j > j(i)$.

Let l be a positive integer, and $T = \{t, t_{l+1}, \dots\}$ a finite or infinite sequence of positive integers which is strictly increasing. Define $T_n(C)$ recursively by $T_1(C) = (C, t)^\infty$, $T_{n-l+1}(C) = (T_{n-l}(C), t_n)^\infty$ ($n > l$). If T is infinite, let $T_\infty(C) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(C)$. The limit obviously exists.

Let $\theta = [a_0, a_1, \dots] > 0$, where it is understood that a_N is the last partial quotient if $\theta = p_N/q_N$ is rational. Whenever we refer in the sequel to N, a_N, p_N or q_N , it is understood that the corresponding θ is rational, namely, $\theta = p_N/q_N$.

Received by the editors September 30, 1977 and in revised form, January 3, 1978.

Since a_N can be replaced by $a_N - 1$, 1 if $a_N > 1$ and a_{N-1} , 1 can be replaced by $a_{N-1} + 1$ if $a_N = 1$ ($\theta \neq 1$), we can choose N even or odd at will. Also $f_\theta(n + q_N) = f_\theta(n)$, $g_\theta(n + p_N) = g_\theta(n)$ for every positive integer n , that is, f_θ, g_θ are periodic with periods q_N, p_N respectively (θ rational). Moreover, $f_\theta = f_{\theta+k}$ for every integer k (θ real). In particular, $f_\theta = f_{\theta-[\theta]}$. If θ is an integer, then clearly: (i) $f_\theta(n) = 0$ for all n ; (ii) $g_\theta(n) = 1$ if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{\theta}$. Finally, $g_\theta(n) = 1$ for all n if $\theta \leq 1$. So f_θ is non-trivial only if θ is nonintegral and g_θ only if $\theta > 1$ and θ is non-integral. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that $q_N > 1$ for computing f_θ , and $p_N, q_N > 1$ for computing g_θ . (Though we could assume $p_N > q_N > 1$ in the latter case, this will not be necessary.)

For a given $\theta > 0$, let

$$s = \min\{i : q_i > 1\}, \quad r = \min\{i : p_i > 1\}.$$

Note that s is well-defined if $q_N > 1$ and r is well-defined if $p_N > 1$. Of course both s and r are well-defined if θ is irrational. Since

$$\begin{aligned} p_{-1} &= 1, & p_0 &= a_0, & p_n &= a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} & (n \geq 1) \\ q_{-1} &= 0, & q_0 &= 1, & q_n &= a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2} & (n \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

we have (for $\theta > 0$) $s = 1$ or 2 , $r = 0, 1, 2$ or 3 ($r = 0$ or 1 for $\theta > 1$). We prove:

THEOREM 1. *Let $T = (q_s, q_{s+1}, \dots)$ be a partial sequence of the denominators of the convergents of $\theta > 0$. If θ is irrational, then $T_\infty(f_\theta) = f_\theta$. If $\theta = p_N/q_N$ is rational ($q_N > 1$) and N is even, then $T_{N-s+1}(f_\theta) = f_\theta$.*

THEOREM 2. *Let $T = (p_r, p_{r+1}, \dots)$ be a partial sequence of the numerators of the convergents of $\theta > 0$. If θ is irrational, then $T_\infty(g_\theta) = g_\theta$. If $\theta = p_N/q_N$ is rational ($p_N, q_N > 1$) and N is even, then $T_{n-r+1}(g_\theta) = g_\theta$.*

EXAMPLE. Let $\theta = [1, 2, 3, 1, 2] = 36/25$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= 1, & p_1 &= 3, & p_2 &= 10, & p_3 &= 13, & p_4 &= 36 \\ q_0 &= 1, & q_1 &= 2, & q_2 &= 7, & q_3 &= 9, & q_4 &= 25, & s = r = 1, & N = 4. \end{aligned}$$

n	$[n\theta]$	$f_\theta(n)$	$g_\theta(n)$
1	1	0	1
2	2	1	1
3	4	\vdots	0

For f_θ we have $T = (2, 7, 9, 25)$, and therefore $T_1(f) = (01)^\infty$, $T_2(f) = (0101010)^\infty$, $T_3(f) = (010101001)^\infty$, $T_4(f) = T_{N-s+1}(f) = (0101010010101010010101010)^\infty = f$. Similarly, for g_θ we have $T = (3, 10, 13, 36)$, and so $T_1(g) = (110)^\infty$, $T_2(g) = (1101101101)^\infty$, $T_3(g) = (1101101101110)^\infty$, $T_4(g) = T_{N-r+1}(g) = (11011011011101101101101101101101101101101101101101)^\infty = g$.

Theorem 1 was proved by K. B. Stolarsky [21] for the subset of irrational

algebraic numbers of the form $\theta = [1, a, a, \dots] = \frac{1}{2}(2 - a + \sqrt{a^2 + 4})$ (a any positive integer), and Theorem 2 for the number $\theta = [1, 1, 1, \dots] = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$. Both results are extended here to all real numbers and short proofs are given. We remark that Stolarsky [21] gave two different proofs of his results and an extensive and useful bibliography on complementary sequences, especially those related to sequences of the form $[n\alpha + \gamma]$. In the meantime we came across a few additional references. A subset of these are listed at the end at the suggestion of Stolarsky.

3. Proofs. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the fact that $f_\theta(q + q_n) = f_\theta(q)$ if q is not too large (see Corollary below). In fact for all q , $f_{p_n/q_n}(q + q_n) = f_{p_n/q_n}(q)$. This suggests use of approximation properties of the convergents of θ .

LEMMA 1. *Let $p_n/q_n (n > 0)$ be the n -th convergent of a real number θ ($n \leq N$ if θ is rational). Then $0 < q < q_n$ implies $|q_{n-1}\theta - p_{n-1}| \leq |q\theta - p|$ for every integer p .*

This is a standard result in the theory of continued fractions. See e.g. [14, Theorem 7.13] (where it is stated for irrational θ).

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that $n > 0$ ($n \leq N$ with N even if θ is rational), and $0 < q < q_n$. Then $[(q + q_{n-1})\theta] = p_{n-1} + [q\theta]$.*

Proof. Consider the difference $\delta = (q + q_{n-1})\theta - (p_{n-1} + [q\theta])$. It suffices to show that $0 \leq \delta < 1$, because then $[\delta] = 0$, which is what the lemma claims.

If n is even, Lemma 1 implies $0 < p_{n-1} - q_{n-1}\theta \leq q\theta - [q\theta] < 1$. Thus $0 \leq \delta < 1 - (p_{n-1} - q_{n-1}\theta) < 1$. If n is odd, then $0 < q_{n-1}\theta - p_{n-1} \leq 1 + [q\theta] - q\theta$, which implies $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$. If θ is irrational, then $\delta < 1$. If θ is rational, then $n \leq N - 1$ since N is even. Hence $q + q_{n-1} < q_n + q_{n-1} \leq q_{N-1} + q_{N-2} \leq q_N$. Now

$$\delta = 1 \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{p_{n-1} + [q\theta] + 1}{q + q_{n-1}} = \frac{p_N}{q_N}.$$

Since $(p_N, q_N) = 1$, this implies $q + q_{n-1} \geq q_N$, a contradiction. Hence $\delta < 1$.

COROLLARY. *Suppose that $n > 0$ ($n \leq N$ with N even if θ is rational), and $0 < q < q_n - 1$. Then $f_\theta(q + q_{n-1}) = f_\theta(q)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, $f_\theta(q + q_{n-1}) = [(q + q_{n-1} + 1)\theta] - [(q + q_{n-1})\theta] - [\theta] = p_{n-1} + [(q + 1)\theta] - p_{n-1} - [q\theta] - [\theta] = f_\theta(q)$.

Proof of Theorem 1. By definition, $T_1(f) = (f, q_s)^\infty$. Suppose that we showed already $T_{i-s+1}(f) = (f, q_i)^\infty$ for some $i \geq s$, where, by definition, $T_{i-s+1}(f) = (T_{i-s}(f), q_i)^\infty$ ($i > s$). By the Corollary, $f_\theta(q)$ is periodic with period q_i for $1 \leq q \leq q_i + q_{i+1} - 2$, where $0 \leq i$ ($< N \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ if θ is rational). This implies $(f, q_i + q_{i+1} - 2) = (T_{i-s+1}(f), q_i + q_{i+1} - 2)$. Since $q_i \geq q_s \geq 2$, we have, in particular, $(f, q_{i+1})^\infty = (T_{i-s+1}(f), q_{i+1})^\infty = T_{i-s+2}(f)$. So by induction, $T_{i-s+1}(f) = (f, q_i)^\infty$ for all $i \geq s$.

If θ is irrational, $T_\infty(f_\theta) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{i-s+1}(f_\theta) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (f_\theta, q_i)^\infty = f_\theta$.

If $\theta = p_N/q_N$ is rational, then f_θ is periodic with period q_N , and so $T_{N-s+1}(f_\theta) = (f_\theta, q_N)^\infty = f_\theta$.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that $n > 0$ ($n \leq N$ with N even if θ is rational), and $0 < p < p_n - 1$. Then $g_\theta(p + p_{n-1}) = g_\theta(p)$.*

Proof. It is well-known from the theory of continued fractions [14] that $q_n\theta = p_n + (-1)^n/q'_{n+1}$, where $0 < n$ ($< N$ if θ is rational), $q'_{n+1} = a'_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}$, $a'_{n+1} = [a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots]$. Hence

$$[q_n\theta] = \begin{cases} p_n & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ p_n - 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $0 < n$ ($\leq N \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$) if θ is rational).

If there exists $0 < p < p_n - 1$ satisfying $g_\theta(p) = 1$, let $p = [q\theta]$. Then $q > 0$. Moreover, $[q\theta] = p < p_n - 1 \leq [q_n\theta] \Rightarrow q < q_n$. By Lemma 2, $[(q + q_{n-1})\theta] = p + p_{n-1}$. and so $g_\theta(p) = 1 \Rightarrow g_\theta(p + p_{n-1}) = g_\theta(p)$.

Now let $0 < x < p_n - 1$ satisfy $g_\theta(x) = 0$. Then $x = p + i$ for some $p = [q\theta]$, where $0 < i < f_\theta(q) + [\theta]$, so $1 \leq i \leq [\theta]$. Also,

$$(1) \quad [\theta] \leq f_\theta(n) + [\theta] = [(n + 1)\theta] - [n\theta] \leq [\theta] + 1$$

for all integers n . Since $p < p + i < p_n - 1$, we have $q + 1 \leq q_n$ by the first part of the proof.

It suffices to show that

$$(2) \quad i < f_\theta(q + q_{n-1}) + [\theta],$$

because then $[(q + q_{n-1})\theta] < [(q + q_{n-1})\theta] + i = p + p_{n-1} + i$ (by Lemma 2) $< [(q + q_{n-1} + 1)\theta]$ (by (2)), and thus also $g_\theta(p + i) = 0 \Rightarrow g_\theta(p + i + p_{n-1}) = g_\theta(p + i)$.

If $q + 1 < q_n$, then $f_\theta(q + q_{n-1}) = f_\theta(q)$ by the Corollary. Since $0 < i < f_\theta(q) + [\theta]$, this establishes (2). Also if $i < [\theta]$ ($\leq f_\theta(q + q_{n-1}) + [\theta]$), then (2) is clear. So it suffices to show that $q + 1 < q_n$ for $i = [\theta]$. If n is even, this is immediate from the right-hand side of (1): $[(q + 1)\theta] \leq p + i + 1 < p_n = [q_n\theta] \Rightarrow q + 1 < q_n$. For $0 < n$ ($< N$ if θ is rational) we have $[(q_n + q_{n-1})\theta] = p_n + p_{n-1} + [y]$, where $y = 1/q'_n - 1/q'_{n+1}$ if n is odd, as we may assume. If $n < N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} q'_n &= a'_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2} \leq (a_n + 1)q_{n-1} + q_{n-2} = q_n + q_{n-1} \leq q_{n+1} \\ q'_{n+1} &= a'_{n+1} q_n + q_{n-1} \geq a_{n+1} q_n + q_{n-1} = q_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

so $y \geq 0$. Thus

$$(3) \quad [(q_n + q_{n-1})\theta] \geq p_n + p_{n-1} \quad (n \text{ odd}).$$

Now $i = [\theta] < f_\theta(q) + [\theta] \Rightarrow f_\theta(q) = 1$. Using Lemma 2 we write this in the form $p_{n-1} + [(q + 1)\theta] - [(q + q_{n-1})\theta] = [\theta] + 1$. Since $f_\theta(q + q_{n-1}) \leq 1$, we

have $[(q + q_{n-1} + 1)\theta] - [(q + q_{n-1})\theta] \leq [\theta] + 1$. Subtracting, $[(q + q_{n-1} + 1)\theta] \leq p_{n-1} + [(q + 1)\theta] \leq p_{n-1} + [q_n\theta]$ (since $q + 1 \leq q_n$) $= p_{n-1} + p_n - 1$ (since n is odd) $< [(q_n + q_{n-1})\theta]$ (by (3)). Hence $q + 1 < q_n$ also for n odd.

Proof of Theorem 2. We follow the proof of Theorem 1 verbatim, with Corollary, f, q, q_i, s replaced by Lemma 3, g, p, p_i, r respectively.

NOTE. Had we confined ourselves to irrational $\theta > 0$, the proof could have been shortened considerably. For example, instead of Lemma 3, it would have been advantageous to prove: $\theta > 1$ irrational $\Rightarrow g_\theta = f_{1/\theta}$, which has a one-line proof. This result, which is of independent interest, implies Theorem 2 immediately. If θ is rational, $g_\theta(n) = f_{1/\theta}(n)$ holds, except that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p_N} \Rightarrow g_\theta(n) = 1, f_{1/\theta}(n) = 0$, and $n \equiv -1 \pmod{p_N} \Rightarrow g_\theta(n) = 0, f_{1/\theta}(n) = 1$. This leads to a "proof by cases" of Theorem 2 and hence a somewhat different route was preferred.

REFERENCES

1. N. Burshtein, *On distinct unit fractions whose sum equals 1*, Discr. Math. **5** (1973), 201–208.
2. N. Burshtein, *On natural exactly covering systems of congruences having moduli occurring at most M times*, Discr. Math. **14** (1976), 205–214.
3. N. Burshtein, *On natural exactly covering systems of congruences having moduli occurring at most twice*, J. Number Theory **8** (1976), 251–259.
4. N. Burshtein and J. Schönheim, *On exactly covering systems of congruences having moduli occurring at most twice*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **24** (99) (1974), 369–372.
5. J. A. Dewar, *On covering sets of congruences*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Southern Calif. (1972).
6. P. Erdős, *On the solution in integers of $a/b = 1/x_1 + \dots + 1/x_n$* , Mat. Lapok **1** (1950), 192–210. (In Hungarian.)
7. P. Erdős, *On a problem concerning congruence systems*, Mat. Lapok **3** (1952), 122–128. (In Hungarian.)
8. A. S. Fraenkel, *Complementary systems of integers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **84** (1977), 114–115.
9. J. Friedlander, *On exact coverings of the integers*, Israel J. of Math. **12** (1972), 299–305.
10. I. Korec, *On a generalization of Mycielski's and Zná́m's conjectures about coset decomposition of Abelian groups*, Fund. Math. **85** (1974), 41–48.
11. C. E. Krukenberg, *Covering sets of the integers*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Illinois, Urbana (1971).
12. J. Mycielski and W. Sierpiński, *Sur une propriété des ensembles linéaires*, Fund. Math. **58** (1966), 143–147.
13. M. Newman, *Roots of unity and covering sets*, Math. Ann. **191** (1971), 279–282.
14. I. Niven and H. S. Zuckerman, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Third Edition, Wiley, New York, 1972.
15. B. Novák and Š. Zná́m, *Disjoint covering systems*, Amer. Math. Monthly **81** (1974), 42–45.
16. Š. Porubský, *On a special case of exactly covering systems*, Acta Fac. Rev. Natur. Univ. Comenian Math. **21** (1968), 35–38.
17. Š. Porubský, *Generalization of some results for exactly covering systems*, Mat. Časopis **22** (1972), 208–214.
18. J. Schönheim and N. Burshtein, *On a conjecture concerning exactly covering systems of congruences*, Israel J. of Math. **8** (1970), 28–29.
19. R. Silber, *Wythoff's nim and Fibonacci representations*, Fibonacci Quart. **15** (1977), 85–88.

20. S. K. Stein, *Unions of arithmetic sequences*, Math. Ann. **134** (1957–58), 289–294.
21. K. B. Stolarsky, *Beatty sequences, continued fractions, and certain shift operators*, Canad. Math. Bull. **19** (1976), 473–482.
22. B. A. Venkov, *Elementary Number Theory*, Translated and edited by H. Alderson, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, (pp. 65–68) 1970.
23. Š. Znám, *On Mycielski's problem on systems of arithmetical progressions*, Colloq. Math. **15** (1966), 201–204.
24. Š. Znám, *On exactly covering systems of arithmetic sequences*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai **2**, Number Theory, Debrecen (Hungary, 1968), 221–225.
25. Š. Znám, *A remark to a problem of J. Mycielski on arithmetic sequences*, Colloq. Math. **20** (1969), 69–70.
26. Š. Znám, *On exactly covering systems of arithmetic sequences*, Math. Ann. **180** (1969), 227–232.
27. Š. Znám, *Vector-covering systems of arithmetic sequences*, Czech. Mat. J. **24** (1974), 455–461.
28. Š. Znám, *On properties of systems of arithmetic sequences*, Acta Arithmetica **26** (1975), 279–283.
29. Š. Znám, *A simple characterization of disjoint covering systems*, Discr. Math. **12** (1975), 89–91.
30. Š. Znám, *On covering sets of residue classes*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai **13**, Topics in Number Theory, Debrecen (Hungary, 1974), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976, 443–449.
31. W. W. Adams and J. L. Davison, *A remarkable class of continued fractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1977), 194–198.
32. J. L. Davison, *A series and its associated continued fraction*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1977), 29–32.
33. J. H. Loxton and A. J. Van der Poorten, *Arithmetic properties of certain functions of several variables, III*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **16** (1977), 15–49.
34. J. H. Loxton and A. J. Van der Poorten, *Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1977, pp. 211–226.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
THE WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE
REHOVOT, ISRAEL